



## **Commission Public Consultation: Assessment of the Community System of Pharmacovigilance**

**Name:** European AIDS Treatment Group

**Type of stakeholder:** patient

**Organisation:** European patient group

**Your comments:**

• on the specific areas highlighted in the Commission sponsored study which can be summarised as follows:

### **1. Data sources and safety issue detection**

- Need to harmonize the different sources of safety information between member states (Individual Case Safety Reports, PSURs, registries, consumption data, safety studies).
- Develop & implement common set of statistical tools to be used by member states.
- Optimize national data bases.
- Make sure that Marketing Authorization Holders fulfill their role of first-line signal detection for both centrally and nationally authorized products.
- Make sure that safety reporting is easy, accessible to all stakeholders, not cumbersome or time consuming (public electronic terminals? – internet?).
- Facilitate involvement of healthcare professionals (doctors, nurses, pharmacists) and patients.
- Clarify type of safety issues to be reported (need to include known side effects?).
- Distinguish between safety & pharmacovigilance questions.

### **2. The legal framework and new legal tools**

- Prioritize mandatory phase IV studies for innovative medicines.
- Implement no blame system on AE reporting.
- Regulate internet pharmacies.
- Harmonize legal framework and its implementation between Member States.

### **3. Decision making in pharmacovigilance**

- Involve patient representatives in PSUR/CHMP decisions.
- Involve patients/representatives in reevaluation of risk/benefit ratio in approved medicines.
- Make adequate use of external expertise.

- Harmonize & streamline process within Community.
- Identify and remove disparities between Member States.
- Improve coordination with international partners.

#### **4. Impact of communications and actions**

- Harmonize & streamline mechanisms to inform doctors, pharmacists, patients and the general public in Member States.
- Improve impact on prescription behaviour.
- Consult patients/patient groups in decision making prior to communications and actions.
- Assess outcomes of regulatory actions.

#### **5. Facilitation and monitoring of compliance with pharmacovigilance requirements**

- Collaboration between Member States should be improved by implementation of multinational phase IV cohort studies (large number of patients needed to capture rare AE).
- Measure industry compliance.
- Establishment of confidentiality between patient organisations and pharmaceuticals to balance the need for timely information and commercial and legal concerns when sharing such information in advance of public announcements.

#### **6. The need for quality management and continuous quality improvement.**

- **on your experiences of the Community system overall**
- **on any part of the Community system (section 1 of this consultation paper describes the system and those involved directly)**
- **on how you could better contribute to the Community pharmacovigilance system**
- **on suggestions to strengthen the Community pharmacovigilance system.**
- **any other comments**
  - Patients (especially those with chronic conditions) understand that effective medicines come with side effects.
  - Assess different approaches of centralization vs. harmonization carefully – need to find appropriate balance.
  - Need to prioritize: focus on pragmatic steps and innovative, centrally approved medicines first.
  - Invest in capacity building of patients/patient groups.
  - Look at medicines used outside of prescription area.
  - Consider increasing use of OTC drugs.
  - Harmonize electronic patient records between Member States and ensure protection of individual data.

Brussels, May 12<sup>th</sup> 2006/DH