

### SUBMISSION OF COMMENTS ON

# DRAFT Vol 10 Harmonised requirements for non investigational medicinal products on CTA submissions

Doc. Ref:: SANCO/8/SF/dn D(2010) 326199

### **COMMENTS FROM:**

Name of Organisation or individual
European Quality Assurance Confederation (EQAC)

Please note that these comments and the identity of the sender will be published unless a specific justified objection is received.

Comments should be sent to the EMEA electronically and in word-format (not pdf).

# 1. GENERAL COMMENTS

Stakehold er No. <to be<br="">completed by EMEA&gt;</to>	General Comment (if any)	Outcome (if applicable) <to be="" by="" completed="" emea=""></to>
	The requirements rely heavily on the NIMP being a marketed product or IMP licensed somewhere - e.g. the Annex which expects there will usually be a QP approval (or equivalent for non-EU countries). What guidance is there for any unlicensed materials specifically made for use as the NIMP - e.g. some challenge agents - would it be expected that there is a QP certification of the NIMP?	

# 2. SPECIFIC COMMENTS ON TEXT

Line No of the first line(s) affected. < e.g. Line 20-23>	Stakehol der No. <to be<br="">completed by EMEA&gt;</to>	Comment and Rationale; proposed changes <if "track="" are="" be="" changes="" changes"="" highlighted="" should="" suggested,="" the="" they="" to="" using="" wording=""></if>	Outcome <to be="" by="" completed="" emea=""></to>
Section 2 General Principles		Comments: include reference to the fact that a procedure should be established with the investigator and if applicable, with the hospital pharmacy, to record which patients received which NIMPs during the trial with an evaluation of the compliance".  Proposed change (if any):see above	
Section 3.2.21		Comments: Should this section also include QP assessment  Proposed change (if any):	
Annex 1 (2)		Comments: what is meant by 'an appropriately experienced individual'  Proposed change (if any):clarification on terminology used	