



Methodology for evidence screening of chemicals developed in the context of an impact assessment on criteria to identify endocrine disruptors

Brussels, 6th November 2015

Sharon Munn Sander van der Linden Alfonso Lostia

Systems Toxicology Institute for Health & Consumer Protection

Joint Research Centre **DISCLAIMER:** This presentation and its contents do not constitute an official position of the European Commission or any of its services. Neither the European Commission nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission is responsible for the use which might be made of this presentation or its contents



GENERAL OUTLINE

OVERVIEW – Sharon Munn

DATA SOURCES AND DATASHEET POPULATION – Sander van der Linden

DATA ANALYSIS AND CHEMICAL SELECTION – Alfonso Lostia





Disclaimer

The screening methodology was developed in the context of an impact assessment and cannot replace the regulatory decision making process of determining the chemicals considered as having endocrine-disrupting properties.

The methodology aims at estimating which substances may fall under the different ED IA policy options.

The methodology is based on a screening of existing evidence (desk work). No additional experimental data, experimental screening or discussion in scientific committees is foreseen.

The screening does not substitute full evaluations of individual substances to be carried out in the context of chemical legislation. Therefore, the screening does not pre-empt the regulatory conclusions that may eventually be made on the basis of such evaluations.





Scope of the screening methodology

To assess in a limited amount of time the potential endocrine disrupting properties of approximately 700 substances subject to:

- Plant Protection Products Regulation (PPPR) (approx. 400)
- Biocidal Products Regulation (BPR) (approx. 100)
- REACH Regulation Cosmetic Products Regulation
 - Water Framework Directive (WFD)

Sample of approx. 200 substances

- □ Apply the four policy options for criteria for identifying EDs in EC Roadmap based on available data
- <u>http://ec.europa.eu/smart-</u> regulation/impact/planned_ia/docs/2014_env_009_endocrine_disr uptors en.pdf



Option 1. No policy change.

Interim criteria set in the BPR and the PPPR to be applied.

Substances are or may be considered as EDs if they are or have to be classified as:

- CLP "carcinogenic category 2" and "toxic for reproduction category 2", OR
- CLP "toxic for reproduction category 2" and "toxic effects on the endocrine organs"

Substances not fulfilling above criteria will be considered not ED according to interim criteria





Option 2. EDs identified according to WHO/IPCS definition

An endocrine disrupter is an exogenous substance or mixture **that alters function(s) of the endocrine system*** and consequently causes **adverse health effects** in an intact organism, or its progeny, or (sub)populations" (IPCS/WHO, 2002).

Two elements: adversity and *endocrine disrupting mode of action

Need evidence for both





Option 3: WHO definition and additional categories

Option 3 proposes two additional categories based on the strength of evidence for fulfilling the WHO/IPCS definition:

- Cat I (fulfils WHO definition, equivalent to option 2)
- Cat II (suspected ED) –evidence insufficient to place in Cat I
- Cat III (endocrine active substance) –evidence insufficient to place in Cat II

Substances not fulfilling any of these categories designated 'unclassified'





Option 4: WHO definition with the inclusion of potency



Potency refers to the amount of substance necessary to produce a certain effect. A substance A which produces an effect at 5 mg is 10 times more potent than a substance B which produces the same effect at 50 mg.

Applying a potency cut-off at 10 mg, Substance A confirmed ED Substance B not considered ED





INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS AND DATA SOURCES





Information requirements



Joint Research Centre



Focus

- Focus on EATS (Estrogens, Androgens, Thyroid, Steroidogenesis), so endocrine disruption via other modes of action not assessed
- Mammalian toxicity: reproductive toxicity, carcinogenicity and repeated dose toxicity
- Ecotoxicology focus on fish and amphibians, to a limited extent birds (not invertebrates)





OECD CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

Level 1: Existing data and non-test information (incl. QSAR)

Level 2: *In vitro* assays providing data about selected endocrine mechanism(s)/pathway(s)

Level 3: In vivo assays providing data about selected endocrine mechanism(s)/pathway(s)

Level 4: In vivo assays providing data on adverse effects on endocrine-relevant endpoints

Level 5: *In vivo* assays providing more comprehensive data on adverse effects on endocrine-relevant endpoints (more extensive part of organism life cycle)

OECD Guidance Document 150





Data Gathering: sources

Rely on already existing readily accessible information

- **Primarily:** evaluated data from the existing regulatory assessment reports, *including* EFSA conclusions, MS Draft Assessment Reports, MS Competent Authority Reports, REACH restriction dossiers, Support documents for identification of SVHC, opinions of Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (SCCS).
- **Supplemented by additional information:** gathered from databases focusing on endocrine effects including non-regulatory studies such as JRC's Endocrine Active Substances Information System, TEDX, SIN list, ToxCast, EDSP WoE analyses and targeted literature searching





ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

Regarding data quality

- All data in the regulatory documents are assessed (peer reviewed) and relevant by default
- Published scientific literature are reliable

Regarding data relevance

- All mammalian data are human relevant, unless specifically stated otherwise
- Understanding of the endocrine system of many invertebrate species is limited, the focus for ecotoxicological effects is on mammals, fish, amphibians and to a limited extent on birds





DECISION-MAKING WORKFLOW





Option 1 – Interim Criteria







Options 2 to 4 ED CAT I, II, III







Data Processing for options 2 to 4

| Category | Description |
|---|--|
| In vitro mechanistic | Scientific literature, ToxCast |
| In vivo mechanistic & in vivo hormone levels | OECD CF Level 3 assays plus hormone levels |
| Adversity – EATS specific | Endpoints that can be specific for Estrogen, Androgen, Steroidogenesis or Thyroid pathways |
| Non-specific adversity (may or may not be indicative of EATS) | Endpoints potentially sensitive to, but not specific for, EATS pathways |
| Adversity – General | Non EATS related effects, including food intake, systemic toxicity, body weight change etc. |

Options 2 & 3 ED CAT I, II, III









Path 1 leading to Cat I





Path 2 leading to Cat I





Path 3 leading to Cat I





Path 4 leading to Cat I





Options 2 & 3 – ED Categories II & III

Cat II

- Specific *in vivo* effects, indicating endocrine specific effects (level 4 and 5) not secondary to generalised systemic toxicity, **but without** supporting mechanistic evidence (*in vivo*, *in vitro*), plausibly linking to observed adverse *in vivo* effects
- Positive mechanistic *in vivo* (level 3) evidence, without *in vivo* evidence of adversity from level 4 and 5 assays

Cat III

• No *in vivo* evidence indicating endocrine specific effects (level 4 and 5) but mechanistic evidence *in vitro*.

Unclassified

 No *in vivo* effects, indicating endocrine specific effects (level 4 and 5) and no mechanistic evidence (*in vivo*, *in vitro*).



Assessment under option 4 - potency

Potency-based STOT-RE Cat 1 & 2 trigger values (from CLP) proposed as cut-off criteria

Indicate for all EDs under option 2 whether there is an observed ED effect at or below the designated guidance value If above guidance value not considered ED (unclassified) for purposes of IA.





