1 2 3	
4	Consultation document
5	
6	
7	Ethical considerations for clinical trials on medicinal products
8	conducted with minors
9	
10	
11	
12	Recommendations of the expert group on clinical trials for the implementation of
13	Regulation (EU) No 536/2014 on clinical trials on medicinal products for human
14	use
15	
16	
17 18 19 20	This document does not necessarily reflect the views of the European Commission and should not be interpreted as a commitment by the Commission to any official initiative in this area.

21 **Note for Public consultation**

- 22 The main objective of updating these recommendations is to align them with the requirements
- of the Clinical Trials Regulation (EU) No 536/2014 and with the latest (scientific) insights on
- research with children.

26

27

28

2930

31

3233

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

45

- 25 Main changes were made on the following:
 - More emphasis on the evolving maturity of children, underlying the requirement of the Regulation to respect the explicit wish of a minor to refuse participation in, or to withdraw from, a clinical trial at any time;
 - Recommendations on the requirement of participation of the minor in the informed consent process;
 - Introduction of the term 'agreement', equivalent to the term 'assent' in medical literature, since the Regulation reserves the term 'assent' to have legal value in some Member States;
 - More emphasis on informed consent as a continual process;
 - More emphasis on burden, next to risk, its subjective nature and the importance to involve children in the assessment and minimisation of burden;
 - Assessment of the relationship between benefit, risk and burden, in particular when there is no direct benefit for the participant, only benefit for the population, and the risk and burden should be minimal in comparison to the standard treatment;
 - Additional recommendations for emergency situations;
 - Addition of the latest insights on trial designs and sampling methods;
 - Additional recommendations on trials with female adolescents;
 - Updates on data protection;
- Updates on GCP compliance.

Specific feedback on the following topics would be highly appreciated:

Q1: The table of Annex 3 (previously Annex 4) has not been changed. Is the proposed categorisation of these procedures still adequate?

Q2: Which insights may lead to changes in categorisations (in particular those indicated in yellow)?

F1: General feedback on clinical trials in minors in emergency situations (within the meaning of article 35 of the clinical trials Regulation) is welcome.

F2: If you are aware of any other relevant references you are invited to put them forward.

46

48 TABLE OF CONTENTS

49	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	5
50	1. INTRODUCTION - RATIONALE FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF	
51	RECOMMENDATIONS	5
52	2. SCOPE	6
53	3. ETHICAL PRINCIPLES AND FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS	7
54	4. LEGAL CONTEXT	7
55	4.1 Legal context	7
56	4.2 RELEVANT GUIDELINES	8
57	5. DEFINITIONS/ GLOSSARY	9
58	5.1 AGE GROUPS	9
59	5.2 Assent	9
60	5.3 AGREEMENT	9
61	5.4 CHILD	10
62	5.5 ETHICAL REVIEW	10
63	5.6 INFORMED CONSENT	10
64 65	5.7 LEGALLY DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE OF THE MINOR5.8 MINOR	11 11
66	5.9 PAEDIATRIC POPULATION	11
67	6. THE PROCESS OF INFORMED CONSENT	11
68 69	6.1 Informed consent from the legally designated representative6.2 Participation of the child in the informed consent process	11 13
70	6.3 INFORMED CONSENT OF FAMILIES WITH DIFFERENT CULTURAL BACKGROUND	13
71	6.4 CONSENT AT THE BEGINNING OF A TRIAL AND CONTINUED CONSENT DURING TRIAL	13
72	6.5 WITHDRAWAL OF THE CONSENT	13
73	6.6 CONSENT, ASSENT AND AGREEMENT IN EMERGENCY SITUATIONS	14
74	7. PARTICIPATION OF MINORS IN THE INFORMED CONSENT PROCESS AND	
75	AGREEMENT	15
76	7.1 Assent	16
77	7.2 PARTICIPATION AND AGREEMENT ACCORDING TO AGE GROUPS AND LEVEL OF MATURITY	16
78	7.2.1 Newborns and infants (from birth to 2 years of age)	16
79	7.2.2 Pre-schoolers (2-5 years of age)	17
80	7.2.3 Schoolers (6-9 years of age)	17
81 82	 7.2.4 Adolescents (10-18 years of age) 7.3 DIFFERENCE OF OPINION BETWEEN THE CHILD AND THE PARENTS/LEGALLY DESIGNATED 	17
83	7.3 DIFFERENCE OF OPINION BETWEEN THE CHILD AND THE PARENTS/LEGALLY DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE	18
84	8. EXPERTISE REQUIRED FOR ASSESSMENT	18
85	8.1 PAEDIATRIC EXPERTISE	18
86	8.2 METHODOLOGICAL EXPERTISE	19
87	8.3 OPINION ON THE APPLICATION DOSSIER	19
88	9. DESIGN OF CLINICAL TRIALS CONDUCTED WITH THE PAEDIATRIC	
89	POPULATION	20
90	9.1 DESIGN AND ANALYSIS	20
91	9.2 PAEDIATRIC CONTROL GROUPS	21
92	9.2.1 Use of placebo	21
93	9.2.2 Superiority versus non-inferiority trials	22
94	9.2.3 Controlled trials using (reference) medicinal products without a marketing authorisati	
95	in children	22
96	9.2.4 Clinical trials using medicinal products containing radio-isotopes	23
97	10. THE CONCEPT OF BENEFIT	23

98	10.1 PROSPECT OF DIRECT BENEFIT FOR THE MINOR CONCERNED	23
99	10.2 PROSPECT OF SOME BENEFIT FOR THE POPULATION REPRESENTED BY THE MINOR	24
100	10.3 CLASSIFICATION OF TRIAL PROTOCOLS	24
101	11. IDENTIFYING, MINIMISING AND MONITORING RISKS AND BURDEN	24
102	11.1 ASSESSMENT OF RISK	25
103	11.2 ASSESSMENT OF BURDEN	26
104	11.3 MONITORING THE LEVEL OF RISKS AND BURDEN	27
105	12. ASSESSMENT OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BENEFIT, RISKS AND BUR	DEN28
106	12.1 ASSESSING TRIALS WITH PROSPECT OF DIRECT BENEFIT FOR THE MINOR CONCERNED	28
107	12.2 ASSESSING TRIALS WITH PROSPECT OF SOME BENEFIT FOR THE POPULATION REPRESENT	
108	THE MINOR	28
109	12.2.1 Standard treatment	31
110	12.2.2Assessment of risks and burden for individual children	31
111	13. ASSAYS IN RELATION TO AGE/BODYWEIGHT AND BLOOD SAMPLING	31
112	13.1 TYPE OF ASSAYS AND SAMPLE COLLECTION	31
113	13.2 VOLUME OF BLOOD	32
114	14. TRIALS WITH NEONATES (TERM AND PRE-TERM)	32
115	15. TRIALS WITH HEALTHY MINORS	33
116	16. TRIALS WITH ADOLESCENT FEMALES	33
117	17. PAEDIATRIC FORMS AND FORMULATIONS TO BE USED IN PAEDIATRIC TR	IALS33
118	18. INDIVIDUAL DATA PROTECTION	34
119	19. UNNECESSARY REPLICATION OF TRIALS	34
120	19.1 PUBLICATION OF PAEDIATRIC TRIALS AND RESULTS	35
121	19.2 INTERNATIONAL DATABASE AND AVAILABILITY TO THE PUBLIC	35
122	20. ADVERSE EFFECTS REPORTING	35
123	21. INDUCEMENTS VERSUS COMPENSATION FOR CHILDREN	35
124	22. INSURANCE ISSUES	36
125	23. TRIALS WITH CHILDREN IN NON-EU COUNTRIES	36
126	24. ETHICAL VIOLATIONS AND NON-COMPLIANCE WITH GCP	36
127	25. ANNEX 1: LIST OF ISSUES TO BE CONSIDERED IN A CLINICAL TRIAL	30
127	INVOLVING MINORS	38
129	26. ANNEX 2: INFORMATION FOR INFORMED CONSENT	40
	27. ANNEX 3: EXAMPLES FOR LEVELS OF RISKS AND BURDEN	
130		42
131	28. REFERENCES	44
132	28.1 GENERAL GUIDANCE	44
133 134	28.2 NATIONAL GUIDANCE ON ETHICS	45 45
135	O RESEARCH AND CLINICAL TRIALS IN CHILDREN 28.3 INVOLVEMENT, COMPETENCE, CONSENT AND ASSENT	43 46
136	28.4 NEONATES IN CLINICAL TRIALS	46 47
137	28.5 Information material	48
138	28.6 PLACEBO USE AND CLINICAL TRIAL DESIGN	48
139		-
140		

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This document has been revised by the European Commission expert group on Clinical Trials in preparation for the implementation of **Regulation** (EU) No 536/2014¹ on clinical trials on medicinal products for human use. The objective of the revision is to update these recommendations to bring them in line with the changed legal context of the new regulation. The document provides recommendations on various ethical aspects of clinical trials performed in minors from birth up to the legal age of competence to provide informed consent. This will contribute to the protection of all minors who participate in clinical trials. The protection against the risks of research in such a vulnerable population is paramount, whilst this should not lead to denying them the benefits of research, both from participation in clinical trials and from access to the resulting evidence based medicinal products (recital 8). As the authorisation of clinical trials, including ethical approval, is performed by the Member States, any recommendations on ethical aspects of clinical trials in minors will also facilitate a harmonised approach to the application of the clinical trials Regulation across the EU, thereby facilitating the conduct of clinical trials across the EU, in whichever country the clinical trial in minors occurs.

Minors are not small adults and there is a need to carry out specific trials that cannot be performed in adults in order to obtain evidence specifically attuned to the needs of children. By definition, children (minors) are unable to consent (in the legal sense), but they should be involved in the process of informed consent as much as is possible, using age appropriate information. In the ethical review, paediatric expertise is required to balance the benefits, risks and burden of research in minors. The difference between minors and adults as research participants has implications on the design, conduct and analysis of trials, which should also include paediatric expertise. Pain, fear, discomfort and parental separation should be prevented and minimised when unavoidable. The neonate represents the most vulnerable of all paediatric age groups and requires even more careful review Finally, various other aspects relating to the performance of trials in children are discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION - RATIONALE FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF RECOMMENDATIONS

Off label use of medicinal products in children without proper evidence poses an ethical problem. That is why the need for clinical trials with children has now been widely recognised and is stimulated by European legislation, e.g. by requiring Paediatric Investigation Plans². At the same time, children are a vulnerable population, relatively incapable of protecting their own interests, and therefore they deserve protection against the risks and burden of research. It is the duty of all involved in paediatric research to minimise and mitigate any risks and burden while doing research with children. That is why in Regulation (EU) No 536/2014 (hereinafter the Clinical Trials Regulation) an appropriate balance is sought between protecting children (i.e. minors in the meaning of the Clinical Trials Regulation) and enabling research that provides evidence for good medical care. Trials are necessary and should aim at progressing the wellbeing and treatment, prevention and diagnosis of ill health of patients, including children. Furthermore, clinical trials facilitate the development of appropriate drug dosage forms. Although the same ethical principles apply across age ranges, from children to the elderly, additional protection should be defined for research performed in minors, at all stages and ages.

¹ Regulation (EU) No 536/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 April 2014 on clinical trials on medicinal products for human use, and repealing Directive 2001/20/EC (Text with EEA relevance)

² Regulation (EC) No 1901/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2006 on medicinal products for paediatric use

The reasons why medicinal products need to be studied in minors have been detailed in various publications. In summary, minors are not small adults. Data on effectiveness and safety cannot reliably be derived from data in adults. Major changes in pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics occur with increasing age, due to changes in body composition, drug metabolism and transport and renal function. Growth and maturation processes, as well as certain specific diseases are unique to children. Specific consequences of medical interventions may be seen in minors if no appropriate clinical trials are conducted and may only appear long after exposure. Unfortunately this has been demonstrated by previous calamities with the use of medicinal products. Trials are therefore necessary in the paediatric population to develop a better knowledge of drugs' effects in children (safety and efficacy).

195 Because of the special protection they deserve, minors should not be the subject of clinical trials when the research can be done in legally competent subjects (i.e. adults capable of 196 197 informed consent). If research with minors proves necessary, in line with Article 32(1e) of the Clinical Trials Regulation, the least vulnerable among them should usually be included. 198 199 However, a 'staggered approach' (starting by the older and going sequentially to the younger 200 age groups), has not been shown to protect younger study participants but leads to delays in data availability, and is therefore not recommended. Such an approach will ultimately result 201 in prolonged off-label use for the younger age groups (especially neonates) and the 202 203 impossibility of conducting any trial to provide age specific evidence for these groups. If 204 there is a necessity to subject minors to a clinical trial, the choice of subsets of the paediatric population to be included should be made on the basis of the likely real-life target population 205 for the medicine being tested, the possibility of extrapolation, and the scientific validity of 206 207 such an approach.

The recommendations in this document aim to bring together ethical principles from the various documents that already exist (cf. section 4.2), as they are understood currently. In addition, the European Network of Paediatric Research (Enpr-EMA) has recognised expertise in performing clinical studies with children and has the main objective to foster high-quality, ethical research on the quality, safety and efficacy of medicines for use in children. Over time, with changing legislation or progressing insights, e.g. by Enpr-EMA, the need for further revision of this document may emerge.

2. SCOPE

- This document is intended to provide recommendations on various ethical aspects related to the conduct of interventional clinical trials and studies falling under the provisions of the Clinical Trials Regulation on medicinal products for human use.
- Clinical Trials Regulation on medicinal products for human use.
- The document is intended as recommendations for all persons involved in any stage of a clinical trial, including sponsors of clinical trials, assessors, regulatory authorities,
- 221 pharmaceutical companies, insurance companies (regarding trial subjects), investigators
- 222 (including all trial-related staff) of clinical trials performed in children of all ages (minors, cf.
- section 5.8), families, and participants. This document is without prejudice to the obligations
- created by the Clinical Trials Regulation and other European and Member State legislation.
- 225 This document focuses on the ethical specificities of clinical trials with minors and should
- therefore be read in conjunction with the relevant legal texts and guidelines. In particular, the
- specific legislation of the Member States may differ. These recommendations do not
- distinguish between non-commercial and commercial research.

215

185 186

187

188

189 190

191

192

193

3. ETHICAL PRINCIPLES AND FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS

- 231 The Clinical Trials Regulation and these underlying recommendations should be applied in
- 232 line with the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (2012). In particular
- 233 Article 24 on the rights of the child is relevant in this context (see also recital 83 of the
- 234 Clinical Trials Regulation).

