Ministry of Economic Affairs and Communications, Estonia

– electronic contribution – rec. 376, 405, 432 - by Ministry of Economic Affairs and Communications

Question 1 - scope

Problem definition	Which option	Recommend option	Additional
			option

Question 2 – smokeless tobacco

Problem definition	Which option	Recommend option	Additional option

Question 3 – consumer info

Problem definition	Which option	Recommend	Additional
	_	option	option
No	No change		
In the view of the Ministry of Economic			
Affairs and Communications, imposing			
the mandatory use of standardised			
packaging for tobacco products would			
disproportionately encroach upon free			
trade and competition, making this an			
industrial property issue rather than a			
health issue. Implementing the principle			
of standardised packaging for tobacco			
products affects trademark law, which in			
today's global economy is important as a			
means to safeguard free trade and			
competition. Trademarks promote trade			
and economic efficiency, and are an			
important means of ensuring competition.			
It would be an unprecedented step in			
restricting industrial property rights.			
Trademarks are used to distinguish the			
goods or services of one individual from			
the similar goods or services of another.			
Implementing the proposed solution			
would mean that this primary objective			
would not be met, as the trademark itself			
does not incite anybody to consume			
tobacco products. Furthermore, such a			
change could bring about a situation			

where all combined and design tobacco		
product trademarks could be withdrawn		
through lack of use, thereby damaging		
the interests and rights of many		
trademark owners. Trademarks are not		
only words or a logo, but can also be		
colours and the shape and design of the		
packaging itself. Therefore, even if the		
principle of standardised packaging		
allowed for the possibility of using verbal		
trademarks on tobacco product		
packaging, it would nevertheless still		
restrict the use of other trademarks used		
by companies to distinguish their		
products from competitors' products and		
which consumers also use to distinguish		
products from each other. According to		
various international agreements (e.g.		
Articles 8(1), 15(4) and 20 of the TRIPS		
Agreement and Articles 6quinquies and 7		
of the Paris Convention), Community law		
and Estonian national law, trademark law		
is a form of ownership in respect of		
which owners can legitimately expect		
their rights to be protected and taken into		
account. Applying one-colour packaging		
to tobacco products may also lead to an		
increase in illegal trafficking. According		
to data from the Estonian Institute of		
Economic Research, illegal trafficking		
already accounts for 25-30% of all		
cigarettes sold in Estonia, resulting in		
EEK 807 million in uncollected tax		
revenue in 2009. One-colour packaging is		
probably easier to counterfeit and harder		
to distinguish from legal products, and		
may possibly lead to a larger counterfeit		
market		

Question 4 – reporting

Problem definition	Which option	Recommend option	Additional option
			•

Question 5 – ingredients

Problem definition	Which option	Recommend option	Additional option
Yes	Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients	Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients	The Ministry of Economic Affairs and Communications supports initiatives aimed at protecting public health. Any restriction on or prohibition of tobacco ingredients must be scientifically justified. Thorough scientific proof must be presented in respect of any ingredients up for prohibition demonstrating that they are toxic, attractive to young people and addictive. This measure would give the same flavour to all cigarettes sold in Estonia; this may push consumers to the illegal market, where the products they are used to are still available. Furthermore, banning various ingredients may give the consumer the false impression that the resultant tobacco products are less detrimental to health. This, in turn, could lead to the use of stronger tobacco products. Given the conditions of the EU internal market, we support the creation of a uniform negative common list to ensure the convergence of measures and the harmonious functioning of the internal market. A positive common list, however, would inhibit the arrival on the market of new (possibly less detrimental to health) products.

Question 6 – access to tobacco products

Problem	Which option	Recommend option	Additional option
definition			
Yes	No change	We support the proposal	A ban on displaying tobacco
		to ban the sale of tobacco	products could cost small

	products on the internet and at vending machines as one possible measure to restrict the availability of such products.	companies EEK 25-30 000. Small shops may not necessarily be able to afford such an investment and may have to close – a serious problem, for instance, in sparsely populated areas. Given that in Estonia a total of 2 838 shops sell tobacco products (plus other points of sale, such as 2 205 catering establishments), the retail sector investment could exceed EEK 190 million. Any such measure must be based on scientific research enabling the proportionality of the measure to the achievement of the planned objective to be assessed.
--	---	---