RESULTS

| OPTION 1 | | | OPTION 28 | OPTION 4 | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|---------------|--|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|
| | | | Mammalian | Mammalian Ecotox | | | | | |
| Question | Answer (Yes/No | Reasonin g | Question | Answer (Yes/No | Reasoning | Answer (Yes/No | Reasoning | Answer (Yes/No) | Reasoning |
| CLP-harmonised "carcinogenic category 2" | | | Is there <u>evidence of adversity that may or may not be EATS-</u> <u>specific</u> in an intact organism, or its progeny, or in a (sub)population? | | | | | | |
| CLP-harmonised "toxic for reproduction category 2" | | | Is there <u>evidence of Adversity – EATS specific</u> in an intact organism, or its progeny, or in a (sub)population? | | | | | | |
| toxic effects on the endocrine organs. | | | Is there evidence of in vivo mechanistic and/or in vivo hormone levels information? | | | | | | |
| CLP-proposal "carcinogenic category 2" | | | Is there evidence of in vitro mechanistic information? | | | | | | |
| CLP-proposal "toxic for reproduction category 2" | | | Is there evidence of a plausible link between in vitro/in vivo mechanistic information and the observed EATS-specific or non-specific adversity? | | | | | | |
| Evaluation result | | | | | | | | | |





Practical Implementation

- Draft Screening Methodology submitted to contractor, includes:-
 - > data sources to be consulted
 - > type of data to extract
 - template for recording and summarising data
 - > decision trees to follow to apply options for criteria in a systematic manner to 700 substances,







Data sources and datasheet population

Brussels, 6th November 2015

Sander van der Linden

Systems Toxicology Institute for Health & Consumer Protection

> DISCLAIMER: This presentation and its contents do not constitute an official position of the European Commission or any of its services. Neither the European Commission nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission is responsible for the use which might be made of this presentation or its contents



Workflow of screening methodology

Source Documents



- Mammalian toxicity
- Wildlife toxicity

2. Data classification

| 1 | A | 8 | c | D | E | F | G | н | 1 | 1 | K | L | М |
|----|-----------------------------------|--|---|-------------------|---------|----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Type of toxicity | Study principle | Study guideline (OECD/US EPA) or remarks | Reporting date | Species | Route of administration | Duration of exposure | Duration unit | Generatio n/Life stage | Sex (effect dose) | Lowest Effect dose | Effect type | Effect target |
| 9 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic taxicity | OECD 409 | 2005 | Dog | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | М | 20 | Organ histopathology | [Not in list] |
| 10 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic taxicity | OECD 409 | 2005 | Dog | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | м | 20 | Organ histopathology | Brain histopathology |
| 11 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic taxicity | OECD 409 | 2005 | Dog | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 19 | Clinical chemistry | T3 and T4 level |
| 12 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Repeated Dose 28-Day Oral Toxicity in rodent | OECD 407 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 28 | Days | Adult | M+F | | No relevant effect observed | No relevant effects |
| 13 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic taxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | In life observation | Growth |
| 14 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic taxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | Organ Weight | Kidney weight |
| 15 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic taxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | Organ Weight | Liver weight |
| 16 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic taxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | М | 189 | Organ histopathology | Lung histopathology |
| 17 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic taxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | Organ histopathology | Lung histopathology |
| 18 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic taxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | In life observation | Systemic taxicity |
| 19 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic taxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | м | 189 | Organ histopathology | Testis histopathology |
| 20 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | м | 373 | Organ histopathology | Epididymis histopathology |
| 21 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | м | 169 | Organ histopathology | Kidney histopathology |
| 22 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | F | 229 | Organ histopathology | Kidney histopathology |
| 23 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | м | 169 | Organ Weight | Kidney weight |
| 24 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | F | 229 | Organ Weight | Kidney weight |
| 25 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | м | 169 | Organ histopathology | Lung histopathology |
| 26 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | F | 229 | Organ histopathology | Lung histopathology |
| 27 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | F | 229 | In life observation | Systemic taxicity |
| 28 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | М | 373 | Organ histopathology | Testis histopathology |
| 29 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Carcinogenicity | OECD 451 | 2006 | Mouse | Oral | 18 | Months | Adult | м | | No relevant effect observed | No relevant effects |
| 30 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Carcinogenicity | OECD 451 | 2005 | Mouse | Oral | 18 | Months | Adult | F | | No relevant effect observed | No relevant effects |
| 31 | Mammalian in vivo - Reproductive | Two-Generation Reproduction Toxicity | OECD 416 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 26 | Weeks | Adult (F0+F1) | м | 419 | In life observation | Body weight |
| 32 | Mammalian in vivo - Reproductive | Two-Generation Reproduction Toxicity | OECD 416 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 26 | Weeks | Adult (F0+F1) | F | 485 | In life observation | Body weight |
| 33 | Mammalian in vivo - Reproductive | Two-Generation Reproduction Toxicity | OECD 416 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 26 | Weeks | Adult (F0+F1) | м | 419 | In life observation | Food consumption |
| 34 | Mammalian in vivo - Reproductive | Two-Generation Reproduction Toxicity | OECD 416 | 2005 | 8at | Oral | 26 | Weeks | Adult (F0+F1) | F | 485 | In life observation | Food consumption |
| 16 | Hammalian Inc. in | Too Concelles Record ation Taxiste | 0000-044 | 2002 | 24 | Owl | ~ | Minele | 44.6791 | | 440 | Areas bisteastkalans | Wideon bistonathalam |



Information requirements





Joint Research Centre



Classification as C or R (cat 2)

Harmonized classification

- Plant protection products (EU Pesticide Database)
- Biocidal products (C&L Inventory)
- Other (C&L Inventory)

Proposed classification (if newer)

- Plant protection products (DAR/EFSA conclusion)
- Biocidal products (CAR)
- Other (CLH report, ECHA website)
- If present in more than one category: all collected
- If no classification found, indicated in data sheet





For the purpose of impact assessment...

Endocrine organ

 Hormone secreting organs and their targets that are included in the OECD GD 150

This includes: mammary gland, accessory sex glands (e.g. Cowper's gland, seminal vesicles, prostate gland, bulbourethral glands, Glans penis), testis, epididymis, penis, cervix, uterus (endometrium), vagina, hypothalamus, pituitary, thyroid, adrenals, ovaries, placenta, Levator ani/bulbocavernosus muscles (LABC)





Information requirements





Source documents for toxicological data

Rely on already existing readily accessible information

Primarily: evaluated data from the existing regulatory assessment reports, **including** EFSA conclusions, MS Draft Assessment Reports, REACH restriction dossiers, Support documents for identification of SVHC, MS Competent Authority Report, opinions of Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety.

| Compare Particular Bostoria General | *** | Lease first Mile Soliday | JUSTIFICATION DOCUMENT FOR THE | E SELECTION OF A CORAP SUBSTANCE | LH REPORT FOR PERSONEL | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| Scientific Committee on Consumer Products SCCP | * * * | CONCLUSION ON PESTICIDE PEER REVIEW Conclusion on the poer review of the positicale mild assument of the active substance (preventhered ¹ Economic Peer Soft Apparent ² | Justification for t | the selection of | | CLH report | |
| | * * * | Dargens Field Soley Antiony (JESA), Prans, July ABSTACT The means of the Imagene Field Index Antionet (JESA) following the prime review of the same risk prime and the fit of the magnetic index of the supported Soley for these, South and the or- port of the start of the support of the support. Soley for the start of the prime wave on the magnet of the support of the support. Soley for an address for the start of the support of the start of the start of the support. Soley for an address for the start of the start o | a candidate CoRAP substance | | Proposal for Harmo Based on Regulatio | nised Classification and Labelling a(EC) Na 12722008 (CLP Regulation), Annex VI, Part 2 | |
| OPINION ON Resorcinol | | biplements (a Explored (2015)) 100 2017. The minimum year model in the locar of the evolution of the representation is not of general-cost on a beneral-to any particular for the sing appropriate for two an exploring explored (2016) and an explored (2016) and an explored (2016) and any particular distribution of the formation of the decrement of the decrement of the decrement (2017). The decrement of the decrement (2017) and the decrement of the decrement (2017) and the decrement (2017). | Substance Name (Public Nam Chemical Group: EC Number: | e): Thiram | Substance Name: Fipronil CNmber: 424-616-5 | | |
| COLIPA nº A11 | Draft Assessment Report (DAR) | Kawaman gowdonh per reise, nik commen petrais, fugusis | CAS Number: Submitted by: | 137-26-8 Swedish Chemicals Agency | AS Number: 121068-37-3 adex Number: 608-055-06- | i | |
| Scientific Committees | Initial risk assessment provided by the rapportent Member State France for the existing active substance FIPRONIL of the second stage of the service approxement referred to its Article K(2) of Council Directive 51:414423.C | | Published: | 20/03/2013 | 'antact details for dossier sub | miner: AVES (on bahaf of the Franch MSCA) 253 aroune du Ganeral Ledere F-Se'11 Alaman-Aller Cales +33 1 56 29 19 39 rankijanovale | |
| The SOCP adapted this spinon at its $13^{\rm th}$ plenary of 15 April 2008 | Volume 1 January 2005 | Consequent los de longen l'accesso de la CALON INTER que not auxiliana 2011. Consequent los de la Calence de la C | No This document has been prepared by the CoRAP update. | te the evaluating Hember State given in | 'ersion number: 1 | Dute: 2696-2014 | |



Supplemented by additional sources

Open literature

- For all compounds, a literature search is performed
- SCOPUS: compound name & endocrine
- SciFinder: concept "endocrine disruption" & substance identifier based on CAS

ToxCast (US EPA)

• US EPA database with ED relevant in vitro assay data

Endocrine Disruptor Screening Program (US EPA)

 WoE analysis (summarized data) of ED relevant *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays, focusing on estrogens, androgens, thyroid and steroidogenesis

ToxRefDB (US EPA)

Database with ED relevant in vivo data.