230

- In addition, ethical principles referred to in this document are those expressed, for example, in
- 236 the Declaration of Helsinki published by the World Medical Association (2008)³, the
- 237 International Ethical Guidelines for Biomedical Research Involving Human Subjects of the
- 238 Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS) in collaboration with
- 239 the World Health Organization (WHO (Geneva 2002), the United Nations' Convention on the
- 240 Rights of the Child, the Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights (UNESCO,
- 241 2005), the Universal Declaration on the Human Genome and Human Rights (UNESCO,
- 242 1997), the International Declaration on Human Genetic Data (UNESCO, 2003), the Universal
- Declaration of Human Rights of 1948, and the Council of Europe's Convention for the
- 244 Protection of Human Rights and Dignity of the Human Being with regard to the Application
- of Biology and Medicine: Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine and its additional
- 246 protocol concerning biomedical research. These principles are also echoed and referred to in
- the ICH E6 guideline on Good Clinical Practice and in the ICH E11 guideline on the Clinical
- 248 Investigation of Medicinal Products in the Paediatric Population.
- 249 Although the above mentioned documents might differ and emphasize specific ethical
- 250 requirements, they share a common ground. They all build on four important ethical
- 251 principles that should be adhered to when performing research with children: Beneficence,
- 252 non-maleficence, respect for persons and justice. Beneficence is defined as the ethical
- obligation to secure/promote well-being and non-maleficence is the obligation to avoid harm.
- Respect for persons is defined as the obligation to treat individuals as autonomous agents and
- 255 protect those with diminished autonomy. Justice is defined as a fair distribution of risk,
- burden and benefits of research. These are fully applicable to clinical trials in children.
- 257 The Clinical Trials Regulation underlines the importance of taking account of the wishes of
- 258 children with regard to their participation in clinical trials. The Regulation requires their full
- engagement with the aim to treat children as developing autonomous beings, whose maturity
- gradually evolves with age and experience, and whose will should be taken seriously.
- Although it is acknowledged that children form a vulnerable group, the focus should be on
- 262 their capacities and the shared goal of enabling them to participate in decision making
- 263 processes.

264

265

4. LEGAL CONTEXT

4.1 Legal context

Regulation (EU) No 536/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 April
 2014 on clinical trials on medicinal products for human use, and repealing Directive
 2001/20/EC (herein the 'Clinical Trials Regulation').

Directive 2001/83/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 November 2001
 on the Community code relating to medicinal products for human use.

Directive 2003/94/EC of the European Commission of 8 October 2003 laying down the
 principles and guidelines of good manufacturing practice in respect of medicinal products

Page 7/49

³ This version of the Declaration of Helsinki is referenced in the Clinical Trials Regulation.

- for human use and investigational medicinal products for human use. [Note: this will be
- 274 repealed reference to the new legislation will be included after the public consultation]
- 275 Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council laying down
- Community procedures for the authorisation and supervision of medicinal products for
- human and veterinary use and establishing a European Medicines Agency.
- 278 Regulation (EC) No 1901/2006 of the European Parliament and the Council, on medicinal
- products for paediatric use and amending Regulation (EEC) No 1768/92, Directive
- 280 2001/20/EC, Directive 2001/83/EC and Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 (herein the
- 281 'Paediatric Regulation').

282

4.2 Relevant guidelines

- 283 Clinical Investigation of Medicinal Products in the Paediatric Population (E 11),
- 284 CPMP/ICH/2711/99 (addendum E11(R1) under preparation)
- 285 Concept paper on the involvement of children and young people at the Paediatric
- 286 Committee (EMA/PDCO/388684/2012)
- 287 Guideline for Good Clinical Practice (E 6), CPMP/ICH/135/95, CPMP
- 288 Choice of Control Group in Clinical Trials (E 10), CPMP/ICH/364/96, CPMP
- 289 Guideline on clinical trials in small populations, CHMP/EWP/83561/05, CHMP
- 290 Guideline on conduct of Pharmacovigilance for medicines used by the paediatric
- population (June 2006) EMA/CHMP/PhVWP/235910/2005- rev.1, CHMP
- 292 Guidelines on Good pharmacovigilance practices (GVP)⁴, EMA
- 293 Detailed guidance on the European database of Suspected Unexpected Serious Adverse
- Reactions (Eudra Vigilance Clinical Trial Module) ENTR/F2/BL D(2003) [note: this
- reference will be updated].
- 296 The rules governing medicinal products in the European Union. Volume 10 Guidance
- documents applying to clinical trials. Questions & Answers, Version 11.0 [note: update of
- this document is ongoing].
- 299 Standards and operational guidance for ethics review of health-related research with
- human participants, World Health Organization (WHO)_(Geneva, 2011)
- 301 International Ethical Guidelines for Biomedical Research Involving Human Subjects,
- Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS) in collaboration
- with the World Health Organization (WHO). (Geneva 2002).
- 304 Management of Safety Information from Clinical Trials. Report of CIOMS Working
- Group VI, Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS)

5. DEFINITIONS/ GLOSSARY

5.1 Age groups

306

307

- When referring in these recommendations to a specific subset of the paediatric population, the
- age range will be given for clarity. This age range is meant to provide guidance regarding the
- 310 proper involvement of minors of different ages in the informed consent process. With the
- 311 exception of the age range of 2 to 11 years, subsets of the paediatric population as defined in
- 312 ICH E11 are adopted, and the age group of 2 to 11 years is redefined. This leads to the
- 313 following age groups: preterm newborn infants (gestational age less than 37 weeks, post-natal
- 314 age up to one month), term newborn infants (gestational age 37 weeks or more, post-natal age
- up to one month), infants (1-23 months), preschoolers (2-5 years), schoolers (6-9 years) and
- adolescents (from the age of 10 up to but not including 18 years). Of note, in some Member
- 317 States not all adolescents are regarded as minors (see section 5.8)
- 318 It should be noted that these age groups only partly correlate with maturation especially from
- 319 the developmental point of view. Where it is necessary to set some boundaries, the definition
- of the different age groups should be viewed as a practical instrument. Maturity rather than
- age should be the starting point for the way a trial is discussed with children. Each individual
- 322 child should be involved in a way that matches his or her maturity and ability to take part in
- the decision making process. Although this may be difficult, as 'maturity' is not a clear-cut
- 324 criterion in contrast to age, such an approach will foster more attention to differences between
- 325 children and will support that they are properly involved in decisions that concern them.
- 326 It is important to distinguish the above mentioned age groups from 'physiological or
- metabolic' definitions of age groups, which will be used for other purposes, for example to
- define dose, or to establish inclusion criteria.

329 **5.2** Assent

- 330 The term assent in the context of the Clinical Trials Regulation has a different meaning from
- that in medical literature and therefore also in this document, since it has legal value
- depending on Member State law (cf. Section 5.3).
- 333 The notion of assent is explicitly included in article 29(8) of the Clinical Trials Regulation:

334335

336

337

346

- "This Regulation is without prejudice to national law requiring that, in addition to the informed consent given by the legally designated representative, a minor who is capable of forming an opinion and assessing the information given to him or her, shall also assent in order to participate in a clinical trial."
- 338
- In this document, "assent" should be understood as a legally required expression of the
- minor's will to participate in a clinical trial, dependent on Member State law. Usually the
- legal requirement of assent only applies to minors of a certain age. Assent is a statement of
- will with legal value, required at the same time as the consent of the parents/legally
- designated representative. The minor's assent is not sufficient to allow participation in
- 344 research unless supplemented by informed consent of the parents/legally designated
- 345 representative.

5.3 Agreement

- 347 The Clinical Trials Regulation provides a number of conditions for participation of minors in
- 348 clinical trials, one of which is for investigators to respect the explicit wish of a minor, who is
- 349 capable of forming an opinion and assessing information, to refuse participation in, or to
- withdraw from, the clinical trial at any time.

- 351 Agreement in this document is used in accordance with the term "assent" in the medical
- 352 literature. It means the expression of the minor's will to participate in a clinical trial. This
- document supports a systematic request for agreement, and recommends that the investigator
- 354 obtains agreement from the child in addition to informed consent of the parents/legally
- designated representative, even when this agreement is not mandatory by law.
- 356 The way in which the minor participates in the informed consent process, leading to the
- potential agreement, depends on his or her maturity. So agreement is not age-dependent, in
- contrast to legally required assent. In addition, whereas lack of legal assent mostly means the
- minor's refusal to participate (depending on Member State law), lack of agreement does not
- necessarily mean the child will not participate, since it may be evaluated that the child is not
- mature enough to express agreement.
- Dissent however, meaning the expression of the minor's will not to participate, should be
- respected, in line with Article 32(1c) of the Clinical Trials Regulation on condition that the
- minor is capable of forming an opinion and assessing the information given.
- 365 The Clinical Trials Regulation requires the following involvement of the minor in the
- informed consent procedure (Article 32):
- 367 "1(b) the minors have received the information referred to in Article 29(2) in a way 368 adapted to their age and mental maturity and from investigators or members of the
- investigating team who are trained or experienced in working with children;
- 370 1(c) the explicit wish of a minor who is capable of forming an opinion and assessing
- 371 the information referred to in Article 29(2) to refuse participation in, or to withdraw
- from, the clinical trial at any time, is respected by the investigator;
- 2. The minor shall take part in the informed consent procedure in a way adapted to
- his or her age and mental maturity."
- 375 The notion of agreement recognises the evolving autonomy of minors. The capacity of a
- 376 minor to make voluntary, informed decisions i.e. to agree to participate in a clinical trial,
- evolves with age, maturity and previous experience of life and illness.
- 378 The recommendations provided in this document refers both to (legally-required) assent and
- 379 to agreement, which were covered by the term "assent" in the previous version of this
- document as referred to in the Declaration of Helsinki (2008).
- 381 **5.4 Child**
- When the term "children" is used within these recommendations, it is used consistently with
- 383 the provisions of the Clinical Trials Regulation to mean minors, in contrast to ICH E11
- guideline which refers to children as individuals aged from 2 to 11 years.

385 5.5 Ethical review

- 386 The ethical review shall be performed in accordance with the Clinical Trials Regulation and
- 387 the law of the Member State concerned. Member State law will define the body performing
- 388 the ethical review. In the case of paediatric clinical trials, there is a requirement to include
- 389 paediatric expertise during the assessment of applications for the authorisation of clinical
- 390 trials.

391

5.6 Informed consent

- 392 Article 2(2.21) of the Clinical Trials Regulation defines:
- "Informed consent means a subject's free and voluntary expression of his or her
- willingness to participate in a particular clinical trial, after having been informed of

- all aspects of the clinical trial that are relevant to the subject's decision to participate or, in case of minors and of incapacitated subjects, an authorisation or agreement from their legally designated representative to include them in the clinical trial."
- 398 Articles 29 and 32 of the Clinical Trials Regulation provide additional requirements related to
- 399 informed consent. In this document, "consent" refers to the legal definition of informed
- 400 consent, as stated above. The Clinical Trials Regulation states that the child should be
- involved in the process of informed consent.
- 402 Some Member States use the concept of assent, meaning that minors of a certain age are
- 403 required to assent, in addition to the consent given by the parents/legally designated
- 404 representative.

405

5.7 Legally designated representative of the minor

- 406 Article 2(2.20) of the Clinical Trials Regulation defines a legally designated representative as
- "a natural or legal person, authority or body which, according to the law of the Members State concerned, is empowered to give informed consent on behalf of a subject who is an incapacitated subject or a minor."
- 410 For most minors, the legally designated representative will be one or both parents, depending
- on national law. Although national law may allow written consent from only one parent, both
- 412 parents should be encouraged to participate in the informed consent process. Legally
- designated representatives may be other family members, guardians or local authorities.
- Parents/legally designated representative have the duty to protect their child, the child's
- interests, and the child's point of view based on their knowledge of the child during the child's
- 416 life up to that time.

417 **5.8 Minor**

- 418 Article 2(2.18) of the Clinical Trials Regulation defines:
- "Minor means a subject who is, according to the law of the Member State concerned, under the age of legal competence to give informed consent."
- 421 Of note, in the case of multinational trials the age of legal competence may differ across
- 422 Member States.

429

423 **5.9 Paediatric population**

- 424 According to Regulation (EC) No 1901/2006, the term "paediatric population" refers to the
- part of the population aged between birth and 18 years. This term is used throughout these
- 426 recommendations to cover all paediatric age groups. Exposure to medicinal products may
- occur before birth in the context of clinical trials with pregnant women; this topic is not
- 428 covered in this document.

6. The process of informed consent

430 6.1 Informed consent from the legally designated representative

- 431 As the child (minor) is unable to provide legal consent, informed consent must be sought
- from the parents/legally designated representative (see definition above) on the child's behalf.
- 433 Articles 29 and 32 of the Clinical Trials Regulation require that the specific and written
- 434 informed consent of parents/legally designated representative must be sought prior to
- 435 enrolling a child in a trial.

- 436 The person providing the information usually the investigator or his adequately trained
- delegate should be experienced in providing such information and in working with children.
- The information should be given to each parent, or the legally designated representative, both
- in oral and written form. Article 29.2(a) describes the information that should be provided.
- The parents/legally designated representative should be given sufficient time and necessary
- information to consider their decision to endorse participation of their child. When providing
- such information, it is important to take into consideration the fear and uncertainty of parents,
- especially when they are inexperienced with respect to the child's condition.
- Regarding the information given to the parents/legally designated representative, items for
- review by the ethics committee are proposed in Annex 1.
- When seeking informed consent, the investigator should not put undue pressure on the
- parents/legally designated representative. In particular:
- Parents/legally designated representative should be explicitly informed of their right to refuse to have the child participate and the right to withdraw their child from the clinical trial at any time without any resulting detriment for the child and without having to provide any justification, in line with Article 29(2aii) of the Clinical Trials Regulation.
- According to Article 32(1d) of the Clinical Trials Regulation there must not be financial inducement to enrol the child in the trial; no financial incentive should be offered except compensation for expenses and loss of earnings of the parents directly related to the participation in the clinical trial.
- In the complex relationship between parents and physician(s), especially in case of chronic diseases and of rare diseases, but also in acute serious illnesses, or in the situation of less educated parents, there is a risk of perceived obligations and emotional subordination on the side of the parents. Moreover, this may not be perceived by either party. Therefore, the investigator should not put undue pressure or be the one to make the decision on participation, but should ensure that the information has been understood and that there has been enough time allowed to come to a decision. Provision of information is a continual process.
- If an adolescent is no longer a minor as defined by the Clinical Trials Regulation, or is an "emancipated minor", then written informed consent is required from these individuals as for any adult capable of giving consent. Under these conditions, informed consent is no longer required from the parents/legally designated representative. As soon as a minor becomes legally competent to give informed consent during the course of the trial, no trial-related procedures may be performed until informed consent is provided.
- Considering that the age when a person is considered to be an adult is highly variable across
 Member States, a multi-state trial may include at the same time minors of the same age who
 are still minors in one Member State and "adults" i.e. those able to consent in another Member
 State. Adolescents may still have some elements of vulnerability, even though they are legally
 allowed to provide their own consent. In practice, the adolescent with the legal capacity to
 consent may decide to involve his or her parents in the informed consent process. The
- information given to adolescents should therefore recognize the potential situation of vulnerability.

-

⁵ This is a legal term and applies under exceptional conditions: Minors can become emancipated through certain actions, such as marriage.

477 6.2 Participation of the child in the informed consent process

- 478 Consent in line with Article 29 of the Clinical Trials Regulation should be obtained from the
- 479 parents/legal representative and, in line with Article 32, the child should be involved
- 480 throughout this process and receive the information adapted to their age and mental maturity.
- Participation of minors is addressed in section 7.

