



## **Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety**

**20<sup>th</sup> meeting of the WG 'Cosmetic Ingredients' – 31 May 2011**

### **Minutes**

#### **1. WELCOME AND APOLOGIES**

The Chair of the working group (WG) welcomed the participants and communicated the apologies received.

#### **2. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA**

The draft agenda was adopted with additional point 4.9 (see annex).

#### **3. DECLARATION OF INTEREST ON MATTERS OF THE AGENDA**

No member declared any interest that could prevent him/her from participating in the discussion of the items on the agenda.

#### **4. DRAFT OPINIONS**

Draft opinions on the following substances were presented by the rapporteurs and discussed by the Working Group. Changes were made to the drafts according to the discussion and the next steps were agreed to advance the assessments.

4.1 P63, Quaternium-15

4.2 P95, Ethyl lauryl arginate

The opinion will be presented for adoption at the plenary meeting of 21 June

4.3 ETH-50

On request of the WG of nano-materials in cosmetics, the WG discussed some specific aspects of the assessment of this material.

4.4 Arbutin / desoxyarbutin

4.5 Dichloromethane

4.6 Polidocanol

4.7 Methyl N-methylantranililate

A first draft for this opinion was presented by the rapporteur

4.8 Climbazole

A first draft for this opinion was presented by the rapporteur

4.9 Kojic acid

A first draft for this opinion was presented by the rapporteur

## **5. PROGRESS REPORTS**

The members of the WG were updated on the progress of the following mandates, for which no draft opinions have yet been established:

5.1 P81, Zinc pyrithione

5.2 P96, Benzoisothiazolinone

5.4 Oxidised Vitamin K1

5.6 Lyral

## **6. COMMENTS ON OPINIONS FROM PLENARY MARCH 2011**

The comments received for these opinions as well as possible responses were discussed.

6.1 P32, Triclosan

6.2 S85, Bis(butylbenzoate)diaminotriazine aminopropyltrisiloxane

## **7. REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION ON OPINION SCCS/1348/10 IN LIGHT OF THE DANISH CLAUSE OF SAFEGUARD BANNING THE USE OF PARABENS IN COSMETIC PRODUCTS INTENDED FOR CHILDREN UNDER THREE**

The working group continued the discussion on the Commission's request to clarify whether the safety concerns raised in the justification for Danish ban of propyl and butyl parabens in cosmetic products for children up to three years of age have been considered in the recent assessment of parabens adopted on 22 March 2011. Given no further documents are received from member states before the meeting, a response is expected to be finalised in the plenary meeting of 21 June.

## **8. ANY OTHER BUSINESS**

The next meeting will take place on 19 July 2011.

Annex



**EUROPEAN COMMISSION**  
HEALTH & CONSUMERS DIRECTORATE-GENERAL

Health systems and products  
**Risk assessment**

**Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (SCCS)**

**WG on Cosmetic Ingredients**

**31 May 2011, B-232, room 02/17A**

**DRAFT AGENDA**

- 1. WELCOME AND APOLOGIES**
- 2. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA**
- 3. DECLARATION OF INTEREST ON MATTERS ON THE AGENDA**
- 4. DRAFT OPINIONS ON:**
  - 4.1. P63, Quaternium-15**
  - 4.2. P95, Ethyl lauryl arginate**
  - 4.3. ETH-50**
  - 4.4. Arbutin / desoxyarbutin**
  - 4.5. Dichloromethane**
  - 4.6. Polidocanol**
  - 4.7. Methyl N-methylantranilate**
  - 4.8. Climbazole**
- 5. PROGRESS REPORTS**
  - 5.1. P81, Zinc pyrithione**
  - 5.2. P96, Benzoisothiazolinone**
  - 5.3. Oxidised Vitamin K1**
  - 5.4. Lyril**

- 6. COMMENTS ON OPINIONS FROM PLENARY MARCH 2011**
  - 6.1. P32, Triclosan (SCCS/1414/11)**
  - 6.2. S85, Bis(butylbenzoate)diaminotriazine aminopropyltrisiloxane (SCCS/1335/10)**
- 7. REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION ON OPINION SCCS/1348/10 IN LIGHT OF THE DANISH CLAUSE OF SAFEGUARD BANNING THE USE OF PARABENS IN COSMETIC PRODUCTS INTENDED FOR CHILDREN UNDER THREE**
- 8. ANY OTHER BUSINESS**