Supplemented by additional sources

Open literature

- For all compounds, a literature search is performed
- SCOPUS: compound name & endocrine
- SciFinder: concept "endocrine disruption" & substance identifier based on CAS

ToxCast (US EPA)

• US EPA database with ED relevant in vitro assay data

Endocrine Disruptor Screening Program (US EPA)

 WoE analysis (summarized data) of ED relevant in vitro and in vivo assays, focusing on estrogens, androgens, thyroid and steroidogenesis

ToxRefDB (US EPA)

Database with ED relevant in vivo data.




Check for additional sources

The Endocrine Disruption Exchange (TEDX)

 List of chemicals that show the potential to affect the endocrine system (reference(s) provided)

Substitute It Now (SIN)

•List of substances identified by NGO ChemSec as Substances of Very High Concern, including ED criteria (reference(s) provided)

Public consultation

List of references supplied by public consultation

Community Rolling Action Plan (ECHA)

Flag presence of CoRAP if ED motivated



3.2 Grounds for concern

| (Suspected) CMR | Wide dispers |
|-------------------------------|---------------|
| (Suspected) Sensitiser | Consumer us |
| (Suspected) PBT | Exposure of |
| Suspected endocrine disruptor | Other (provid |



Type of studies to be captured

Mammalian toxicity

 developmental toxicity, reproductive toxicity, carcinogenicity and (sub)chronic (repeated dose) toxicity

Ecotoxicology

 non-acute toxicity, reproductive toxicity in fish and amphibians (and birds to a limited extent)

Focus on test methods specified within the OECD CF (OECD GD 150 TG or equivalent)





Types of effects to be captured

 Production/action of steroid hormones (estrogen, testosterone), impacts on reproduction (fertility, abnormalities in development, onset of puberty) and thyroid hormones (impact on growth and

| Test guideline or other test method | Endpoints for es acti | trogen-mediated vity | Endpoints for an acti | drogen-mediated vity | Endpoints for thyroid-related activity | Endpoints for steroidogenesis- related activity | Endpoints potentially sensitive to, but |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|---|
| [Reference to interpretation table within this document] | Agonistic | Antagonistic | Agonistic | Antagonistic | | | not diagnostic of, EATS modalities |
| OECD TG 416: 2- | Change in AGD | Studies using | Studies using | Decreased AGD | Increased | Possible effects | Changes in : |
| generation | in male and | pure antagonists | agonists are | in male pups, | thyroid weight. | on: | |
| reproduction | female pups. | are lacking. | lacking. | change in AGD | | | Weights of |
| toxicity study | | However, | However, | in female pups. | Possible liver | AGD in male | adrenals |
| (including guidance | Changes in | changes may | changes may | | weight increase | and female pups. | |
| on OECD TG 415: | estrus cyclicity | occur in the | occur in the | Changes in | (in combination | | Time to mating |
| 1-generation study) | (P, F1). | following: | following: | estrus cyclicity | with other | Estrus cyclicity | |
| | ~ * | | | (P, F1). | thyroid-related | (P, F1). | Male fertility |
| | Decreased age at | AGD in male | Increased AGD | | endpoints). | | |
| | Vaginal opening | and female pups. | in male pups, | Changes in age | | Age at Vaginal | Female fertility |
| | (F1). | | change in AGD | at vaginal | Histopathologic | opening (F1). | |
| | | Estrus cyclicity in | in female pups. | opening (F1). | changes in | Age at preputial | Gestation length |
| | | (P, F1). | | | thyroid | separation (F1). | Dystocia |



Commission

Oral chronic toxicity and carcinogenicity in the rat B 6.8.1.4-13

| | a station of the state of the s | | | | | | | | | ``` | _0111111351011 | |
|--|--|---------------|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------|
| Reference | Bigot D. 1998 | | | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| | Chronic toxicity | y and carcino | genicity s | audy of | | in the S | prague-Da | wley rat | by | | | |
| Type of study | Two Year Die | Deviations | | Specific i animals i | neurologica s not a sta | al examinat ndard requi | ions were o rement. | conducted. | Also, pe | rfusion fix | ation for selected | 5 |
| Year of execution | 8 June 1995 (| GLP state | ment | Yes | | | | | | | 60 | |
| Test substance | 0 0010 10001 | Acceptanc | e | The study | y is conside | ered accept | able | | | | | _ |
| Test substance | | Desults | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Purity | 96.0% | Mortality : | one male | e aiven 2 | ma/ka/da | av was kil | led in We | ek 11 fo | llowing a | severe | reaction to | |
| Species | Sprague Daw | treatment. | Two male | es dosed w | vith 5 mg/ | kg/day we | re killed (| one in ea | ch of We | eks 31 a | nd 34) after | |
| Group size | Main study : | severe read | tions to | treatment. | Ante m | ortem clin | ical signs | for these | animals | included | convulsive | |
| Exposure | Oral via the d | epiaodea, b | ouynoigi | 11 1000, 1110 | ppeterioe | , and appe | a enay imp | | /1. | <u>_</u> 2 | | |
| | killed in order | Clinical sign | <u>is</u> : signs | indicative | of neurol | logical dist | turbances | occurred | intermitte | entlyfron | n Week 2 of | |
| | each group w | treatment a | t both 2 | and 5 mg/ ous beba | /kg/day. | They inclu | ded convu alities of | ulsions, tv nait and | vitching c | r∛remor ∆II | s of various | |
| Dose | 0. 0.5. 2 or 1 | 5 mg/kg/day | /, and t | five males | s and th | iree fema | les dose | d with 2 | mg/kg/ | day wei | re affected. | |
| | concentration | Convulsions | s were ob | oserved in | 1 male a | nd 1 femai | ie at 2 mg | /kg/day a | nd in 2 m | ales at 5 | mg/kg/day. | |
| Vehicle | diet (homogei | Other signs | were se | en at thes | e dose le nd tremo | vels includ | ted exagg | erated rig | idity or s | tiffness (| of the limbs, | |
| Observations | Animals were | behaviour (a | aggressio | on and ner | vousness |) and activ | ity pattern | is and res | stance to | dosing. | changes in | |
| | and on publ | There was r | no clear t | reatment-r | elated eff | ect at 0.2 | mg/kg/day | 4 | | 0 | | |
| | performed tw | | | | | | | . O | | | | |
| | brooweights | 26 weeks o | : DODYW | eignt gain ent which : | was part | be aroun i | v in one te mean bod | emale giv | en 5 mg/ Growth / | kg/day c | dogs in this | |
| | animals prior | group was | similar to | that of co | ontrols. H | lowever, t | he decede | ints exhib | ited weig | ht loss p | prior to their | |
| | dosing, Blog | termination. | | ~ | | | 0 | | | | | |
| 20 | from all chro | Table B 6.3. | 3-1/01: | Group | mean bo | dyweight a Dose level | ma/ka/day | reight cha | nge (kg) | | | |
| 0 | collected duri | Week | 0 | 0.2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0.2 | 2 | 5 | - | |
| (D) | survivors for | | | M | ales | Deale | 0 | Ferr | ales | | | |
| 50 | 52, 78 and 1 | 1 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.3 | _ | |
| E | 25, 51, 77 and | 13 | 11.3 | 11.2 | 11.5 | 112 | 9.8 | 9.5 | 9.6 | 9.3 | | |
| 20 | All animals, ir | 26 | 12.7 | 12.8 | 12.9 | 12.8 | 11.0 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 10.1 | | |
| 26 | (major organs | 52 | 13.4 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 13.6 | 12.0 | 11.4 | 11.2 | 11.1 | | |
| No | the control a | Weeks | | 1 | | Bodyweig | ht change | | | | | |
| 3 | histonatholog | 13-26 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 0.8 | | |
| | dose groups | 26-39 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | _ | |
| | carcinogenicil | 39-52 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | | rtan w |
| | 101.020400.000 0 0000.0000 | 0-52 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 3.0 | | stag - |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| mmalian in vivo - Re | peated dose | Chronic toxic | ity | | | | | 5 | | 31665 | Adult | |
| mmalian in vivo - Re | peated dose | Chronic toxic | ity | | | | | 5 | | 31665 | Adult | |
| mmalian in vivo - Re | peated dose | Chronic toxic | ity | | | | | 5 | | 31665 | Adult | |
| mmalian in vivo - Re | peated dose | Chronic toxic | ity | | | | | 5 | | 31665 | Adult | |
| mmailan in vivo - Re mmalian in vivo - Re | peated dose | Chronic toxic | ity ity | | | | | 5 | | 31665 | Adult | |
| mmalian in vivo - Re | peated dose | Chronic toxic | itv | | | | | 5 | | 31665 | Adult | |
| mmalian in vivo - Re | peated dose | Chronic toxic | ity | | | | | 5 | | 31665 | Adult | |