6.3 Informed consent of families with different cultural background

- 483 It is important that the language skills of the child and consenting parents/legal representative
- are sufficient for them to understand the provided information. Also cultural differences may
- lead to misunderstandings. Where appropriate, a translator and/or a cultural mediator, familiar
- with medical terminology, experienced in the language, social habits, culture, traditions,
- 487 religion and particular ethnic differences should be available in the process of obtaining
- 488 informed consent. A translated informed consent form could also be an appropriate way to
- 489 provide trial related information adapted to the specific needs of families with a different
- 490 cultural background.

482

491

507

6.4 Consent at the beginning of a trial and continued consent during trial

- 492 As discussed above, investigators should devote sufficient time to provide information and
- 493 seek the parents'/legally designated representative's consent as well as the child's
- assent/agreement prior to trial enrolment. It is important to realise that consent is a dynamic,
- continual process, and should therefore not only be obtained prior to enrolling a child in a trial
- but should be maintained during the trial on a continual basis. The child should be involved in
- 497 this (cf. Section 7). This could be done, for example, by a brief discussion during each repeat
- 498 visit. It is recommended to document this process in the medical records or equivalent. The
- discussion is part of the ongoing dialogue between children, parents and investigators and
- should focus on all aspects of the trial but in particular on any new information that arises in
- relation to the trial and that might affect the willingness of the parents and child to continue.
- 502 During the progress of the trial, especially in long-term trials, the investigator should check
- 503 the progressing maturity of the child and his or her ability for assent/agreement. This should
- 504 be documented.
- In the rare event of a change in legally designated representative during the trial, informed
- consent should be sought again as soon as possible.

6.5 Withdrawal of the consent

In all circumstances, parents/legally designated representative should be made aware of the

- right to refuse participation in a clinical trial and are entitled to freely withdraw their informed
- 510 consent at any time, without giving reasons. Parents/legally designated representative should
- be reassured that the withdrawal from the trial will not prejudice the child, will not result in
- any detriment and will not affect the provision of normal clinical practice⁶. In addition, refusal
- 513 to give consent or withdrawal of consent to participation in research must not lead to any
- liability or discrimination (e.g., with regard to insurance or employment) against the person
- concerned. The parents/legally designated representative need to be informed about the risks
- that premature termination of the trial would present to the subject's health, if applicable.

Page 13/49

⁶ Normal clinical practice is defined by the Clinical Trials Regulation as the treatment regime typically followed to treat, prevent, or diagnose a disease or disorder.

- Parents/legally designated representative who give informed consent for a child to participate
- in clinical trials should have the opportunity to follow the research as it proceeds (unless
- clinically inappropriate, e.g., during an operation under general anaesthesia), so as to be able
- 520 to decide on whether to withdraw the child from the research at any time. In the event of
- 521 withdrawal from a blinded trial, if the parents/legally designated representative wish to
- continue to follow the progress of the trial, and it should be stated that the summary of the
- results, including a summary that is understandable to a layperson, will be available in the EU
- 1525 results, including a summary that is understandable to a rayperson, will be available in the E
- 524 database.

533

- When consent is withdrawn during a procedure, for example, during anaesthesia, it may not
- always be possible to stop the procedure immediately, as this might jeopardize the health of
- 527 the child. If this possibility can be envisaged beforehand, due to the trial design or a specific
- 528 intervention, this should be clearly explained as part of the initial consent process, to manage
- 529 expectations before the situation arises.
- 530 It must be emphasised that after a child withdraws from a trial, the investigator is still
- responsible for reporting trial-related events. In addition, the investigator needs to assure
- appropriate treatment and follow-up.

6.6 Consent, assent and agreement in emergency situations

- Research involving children in rapidly evolving, life-threatening situations is necessary to
- advance outcomes and treatments. Emergency situation trials may concern children with
- various conditions, including some that are specific, or relatively frequent, in children (e.g.
- 537 initial manifestations of metabolic diseases, status epilepticus, acute trauma). In some
- emergency situations, consciousness may be altered and/or treatment or intervention is
- required within minutes, thus the parents/legally designated representative may not be
- available to provide prior informed consent and children cannot be informed, nor express
- assent or agreement.
- 542 Article 35 of the Regulation provides for derogation from prior informed consent
- requirement, including for paediatric trials in emergency situations, with some restrictions.
- However, in all cases, informed consent should be sought as soon as possible after the
- decision to include the child into the trial or after the first intervention (sometimes called
- deferred consent). Of note, there is no derogation for such clinical trials from the requirement
- to respect the child's explicit wish to refusal to participate.
- Recruitment and inclusion procedures for such trials should be scrutinised from the ethical
- 549 perspective, in particular the time lag until consent is obtained, how and by whom the
- decision to include the child in the trial will be taken, information given to the legally
- designated representative, highlighting the right to object to the use of the data obtained in
- these circumstances, and the assent or agreement process.
- Various approaches to obtain informed consent in particular situations have been used or
- should be considered according to national law. It is sometimes possible to identify
- participants before the emergency situation arises (known risk of worsening, for example,
- sepsis in immune-compromised children). This provides opportunities to inform parents, and
- even early informed consent and assent/agreement (pre-consent). In other cases, awareness of
- a trial recruiting within a community can be ensured through schools, medias, or outpatient

- clinics. Emergency situations described in this paragraph are not to be confused with those referred to under article 35 of the Clinical Trials Regulation.
- Some Member States recommend that a third party is involved (if available in such a timeframe, a healthcare provider knowing the child) with the responsibility to protect the child's best interest. Conversely, other Member States prohibit to ask third parties (for example teachers) to substitute for the legally designated representative.

Feedback requested:

General feedback on clinical trials in minors in emergency situations (within the meaning of article 35 of the Clinical Trials Regulation (EU) No 536/2014) is welcome.

7. Participation of minors in the informed consent process and agreement

The child should participate in the informed consent process together with the parents (article 32(2)), in a way that is appropriate to his or her age and maturity (Article 32(1b) of the Clinical Trials Regulation). The aim is to treat children as persons who, in the context of their own family and social environments, have the potential from an early age to play an active role in determining their own lives and in engaging with others. Involving children in discussions and the decision-making process respects their emerging maturity. This process should be conducted with enough time and at the same time as obtaining consent from the parents/legally designated representative, so that the child is optimally involved in the informed consent process and the minor has the opportunity to dissent (in line with Articles 32(2) and 32(1c) of the Regulation). The central role of parents in the protection of their child should be recognised. The parents might also wish to discuss with the child on their own, after having been informed on the trial, and before meeting with the investigator and consenting to participation of their child.

This document supports a systematic request for agreement, and recommends that the investigator obtains agreement from the child in addition to informed consent of the parents/legally designated representative, even when this agreement is not mandatory by law. If the child's agreement is not obtained, it is recommended that this be documented with justification in the consent form which is signed by the parents/legally designated representative and investigator.

The evaluation to what extent a child is able to provide agreement should not solely be based on chronological age, but should also depend on other factors such as developmental stage, intellectual capacities (especially in children with special needs and/or learning difficulties), life/disease experience, etc. The contribution of these other factors to the child's maturity and the extent to which the child is able to participate in the informed consent process need to be established after discussions between the investigator, the parents/legally designated representative, and the child.

⁷ Wellcome Trust, MRC Hubs for Trials Methodology Research: Research without Prior Consent (Deferred Consent) in Trials Investigating the Emergency Treatment of Critically Ill Children: CONNECT Study Guidance. Version 2 July 2015, available at https://www.liverpool.ac.uk/psychology-health-and-society/research/connect/

Refusal of a minor to participate, i.e. dissent, should be respected, in line with Article 32(1.c) of the Clinical Trials Regulation, stating:

"the explicit wish of a minor who is capable of forming an opinion and assessing the information referred to in Article 29(2) to refuse participation in, or to withdraw from, the clinical trial at any time, is respected by the investigator".

It is important to note that "forming an opinion" should not be understood to only apply to children that have reached a certain age or level of maturity, as even young children are able to form and express their opinion in one way or another. Therefore, any objections raised by a child at any time during a trial should be analysed, including those of very young children. The child's will should be respected. The child does not have to provide reasons. The child should be informed of the possibility to freely withdraw from the trial, at any time for any reason, without any disadvantage or prejudice (cf. section 6.5). This also means that investigators should be able to recognize signs of resistance in children. They should evaluate whether these signs are part of the anticipated burden (e.g. distress or fear), consult with the parents/legally designated representative, and appropriately respond to the child's worries, e.g. by trying to decrease the burden. This behaviour may lead to the understanding that the child dissents, and that therefore the child should not be enrolled in or should be withdrawn from the trial.

- Separate information material for adults and children should be used in order to provide age 612 appropriate information, in visuals, language and wording appropriate to age and 613 psychological and intellectual maturity. The information material should be ethically 614 approved by the Member State concerned. The information material should have been tested 615 in the relevant population, and should include provision of information on all the relevant 616 aspects of the trial, in terms that are honest, but not frightening, using visual such as 617 drawings, cartoons etc. See also Annex 2 for recommended contents. In addition, separate 618 consent and agreement forms (where applicable, cf. Section 7.2) should be used, satisfying 619 the above mentioned criteria for the information material. 620
- Agreement, like consent, is a continual process and should be sought during the trial as well, e.g. during repeat trial visits. The processes for informing the child and seeking agreement should be clearly defined in advance of the research and documented for each child. While agreement may not be possible in all age groups (e.g., neonates), the information process provided to the child and the child's response should be documented. In any case, the investigator should report on the agreement procedure in writing, even if the agreement could not be given in writing.

628 **7.1** Assent

635

596597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609 610

611

- Some Member States have a legal requirement to obtain assent. Any specific requirements for
- assent are defined by national law, recognised by Article 29(8) of the Clinical Trials
- Regulation. Recommendations on participation of the minor in the informed consent process,
- leading to the possible assent, are given in this section. The recommendations provided for
- various age groups (section 7.2) to seek agreement to participate in a clinical trial should be in
- accordance with national law.

7.2 Participation and agreement according to age groups and level of maturity

- 636 7.2.1 Newborns and infants (from birth to 2 years of age)
- In this age group, it is not possible to obtain agreement, and understanding of research is not
- expected. Providing information to the child is mostly aimed at preparing the child for the
- procedures to come. Although these children are not able to raise verbal objections, any signs

- of resistance or protest should be identified and lead to a discussion with the parents/legally
- designated representative.
- 642 7.2.2 Pre-schoolers (2-5 years of age)
- Within this age group there is the emergent capacity to provide agreement. Where the child
- has some capacity of understanding, age- and maturity-appropriate information is still needed
- even if after giving information, agreement is evaluated not to be obtainable. Since
- information in text is not helpful for most of these children, other ways of providing visual
- information should be sought, e.g. videos, pictograms, cartoons or drawings, which can be
- 648 taken home and discussed with the parents/legally designated representative, in order to
- ensure that the child is properly informed.
- Research on cognition shows that younger children have significant ability to provide
- agreement. It is recognised that children from the age of 3-4 years can express altruism and
- have an emerging capacity to form an opinion. At the same time, these children have
- significant ability to express fundamental resistance and protest, beyond the usual signs of
- discomfort during or after unpleasant procedures. These expressions should be taken seriously
- and discussed with both the child and the parents/legally designated representative. When it is
- evaluated that these are expressions of dissent, this should be respected.
- 657 *7.2.3 Schoolers* (6-9 years of age)
- Within this age group there is a growing capacity to provide agreement, and this group is
- known to be able to express altruism. From the age of 7, children may be able to understand
- benefits and risks of research and start to understand conflicting or abstract information. This
- should be taken into consideration when developing information material and agreement
- 662 forms (if applicable) aimed at children. Most children and parents are not familiar with the
- concept of randomisation, making it a complex notion to understand. However, it has been
- shown that children with chronic illness may have developed an increased capacity to make
- 665 independent judgements based on previous life experience. These judgments and the child's
- point of view should be taken into account. The dissent should be respected, as these children
- are capable of forming an opinion of their own.
- In any case, it is of major importance to inform the child and obtain agreement as described
- above, preferably in writing, and to keep track of the procedures to seek agreement as well as
- of such agreement. Even though the child is of 'school age', i.e. able to read and write, proper
- understanding can be enhanced by making use of visuals such as videos, pictograms, cartoons
- and drawings.
- 673 *7.2.4 Adolescents (10-18 years of age)*
- In some Member States a subgroup of adolescents are no longer minors, since they have the
- legal right to give informed consent. This section therefore does not apply to that particular
- subgroup. In addition, in some Member States (part of) this group is legally required to
- assent.
- 678 Conducting research in this group remains difficult although many threats to adolescent
- health continue to be evident. Adolescents belong to the paediatric age group, although they
- may have the capacity to make adult decisions in many other areas of life. Seeking agreement
- should put in balance the emerging capacity of an adolescent for independent decision-
- making with the need for continued special protection as provided by the parents/legally
- designated representative. Most guidelines and publications recognise that adolescents are,
- under certain circumstances, able to make independent judgements, and this should be
- respected according to Article 32 of the Clinical Trials Regulation. As in the younger age

- groups, the individual maturity and capacity to understand and agree is also linked to
- developing cognition and previous life/disease experiences.
- Agreement from an adolescent who is a minor should be sought and respected, but does not
- suppress the need for informed consent from the parents or legally designated representative
- 690 (see Article 32(1.a)). The information about the clinical trial needs to be provided to the
- adolescent according to their level of understanding and maturity. If the adolescent dissents,
- this should be respected.
- An additional issue of trials in adolescents is the protection of confidentiality, especially for
- 694 research on socially sensitive issues such as illicit drugs, sexuality and violence. In some
- 695 Member States, discretion and professional secrecy vis-à-vis parents when dealing with
- adolescents may bind health professionals. The specific aspects of disclosure to parents of
- 697 information concerning adolescents should therefore be taken into consideration for clinical
- trials in this age group and should be transparent to the adolescent concerned.

7.3 Difference of opinion between the child and the parents/legally designated representative

- 701 Every effort should be made to understand and respect differences of opinion between the
- 702 child and his/her parents/legally designated representative. Objections from the child should
- be respected, as was clarified in section 7.2. A different situation arises if a child wishes to
- participate while the parents//legally designated representative oppose. In any case, the will
- and motives of the minor should be taken seriously. This means that the investigator should
- aim to reconcile the differences of opinion, in order to do justice to the (growing) capacity of
- 707 children to make adult-like decisions. If the child and parents/legally designated
- 708 representative are not able to come to a consensus, the dissent of either party is decisive. A
- 709 minor's agreement is not sufficient to allow participation, as it should always be
- supplemented by the informed consent of the parents/legally designated representative.

