<u>Response to public consultation on the Detailed Commission Guideline on Good Manufacturing Practice for Investigational Medicinal</u> <u>Products for human use.</u>

. .

General comments

The above committee agrees with the amendments which promote quality improvement, including reference to recording of deviations with appropriate level of root cause analysis and CAPA.

Specific comments

Reference	Subject	Annex 13	Guidance	Comments
Annex 13 paragraphs 26 - 33 Commission guideline 2.7.5	Labelling	Detailed guidance is provided as to label information requirements	Reference is made to Regulation (EU) 536/2014 for label information. There is a requirement for additional information on small labels used on immediate packaging e.g. ampoules. This may cause issues with space	It is suggested that the detailed requirements for label information currently provided in Annex 13, be replicated in the new Commission Guidelines, instead of a reference to Annex VI in Regulation (EU) No 536/2014. Having all relevant information available in Annex 13 has proved to be successful and we recommend this is continued under Regulation (EU) No 536/2014.
Annex 13 paragraphs 43 - 47	Shipping	Guidance is provided on the two stage release of investigational medicinal products.	available. The section on shipping has been removed and there is no reference to technical or regulatory approvals (two stage release).	The committee feel that this is unacceptable and support the MHRA view that Commission Guidelines should continue to refer to a two stage release process for IMPs.
				Unlike Annex 13, the Commission Guidelines do not refer to the Sponsor responsibilities relevant to Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) and two stage release of manufactured IMPs. The above committee supports the need to include Sponsor responsibilities as relevant to GMP within the context of the Commission Guidelines and retain the two stage release process. This will support continuity of clinical trial subject safety through the prevention of administration of doses without the appropriate approvals being in place, in accordance with the CTA.

Annex 13 -	Documentation		Additional paragraph - Sponsor	Although the committee does not object to a 25 year
paragraphs	retention		has specific responsibilities for	documentation archiving period, the following points
13/14			document retention of clinical	are raised for consideration.
Commission			trial master file according to	
guideline -			Article 58 of Regulation (EU) No	The proposed extension to the archive period for
2.6.6			536/2014 and is required to	batch documentation may not adequately cover
			retain such documentation for 25	specific trial areas, e.g. ATMPs and Paediatrics.
			years after the end of the trial.	
			If the sponsor and the	The additional archiving storage facilities required
			manufacturer are not the same	presents a practical issue in terms of storage space
			entity, the sponsor has to make	and assurance of ability to access electronic systems
			appropriate arrangements with	and read documentation over a 25 year period.
			the manufacturer to fulfil his	
			requirement to retain the clinical	
			trial master file".	
Annex 13	nIMPs	Information included on	No reference to nIMPs within	Reason for removal ?
Principle	111/4/5 2	nIMPs	Scope section	Reason for removal :
		11040.2	scope section	