- Species: rat •
- Strain: Sprague-Dawley ٠
- Number of animals per dose: 10 •
- Route of administration: oral ٠
- Method of administration: feed •
- Purity: 96 % •
- Dose range •
 - Male: 0.5, 2, 10 ppm
 - Female: 0.5, 2, 10/6 ppm •

| stag 🔻 | Sex (effect dose) 💌 | Lowest Effect dose 💌 | Effect type 💌 | Effect target 💌 |
|--------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | F | 117 | Organ histopathology | Adrenals histopathology |
| | F | 117 | In life observation | Growth |
| | М | 109 | In life observation | Haematological parameters |
| | F | 117 | In life observation | Haematological parameters |
| | М | 109 | Organ histopathology | Liver histopathology |
| | F | 117 | Organ histopathology | Liver histopathology |
| | М | 109 | Clinical chemistry | T3 and T4 level |
| | F | 117 | Clinical chemistry | T3 and T4 level |



Structure of data template

- Spreadsheet based (Excel): versatile, easy to use
- 40 columns for data details
- Structured template to capture/store diverse types of data from variety of sources (databases, scientific literature)
- All relevant effects captured from study (study ID)
 - Capture all ED relevant effects
 - Capture general toxicity effect at similar or lower dose (interpretation)
 - Each row describes one effect at single dose from one study







General substance information

- Compound name
- CAS number
- CLP (harmonized), including date of classification
- CLP (proposed), including date of classification
- Co-RAP (concern justification)
- Reason for inclusion on the SIN List
- Other information/comments

| Compound | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|------------------|--------------|
| CAS | | | | |
| CLP (harmonized): | | No CLH available. | | |
| CLP/ATP inserted: | | | | |
| CLP (proposed): | | Skin Irrit. 2 - H 315 | | |
| EFSA Journal 2012;10(11):2915 | | Skin Sens. 1 - H 317 | | |
| | | Eye Irrit. 2 - H 319 | | |
| So-RAP (concern - justification): | | Not relevant | | |
| Reason for inclusion on the SIN List: | | Not relevant | | |
| Other information/comments | | | 1 | |
| | | Fill formula Create matrix | Copy last stud | ly details |
| | | | | |
| Type of toxicity | - | Study principle 💌 | Study ID Matri 💌 | Study refere |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | | Repeated Dose 90-Day Oral Toxicity in rodents | 1 | 88-2508 |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | | Repeated Dose 90-Day Oral Toxicity in rodents | 2 | 88-2508 |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | | Repeated Dose 90-Day Oral Toxicity in rodents | 2 | 88-2508 |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | | Repeated Dose 90-Day Oral Toxicity in rodents | 2 | 88-2508 |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | | Repeated Dose 90-Day Oral Toxicity in rodents | 2 | 88-2508 |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | | Carcinogenicity | 3 | 88-2508 |
| Mammalian in vivo - Peneated doce | | Carcinogenicity | 3 | 88-2508 |



Data organisation

| Study information | Study details | Effect | Indications |
|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| Guideline | No. animals | Generation | OECD CF level |
| Source | Purity | Sex | OECD 150 |
| Reference | Route of administration | Lifestage | Comparable to OECD150 |
| Date | Doses tested (+units) | Effect dose | Pathway |
| Species | Duration (+units) | Effect type | Human relevance |
| | | Effect target | Adjusted effect dose |
| | | Description | |
| | | Direction | |





Data Template

| | А | В | С | D | E | F | G | Н | | J | K | L | М |
|----|-----------------------------------|--|---|-------------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Type of toxicity | Study principle | Study guideline (OECD/US EPA) or remarks | Reporting date | Species | Route of administration | Duration of exposure | Duration unit | Generatio n/Life stage | Sex (effect dose) | Lowest Effect dose | Effect type | Effect target |
| 9 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 409 | 2005 | Dog | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | М | 20 | Organ histopathology | [Not in list] |
| 10 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 409 | 2005 | Dog | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | М | 20 | Organ histopathology | Brain histopathology |
| 11 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 409 | 2005 | Dog | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 19 | Clinical chemistry | T3 and T4 level |
| 12 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Repeated Dose 28-Day Oral Toxicity in rodent | OECD 407 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 28 | Days | Adult | M + F | | No relevant effect observed | No relevant effects |
| 13 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | In life observation | Growth |
| 14 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | Organ Weight | Kidney weight |
| 15 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | Organ Weight | Liver weight |
| 16 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | М | 189 | Organ histopathology | Lung histopathology |
| 17 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | Organ histopathology | Lung histopathology |
| 18 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | In life observation | Systemic toxicity |
| 19 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | М | 189 | Organ histopathology | Testis histopathology |
| 20 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | М | 373 | Organ histopathology | Epididymis histopathology |
| 21 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | М | 169 | Organ histopathology | Kidney histopathology |
| 22 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | F | 229 | Organ histopathology | Kidney histopathology |
| 23 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity S | OECD 453 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | М | 169 | Organ Weight | Kidney weight |
| 24 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | F | 229 | Organ Weight | Kidney weight |
| 25 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | М | 169 | Organ histopathology | Lung histopathology |
| 26 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | F | 229 | Organ histopathology | Lung histopathology |
| 27 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity S | OECD 453 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | F | 229 | In life observation | Systemic toxicity |
| 28 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity S | OECD 453 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | М | 373 | Organ histopathology | Testis histopathology |
| 29 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Carcinogenicity | OECD 451 | 2006 | Mouse | Oral | 18 | Months | Adult | М | | No relevant effect observed | No relevant effects |
| 30 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Carcinogenicity | OECD 451 | 2006 | Mouse | Oral | 18 | Months | Adult | F | | No relevant effect observed | No relevant effects |
| 31 | Mammalian in vivo - Reproductive | Two-Generation Reproduction Toxicity | OECD 416 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 26 | Weeks | Adult (F0+F1) | М | 419 | In life observation | Body weight |
| 32 | Mammalian in vivo - Reproductive | Two-Generation Reproduction Toxicity | OECD 416 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 26 | Weeks | Adult (F0+F1) | F | 485 | In life observation | Body weight |
| 33 | Mammalian in vivo - Reproductive | Two-Generation Reproduction Toxicity | OECD 416 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 26 | Weeks | Adult (F0+F1) | М | 419 | In life observation | Food consumption |
| 34 | Mammalian in vivo - Reproductive | Two-Generation Reproduction Toxicity | OECD 416 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 26 | Weeks | Adult (F0+F1) | F | 485 | In life observation | Food consumption |
| 25 | Mammalian in viva - Depreductivo | Two Conception Bonroduction Tovisity | 0000 416 | 2005 | Dat | Centre | 76 | Weeks | A dol+ (E1) | N.A. | 410 | Organ histopathalami | Kidnov histonathalamı |