8. Expertise required for assessment

8.1 Paediatric expertise

711

- 713 The Clinical Trials Regulation includes the need for appropriate expertise in the assessment of
- a clinical trial to be performed in children of any age group (Article 10(1)).
- 715 The expert(s) may be permanent members of the assessment body (e.g. an ethics committee),
- or experts providing advice and consulted on an *ad-hoc* basis. All members participating in
- 717 the ethical review, including paediatric experts consulted on an ad hoc basis, should be
- 718 independent of the sponsor, the investigator and the research proposed (Article 9 of the
- 719 Clinical Trials Regulation). The qualifications and expertise of the experts used should be
- documented and annexed to its opinion.
- The paediatric expertise should be available for the assessment of the application dossier, as
- vell as for any subsequent substantial amendments. Ethics committees specialised in
- paediatrics could be considered for the evaluation of application dossiers that are complex or
- 724 in serious paediatric diseases. As required by the Clinical Trials Regulation, lay persons
- should participate in the assessment of the applications for the authorisation of clinical trials,
- some of whom may be parents.
- Paediatric expertise goes beyond having professionally worked with children and could be
- defined on the basis of a combination of education, training and experience on the various
- 729 aspects of child development, ethics and psychosocial aspects. Paediatric expertise is
- preferably provided by a paediatrician with at least some years of experience in paediatric
- care, some years of direct experience of clinical trials with children in similar age groups,

- expertise in clinical pharmacology and expertise in ethics. If this cannot be found in one
- 733 individual, two or more paediatric experts could contribute to the expertise needed. In
- addition, other types of expertise may be provided by nurses, health practitioners, paediatric
- clinical pharmacologists, and bio-statistical experts. Expertise used should be documented.

736 8.2 Methodological expertise

- 737 In paediatric trials minimising the number of subjects and the level of risk and burden is
- especially important. This may require smart trial designs, advanced statistical methods, and
- assays (cf. Sections 9.1 and 13.1). To guarantee that these designs and assays are of sufficient
- 740 quality, contribute to valid and significant outcomes, and meet all relevant scientific
- requirements (e.g. regarding the use of placebo), methodological expertise is required in the
- scientific and ethical review processes.

743 8.3 Opinion on the application dossier

- Considering the need for additional protection of children involved in trials and with a view to
- providing an opinion on the application dossier, the content of the dossier should be carefully
- 746 checked with respect to protection of minors. All requirements are specified in the Clinical
- 747 Trials Regulation.
- Moreover, for paediatric trials, the following points should be examined:
- 749 Whether the trial replicates similar trials based on an identical hypothesis (which should
 750 be avoided)
- 751 Protection and safety of children is ensured (including minimisation of risks, fear, pain and distress) and appropriate paediatric expertise is available at all trial sites.
- 753 A description is provided of the way procedures are explained to the child during the conduct of the trial, including ways to comfort the child in case of distress.
- A justification is provided for the inclusion of children and the choice of age groups to
 achieve the trial objectives. Depending on age groups, inclusion/exclusion criteria may
 need to include the use of contraception and the outcome of a pregnancy test. Explanation
- of this should be clear in the information provided, including appropriate contraceptive
- 759 advice.
- Appropriate non-clinical data are available before the use of the product in children. Such
 data are defined, for example, in the ICH E11 guideline. This may include data from
 juvenile animal studies, modelling or other predictive studies.
- Appropriate evidence is available to provide sufficient scientific ground to expect either
 direct benefit for the minor concerned, or benefit for the population represented by the
 minor according to article 32(1)(g) of the clinical trials Regulation.
- Extensive and comprehensive review of available evidence (including relevant publications) and experimental work on the investigational medicinal product should be available and should be reviewed to justify the initial hypothesis, the safety and the evaluation of expected benefit, and the age ranges of children to be included.
- The protocol has been designed with and reviewed by parents and patients (if applicable,
 based on age and level of understanding).
- 772 The quality of the performance of the trial is such that it is likely that the results will be interpretable and robust; monitoring, audit and quality assurance are described in the
- dossier.

- 775 The trial uses age-appropriate forms and formulations of the medicinal product(s).
- An independent Data and Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB) with appropriate expertise in
 the conduct of clinical trials in children is identified in the protocol, if appropriate.
- There are provisions in the protocol for systematic independent publications of results,
 within the timeframe required by both the Clinical Trials Regulation and the Paediatric
 Regulation, including when results are unfavourable.
- 781 The protocol may include a provision for the medicinal products to be given to patients 782 involved in trials after the completion of the trial where appropriate, unless the benefit to 783 risk balance of the medicinal product tested proves negative.
- The protocol should justify the duration of follow up in the given paediatric population.
 Follow up must include assessment of physical development (e.g. Tanner staging) where appropriate.
- The Member States should ensure that the sponsor regularly monitors and re-examines the
 balance of risk and benefit of the research so that the health and wellbeing of the children
 enrolled are safeguarded.
- 790 For randomised trials there should be equipoise ("genuine uncertainty within the expert 791 medical community [...] about the preferred treatment") at the beginning of the trial and 792 no participants should receive care known to be inferior to existing treatments.
- To help assessors in reviewing paediatric trials, Annex 1 provides a list of the aspects to be taken into consideration when reviewing a clinical trial to be performed in children.

9. Design of clinical trials conducted with the paediatric population

9.1 Design and analysis

795

- 797 The clinical trial design depends on the objective(s) of the trial and the scientific question(s)
 798 to be answered. Reference should be made to scientific guidelines for drug development in
 799 children, including EU and EMA guidelines.
- To ensure feasibility of trials to be performed, the investigator and protocol writer should ensure that there is involvement of children (suffering from the relevant condition) and of families in the development of information material, and where feasible also in the design, analysis and conduct of the trial. Exceptions to this recommendation should be justified.
- The trial should be designed to minimise risk and burden for subjects. The size of the trial conducted in children should be as small as possible but large enough to demonstrate the appropriate efficacy with sufficient statistical power and to provide a robust safety database. In conjunction with the analysis of risks and benefit, trials involving fewer children should be weighed against trials involving more children but using less invasive procedures or advanced data analysis methods.
- 810 To this end, it is in any case suggested to use "smart" trial designs, and advanced statistical techniques for analysis of paediatric data. Examples of designs are adaptive designs and proof 811 of concept in combination with a randomized controlled trial (seamless approach). Advanced 812 data analysis methods, for instance pharmacometric techniques such as population 813 pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) modelling and/or physiologically based 814 815 pharmacokinetic (PBPK) modelling may be used to predict the behaviour of a medicinal product in children, and should be used to design the study, select the doses and analyse the 816 data. Investigators should strive to use improved trial designs and statistical techniques that 817 818 optimally protect children's interests while also ensuring scientific quality and validity.

- 819 Alternative (less conventional) designs and/or analyses should be justified and it is
- 820 recommended that they are agreed with competent authorities when used with a view to
- provide data for regulatory purposes.
- In addition to the selection of the age group(s) of children to be included in the trial, particular
- attention should be paid to the inclusion (and possibly detection) of certain ethnic subgroups,
- or subgroups with certain genetic characteristics (e.g. G6PDH deficiency) or syndromes. Like
- in adults, genetic variations may produce significant and informative differences in medicines
- metabolism, in clinical response to drugs, and in adverse reactions that are to be expected.
- 827 One should therefore be alert to prevent harm. However, minorities should not be
- systematically excluded, leading to lack of evidence for their treatments.
- Adequate dose rationale should be included in the protocol. A scientific justification has to be
- provided for the dose and dose regimen proposed in the trial.
- As is the case for trials in adults, all measures to avoid bias should be included in trials
- 832 performed in children. For example, unblinded and/or uncontrolled trials for the
- 833 demonstration of efficacy are subject to increased bias and should be avoided whenever
- possible.
- Whenever possible (e.g., when differences in product mode of administration are impossible
- 836 to mask), open trials should include provisions for blinding of assessment. Most aspects of
- 837 assessment, i.e. a systematic evaluation and documentation, are usually carried out by
- professionals, to ensure accuracy, precision, standardisation etc. of measurements. Ideally,
- these professionals should also be blinded to allocation. Reporting adverse events in many
- cases will be based on the assessment by parents, or other carers. Whenever possible, the
- evaluation by the child should additionally be obtained. Patient-reported outcomes (PROs) are
- 842 important to understand health status and changes with an intervention. Instruments to
- document PROs and health-related quality of life in children are increasingly available.
- 844 Trials performed in children affected by rare diseases should aim to follow the same
- methodological standards as those performed in more common diseases, although this will not
- always be feasible (for example, the power of a controlled trial in a rare disease may be
- reduced). These trials are generally based on increased uncertainty: for example less-known
- mechanism of disease, lack of validated endpoints. In addition, they have more complex
- logistical issues, as participants are fewer and more dispersed (this is a higher burden to
- children and their families), and require often more trial sites.

9.2 Paediatric control groups

- The use of control groups, including the use of placebo, should be based on equipoise, should
- be appropriate to the condition(s) under investigation in the trial, and should be justified
- scientifically.

- 855 *9.2.1 Use of placebo*
- Placebo should not be used when it means withholding effective treatment, particularly for
- serious and life-threatening conditions. However, the use of placebo may be warranted in
- 858 children as in adults when evidence for any particular treatment is lacking or when the
- placebo effect is known to be very variable (e.g. pain, hay fever). As the level of evidence in
- favour of an effective treatment increases, the ethical justification for placebo use weakens.
- Long-term use (beyond 3-6 months) of placebo is known to create difficulties in acceptance
- of the trial by participants and to increase drop-out rates.

- Placebo use is not equivalent to absence of treatment, for example placebo could be used on
- 864 top of standard care. In the placebo arm, participants are protected against the (potential)
- harms of the test product.
- 866 In all cases, placebo use should be associated with measures to minimise exposure and avoid
- serious or rapidly evolving diseases. As
- appropriate, rescue⁸ treatment and escape procedures⁹ should be set up (ICH E10). Other
- situations where the use of placebo should be scrutinised and challenged include run-in
- periods where a protocol requires active treatment to be withheld.
- Situations in which placebo only may be considered as a comparator, for example, might be
- when there is no commonly accepted therapy for the condition and the investigational
- medicinal product is the first one that may modify the course of the disease process, or when
- the commonly used therapy for the condition is of questionable efficacy or carries with it a
- high frequency of undesirable adverse reactions and the risks may be significantly greater
- than the benefits.
- Other trial designs should be considered if appropriate. Active-control trials without a placebo
- arm may be more difficult to interpret than placebo-controlled ones but may provide useful
- information on comparative benefit/risk balance. Reference is made to the ICH E6 guideline,
- and other relevant guidelines. The 2011 EMA "Reflection paper on the need for active control
- in therapeutic areas where use of placebo is deemed ethical and one or more established
- medicines are available" can also be consulted. 10
- Therefore, it is as important to discuss the exclusion of placebo, as it is to discuss its inclusion
- 884 for paediatric clinical trials.
- 885 9.2.2 Superiority versus non-inferiority trials
- 886 Equivalence and non-inferiority trials, and in particular the choice of equivalence or non-
- inferiority margins in relation to sample sizes in the paediatric population, raise several issues,
- and should be fully justified when used instead of superiority trials. In addition, inconsistent
- 889 trial conduct may further blur differences between treatments in equivalence or non-
- 890 inferiority trials. Some equivalence and non-inferiority trials may be acceptable. For example,
- new dosing regimens using drugs with a longer half-life with dosing intervals of once per day
- 892 versus three times per day may offer an advantage, if side effects are comparable. Existing
- guidelines on methodology issues and/or specific EMA guidelines per therapeutic area should
- guidelines on inclinations of inclinations of the state and of specific and of the state an
- be consulted and regulatory advice should be obtained.
- 895 9.2.3 Controlled trials using (reference) medicinal products without a marketing
- 896 authorisation in children

As many medicines used in children have not been fully assessed and authorised, the choice

of active control products should be discussed thoroughly. Medicinal products not having a

⁸ Rescue medications are medicines identified in the protocol as those that may be administered to the patients when the efficacy of the IMP is not satisfactory, or the effect of the IMP is too great and is likely to cause a hazard to the patient, or to manage an emergency situation. As the provision of rescue treatment may undermine the efficacy measured in the trial, the number of patients receiving rescue treatment should be documented and analysed in the trial.

⁹ Escape refers to prompt removal of subjects whose clinical status worsens or fails to improve to a defined level, who have a single event that treatment was intended to prevent, or who otherwise require rescue treatment (see ICH E10 for further detail).

¹⁰ http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Scientific_guideline/2011/01/WC500100710.pdf

- marketing authorisation may be considered suitable as controls if they represent evidence-899
- 900 based standard of care. Appropriate justification for use needs to be included in the protocol
- 901 and requirements for investigational medicinal products should be followed. Definitions of
- standard of care may vary, which should be respected in trial design and analysis. 902
- 903 9.2.4 Clinical trials using medicinal products containing radio-isotopes
- Except when radio-isotopes are required for therapy, the use of stable isotopes should be 904
- 905 considered to avoid irradiation.

906 10. The concept of benefit

- The Clinical Trials Regulation distinguishes between trials with the prospect of direct benefit 907
- 908 for the participating minors which outweighs the risks and burdens involved, and research
- with the prospect of some benefit for the population represented by the minor (Article 909
- 910 32(1g)). This distinction is essential because during trials that only offer the prospect of
- 911 benefit for the population, the participating minors cannot expect a personal health benefit,
- whereas they do have to face the research risks and burdens. 912
- 913 Benefit can be defined as progress in treatment, diagnosis, or prevention for the children. It is
- a tangible outcome that may be experienced by the child or the population. This may be 914
- 915 obtained through either increased efficacy or safety resulting in a better benefit-risk balance,
- 916 or through the provision of an alternative to existing treatment with at least similar expected
- benefit-risk balance. Benefit can also be obtained through contribution to patient care, for 917
- example, better route of administration, decreased frequency of dosing, improvement in 918
- relation to potential medication errors or compliance, reduced treatment duration, or a 919
- 920 clinically relevant age-appropriate formulation.

10.1 Prospect of direct benefit for the minor concerned 921

- 922 One may speak of a prospect of a direct benefit in cases where the clinical trial is expected to
- play a clinically relevant role in the treatment, diagnosis, or prevention of the disease of the 923
- participants. For example, the medicinal product changes or replaces the standard treatment, 924
- 925 or forms an addition to normal clinical practice (or to options for care, in those cases where no
- standard treatment exists yet). 926
- 927 The estimation of whether there is a 'prospect of direct benefit' for the participating minors is
- based on a scientific hypothesis made at the inception of the trial. A controlled trial should be 928
- based on equipoise (cf. Section 9), meaning that at the outset of the trial there is genuine 929
- 930 uncertainty in the expert medical community about the most beneficial treatment. As a
- consequence, it is unknown in which of the arms (intervention versus control) participants 931
- will experience the highest net benefit (in case of superiority trials: the highest efficacy; in 932
- case of equivalence trials: the least adverse events). Furthermore, at the start of a randomised 933
- 934 controlled trial, all participants have an equal chance to be allocated to either arm. Therefore,
- 935
- at the outset of a trial, all participants have an equal chance to experience the highest net
- benefit. The same holds for trials that use a placebo control (obviously these must be 936
- 937 superiority trials). That is why a randomised controlled trial, even when the control is a
- 938 placebo, may be regarded to have a prospect of direct benefit for the minor concerned.
- 939 Therapeutic confirmatory ("phase III") drug trials are the best-known examples of research
- belonging to this category. However, depending on the design, early phase drug trials may 940
- also offer the prospect of direct benefit. During the benefit-risk assessment of the trial, the 941
- expected direct benefit of the intervention(s) should outweigh the risks and expected burdens. 942

Prospect of some benefit for the population represented by the minor

- When a trial does not offer the prospect of direct benefit to the minor, there should be the 944 prospect of some benefit for the population represented by the minor. However such a clinical 945 946 trial will pose only minimal risk to, and will impose minimal burden on, the minor concerned in comparison with the standard treatment of the minor's condition. Benefit for the population 947 includes increased knowledge of the medicine and/or of the condition, which is expected to 948 949 result in better diagnostic tools, better prevention or better treatment strategies for the 950 condition at stake. Examples of research falling in this category are observational studies and some PK studies. 951
- Even though all research should produce scientific value (increased knowledge), it is 952 important to note that the Clinical Trials Regulation requires the trial to be valuable for the 953 population represented by the minors concerned, and that this benefit expected from the trial 954 should be identified in the protocol. The magnitude of expected benefit for the population is 955 determined by a variety of factors, such as the severity of the condition, its prevalence, the 956 relevance of the data to be obtained, and the likelihood that the trial will succeed in producing 957 958 these data.

