steinbruek.doc

From: Hans-Joachim Steinbrueck [dr.steinbrueck@gmx.de]

Sent: 06 April 2008 22:33 To: NARHI Ulla (ENTR)

Subject: Legal Propos. o. Information to Pateints /publ.consult.

Dear Mrs. Narhi,

as I said, the website of "ec....pharmaceutals/index.."was not very informative, yet I allow to give a comment to the very interesting proposal:

1.) The key-institution on national level will be the "co-regulatory-bodies" (chapt. 5) in which, however, I am missing a most important group: The "Specialists societies", i. e. Hypertension-League, or "Society of cardiologists" etc. because they are those assessing the evidence based treatment rules including prescription-guidelines (but maybe they are involved in "healthcare professionals" (5 a). Anyway, they seem most important to me in such an authority.

2.) Hopefully the "Co -regulat. B." must not be a completely new institution but

2.) Hopefully the "Co -regulat. B." must not be a completely new institution but can be developed from an existing one, to avoid new and to much burocracy.

3.) I am missing anyhow the finance aspect: What does it cost to push or to suck information and mainly how will the "Co-reg.-B." be financed?

Anyway, the proposal seems good to me and I wish you a good luck; if I can assist you anyhow, please let me know. Very truly yours, H.-J. Steinbrueck (EOQ/FDP)

GMX startet ShortView.de. Hier findest Du Leute mit Deinen Interessen! Jetzt dabei sein: http://www.shortview.de/?mc=sv_ext_mf@gmx