Effect type

In life observation Organ Weight Organ histopathology Reproductive Developmental Abnormalities Clinical chemistry No relevant effect observed [Not in list]

Effect target

Age at first estrus Age at preputial separation Age at Vaginal opening Birth index Dystocia Estrus cyclicity Fertility Gestational interval Gestation length Gestation Index Intercurrent deaths Lactation index Litter size Litter viability Number of implantations, corpora lutea



Categorisation of effects

| Category | Description |
|---|--|
| In vitro mechanistic | Scientific literature, ToxCast |
| In vivo mechanistic & in vivo hormone levels | OECD CF Level 3 assays plus hormone levels |
| Adversity – EATS specific | Endpoints that can be specific for Estrogen, Androgen, Steroidogenesis or Thyroid pathways |
| Non-specific adversity (may or may not be indicative of EATS) | Endpoints potentially sensitive to, but not specific for, EATS pathways |
| Adversity – General | Non EATS related effects, including food intake, systemic toxicity, body weight change etc. |

Research Centre



Information requirements





Categorisation under option 4

- No consensus on potency cut-off values
- STOT RE values proposed in literature
- Determine whether EATS specific effects still occur at or below this dose

| Route of exposure | STOT-RE Cat 1 | STOT-RE Cat 2 |
|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Oral | 10 mg/kg bw/day | 100 mg/kg bw/day |
| Dermal | 20 mg/kg bw/day | 200 mg/kg bw/day |
| Inhalation (vapour) | 0.2 mg/l/6h/day | 1.0 mg/l/6h/day |
| Inhalation (dust/mist/fume) | 0.02 mg/l/6h/day | 0.2 mg/l/6h/day |

Note: these reference values refer to effects seen in a standard 90day toxicity study in rats





Time adjustments of the guidance value

Following Haber's rule:

- for a 28-day study the guidance values above are increased by a factor of three
- for a 2-year study the guidance values are decreased by a factor of eight.

Allometric scaling and different life spans of species for Repeated Dose Toxicity not yet been integrated into the CLP guidance

The same guidance values for rat, mouse and dog studies have been used





Equivalent guidance values for 28-day and 90-day studies for rat

| Study type | Unit | Category 1 90-day | Category 1 28-day | Category 2 90-day | Category 2 28-day |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Oral | mg/kg bw/d | ≤ 10 | ≤ 30 | ≤ 100 | ≤ 300 |
| Dermal | mg/kg bw/d | ≤ 20 | ≤ 60 | ≤ 200 | ≤ 600 |
| Inhalation (gas) | ppmV/ 6 h/d | ≤ 50 | ≤ 150 | ≤ 250 | ≤ 750 |
| Inhalation (vapour) | mg/l/ 6 h/d | ≤ 0.2 | ≤ 0.6 | ≤ 1 | ≤ 3 |
| Inhalation (dust/mist/fume) | mg/l/ 6 h/d | ≤ 0.02 | ≤ 0.06 | ≤ 0.2 | ≤ 0.6 |





Data Analysis and Chemical Selection

Brussels, 6th November 2015

Alfonso Lostia

Systems Toxicology Institute for Health & Consumer Protection

> DISCLAIMER: This presentation and its contents do not constitute an official position of the European Commission or any of its services. Neither the European Commission nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission is responsible for the use which might be made of this presentation or its contents



Data Analysis





Workflow of screening methodology

Source Documents





Workflow of screening methodology



| | A | 8 | C | D | E | F | G | н | 1 | 1 | x | L | М |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|-------------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Type of toxicity | Study principle | Study guideline (OECD/US EPA) or remarks | Reporting date | Species | Route of administration | Duration of exposure | Duration unit | Generatio n/Life stage | Sex (effect dose) | Lowest Effect dose | Effect type | Effect target |
| l | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic taxicity | OECD 409 | 2005 | Dog | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | м | 20 | Organ histopathology | [Not in list] |
| 0 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic taxicity | OECD 409 | 2005 | Dog | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | м | 20 | Organ histopathology | Brain histopatholo |
| 1 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic taxicity | OECD 409 | 2005 | Dog | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 19 | Clinical chemistry | T3 and T4 level |
| 2 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Repeated Dose 28-Day Oral Toxicity in rodent | OECD 407 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 28 | Days | Adult | M+F | | No relevant effect observed | No relevant effect |
| 3 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic taxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | In life observation | Growth |
| 4 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic taxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | Organ Weight | Kidney weight |
| 5 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | Organ Weight | Liver weight |
| 6 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | м | 189 | Organ histopathology | Lung histopatholog |
| 7 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic taxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | Organ histopathology | Lung histopatholog |
| 8 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic taxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | In life observation | Systemic taxicity |
| 9 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | м | 189 | Organ histopathology | Testis histopatholo |
| 0 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | м | 373 | Organ histopathology | Epididymis histopatho |
| 1 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | м | 169 | Organ histopathology | Kidney histopatholo |
| 2 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity S | OECD 453 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | F | 223 | Organ histopathology | Kidney histopatholo |
| 3 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | м | 169 | Organ Weight | Kidney weight |
| 4 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | F | 229 | Organ Weight | Kidney weight |
| 5 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | м | 169 | Organ histopathology | Lung histopatholog |
| 6 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity S | OECD 453 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | F | 229 | Organ histopathology | Lung histopetholog |
| 7 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | F | 229 | In life observation | Systemic toxicity |
| 8 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | м | 373 | Organ histopathology | Testis histopatholo |
| 9 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Carcinogenicity | OECD 451 | 2006 | Mouse | Oral | 18 | Months | Adult | м | | No relevant effect observed | No relevant effect |
| 0 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Carcinogenicity | OECD 451 | 2005 | Mouse | Oral | 18 | Months | Adult | F | | No relevant effect observed | No relevant effect |
| 1 | Mammalian in vivo - Reproductive | Two-Generation Reproduction Toxicity | OECD 416 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 26 | Weeks | Adult (F0+F1) | м | 419 | In life observation | Body weight |
| 2 | Mammalian in vivo - Reproductive | Two-Generation Reproduction Toxicity | OECD 416 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 26 | Weeks | Adult (F0+F1) | F | 485 | In life observation | Body weight |
| 3 | Mammalian in vivo - Reproductive | Two-Generation Reproduction Toxicity | OECD 416 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 26 | Weeks | Adult (F0+F1) | м | 419 | In life observation | Food consumption |
| 4 | Mammalian in vivo - Reproductive | Two-Generation Reproduction Toxicity | OECD 416 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 26 | Weeks | Adult (F0+F1) | F | 485 | In life observation | Food consumption |
| ć | Mammalian in view. Reproduction | Two Conception Dependenting Taxisity | 0000.016 | 2005 | 0.4 | Owl | 26 | Mashe | 84-00731 | | 410 | Orang histogetheless: | Videou historethals |