10.3 Classification of trial protocols

943

959

966

967

968 969

970

971 972

973

974

975 976

977 978

979

980 981

982

983

984

987

989

- 960 Classification of trial protocols regarding the presence or absence of a prospect of direct benefit for the minor concerned may be a difficult task, both for investigators and for 961 assessors. Since the level of risk and burden that may be justified in a trial depends on its 962 963 classification (trial with direct benefit for the minor concerned or some benefit for the population) it is important that classification of trial protocols is consistent within and 964 965 between Member States.
 - Whether a clinical trial provides a prospect of direct benefit for the children that participate depends on the intervention and the additional procedures that are part of it. Several factors may contribute to the decision to consider the clinical trial as providing a prospect of direct benefit for the minor concerned:
 - intention of direct benefit, that is clear from the trial design (by the presence of clinical efficacy and end-points) and duration;
 - existing knowledge from (pre)clinical studies with the medicinal product or comparable products in other subjects;
 - indications for efficacy of the medicinal product for the condition under study or in the population under study;
 - in case of existing knowledge on dosage, the plausibility that in a dose-escalating study the begin dose is effective.

However, clinical trials may consist of a combination of the two research categories described above. For example, early phase drug trials in minors often involve different aspects, including components that may be regarded as treatment options, offering direct benefit for the participants, alongside components such as PK sampling, which may or may not generate direct benefit (for example PK sampling for therapeutic drug monitoring may provide direct benefit).

Identifying, minimising and monitoring risks and burden 11.

Assessment of risks and burden is a crucial step in evaluating a protocol and conducting the 985 trial. The child's interest should always prevail over that of science and society. This is 986 paramount when assessing and monitoring risks and burden. Both risks and burden may be physical, psychological, or social, may be immediate or delayed, and may vary according to 988 age groups.

- 990 Risks and burden are to be viewed in relation to the benefit (cf. Section 12). Article 28(1e) of
- 991 the Clinical Trials Regulation requires that the clinical trial is designed to involve as little
- 992 pain, discomfort, fear and any other foreseeable harm as possible. In other words, risk and
- burden should be prevented as much as possible and procedures causing risk and/or burden
- should be justified. Where possible within the limits of both the trial and the clinical setting,
- 995 procedures should be combined, for example opportunistically taking study samples at the
- same time as venipunctures required for usual clinical care.
- 997 Risk is defined as the probability and magnitude of harm or discomfort anticipated in the
- 998 clinical trial.

1002

- Burden is defined as the subjective load that affects a participant, parents and family, due to
- elements of the trial that cause pain, discomfort, fear, disturbances of their lives and personal
- activities, or otherwise unpleasant experiences.

11.1 Assessment of risk

- To evaluate the total risk a trial carries for the minors involved, risks and harms should not
- only be assessed in terms of probability and magnitude, but also in terms of duration and
- repetition. Paediatric trials should be analysed for potential risks, including those that may not
- 1006 usually be of concern in adults because medicines or procedures may cause adverse effects in
- 1007 children that have not been identified in adults. A thorough analysis of the risks in a trial
- should be described in the application dossier, in order to be assessed by the Member State
- and to be able to conclude on the approvability.
- 1010 Risk assessment is difficult in practice as probabilities are unknown. Sometimes it will be
- difficult to pre-identify risks or harm arising in a particular clinical trial, for example if a very
- 1012 novel treatment is to be tested or if participants suffer from serious conditions or if standard
- treatment is liable to cause multiple adverse effects. Therefore, the elements that influence the
- risks should be identified in the application dossier. Finally, any identified risk should be
- associated to measures to prevent, minimise, and monitor such risks as much as possible.
- Risk assessment also includes the evaluation of the invasiveness and intrusiveness of research
- 1017 procedures; the risks of the medicinal product tested or the control, which includes the
- 1018 reversibility of harm, adverse effects and reactions, and their preventability; and the risk of
- 1019 withholding active treatment in some cases. The accumulation of research projects in the
- same population (over-studied population) is another potential harm in addition to raising
- methodological issues. For both reasons, concurrent clinical trials using investigational
- medicinal products in an individual should be discouraged.
- The timing of paediatric studies in relation to the information obtained from preclinical data
- and in adults may also be related to the levels of risk, either when studies are performed 'too
- early' or when the study of potentially effective medicinal products in children is delayed by
- obtaining irrelevant adult data.
- The unavailability of age-appropriate paediatric forms and formulations may also incur a risk.
- 1028 Disclosure of a risk for a serious or an incurable disease following a pre-symptomatic
- diagnosis (e.g., genetic diagnosis) might also incur a risk, such as decrease in opportunities
- and freedom of choice. Similarly, violation of privacy is considered as a risk.
- In case of emerging issues during a trial with potential conflict between the children's interest
- and research interest, the protocol should envisage the management of such issues. In addition
- to the risks inherent to the trial, there is a need for evaluation of external risks, for example
- linked to the centres involved with variable level of expertise and/or experience.

11.2 Assessment of burden

Burden should be assessed in terms of magnitude, duration and repetition, and is by definition determined by the experience of the person bearing the burden. It is important to realise that the burden of a clinical trial is added to the burden associated with the child's disease and routine care. Efforts should be made to avoid or minimise this additive effect. A thorough analysis of the burden in the trial (for the minors and their parents) should be described in the application dossier, in order to be assessed in the ethical review and to be able to conclude on the approvability. Since the magnitude of burden is (at least partly) a subjective experience, assessment of burden is difficult. Not only are there differences between age groups, there are also differences between individuals, due to patient age, nature and severity of the condition, previous experiences as part of the disease, experience with the intervention, and circumstantial factors. The variability of response to pain, discomfort and fear between children should be taken into consideration. Different reactions may be expected, when children are affected by a chronic or acute disease. Coping mechanisms alter with age and maturation, changing the burden experiences, for example when medical procedures are not considered any more as 'punitive'. As a consequence of its subjective nature, it seems that the assessed magnitude of burden during ethical review does not always match the burden as experienced by children. Therefore, obtaining knowledge on the burden that children experience while undergoing certain procedures is highly important and such knowledge should be used to evaluate application dossiers. In this context, also taking into consideration the burden experience of parents and families is relevant (see below).

Burden should not only be defined in terms of pain, discomfort and fear experienced by the child. It has been shown that other factors of burden provide reasons for minors and parents to withdraw from a clinical trial. For minors these are factors such as missing out on social activities, sports and even normal schooldays. For parents these are factors like finding the time to fill out questionnaires, missing work days, driving their child to appointments, collecting samples, and recording measurements or diary entries. In particular for trials without a prospect of direct benefit for the child, burden (both for the child and for parents) is an important factor for children and parents in their decision whether to enrol in a clinical trial, and also impacts their subsequent compliance. For both investigators and assessors performing the ethical review it is important to be aware that these types of burden may be crucial, and that factors such as logistics should be included in the assessment of burden of a clinical trial. Even though only the burden of the child is of importance for assessment of risk and burden in relation to benefit (Article 32 of the Clinical Trials Regulation and cf. Section 12), burden affecting compliance and participation may impact scientific soundness of the trial and as such deserves an independent assessment.

Physical and emotional pain should be prevented as much as possible, and effectively treated when unavoidable. This requires that physical pain and discomfort intensity is assessed and regularly monitored according to guidelines and age- and condition-appropriate validated scales, particularly in preterm, newborn and other children who cannot verbally express it. Effective treatment in relation to the intensity of pain should be administered and reviewed regularly on the basis of the assessments performed. Patient-controlled analgesia may be used where appropriate, i.e. in children of sufficient understanding. Pain may be due to the disease or condition itself, and directly or indirectly related to the medical interventions. Painful procedures should be minimised. This may be achieved for example by using indwelling catheters introduced under topical anaesthesia if repeated blood sampling is necessary. Non-invasive procedures should be preferred, if validated. Population approaches and sparse sampling for pharmacokinetic data should be applied to reduce the number of blood samples required to be taken for each child. In all situations, investigations/interventions should be limited to the minimum required for obtaining valid data and performed using size-/age-

appropriate material and devices, including limiting in advance the number of attempts for sampling.

The parents/legally designated representative should be informed of which procedure is part 1087 1088 of the usual care and which is performed in relation to the trial. In addition, they should be informed of the nature of the trial procedures, i.e. whether a direct benefit may be expected or 1089 1090 not. Age-appropriate explanation should be given to the child prior to any investigation or 1091 procedure, in order to decrease anxiety and anticipation of pain, in honest, but not frightening terms. Any procedures that might lead to humiliation of the child (such as undressing), 1092 therefore causing emotional pain, should be avoided or explained. Examples of painful 1093 1094 procedures include, but are not limited to, physical discomfort (exposure to cold, heat or light, noise), positioning and immobilisation, invasive procedures such as blood sampling 1095 1096 (capillary, venous and especially arterial) and vascular access, biopsies, lumbar puncture, 1097 sampling, repeat examination of injured or traumatised limbs or part of the body, endotracheal intubations and airways clearance, oral or nasal tubing. In addition, if sedation is needed, 1098 1099 monitoring should be set up (cf. Section 11.3) and the appropriate level of sedation needed for 1100 the procedure(s) should be maintained.

In order to minimise pain, discomfort, and fear, facilities should be appropriate to childcare, and the personnel should be trained to look after children and supervised by experienced health care professionals. Staff should be trained to communicate with both the parents/legally designated representative and the children. Children in a trial should be hosted in a familiar environment - including appropriate furniture, toys, activities, and where appropriate, school attendance - and their concerns should be addressed by skilled personnel.

1107 Fear should be prevented if possible, or if not, minimised; the need of the child for comfort and reassurance, preferably by someone the child is already familiar with, should be attended 1108 to. Changes in the procedures should be explained to the child. Separation of the child from 1109 1110 parents or familiar persons should be avoided whenever possible. If unavoidable, the child 1111 should always be accompanied by a trial-related staff member, known to the child, who could provide reassurance. At the sign of distress and/or dissent the trial procedure should be 1112 stopped; a short pause to allow the child to feel in control, further explanation and an 1113 assessment of the situation may be needed to reassure the child, or to decide to definitely 1114 1115 abandon the procedure and perhaps even withdraw from the trial.

11.3 Monitoring the level of risks and burden

- The level of risks and burden may evolve over time, during the trial and with evolving knowledge. Risks and burden should be continuously monitored and monitoring should be
- pre-specified in the protocol. Burden monitoring may involve carefully observing the child and proactively checking the child's perception. It is valuable to find ways to have the child
- and the parents directly reporting to the investigator on the levels of burden they experience,
- for example through an online tool.

- Stopping rules should be included in the protocol, e.g. in case of unexpected high levels of
- burden for (individual) children, and especially for unscheduled or scheduled analyses in
- relation to safety or non-compliance. The use of a Data and Safety Monitoring Board
- 1126 (DSMB) is recommended. The DSMB should include paediatric experts. If a DSMB is not
- used, for example in certain pharmacokinetic studies, this should be justified.
- In line with the Clinical Trials Regulation (Articles 28.1(a) and 28.1(e)), the sponsor of the
- clinical trial should identify, assess and monitor the burden, risks and harms induced by the
- investigational medicinal products. Article 43 requires annual reporting on safety to the EMA
- throughout the duration of the clinical trial, or on request, for assessment by the concerned

- Member States. In this report the sponsor should perform a specific analysis of the subjects' 1132
- 1133 safety in the paediatric population enrolled in the clinical trial.

1134 **12.** Assessment of the relationship between benefit, risks and burden

- 1135 The determination of the benefits in relation to the levels of risks and burden are the basis for
- 1136 ethical approvability. As the assessment of benefit, risks, and burden may be based on
- probabilities and assumptions, this should also be balanced with the severity of the condition 1137
- 1138 or disease to be studied and the benefit, risks, and burden of alternative treatments.
- The Clinical Trials Regulation describes the following relationships between risks and 1139
- burden on the one hand and benefit on the other hand (Article 32(1g)). When there are 1140
- 1141 scientific grounds for expecting that participation in the clinical trial will produce:
- 1142 - a direct benefit for the minor concerned: then risks and burdens should be outweighed by
- 1143 the benefits:

1147

- 1144 - some benefit for the population represented by the minor concerned: then the clinical trial
- will pose only minimal risk to, and will impose minimal burden on, the minor concerned in 1145
- comparison with the standard treatment of the minor's condition. 1146

Assessing trials with prospect of direct benefit for the minor concerned

- For clinical trials having the prospect of direct benefit for the minor concerned, the 1148
- 1149 assessment concerns the balance of benefits with risks and burden for the participants in the
- 1150 proposed clinical trial. In such trials, the expected benefits for the participants should always
- outweigh the risks and burdens of the trial (Article 32.1(g)). The crucial consideration in the 1151
- 1152 ethical review is which level of benefit justifies certain levels of risk and burden.
- If a clinical trial involves a prospect of direct benefit for the minor, there is a realistic 1153
- 1154 possibility that their health or wellbeing will be improved by participating in the trial,
- including for example through additional safety monitoring. However, it is important to keep 1155
- in mind that direct benefit for the minor concerned may not materialise. After all, it is a trial 1156
- with an investigational medicinal product that may prove to be less effective than the 1157
- standard treatment and/or may come with more adverse reactions. 1158

1159 12.2 Assessing trials with prospect of some benefit for the population represented by the

1160 minor

For clinical trials with a prospect of benefit for the population, there is no benefit for the trial 1161

- 1162 participants. As a result, balancing benefit versus risks and burden is not appropriate because
- participants can only experience the risks and burden, and not any direct benefit. Directive 1163
- 2001/20/EC¹¹ did not formulate a threshold for acceptable risks and burden for trials with 1164
- 1165 "some direct benefit for the population" (i.e. without the prospect of direct benefit for the
- participant). As an extra protective measure, the Clinical Trials Regulation introduces a new 1166
- 1167 criterion for the assessment of risks and burden, in addition to general safeguards such as ethical review, consent of the parents/legally designated representative, and subsidiarity. This 1168
- new criterion demands that for trials with some expected benefit for the population 1169
- 1170 represented by the minor, the risks and burden should be minimal in comparison with the

¹¹ Directive 2001/20/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 April 2001 on the approximation of the laws, regulations and administrative provisions of the Member States relating to the implementation of good clinical practice in the conduct of clinical trials on medicinal products for human use. Repealed by the Clinical Trials Regulation.