54



Data collected in the template

| | A | В | L L | U | E | | 6 | н | | 1 | K | L. | M |
|----|-----------------------------------|--|---|-------------------|---------|----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Type of taxicity | Study principle | Study guideline (OECD/US EPA) or remarks | Reporting date | Species | Route of administration | Duration of exposure | Duration unit | Generatio n/Life stage | Sex (effect dose) | Lowest Effect dose | Effect type | Effect target |
| 9 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 409 | 2005 | Dog | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | м | 20 | Organ histopathology | [Not in list] |
| 10 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 409 | 2005 | Dog | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | м | 20 | Organ histopathology | Brain histopathology |
| 11 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 409 | 2005 | Dog | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 19 | Clinical chemistry | T3 and T4 level |
| 12 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Repeated Dose 28-Day Oral Toxicity in rodent | OECD 407 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 28 | Days | Adult | M+F | | No relevant effect observed | No relevant effects |
| 13 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | In life observation | Growth |
| 14 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | Organ Weight | Kidney weight |
| 15 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | Organ Weight | Liver weight |
| 16 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | M | 189 | Organ histopathology | Lung histopathology |
| 17 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | Organ histopathology | Lung histopathology |
| 18 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | In life observation | Systemic toxicity |
| 19 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | м | 189 | Organ histopathology | Testis histopathology |
| 20 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2005 | Bat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | м | 373 | Organ histopathology | Epididymis histopathology |
| 21 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2005 | Bat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | м | 169 | Organ histopathology | Kidney histopathology |
| 22 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | F | 229 | Organ histopathology | Kidney histopathology |
| 23 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | м | 169 | Organ Weight | Kidney weight |
| 24 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | F | 229 | Organ Weight | Kidney weight |
| 25 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | м | 169 | Organ histopathology | Lung histopathology |
| 26 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | F | 229 | Organ histopathology | Lung histopathology |
| 27 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | F | 229 | In life observation | Systemic toxicity |
| 28 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity St | OECD 453 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | м | 373 | Organ histopathology | Testis histopathology |
| 29 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Carcinogenicity | OECD 451 | 2005 | Mouse | Oral | 18 | Months | Adult | м | | No relevant effect observed | No relevant effects |
| 30 | Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Carcinogenicity | OECD 451 | 2005 | Mouse | Oral | 18 | Months | Adult | F | | No relevant effect observed | No relevant effects |
| 31 | Mammalian in vivo - Reproductive | Two-Generation Reproduction Toxicity | OECD 416 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 26 | Weeks | Adult (F0+F1) | м | 419 | In life observation | Body weight |
| 32 | Mammalian in vivo - Reproductive | Two-Generation Reproduction Toxicity | OECD 416 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 26 | Weeks | Adult (F0+F1) | F | 485 | In life observation | Body weight |
| 33 | Mammalian in vivo - Reproductive | Two-Generation Reproduction Toxicity | OECD 416 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 26 | Weeks | Adult (F0+F1) | м | 419 | In life observation | Food consumption |
| 34 | Mammalian in vivo - Reproductive | Two-Generation Reproduction Toxicity | OECD 416 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 26 | Weeks | Adult (F0+F1) | F | 485 | In life observation | Food consumption |
| 16 | Adapted in the Contract of the | Time Conception Based stice Tablets | 0700.416 | 2006 | 0.48 | And | 14 | This also | Autora (PA) | ** | 410 | Oraca historiathalams | Vide on histonetheless. |





| | Category | Description |
|--------------------------------|---|--|
| | In vitro mechanistic | Scientific literature, ToxCast |
| Data collected in the template | In vivo mechanistic & in vivo hormone levels | OECD CF Level 3 assays plus hormone levels |
| Data processing | Adversity – EATS specific | Endpoints that can be specific for Estrogen, Androgen, Steroidogenesis or Thyroid pathways |
| | Non-specific adversity (may or may not be indicative of EATS) | Endpoints potentially sensitive to, but not specific for, EATS pathways |
| | Adversity – General | Non EATS related effects, including food intake, systemic toxicity, body weight change etc. |











WoE:

- Specificity: evaluating if EATS-endpoints are likely to be secondary effects of general systemic toxicity
- Consistency of effects observed / pattern of effects (within and between studies)

No

Unclassifie

Biological plausibility of effects observed



Complexity of Data Analysis

Going through all data captured in the template, to perform data-analysis, can be very complex and time-consuming.

In the template there are 40 columns and potentially hundreds of rows depending on substance.

There is the need to facilitate the data analysis by ensuring for substance evaluation:

- Usage of all data collected
- Transparency and traceability
- Medium-throughput (700 substances to be screened in a limited amount of time)

| - <u>/</u> | | v | | | | | | | | 14 | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|-------------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of toxicity | Study principle | Study guideline (OECD/US EPA) or remarks | Reporting date | Species | Route of administration | Duration of exposure | Duration unit | Generatio n/Life stage | Sex (effect dose) | Lowest Effect dose | Effect type | Effect target |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 409 | 2005 | Dog | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | м | 20 | Organ histopathology | [Not in list] |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 409 | 2005 | Dog | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | м | 20 | Organ histopathology | Brain histopathology |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 409 | 2005 | Dog | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 19 | Clinical chemistry | T3 and T4 level |
| 2 Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Repeated Dose 28-Day Oral Toxicity in rodent | OECD 407 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 28 | Days | Adult | M+F | | No relevant effect observed | No relevant effects |
| 3 Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | In life observation | Growth |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | Organ Weight | Kidney weight |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | Organ Weight | Liver weight |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | м | 189 | Organ histopathology | Lung histopathology |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | Organ histopathology | Lung histopathology |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | F | 890 | In life observation | Systemic toxicity |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Chronic toxicity | OECD 452 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 1 | Years | Adult | м | 189 | Organ histopathology | Testis histopathology |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity S | OECD 453 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | м | 373 | Organ histopathology | Epididymis histopathology |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity S | OECD 453 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | м | 169 | Organ histopathology | Kidney histopathology |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity S | OECD 453 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | F | 229 | Organ histopathology | Kidney histopathology |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity S | OECD 453 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | м | 169 | Organ Weight | Kidney weight |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity S | OECD 453 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | F | 229 | Organ Weight | Kidney weight |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity S | OECD 453 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | м | 169 | Organ histopathology | Lung histopathology |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity S | OECD 453 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | F | 229 | Organ histopathology | Lung histopathology |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity S | OECD 453 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | F | 229 | In life observation | Systemic toxicity |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity S | OECD 453 | 2005 | Rat | Oral | 2 | Years | Adult | м | 373 | Organ histopathology | Testis histopathology |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Carcinogenicity | OECD 451 | 2005 | Mouse | Oral | 18 | Months | Adult | м | | No relevant effect observed | No relevant effects |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | Carcinogenicity | OECD 451 | 2006 | Mouse | Oral | 18 | Months | Adult | F | | No relevant effect observed | No relevant effects |
| Mammalian in vivo - Reproductive | Two-Generation Reproduction Toxicity | OECD 416 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 26 | Weeks | Adult (F0+F1) | м | 419 | In life observation | Body weight |
| Mammalian in vivo - Reproductive | Two-Generation Reproduction Toxicity | OECD 416 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 26 | Weeks | Adult (F0+F1) | F | 485 | In life observation | Body weight |
| Mammalian in vivo - Reproductive | Two-Generation Reproduction Toxicity | OECD 416 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 26 | Weeks | Adult (F0+F1) | м | 419 | In life observation | Food consumption |
| Mammalian in vivo - Reproductive | Two-Generation Reproduction Toxicity | OECD 416 | 2006 | Rat | Oral | 26 | Weeks | Adult (F0+F1) | F | 485 | In life observation | Food consumption |
| E Manmalian in size Bannahustian | Tue Connection Dependentian Textsile | OT CD 414 | 1000 | 0.4 | Oral | 16 | Weaks | 4.4.46 (71) | | 410 | Orma histoastkolaas | Wideon birton Akolony |

Can we find a simpler way to visualise all the data to facilitate data-analysis?