- standard treatment of the minor's condition. This means that in the situation where no direct benefit is expected for the trial participants, the levels of risks and burden for participants are
- assessed relative to that of the standard treatment they receive. In such trials the procedures
- are limited to those that present experiences of risk and burdens that are reasonably
- commensurate with those that are part of the minor's standard treatment. Overall, the level of
- exposure to risks and burden should be assessed with the participants' interests in mind, in
- line with Article 3 of the Clinical Trials Regulation.
- 1178 Minimal risk can be defined as the probability and magnitude of harm or discomfort similar
- to risks ordinarily encountered in a child's daily life, or during the performance of routine
- 1180 physical or psychological examinations, or simple tests in a child. Examples of
- investigations, tests or procedures which could be considered minimal risk (or not) are
- provided in Annex 3. Given that the regulation has put 'minimal risk and burden' in direct
- relation to the standard treatment, there is no set definition that will apply to all clinical trials
- with minors without the prospect of direct benefit. As a result, in each specific trial 'minimal
- risk and burden' will have to be viewed in the context of the disease, health status, prior
- experiences and related standard treatment for the participants.
- 1187 Ethical review needs to establish whether the level of risk and burden is minimal in
- 1188 comparison with the standard treatment of the minor's condition. It is important to bear in
- mind that even if the answer is affirmative, this does not necessarily mean that the trial, with
- accompanying risk and burden, is ethically acceptable. In any case, the foreseeable risks and
- burden should be justified by the expected benefit for the population represented by the
- minor and risks and burden should be minimised as much as possible (Articles 28(1a) and 28(1e) of the Clinical Trials Regulation respectively). Therefore, the sum of elements in the
- ethical review regarding assessment of benefit, risks and burden, schematically shown in box
- 1, should guarantee appropriate protection of children.

1244

Box 1. Guide to assessing acceptable levels of risk and burden in relation to the benefit 1198 1199 1200 Relevance 1201 Does the clinical trial address an important question? 1202 Does the clinical trial answer a question that has not yet been answered? 1203 1204 1205 1206 1207 **Subsidiarity** 1208 Is it necessary to conduct a clinical trial with human subjects to answer the research 1209 question? 1210 Is it necessary to conduct the clinical trial with (this particular group of) children? Can the clinical trial be conducted in a way that is less risky or burdensome? 1211 1212 1213 1214 1215 1216 **Classification I** 1217 Does the clinical trial provide a prospect of direct benefit for the minor concerned? 1218 1219 1220 No Yes 1221 1222 **Classification II** Does the clinical trial provide a 1223 prospect of some benefit for the 1224 population represented by the minor 1225 1226 1227 1228 1229 1230 **Standard treatment Proportionality** What is/are the standard treatment(s) Do the benefits to the minor 1231 for the condition under study? concerned outweigh the risks and 1232 Can the risks and burdens of the burdens involved? 1233 clinical trial be considered as 1234 minimal in comparison to the 1235 standard treatment(s)? 1236 1237 1238 1239 1240 1241 **General proportionality** Do the anticipated benefits to the subjects or public health justify the foreseeable 1242 risks and burdens of the clinical trial? 1243

1245

1246

12.2.1 Standard treatment

- Where possible, standard treatments used as comparators should be evidence based. Since in 1247
- 1248 paediatric medicine the level of evidence may be poor, in those cases best practices qualify
- as standard treatment. Importantly, the baseline of evidence will improve with every new 1249
- trial that is conducted and results that are obtained. When there is no evidence based, 1250
- professionally accepted standard (therapeutic) treatment available for the minor's condition, 1251
- 1252 the standard treatment should be regarded as the health care delivered for the child's
- 1253 condition. This may include interventions to reduce pain, discomfort or fear, such as cleaning
- 1254 of wounds, pain medication, and oxygen therapy.
- When there are multiple standard treatments, an ethics committee should assess the risks and 1255
- burdens of the clinical trial in comparison to each of the different standard treatments that are 1256
- common for the paediatric population under study. The risks and burden should be minimal 1257
- 1258 in comparison to either standard treatment.
- Furthermore, the standard treatment of the minor's disease may vary over time, depending on 1259
- 1260 the condition of the minor or the phase of the disease. For instance, when the minor no
- 1261 longer has a prospect for cure, standard treatment is palliative care. The risks and burden that
- the minor is exposed to during this phase of treatment may differ substantially from the risks 1262
- 1263 and burden in the previous phases. This change of standard treatment therefore has
- consequences for the level of risks and burden that the minor may be subjected to in the 1264
- 1265 clinical trial.

1266 12.2.2 Assessment of risks and burden for individual children

- In the ethical review it is only possible to assess the context and circumstances of the 1267
- population under study, not of individual minors. Therefore, investigators are responsible for 1268
- assessing (advisably in consultation with a child's treating physician) whether the risks and 1269
- burden of a trial are minimal for an individual child in comparison with the standard 1270
- treatment the child receives for his or her condition, before enrolment in the trial. This 1271
- evaluation should be carried out together with the parents/legally designated representative 1272
- and where possible minorsn themselves, to ensure that the burden the child may be able to 1273
- 1274 bear is taken into account in the evaluation of acceptable risk and burden. Some children who
- have prior experience with certain procedures know what to expect and may consider that 1275
- these procedures entail minimal burden. Other children may have developed fear or distress 1276
- 1277 due to the same procedures, and this will result in subjecting them to an unacceptable level of
- 1278 burden. These experiences should be discussed with the children and their parents/legally
- designated representative. Experience and knowledge of what certain procedures entail may 1279
- 1280 also have a positive impact on the informed consent procedure, because parents and children
- understand what they agree to. 1281

13. Assays in relation to age/bodyweight and blood sampling

- Assays, investigations and blood sampling volumes related to the trial should be described 1283
- 1284 and justified in the protocol.

1282

13.1 Type of assays and sample collection 1285

- 1286 The number and type of assays and investigations should take into consideration the age
- and/or bodyweight (body surface area if appropriate) of the children to be included in the 1287

- trial: appropriate facilities and material should be used. Alternatives to blood sampling (e.g.
- urine or saliva sampling) for pharmacokinetic studies should be preferred when possible.
- Advanced statistical techniques such as population approaches and optimal design techniques
- should be applied to reduce the number of samples required. For blood and tissue assays,
- micro-volumes and micro-assays should be used. Not using micro-assays should be justified
- in the protocol. In principle, general and/or local anaesthesia should be used as appropriate for
- painful and/or invasive procedures in accordance with the outcome of the risk assessment.
- Timing of sampling should be co-ordinated with daily activities as far as possible to avoid
- 1296 repeat procedures in order to minimise pain and distress, and the risk of iatrogenic
- 1297 complications. Sampling should be performed by trained staff. The number of attempts for
- sampling should be limited. For example, it is recommended that after one unsuccessful
- attempt, another experienced person take over the procedure. Timing of sampling and number
- of sampling attempts should be defined in the protocol.

13.2 Volume of blood

- 1302 Preterm and term neonates have very limited blood volume, and are often anaemic due to age
- and frequent sampling related to pathological conditions. The fact that children, especially in
- this age group, receive blood transfusions (or iron or erythropoietin supplementation) should
- not be used as a convenience for increased volume or frequency for blood sampling.
- 1306 In addition to advanced statistical techniques, micro-methods (on dry spots and scavenged
- blood remnants) should be used whenever possible since they allow less trial-related blood
- loss. Innovative sampling methods, for example opportunistic or sparse sampling methods,
- methods which can be applied when population approaches are used, could also reduce the
- volume of blood needed and the number of times blood needs to be collected from children.
- The following blood volume limits for sampling are recommended (although are not
- evidence-based). Per individual, the trial-related blood loss (including any losses in the
- manoeuvre) should not exceed 3 % of the total blood volume during a period of four weeks
- and should not exceed 1% at any single time. In the rare case of simultaneous trials, the
- recommendation of 3% remains the maximum. The total volume of blood is estimated at 80
- to 90 ml/kg body weight; 3% is 2.4 ml blood per kg body weight. When blood sampling is
- also needed for normal health care, these indicated trial-related blood volumes may be too
- high, especially in (preterm newborn) infants. Trial-related blood sampling should always be
- 1319 justified.

1323

1301

- Monitoring of actual blood loss is routinely required in preterm and term neonates. Expected
- blood loss should be detailed in any trial protocol, and should be detailed also in the patient
- information sheet.

14. Trials with neonates (term and pre-term)

- Neonates, be they preterm or term, represent the most vulnerable group of the paediatric
- population. When affected by serious diseases, they are multi-drug users with potential
- interactions to be taken into consideration. This paediatric age group may suffer from diseases
- that are specific to them and also differ pharmacologically from older ones. They should be
- considered as a very heterogeneous group of patients (for instance weight may vary between
- 1329 0.5 and 5 kg). Sponsors should take into account the complexity of the situation and the
- potential for long-term effects, including developmental effects when designing trial protocols
- in this population.

15. Trials with healthy minors

In principle, healthy minors should not be enrolled in clinical trials as healthy volunteers, because usually a trial does not benefit them or the population of healthy minors. Moreover, they cannot consent and are still considered to be a vulnerable population in the same way as children with a disease or condition. Studies should not be performed in children when they can be performed in adults. Exceptions could be where healthy children participate in palatability testing such as swill and spit taste testing for a new flavoured medicine. The desire to help others has been cited as a factor influencing the participation decisions of some children and young people. In any case, Article 32 of the Clinical Trials Regulation should be adhered to, meaning that healthy children may only be enrolled in trials when they may expect a direct benefit or when the population of healthy children may expect some benefit of the trial.

In some situations, studies need to be performed in children who are healthy at the time of the trial. Prevention trials or paediatric vaccine trials, including immunogenicity studies, will fall into this category but should include the target population likely to benefit. Trials in children with intermittent diseases (e.g., flare-ups or seizures) may be acceptable because even in the "healthy" phase the children are affected. Proof of concept should first be obtained in relevant animal models and/or in adults whenever possible. Studies such as pharmacokinetic studies, which cannot be performed in adults, should be done in the intended population as far as possible, i.e. the one affected by the disease, although it is recognised that data obtained in affected children may have increased variability.

16. Trials with adolescent females

Young females who have developed the capacity to become pregnant should be offered the opportunity to participate in clinical trials, despite the possibility that they might become pregnant during the trial, because data are needed in this group and their access to the benefits of research should not be delayed. Therefore, inclusion with the use of contraception should be made possible by the investigator for this group of participants. There should be thorough explanation of this as part of the informed consent process.

17. Paediatric forms and formulations to be used in paediatric trials

Forms and formulations used in a trial should be described in the protocol. Additionally, forms and formulations used in paediatric clinical trials should be reported in publications.

Age-appropriate forms should be used to avoid the risk of adverse reactions, invasive administration procedures (for example, intramuscular injectables or young children choking on large tablets), and the high risk of dosing errors or inaccuracy. When they exist, paediatric formulations should be used. If extemporaneous preparations are used as a consequence of a lack of appropriate formulation, the conditions for preparing them and the dose should be indicated and should follow appropriate and proportionate requirements of Good Manufacturing Principles, as required by the Clinical Trials Regulation and the Commission Directive 2003/94/EC¹³ [note: the reference will be updated once this is repealed]. Conditions e.g. to avoid bacterial contamination, degradation of the medicinal product, and to protect from light, should be specified in the protocol or Investigational Medicinal Product Dossier as appropriate.

¹² ICH E6. section 6.4.4

¹³ Commission Directive 2003/94/EC of 8 October 2003 laying down the principles and guidelines of good manufacturing practice in respect of medicinal products for human use and investigational medicinal products for human use

- In addition, bridging PK data to the marketed pharmaceutical form may be required.
- Excipients used for the formulation should take into consideration the age of the children
- included in the trial (e.g., benzyl alcohol is contraindicated in neonates).¹⁴

18. Individual Data protection

- 1378 The processing of private data in any clinical trial, including paediatric, shall in light of
- 1379 Article 93 of Clinical Trial Regulation comply with the Directive 95/46/EC (as of 25 May
- 1380 2018 with Regulation (EU) 2016/679 on the protection of natural persons with regard to
- processing of personal data and free movement of such data, repealing Directive 95/46/EC
- 1382 (the General Data Protection Regulation GDPR). 15
- The specificity of data protection in children also relates to future (unknown) use of data
- obtained in children. The use of the data beyond the protocol, e.g. for the purpose of future
- research, should be subject to informed consent, and conform with Article 28(2) of the
- 1386 Clinical Trials Regulation. Biobank samples retention and the need for consenting to such use
- should be discussed in the protocol. It may be difficult to reach a minor participant of a trial
- after several years to obtain consent after the participant has reached the age of consent. In
- such cases, yearly check-ups regarding the contact data of the patient and his/her parents are
- advisable. The law of Member States determines how to act when the participant who has
- 1301 advisable. The law of internoer states determines now to det when the participant who has
- reached the age of consent, cannot be contacted, e.g. samples may have to be destroyed. The
- trial documents should be archived for a duration of 25 years after the end of the trial and
- medical files of the subjects shall be archived in accordance with national law (Article 58).
- 1394 Children are less likely to challenge records about themselves. Therefore there is an additional
- duty for sponsors to protect confidentiality and access to data. Protocols should specify the
- level of protection of educational performance records contained in trial documents when
- studies are performed in schools (access, amendments and disclosure), and the information
- given to parents/legally designated representative. This is also particularly important when
- trials include adolescents and address issues of sexuality, illicit drug use, or violence.
- 1400 Where personal information on a child is collected, stored, accessed, used, or disposed of, the
- investigator should ensure that the privacy, confidentiality and cultural sensitivities of the
- subject and the community are respected. Children participating in a trial are entitled to have
- access to any information collected on their health. Other personal information collected for a
- clinical trial will have to be made accessible to them in conformity with national laws on the
- protection of individual data. Disclosure of genetic findings, which may be regarded as a risk
- in clinical trials, requires expert counselling in an adequate setting.

1407

1408

1377

19. Unnecessary replication of trials

- 1409 It is considered unethical to replicate trials in children unnecessarily. This can only be
- avoided by ensuring that information gained in any trial is made rapidly available to sponsors
- and the public, as is provided for in Article 41 of Regulation (EC) No 1901/2006 on
- medicinal products for paediatric use and Article 81 of the Clinical Trials Regulation.