Data analysis: build a data-matrix





| Type of toxicity | Study ID Matrix | Effect type | Effect target | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 1 | Organ Weight | Adrenals weight | |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 1 | Organ histopathology | Adrenals histopathology | |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 1 | Organ Weight | Kidney weight | |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 1 | Organ histopathology | Kidney histopathology | |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 2 | In life observation | Haematological parameters | |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 2 | In life observation | Haematological parameters | |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 2 | Organ Weight | Kidney weight | |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 2 | Organ histopathology | Spleen histopathology | |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 2 | In life observation | Systemic toxicity | |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 2 | In life observation | Systemic toxicity | |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 3 | In life observation | Haematological parameters | |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 3 | Organ histopathology | Kidney histopathology | |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 3 | Organ Weight | Kidney weight | |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 4 | Organ Weight | Adrenals weight | |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 4 | In life observation | Growth | |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 4 | In life observation | Haematological parameters | |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 4 | In life observation | Haematological parameters | |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 4 | Organ Weight | Liver weight | |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 4 | Organ Weight | Liver weight | |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 4 | Clinical chemistry | id stimulating hormone (TSH) leve | |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 5 | No relevant effect observed | No relevant effects | |

| Endpoint 1 | Endpoint 2 | Endpoint 3 | Endpoint 4 | Endpoint 5 | Endpoint 6 |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| _ | _ | | _ | _ | _ |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

. . .

Study 1

Study 2

Study 3

Study 4





Data analysis: build a data-matrix

For each study, a bit string is constructed displaying all endpoints observed

| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 2 | In life observation | System. |
|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 2 | In life observation | Systemic toxicity |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 3 | In life observation | Haematological parameters |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 3 | Organ histopathology | Kidney histopathology |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 3 | Organ Weight | Kidney weight |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 4 | Organ Weight | Adrenals weight |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 4 | In life observation | Growth |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 4 | In life observation | Haematological parameters |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 4 | In life observation | Haematological parameters |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 4 | Organ Weight | Liver weight |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 4 | Organ Weight | Liver weight |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 4 | Clinical chemistry | id stimulating hormone (TSH) level |
| Mammalian in vivo - Repeated dose | 5 | No relevant effect observed | No relevant effects |





Data matrix

In vivo

tic

EATS

mechanis mechanis specific specific

EATS

adversity adversity be

In vitro

tic

Non-

(may or

Non-

specific specific specific

adversity adversity adversity

may not may not may not

be

(may or (may or

be

Non-

Information from 4 different columns of the template is summarised in each box:

- Effect direction
- Effect dose
- Effect description
- Effect determination

Study design

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | e of EATS) | e of EATS) | e of EATS) | | | | |
|-------|--------|------|----------------------------|---------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Study | Source | Year | Type of toxicity | Species | Dose unit | Route of administr ation | Exposure | Addition al remarks | Endpoint 1 | Endpoint 2 | Endpoint 3 | Endpoint 4 | Endpoint 5 | Endpoint 6 | Endpoint 7 | Endpoint 8 | Endpoint 9 | Endpoint 10 | Endpoint 11 |
| 1 | DAR | 1986 | Mammali an in vivo - | Dog | mg/kg bw/day | Oral | 1 Years | | | Decrease 500 | | | Decrease 500 | | | | Decrease 500 | Change 50 | Increase 500 |
| 2 | DAR | 1981 | Mammali an in vivo - | Mouse | mg/kg bw/day | Oral | 2 Years | | | | Change 100 | Change 100 | | | | | Decrease 100 | Change 100 | |
| 3 | TEDX | 2013 | In vitro | Mouse | μМ | | 18 hours | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | DAR | 1978 | Mammali an in vivo - | Rabbit | mg/kg bw/day | Oral | 13 Days | | | | | | | Increase 55 | Change 55 | Decrease 55 | Decrease 55 | | |



General General General General

adversity adversity adversity adversity



Data matrix

| Stur 1 | | Γh | e | da b | ata uil | a r t 1 | na fro | tr | In vitro | is he | eats a t | ut en | Non- specific adversity (may or | Non- specific adversity (may or | Non- specific adversity (may or | General | General | General | General ersit • 11 ease | y |
|-----------|------|------|----------------------------|---------|-----------------|------------|-----------|----|----------|----------|----------------|----------|--|--|--|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------------------------|---|
| 3 | TEDX | 2013 | - In vitro | Mouse | μΜ | | 18 hours | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | DAR | 1978 | Mammali an in vivo - | Rabbit | mg/kg bw/day | Oral | 13 Days | | | | | | | Increase 55 | Change 55 | Decrease 55 | Decrease 55 | | | |





Examples Data Matrix





Example 1

Endpoints specific of EATS pathways

General adversity





Example 2

Few endpoints that may or may not indicative of EATS

Most endpoints related to General adversity

| | | | | | | | | Non-specific adversity (may or may not be indicative of EATS) | | | | | S | (stomi | ic | |
|-------|--------|------|----------------------------|---------|-----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Study | Source | Year | Type of toxicity | Species | Dose unit | Route of administr ation | Exposure | Litter/pu p weight | No reproduc tive effects | Pup mortality | Body weight | Food consump tion | Зу | oxicit | | Systemic toxicity |
| 1 | DAR | 1990 | Mammali an in vivo | rat | mg/kg bw/day | Oral | 90 Days | | | | Decrense 33.7 | Decrense 33.7 | | | | Induction 38/4 |
| 2 | DAR | 1979 | Mammali an in vivo | rat | ppm | Oral | 90 Days | | | | Decrease 1500 ppm | Decrease 1500 ppm | | | \mathbf{i} | TGENVOIA |
| 3 | DAR | 1994 | - Mammali an in vivo | dog | ppm | Oral | 90 Days | | | | 1150 Decrease 24.6 basis for | 0150 | | | | Induction 24.6 (clinical |
| 4 | DAR | 1995 | Mammali an in vivo | dog | mg/kg bw/day | Oral | 1 Years | | | | Decrease 18.1 basis for | | | | Increase 20.4 (basis for | Induction 20.4 |
| 5 | DAR | 1982 | Mammali an in vivo | dog | mg/kg bw/day | Oral | 1 Years | | | | | | | | | nduction L5 clinical |
| 6 | DAR | 1994 | Mammali an in vivo | rat | mg/kg bw/day | Inhalat n | - | | | | | | | | | nduction 13.5 (clinical |
| 7 | DAR | 1999 | Mammali an in vivo | rat | mg/kg bw/day | Derma | Pup n | hortali | ity | | | | | | | Induction 100 |
| 8 | DAR | 1981 | Mammali an in vivo | rabbit | mg/kg bw/day | Derma | 1 | | | | | | | Induction 200 (Eocal | | Induction 200 (signs of |
| 9 | DAR | 1982 | Mammali an in vivo | rat | mg/kg bw/day | Oral | 2 Years | \frown | | | Decrease 75 (basis for | Decrease 75 (basis | | | | Induction 75 (clinical |
| 10 | DAR | 1982 | Mammali an in vivo | mouse | mg/kg bw/day | Oral | 101 Weeks | | | | Decrease 246 (basis for | | Induction 246 (thrombo | | | (chincar |
| 11 | DAR | 1991 | Mammali an in vivo | rat | mg/kg bw/day | Oral | 26 Weeks | Decrease 22.1 (reduced | | Increase 43.4 (DAP: | Decrease 22.1 (basis for | Decrease 22.1 (basis for | T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T | | | Induction 22.1 (clinical |
| 12 | DAR | 1982 | Mammali an in vivo | rat | mg/kg bw/day | Oral | 3 generati | Decrease 37.5 | | | Decrease 7.5 (basis | Decrease 7.5 (basis | | | | Chinean |
| 13 | DAR | 1990 | Mammali an in vivo | rat | mg/kg bw/day | Oral | 10 Day | | | | Decrease 25 (basis | Decrease 25 (transien | \mathbf{N} | | | Induction 25 Iclinical |
| 14 | DAR | 2005 | Mammali an in vivo | rat | | Oral | OD 6 - LD Days | Decrease 300ppm | | | Decrease 300ppm | Decrease 300ppm (basis fo | | | | Chinear |
| 15 | DAR | 1990 | Mammali an in vivo | rabi | | oiaht | Days | ∇T | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | DAR | 1978 | Mammali an in vivo | rabi | up w | cigit | Days | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | DAR | 1999 | Mammali an in vivo | rat | bw/day | | Weeks | | | | | | | Food | | Induction 26.3 (Increase |
| 18 | DAR | 1993 | Mammali an in vivo | rat | mg/kg bw/day | Oral | 13 | a alve su | a i a la t | | | | cons | sumpt | ion | Induction 65 (clinical |
| 19 | DAR | 1981 | Mammali an in vivo - | dog | mg/kg bw/day | Oral | 2 Y B(| oay w | eight | | Decrease 15 (basis for | | | • | | Induction 15 (neuroto |



Organising the data facilitates the analysis

| Study | Source | Year | Type of toxicity | Species | Dose unit | Route of administr ation | Exposure | Addition al remarks | Endpoint 1 | Endpoint 2 | Endpoint 3 | Endpoint 4 | Endpoint 5 | Endpoint 6 | Endpoint 7 | Endpoint 8 | Endpoint 9 | Endpoint 10 | Endpoint 11 |
|-------|--------|------|----------------------------|---------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1 | DAR | 1986 | Mammali an in vivo - | Dog | mg/kg bw/day | Oral | 1 Years | | | Decrease 500 | | | Decrease 500 | | | | Decrease 500 | Change 50 | Increase 500 |
| 2 | DAR | 1981 | Mammali an in vivo - | Mouse | mg/kg bw/day | Oral | 2 Years | | | | Change 100 | Change 100 | | | | | Decrease 100 | Change 100 | |
| 3 | TEDX | 2013 | In vitro | Mouse | μМ | | 18 hours | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | DAR | 1978 | Mammali an in vivo - | Rabbit | mg/kg bw/day | Oral | 13 Days | | | | | | | Increase 55 | Change 55 | Decrease 55 | Decrease 55 | | |







Chemical Selection





Scope of Chemical Selection

- 1. Chemicals to be screened take in account the following EU legislations:
 - Plant Protection Products Regulation (PPPR)
 - Biocides Products Regulation (BPR)
 - REACH Regulation
 - Cosmetics Regulation
 - Water Framework Directive (WFD)
- 2. ED IA analysis to be performed on about 700 chemicals
- 3. For the selected chemicals, gather mechanistic-toxicological data to then apply the four policy options for identifying EDs.





Principles for Chemical Selection

The selection of the substances considered time constraints and efficient use of public money. It was based on the following principles:

- Transparency
- Objectivity, securing that all possible scenarios are covered to assess the impact of the various options for criteria at least on a qualitative basis
- Consideration of availability of data, which are crucial for the screening assessment of ED properties.
- The selection should (as far as possible) not lead to a bias in the assessment of the four options.

For PPP and BPs, all approved substances were considered, and then non relevant substances were taken out from the list.

For REACH and Cosmetics, the list was started with substances where information and concerns were already identified. If the list ends up being longer than the available resources, a selection would be done randomly.

The substances falling under the **WFD** were covered by the selection under REACH, Cosmetics, PPPs and BPs and not listed separately.



Chemical selection: strategy

1st Step:

Compile the list of all relevant chemicals from PPPR and BPR

2nd Step:

Expand the initial list, by adding chemicals from REACH regulation, Cosmetics Regulation and WFD

3rd Step:

Cross-check if these chemicals are also listed in other regulatory / toxicological / NGO databases that can be used to collect further available mechanistic & toxicological data for the ED IA







Selection of chemicals under PPPR and BPR

All approved chemical active substances from EU Pesticides database (DG SANTE) and all Biocidal Active Substances (ECHA)

The following substances are not included:

- <u>Microorganisms</u> (living organisms, NOT chemicals)
- <u>Basic substances</u> (being substances of no concern and no inherent capacity to cause endocrine disrupting effects, and where the approval procedures follow particular rules)
- <u>Low risk substances</u> (defined in Annex II to Regulation (EC) 1107/2009 as, among others properties, not deemed to be an endocrine disruptor)
- <u>Natural extracts, mixtures, or repellents</u>
- <u>Attractants (pheromones) or plant hormones</u>
- Some inert substances, salts, acids






Selection of substances under REACH Regulation

- 1. All substances on the Candidate List already identified as SVHCs because of ED concerns under Art. 57(f)
- All substances for which an SVHC opinion on the identification of the substance as SVHC due to its endocrine disrupting properties was provided by the Member State Committee at ECHA
- 3. All substances on the Candidate list identified as SVHC because of reprotoxicity 1A/1B
- 4. All substances listed in Annex XVII for restrictions due to a ED concern or because of having a harmonised classification as reprotoxic 1A/1B







Selection of substances under REACH Regulation

- 5. All substances placed on CoRAP due to ED concern
- 6. All substances discussed in the Endocrine Disruptor Expert Group
- 7. Substances flagged as SIN list substances because of ED concerns excluding those which are pesticides, biocides and non-registered substances
- 8. Substances flagged as Category 1 and 2 in the Commission's priority list of substances for further testing of their role in endocrine disruption (EASIS) excluding pesticides, biocides and non-registered substances







Selection of substances under Cosmetics Regulation

- Most substances for which an opinion of the Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (SCCS) was provided, which contained a discussion on their endocrine disrupting potential
- Most substances for which an SCCS opinion was provided due to the their potential or de facto classification as CMR1A/1B or CMR2 under the CLP Regulation
- Most substances not classified as CMR but for which SCCS expressed some concern on toxicity endpoints
- Substances for which concern was raised by stakeholders / Member States on potential endocrine disrupting properties





3rd Step:

overlap of chemicals with other databases to collect additional data for ED IA

Cross-check if the chemicals selected from PPPR, BPR, REACH Regulation, Cosmetic Regulation and WFD are present in any of these databases/lists:

- US-EPA Endocrine Disruptors Screening Program
- ToxCast: database with in vitro data from US EPA
- EASIS
- Tedx: list of potential Eds
- SIN: list of potential EDs compiled by NGO ChemSec





Inventory of chemicals to be screened

| Chemical Name | CAS | pesticides | biocides | cosmetics | REACH | WFD | EDSP | SIN ED label | Tedx | EASIS | ToxCast |
|---------------|------|------------|----------|-----------|-------|-----|------|-----------------|------|-------|---------|
| Substance 1 | XXXX | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Substance 2 | xxxx | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Substance 3 | xxxx | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | |
| Substance 4 | xxxx | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Substance 5 | xxxx | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Substance 6 | XXXX | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Substance 7 | xxxx | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Substance 8 | xxxx | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Substance 9 | xxxx | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Substance 10 | xxxx | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Substance 11 | xxxx | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | |
| Substance 12 | xxxx | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | |

Indicate where to find relevant information to facilitate data-collection





Concluding Remarks





Concluding Remarks

Contractor applied methodology to sample subset (35 substances) to test practical operability

Fine tuning/adjustments were made according to feedback

- Methodology currently being applied in a phased manner to PPPs, Biocides and selection from REACH, cosmetic ingredients and priority substances under Water Framework Directive
- Strike appropriate balance between resources, time constraints and depth of analysis
- The JRC is continually supporting the contractor to ensure the faithful implementation of the methodology





Concluding Remarks

> To keep in mind:

- The results of the screening do not constitute in any event a list of recognised endocrine disruptors.
- Therefore, the results do not have any regulatory consequences, nor do they pre-empt any future decision regarding identification of a chemical substance as an endocrine disruptor.





Joint Research Centre (JRC)

The European Commission's in-house science service

תודה Dankie Gracias Спасибо Takk Merci Köszönjük Terima kasih Grazie Dziękujemy Dėkojame Dakujeme Vielen Dank Paldies Kiitos _ Täname teid 感謝您 Obrigado Teşekkür Ederiz 감사합니다 Σας ευχαριστούμε υουρα Bedankt Děkujeme vám ありがとうございます Tack

www.jrc.ec.europa.eu

Serving society - Stimulating innovation - Supporting legislation

DISCLAIMER: This presentation and its contents do not constitute an official position of the European Commission or any of its services. Neither the European Commission nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission is responsible for the use which might be made of this presentation or its contents