¹⁴ Commission guideline on excipients, guideline for excipients in the dossier for application for marketing authorisation of a medicinal product (EMEA/CHMP/QWP/396951/2006) and the guideline on pharmaceutical development of medicines for paediatric use (EMA/CHMP/QWP/805880/2012 Rev. 2)

¹⁵ OJ L 119/2016, p 1-88

19.1 Publication of paediatric trials and results

- 1414 The Clinical Trials Regulation provides for public access to the data held in the EU database.
- In principle within 6 months from the end of the trial ¹⁶, a summary of the results is submitted
- to the database. The summary of the results should be accompanied by a summary of the
- results that is understandable by laypersons. In case of paediatric trials, the summary should
- be understandable by the children that have participated in the trial. The summary of the
- results, including a summary that is understandable to a layperson, will be available in the EU
- 1420 database.

1413

- 1421 The clinical trials Regulation provides for systematic registration of paediatric clinical trials
- and publication of results, including unfavourable ones. Together with a thorough analysis of
- the literature this should allow detection of similar trials, with similar aims, and thus prevent
- unnecessary duplication of trials in children.
- 1425 Protocols that restrict independent publication by investigators should not be accepted, and
- the timeline for publication should be reasonable and specified in the respective protocols.

1427 19.2 International database and availability to the public

- There is an ethical duty to check whether existing knowledge is available to modify the initial
- 1429 hypothesis for the trial. Public access to ongoing and completed trials through existing
- 1430 systematic reviews and databases will facilitate avoiding replicating unnecessarily trials in
- children. This is supported by the general provisions on transparency in the Clinical Trials
- 1432 Regulation and by the Paediatric Regulation, which demand that information on the results of
- studies in the paediatric population, as well as on the status of the paediatric investigation
- plans, waivers and deferrals, should be included in product information.

1435 **20.** Adverse effects reporting

- Rules and obligations for adverse events and adverse reactions reporting in paediatric trials
- 1437 are identical to the Clinical Trials Regulation requirements for adults. This includes the
- notification of serious adverse reactions observed in clinical trials (articles 41-43 of the
- 1439 Clinical Trials Regulation).
- 1440 As adult data are poorly predictive of safety in children, reporting may cover target organs
- and types or severity of reactions differing from that known in adults. A specific assessment
- of the adverse reactions associated with the administration of the investigational medicinal
- product in children should be performed in the annual safety report.
- Parents/legally designated representative and carers should be strongly encouraged and
- carefully instructed to report adverse reactions and events to the investigators in a prospective
- and timely manner. This is particularly important for younger children, who may not be able
- to identify adverse reactions.

21. Inducements versus compensation for children

- Articles 28(1.h) and 32(1.d) of the Clinical Trials Regulation require that there must be no
- inducement to enter a trial, either for the parents, legally designated representative or children.
- Parents/legally designated representative can only be compensated for expenses and loss of
- earnings directly related to participation in the clinical trial.

.

¹⁶ As provided for by the Paediatric Regulation No 1901/2006 of the European Parliament and the Council, on medicinal products for paediatric use and amending Regulation (EEC) No 1768/92, Directive 2001/20/EC, Directive 2001/83/EC and Regulation (EC) No 726/2004, which is *lex specialis* to the clinical trial Regulation

22. Insurance issues

1453

1467

- 1454 Coverage ensuring that damages will be compensated is mandatory according to the Clinical
- 1455 Trials Regulation (Article 76(1)) and Member States should ensure there is a system for
- damage compensation, e.g. insurance. Obtaining insurance for trials performed in children, in
- particular those in neonates, may be difficult, for example, because insurance companies
- invoke issues of long-term liability. Insurance companies' contracts should not waive
- liabilities regarding long-term effects, or limit the liability period, and Member States should
- pay careful attention to the insurance contract regarding this issue, in particular with respect
- to long-term effects on development. Unrecognised congenital defects are generally excluded.
- Suspected unexpected serious adverse reactions that can be related to these unrecognized
- congenital defects should be covered in insurance contracts.
- Medical records should be protected by the privacy requirements of the applicable national
- laws in order not to pose a risk of labelling individuals with pre-existing conditions by
- insurance companies.

23. Trials with children in non-EU countries

- According to Directive 2001/83/EC, clinical trials submitted in a marketing authorisation
- application in the EU, which were performed in third countries (non-EU countries), should be
- 1470 conducted in accordance with the principles of good clinical practice and the ethical
- requirements equivalent to the provisions of Clinical Trials Directive (to be repealed by the
- 1472 Clinical Trials Regulation) and should comply with equivalent good manufacturing practices
- of EU countries. The same requirements apply to Paediatric Use Marketing Authorisations
- 1474 (PUMA), as introduced by Regulation (EC) No 1901/2006, as well as the same being applied
- to paediatric trials where the medicinal product is not studied with a view to obtaining a
- marketing authorisation.
- 1477 Similarly, ethical standards should be no less exacting than they would be for research
- carried out in EU countries and that, in addition, the trial protocol should be submitted for
- 1479 ethical and scientific review in the EU Member State in which the sponsor or its legally
- 1480 designated representative resides.
- The trial should ensure that it responds to the public health needs and priorities of the country
- in which it is carried out. It is the responsibility of all involved parties to ensure that this is
- respected and that the paediatric specificities, including assent/agreement are obtained for
- 1484 children.

1489

- The recommendations in this document should be followed by EU investigators and sponsors
- carrying out trials in third countries, as well as by ethics committees reviewing such trials or
- their results. In addition, the laws and regulations of the countries in which the trials are
- carried out should be respected.

24. Ethical violations and non-compliance with GCP

- In order to ensure GCP compliance, the sponsor of a clinical trial and the investigator have to
- take appropriate account of the ICH guidelines on Good Clinical Practice. Article 52 of the
- 1492 Clinical Trials Regulation requires sponsors to notify the Member States concerned by the
- 1493 conduct of a trial about serious breaches of the Regulation or of the protocol applicable at the
- time when the breach occurred via the EU portal. Although this requirement is not specific to
- paediatric trials, monitoring and appropriate reporting of serious breaches, including ethical
- violations as well as GCP non-compliance are particularly important as children are a
- vulnerable population. The assessment of these serious breaches will be performed by the
- 1498 Member States.

All parties involved in protocol assessment and supervision of clinical trials in their territory should be kept informed of such violations or non-compliance. These serious breaches may fall into different categories of issues according as to whether and to which extent rights, safety and well-being of trial participants and scientific value are compromised. The preferred option to avoid such violations is education, training and implementation, and adherence to an effective quality system for clinical trials activities performed.

Compliance with GCP should be made explicit in publications. The clinical trial Regulation mandates that results of all studies, including those conducted unethically are made public. Information on such trials is needed to avoid repetition of similar errors and to protect future trial subjects. Public information with warnings on the unethical aspects also contributes to education on how to conduct paediatric trials ethically. Unethical aspects in trials are not limited to fraud and scientific misconduct. A wide range of ethical violations may occur in trials, and therefore a detailed explanation is warranted (Points to consider on GCP inspection findings and the benefit-risk balance, EMA/868942/2011).¹⁷

If a study is found to be non GCP-compliant during an inspection of a marketing authorisation application (MAA), or Paediatric Use Marketing Authorisation (PUMA), the quality of the data, the study results, and consequently the validity of the marketing authorisation application should be scrutinised. Sensitivity analysis should be performed within the GCP-compliant full data set, and in some cases also in comparison with all GCP-non compliant data. The overall reliability of the trial should be questioned. Subsequent measures (including initial review) should be taken in accordance with legislation, if appropriate.

-

¹⁷ http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en GB/document library/Other/2013/01/WC500137945.pdf

25. ANNEX 1: List of issues to be considered in a clinical trial involving minors

List of issues to be taken into consideration for planning and assessing a paediatric trial:

- 1. Identification and scientific validity of the study question to be answered
- 2. Justification of the study to be performed in children and in the proposed age groups
- 3. Evidence of direct benefit for the child, or benefit for the population
- 4. The competence of the responsible study investigator and his/her team
- 5. The infrastructure of the institution or primary care practice that should be qualified and experienced in paediatric research in general and in particular in the field of the applied project.
- 6. The pre-clinical safety and efficacy data (investigator's brochure, available literature) that are preconditions for a paediatric clinical trial
- 7. The clinical results of adult studies (literature, investigator's brochure), if any.
- 8. Type and phase of the study
- 9. Use of placebo or active control, or other design
- 10. Age-appropriate forms and formulations of medicinal products
- 11. Validated age-appropriate scales or measures of end-points (e.g., pain scale)
- 12. Study design and biometric planning in relation to the trial question
- 13. Design feasibility trial burden checked with children / patient and family representatives
- 14. Inclusion and exclusion criteria
- 15. Statistical methods
- 16. Criteria for the termination of the study
- 17. Safety measures including the set-up of a Data Safety and Monitoring Board (DSMB)
- 18. The option of sperm and oocyte cryopreservation if the child's fertility has the potential to be affected by participation in the trial
- 19. Appropriate pharmacovigilance procedures are put in place by the sponsor
- 20. Identification of benefits in trials without a prospect of benefit for participants.
- 21. Identification of benefits in trials with a prospect of benefit for participants.
- 22. Study risk for participants
- 23. Study burden for participants (including pain, fear discomfort, time investment and logistical aspects)
- 24. Study burden for parents and siblings (including time investment and logistical aspects)
- 25. The potential risks and burden have been weighed against the expected benefits for the children enrolled in the clinical trial with prospect of direct benefit. The balance of expected benefit versus risks and burden should be positive for the clinical trial.

- 26. Comprehensive, understandable Informed Consent and Information sheets for parents/legally designated representatives (as appropriate)
- 27. Consent, assent/agreement and information sheets: illustrated and understandable agespecific for children
- 28. Anonymity of the data, as well as confidentiality of personal information related to the child involved in the research, and to his/her family
- 29. The system for damage compensation in place in the relevant country
- 30. If available, opinions of other ethics committees for international multicentre studies
- 31. Publication of trial results and timelines, and informing participants and their families
- 32. Continuation of trial medication for participants, beyond the end of the trial, where appropriate

26. ANNEX 2: Information for informed consent

Information material should be specific for parents and children: It should be concise in content, precise in language (e.g., use of non-technical terms), and appropriate for the age of children (e.g., avoid abstract concepts, multiple options)¹⁸. Separating the information sheet in 2 parts (one with a summary, and the other with more detailed information) may help to prevent providing children and their parents/legally designated representative with an overload of information. Based on reading the first part, they can decide whether they are interested in the study and read the full information sheet. In addition, splitting the information into smaller chunks increases attractiveness of the information and ease of reading. The use of visual help is encouraged (drawings, pictures, cartoons), but also other media and formats (such as DVD's, computer programmes) may be used, for example to provide general information explaining what research is.

The number of age-specific variations of sets of information material should be kept to a minimum number required to include substantially different wording or presentation. In addition, information sheets should not cause unnecessary distress. They should be designed with input from participants, affected children or parents.

Information material should be harmonised throughout sites in multi-centre trials, and address similar age groups in multinational trials.

If the primary language of the child or parents/legally designated representative is not covered by that of the trial documents, the information sheets should be translated in writing, or there should be a (certified and medically) competent translator during trial-related discussions of the investigator and the parents/legally designated representative. These aspects also need to be documented (cf. section 7).

List of items recommended to be covered in the information sheets:

- 1. What is the purpose of the trial?
- 2. How long is the trial going to take?
- 3. Will I have the same doctor or investigator from start to finish?
- 4. Why have I been chosen?
- 5. Do I have to take part?
- 6. What will happen to me if I take part?
- 7. What are the compensations?
- 8. What will I have to do? What will my parents have to do?
- 9. What is the medicine that is being tested?
- 10. What are the alternatives for diagnosis or treatment?
- 11. What are the possible disadvantages and risks of taking part?
- 12. What are the side effects of any treatment received when taking part?
- 13. Is ionising radiation to be received, and which regulations are respected?
- 14. Is there possible harm to an unborn child?
- 15. What are the possible benefits of taking part?

¹⁸ For examples of age-specific variations in information material, see http://www.hra-decisiontools.org.uk/consent/examples.html. For an example of age-specific assent forms, see http://www.finpedmed.fi/index.php?page=1255&lang=2

- 16. What happens when the research study stops?
- 17. What if there is a problem?
- 18. Will my taking part in the trial be kept confidential?
- 19. What will happen if I don't want to carry on with the trial?
- 20. What are the options if I stop taking part in the trial?
- 21. How is my General Practitioner/Family doctor involved?
- 22. What will happen to any samples taken from my body?
- 23. Will any genetic tests be done?
- 24. What will happen to the results of the research trial?
- 25. Who is organising and funding the research?
- 26. Who has reviewed the trial and what are the results?
- 27. Contact details for information or complaints

Trial alert and information cards (comprising of trial essentials and especially of contact information) should be handed to the child, if appropriate, and the parents/ legally designated representative.

27. ANNEX 3: Examples for levels of risks and burden

The following table provides examples of risk and burden evaluation of procedures carried out for the purpose of a trial. This evaluation is not fixed, because the circumstances of the child influence the evaluation of risks and burden. For example, an existing central venous line may reduce the pain and invasiveness of blood sampling, but also increases the risk of infection and of excess blood losses with line handling. The evaluation of some of the procedures (including, but not limited to those marked *) is very much dependent on such circumstances and on the context of its use in the trial. In addition, the level of risks and burden increases with the increase in frequency of the procedures and with susceptibility to harm of involved/exposed organs. The categorisation proposed in the table applies to single or very infrequent use of the procedure. The examples presuppose that the procedures are carried out to the highest professional standards.

It must be noted that the table should function as the starting point for the evaluation of risks and burden: It should not be used dogmatically, and critical and careful assessment in the ethical review is always necessary. In addition, new or changing scientific insights into how children experience certain procedures may lead to further revision of the table.

The three categories of procedures present an increase in evaluated risks and burden.

For trials with expected direct benefit for the minor involved, the benefit should outweigh the risk and burden. Therefore, trials that involved procedures in category 2 should provide a prospect of direct benefit greater than trials only containing procedures in category 1, and so on for trials containing procedures in category 3.

For trials with some benefit for the population, the risks and burden should be minimal in comparison with the standard treatment. In general, minimal risks and burden can be defined as the probability and magnitude of harm or discomfort similar to risks and burden ordinarily encountered in daily life. By consequence, minimal risk and burden for children with a disease or disorder, who undergo routine examinations, tests and treatments, may be different from minimal risk and burden for healthy children. The procedures presented in category 1 may in general be considered as minimal in risk and burden (for example also for healthy children). Trials with only such procedures can therefore often be regarded as minimal in risk and burden (unless there are many of those procedures in the trial and/or these are frequently applied, see above). Risks and burden of procedures in category 2 might be regarded as minimal, only if the standard treatment of the child involves these or comparable procedures and the child perceives them as sufficiently minimal in burden. In addition, ethical review should determine whether in such situations the sum of risks and burden can indeed be regarded as minimal for the particular group of children involved. Trials with procedures in category 3 should never be approved when there is no prospect of direct benefit for the child involved, since the risks and burden of these procedures cannot be evaluated as minimal, regardless of the context of the child.

No or minimal risk and Risks and burden that More than minimal risks burden might be regarded and burden, regardless of minimal, dependent on the the standard treatment standard treatment **Category 1** Category 2 **Category 3** History taking Urine collection via endo- Heart catheterisation Clinical examination luminal suprapubic Endoscopy Auxological measurements catheter Biopsy Tanner staging Arterial punctureUmbilical catheter - Surgery or modification of Behavioural testing standard surgical Psychological testing* pH metry procedure carried out as Quality of Life assessment part of medical treatment Nasogastric tube insertion - Venipuncture* Sedation and use Heel prick* Transcutaneous oxygen or - Anaesthesia - Finger prick* carbondioxide tension Systemic analgesia Subcutaneous injection **monitoring** Hypoglycaemia test Urine collection with bag* Electrophysiological Unstable isotope usage Breath condensate collection measurements (using PET scanning - Collection of saliva stimulation) sputum Exercise testing Collection of hair sample Collection of tissue removed (ergometry, from body as part of medical spiroergometry) treatment* Raised volume pulmonary - Topical analgesia* function testing (infants) Peripheral venous lines - Stool tests Bio-impedancemetry Polysomnography - Transcutaneous oxygen - Fasting (≥ 1 meal) saturation monitoring (pulse Spinal CSF tap oxymetry)* Bone marrow aspiration Blood pressure monitoring MRI scan Electroencephalography - X-ray other than digitally Electrocardiography Vision or hearing testing amplified chest or limb X-- Ophthalmoscopy ray Tympanometry CT scan*X-ray DEXA bone density Lung function tests (peak flow, exhaled NO, measurement spirometry) Use of contrast media Oral glucose tolerance test - Paracentesis Ultrasound scan Skin punch biopsy - Digitally amplified chest or Airways or skin hyperlimb X-ray* reactivity challenge test - Stable isotope examination

Feedback requested:

- Q1. Is the proposed categorisation of these procedures still adequate?
- Q2. Which insights may lead to changes in categorisations (in particular those indicated in yellow)?

28. REFERENCES

Feedback requested:

If you are aware of any other relevant references you are invited to put them forward.

28.1 General guidance

- Additional Protocol to the Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine, concerning Biomedical Research (Strasbourg 2005)
 http://conventions.coe.int/treaty/en/treaties/html/195.htm
- Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (2012) http://www.europarl.europa.eu/charter/default_en.htm
- Clinical investigation of medicinal products in the paediatric population. ICH E11. CPMP/ICH/2711/99. http://www.ich.org/products/guidelines/efficacy/efficacy-single/article/clinical-investigation-of-medicinal-products-in-the-pediatric-population.html
- Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Dignity of the Human Being with regard to the Application of Biology and Medicine: Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine. European Treaty Series – No 164. Oviedo, 4 IV 1997 http://www.coe.int/nl/web/conventions/full-list/-/conventions/treaty/164
- Convention on the Rights of the Child. United Nations (1990) http://www.ohchr.org/Documents/ProfessionalInterest/crc.pdf
- EuroSOCAP-Project: European Guidance for Healthcare Professional on Confidentiality and Privacy in Healthcare (2006)
 http://www.aemh.org/aemh/Documents/2006/06-019%20Eu-Guidance-for-Healthcare-Prof-on-Confidentiality-and-Privacy-in-Healthcare.pdf
- Gill D. Ethical principles and operational guidelines for good clinical practice in paediatric research. Recommendations of the Ethics Working Group of the Confederation of European Specialists in Paediatrics (CESP). Eur J Pediatr 2004; 163: 53-57
- Mason S, Megone C. European Neonatal Research: Consent, Ethics Committees, and Law. Ashgate, Aldershot 2001.
- National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). Young persons' advisory group.
 (2014) https://www.crn.nihr.ac.uk/children/pcpie/young-persons-advisory-group/
- UNESCO. Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights (2005)
 http://portal.unesco.org/en/ev.php-url_iD=31058&url_do=Do TOPIC&url_sECTION=201.html
- United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights: Convention on the Rights of the Child (20/11/1989). http://www.ohchr.org/english/law/pdf/crc.pdf
- World Medical Association. Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects. Revision 2008. http://www.wma.net/en/30publications/10policies/b3/17c.pdf

28.2 National guidance on Ethics

- American Academy of Pediatrics. The committee on Drugs and Committee on Pediatric Research. Guidelines for the ethical conduct of studies to evaluate drugs in pediatric populations. Pediatrics 2010;125(4): 850-60
- Ethical conduct of clinical research involving children. MJ Field and R Behrman Eds. Institute of Medicine. 2004. The National Academies Press. http://www.nap.edu/catalog/10958.html
- FDA. Additional safeguards for children in clinical investigation of FDA-regulated products (21 CFR 50.53). https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcfr/CFRSearch.cfm?FR=50.53
- FDA. Regulations requiring manufacturers to assess the safety and effectiveness of new drugs and biologicals products in pediatric patients. Federal Registrar 1998; 63: 66631-72 http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/98fr/120298c.pdf
- MRC Ethics Guide. Medical research involving children. November 2004. http://www.mrc.ac.uk/documents/pdf/medical-research-involving-children/
- Nuffield Council of Bioethics. Children and clinical research. Report. May 2015
- Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health: Ethics Advisory Committee.
 Guidelines for the ethical conduct of medical research involving children. Arch Dis Child 2000; 82: 177-182

o Research and clinical trials in children

- Abdel-Rahman SM, Reed MD, Wells TG, Kearns GL. Considerations in the Rational Design and Conduct of Phase I/II Pediatric Clinical Trials: Avoiding the Problems and Pitfalls. Clin Pharmacol Therap 2007, 81: 483-494
- Braunholtz D A, Edwards S J, Lilford R J. Are randomized clinical trials good for us (in the short term)? Evidence of a "trial effect". J Clin Epidemiol 2001; 54: 217-224
- Freedman B. Equipoise and the ethics of clinical research. N Engl J Med 1987; 317: 141-145
- Glantz LH. Research with children. Am J Law 1998; 24: 213-44
- Hunfeld J A, Passchier J. Participation in medical research; a systematic review of the understanding and experience of children and adolescents. Patient Educ Couns 2012; 87:268-76
- Kearns GL, Abdel-Rahman SM, Alander SW, Blowey DL, Leeder JS, Kauffman RE.
 Developmental pharmacology drug disposition, action, and therapy in infants and children. N Engl J Med 2003; 349: 1157-1167
- Kopelman L. Pediatric research regulations under legal scrutiny. L Law Med Ethics 2002; 30: 38-49
- Phillips B. Towards evidence based medicine for paediatricians. Arch Dis Child 2004; 89: 286-290
- Schreiner M. Paediatric clinical trials: redressing the imbalance. Nature Reviews 2003; 2: 949-961
- Shirkey HC. Therapeutic orphans. J Pediatr 1968; 72: 119-20

- Smyth R L, Weindling A M. Research in children: ethical and scientific aspects. Lancet 1999; 354 S2: 2124
- Steinbrook R. Testing medications in children. N Engl J Med 2002; 347: 1462-1470
- Wendler D. Forster H. Why we need legal standards for pediatric research. J Pediatr 2004; 150-153

28.3 Involvement, competence, consent and assent

- Alderson P. Competent children? Minors' consent to health care treatment and research. Soc Sci Med. 2007; 65:2272-83.
- Coyne I. Consultation with children in hospital: children, parents' and nurses' perspectives. J Clin Nurs 2006; 15:61-71
- Chappuy H, Bouazza N, Minard-Colin V, Patte C, Brugières L, Landman-Parker J, Auvrignon A, Davous D, Pacquement H, Orbach D, Tréluyer JM, Doz F. Parental comprehension of the benefits/risks of first-line randomised clinical trials in children with solid tumours: a two-stage cross-sectional interview study. BMJ Open. 2013;28:3
- De Lourdes Levy M, Larcher V, Kurz R: Informed consent/assent in children.
 Statement of the Ethics Working Group of the Confederation of European Specialists in Paediatrics (CESP). Eur J Pediatr 2003; 162: 629-633
- Enpr-EMA ad Hoc Ethics Working Group: The Informed Consent and Assent Tool
 Kit Informed Consent requirements for paediatric clinical trials in Europe [to be
 updated after adoption of this document]
 http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Other/2015/12/WC500199234.pdf
- Haijes H A, van Thiel G J. Participatory methods in pediatric participatory research: a systematic review. Pediatr Res. 2015 Dec 31
- Hein I M, Troost P W, Lindeboom R, Benninga M A, Zwaan C M, van Goudoever J B, Lindauer R J. Accuracy of the MacArthur competence assessment tool for clinical research (MacCAT-CR) for measuring children's competence to consent to clinical research. JAMA Pediatr 2014; 168:1147-53
- Hoberman A, Shaikh N, Bhatnagar S, Haralam M A, Kearney D H, Colborn D K, Kienholz M L, Wang L, Bunker CH, Keren R, Carpenter M A, Greenfield S P, Pohl H G, Mathews R, Moxey-Mims M, Chesney R W. Factors that influence parental decisions to participate in clinical research: consenters vs nonconsenters. JAMA Pediatr 2013; 167:561-6
- Leibson T, Koren G, Informed Consent in Pediatric Research, Pediatr Drugs 2015; 17:5–11
- Roth-Kline M, Nelson R M, Parental permission and child assent in research on children, Yale Journal of Biology and Medicine 86 (2013), pp.291-301
- Runeson I, Hallström I, Elander G, Hermerén G. Children's participation in the decision-making process during hospitalization: An observational study. Nurs Ethics 2002; 9:583-98

- Singhal N, Oberle K, Burgess E, Huber-Okrainec J. Parents' perception of research with newborns. J Perinatol 2002; 22: 57-63
- Susman EJ, Dorn LD, Fletcher JC. Participation in biomedical research: the consent process as viewed by children, adolescents, young adults, and physicians. J Pediatr 1992; 121:547-552
- Swartling U, Hansson M G, Ludvigsson J, Nordgren A. My Parents Decide if I can. I Decide if I Want to." Children's Views on Participation in Medical Research. J Empir Res Hum Res Ethics 2011; 6:68-75
- Tait AR, Voepel-Lewis T, Malviya S. Factors that influence parents' assessments of the risks and benefits of research involving their children. Pediatrics 2004; 113:727-32
- Tromp K, Zwaan CM, van de Vathorst S. Motivations of children and their parents to participate in drug research: a systematic review. Eur J Pediatr 2016; 175:599-612
- Twycross A, Gibson F, Coad J. Guidance on seeking agreement to participate in research from young children. Paediatr Nurs. 2008; 20:14-8
- Van Stuijvenberg M, Suur M H, de Vos S et al. Informed consent, parental awareness and reasons for participating in a randomised controlled study. Arch Dis Child 1998; 79:120-125
- Weithorn LA, Scherer DG. Children involvement in research participation decisions: Psychological considerations. In: Grodin MA, Glanz LH (eds) Children as research subjects: Science Ethics and Law. New York: Oxford University Press 1994; 133-179
- Zupancic JA, Gillie P, Streiner DL, Watts JL, Schmidt B. Determinants of parental authorization for involvement of newborn infants in clinical trials. Pediatrics 1997; 99: E6

28.4 Neonates in clinical trials

- Allmark P, Mason S, Gill AB, Megone C. Is it in a neonate's best interest to enter a randomised controlled trial? J Med Ethics. 2001; 27: 110-113
- Allmark P, Mason S, Gill AB, Megone C. Obtaining consent for neonatal research. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2003; 88: F166-F167
- Dalla-Vorgia P, Mason S, Megone C, Allmark P, Bratlid D, Gill AB, Morrogh P, Plomer A, Reiter-Theil S. Overview of European legislation on informed consent for neonatal research. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2001; 84: F70-F73
- Schmidt B, Gillie P, Cano C, Roberts J, Roberts R. Do sick newborn infants benefit from participation in a randomized clinical trial? J Pediatr 1999; 134: 130-131
- Stenson B J, Becher J-C and McIntosh N. Neonatal research: the parental perspective. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed., Jul 2004; 89: F321 F324
- Wilman E, Megone C, Oliver S, Duley L, Gyte G, Wright J M. The ethical issues regarding consent to clinical trials with pre-term or sick neonates: a systematic review (framework synthesis) of the empirical research. Trials. 2015 Nov 4;16:502

28.5 Information material

- Adcock K G, Hogan S M, Elci O U, Mills K L. Do Illustrations Improve Children's Comprehension of Assent Documents? J Pediatr Pharmacol Ther 2012; 17:228–235
- Grootens-Wiegers P, de Vries M C, van den Broek J M. Research information for minors: Suitable formats and readability. A systematic review. J Paediatr Child Health 2015; 51:505–511
- Kumpunen S, Shipway L, Taylor R M, Aldiss S, Gibson F. Practical approaches to seeking assent from children. Nurse Res. 2012; 19:23-7
- Medical Research Council. Consent and Participant Information Sheet Preparation Guidance. http://www.hra-decisiontools.org.uk/consent/principles-children.html
- O'Lonergan T A, Forster-Harwood J E. Novel Approach to Parental Permission and Child Assent for Research: Improving Comprehension. Pediatrics 2011; 127:917-24
- Tait A R, Voepel-Lewis T, Nair V N, Narisetty N N, Fagerlin A. Informing the uninformed: optimizing the consent message using a fractional factorial design. JAMA Pediatr. 2013 Jul;167(7):640-6

28.6 Placebo use and clinical trial design

- Admiraal R, van Kesteren C, Boelens JJ, Bredius RGM, Tibboel D, Knibbe CAJ. Towards evidence-based dosing regimens in children on the basis of population pharmacokinetic pharmacodynamic modelling. Arch Dis Child 2014; 99: 267-272
- Baiardi P, Giaquinto C, Girotto S, Manfredi C, Ceci A. Innovative study design for paediatric clinical trials. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2011; 67 Suppl 1:109-15
- De Cock RFW, Piana C, Krekels EHJ, Danhof M, Allegaert K, Knibbe CAJ. The role of population PK-PD modelling in paediatric clinical research. Eur J Clin Parhmacol. 2011; 67 Suppl 1: S5-S16
- EMA/CPMP Position statement on the use of placebo in clinical trials with regard to the revised Declaration of Helsinki. EMA/17424/01, 2001
 http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Position_statement/2009/12/WC500017646.pdf
- EMA/CHMP Reflection paper on the need for active control in therapeutic areas where use of placebo is deemed ethical and one or more established medicines are available. EMA/759784/2010
 http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Scientific_guideline/2011/01/WC500100710.pdf
- Kleiber N, Tromp K, Mooij M G, van de Vathorst S, Tibboel D, de Wildt S N. Ethics of Drug Research in the Pediatric Intensive Care Unit. Paediatr Drugs. 2015; 17:43-53
- Laughon M M, Benjamin D K Jr, Capparelli E V, Kearns G L, Berezny K, Paul I M, Wade K, Barrett J, Smith P B, Cohen-Wolkowiez M. Innovative clinical trial design for pediatric therapeutics. Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol. 2011; 4: 643–652

 March J, Kratochvil C, Clarke G, Beardslee W, Derivan A, Emslie G, et al. AACAP 2002 Research Forum: Placebo and alternatives to placebo in randomized controlled trials in pediatric psychopharmacology. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2004; 43: 1046-1056