Overview of the national laws on electronic health records in the EU Member States and their interaction with the provision of cross-border eHealth services

Contract 2013 63 02

# Overview of the national laws on electronic health records in the EU Member States

# **National Report for Bulgaria**



This Report has been prepared by Milieu Ltd and Time.lex under Contract 2013 63 02.
This report was completed by Lia Champoeva. The views expressed herein are those of consultants alone and do not necessarily represent the official views of the Executive Agency Health and Consumers.
Milieu Ltd. (Belgium), rue Blanche 15, B-1050 Brussels, tel: +32 2 506 1000; fax: +32 2 514 3 florent.pelsy@milieu.be; web address: www.milieu.be.

# **Executive Summary**

#### 1. Stage of development of EHRs in Bulgaria

The Personalised Information System (PIS) is an electronic record system set in place by the National Health Insurance Fund (NHIF).

It is the only EHR initiative in Bulgaria that comprises the following elements: a framework present at national level, formalities on the data-hosting institution, modalities concerning the patient, and identification of health practitioners. The PIS was launched in 2009 and some of its modalities were extended in 2013 ensuring wider access and more services to its users.

Individual PIS records exist for every person covered under the Health Insurance Law in Bulgaria. They contain information on all their medical care performed during the last five years and covered by the NHIF. However, PIS records are created by the NHIF mainly with an informational and financial control purpose, and not as a tool to record and share electronic health data for medical purposes.

The Ministry of Health has launched a number of eHealth pilot projects but none of them led to the development of EHRs in Bulgaria.

#### 2. Summary of legal requirements applying to EHRs

There are no specific legal provisions applicable to PIS records. Therefore, general rules on health information, data protection, liability and secondary use apply to PIS records.

The NHIF has the obligation to provide to persons covered under the Health Insurance Law (ZZOL) access to all information on medical care concerning them and performed during the last five years that enters in the 'basic package' covered by the NHIF. The basic package includes general and specialist care, home and hospital care, prescription of medicinal products and dental care. Information provided in PIS records reaches back to 2009 with regard to medical care provided by general practitioners, medical specialists, hospitals, medical laboratories and pharmacies. Dental care information contained in PIS records only reaches back to 2012.

Bulgaria has detailed requirements applying to institutions hosting personal data. Pursuant to Article 5 of the Personal Data Protection Law, health data can be processed only under the conditions and for purposes provided by law. The NHIF and health practitioners in Bulgaria fall in the legal definition of 'administrator of personal data' (Administrator) and as such are subject to the Personal Data Protection Law's requirements. Administrators cannot begin collecting, hosting and processing personal data before being officially registered by the Commission for Personal Data Protection. The Commission controls Administrators' compliance of personal data protection requirements and can impose mandatory instructions on them.

ZZOLs can access to their PIS records by using an electronic signature or a unique access code. They can also grant access to their PIS records to health practitioners on a case-by-case basis. However, only health practitioners contracted by the NHIF have the right to access PIS records by using their electronic signatures and 'unique identification number', both given only to health practitioners that are members of the Bulgarian Medical Association. Therefore, health practitioners of another Member State cannot access PIS records.

Both ZZOLs and NHIF Partners have access to all of the PIS record content. ZZOLs cannot update, modify or erase information in their PIS record. If PIS records of ZZOLs contain incorrect information, ZZOLs can send complaints to a Regional Health Insurance Fund.

If errors occur in PIS records, health practitioners can be held liable for violation of their contract with the NHIF, as well as of the Health Insurance Law or of the National Framework Contract between the NHIF and the Bulgarian Medical Association. Health practitioners' professional liability and criminal liability can be engaged for breach of professional secrecy. Finally, both the NHIF and health practitioners can be held liable under the Personal Data Protection Law.

The NHIF has to keep all information related to ZZOLs for 5 years after the end of their national health insurance coverage. However, there are no specific rules neither about the data from PIS records at the end of the archiving duration nor a specific obligation to destroy PIS records. The NHIF is only obliged to provide access to ZZOLs to information on medical care performed during the last five years. Pursuant to Article 25 of the Personal Data Protection Law, after the Administrator has achieved the purpose of personal data processing, the Administrator is obliged to destroy the data or to transfer it to another Administrator. If an Administrator wants to store data for historical, statistical or scientific purposes, the data has to be anonymised and the Administrator has to inform the Commission for Personal Data Protection.

There are no legal obligations to develop interoperability of PIS records with other systems in Bulgaria, as these records are an initiative of the NHIF. All systems related to the NHIF are interoperable by using the same file format ('xml').

ePrescriptions do not exist in Bulgaria yet. However, the NHIF is planning to develop an ePrescription system by the end of 2014. This would imply legal and regulatory amendments.

#### 3. Good practices

PIS records exceeded their original purpose of finance control and became the first electronic record system in Bulgaria allowing patients to consult their medical history online, to grant access to their records to health practitioners and to receive notifications with preventive purposes (mandatory check-ups and vaccinations).

In its current architecture, the PIS could serve as foundation for the future development of EHRs in Bulgaria. Firstly, the Integrated Information System of the NHIF offers an already existing and extensive database as all the medical care reports of all health practitioners contracted by the NHIF – individual health practitioners, hospitals, laboratories, pharmacies – are centralised in it. Moreover, this database is regularly updated, on a daily or monthly basis, by NHIF Partners who are obliged to send their medical care reports in order to receive reimbursement. Secondly, individual PIS records already exist in Bulgaria for every ZZOL. Thirdly, the electronic format used by the NHIF (xml) is suited for future interoperability with other health systems in Bulgaria and possibly other EU Member States.

# 4. Legal barriers

The main obstacle for the development of EHRs in Bulgaria is the lack of legal framework. Every aspect of EHRs has yet to be regulated, as there are no specific provisions in place.

PIS records were not created with the purpose of being patients' official EHRs. As a NHIF initiative, their original purpose is financial control by the NHIF and by ZZOLs. For this reason, PIS records do not comply with health records standards and present a number of shortcomings.

eHealth and EHRs in Bulgaria have to be further developed by reorganising the existing system and by adopting new legal measures on the form, content, terms and conditions for the processing, use, access, sharing, transfer, storage and protection of electronic health information. A clear regulatory framework for standardisation and wider interoperability of information systems is a major component for EHRs development in Bulgaria and for cross-border transfers. In terms of cross-border healthcare, besides technical interoperability, the main barrier according to stakeholders is the language barrier.

# Contents

EXEC	UTIVE SUMMARY	III
CONT	ENTS	V
LIST C	OF ABBREVIATIONS	. VI
1. GE	NERAL CONTEXT	7
1.1.	EHR SYSTEMS IN PLACE	7
1.2.	INSTITUTIONAL SETTING	8
1.3.	LEGAL SETTING AND FUTURE LEGAL DEVELOPMENT	9
2. LE	GAL REQUIREMENTS APPLYING TO EHRS IN BULGARIA	. 12
2.1.	HEALTH DATA TO BE INCLUDED IN EHRS	12
2.1.1.	MAIN FINDINGS	12
2.1.2.	TABLE ON HEALTH DATA	13
2.2.	REQUIREMENTS ON THE INSTITUTION HOSTING EHRS DATA	18
2.2.1.	MAIN FINDINGS	18
2.2.2.	TABLE ON REQUIREMENTS ON THE INSTITUTIONS HOSTING EHRS DATA	19
2.3.	PATIENT CONSENT	. 25
2.3.1.	MAIN FINDINGS	25
2.3.2.	TABLE ON PATIENT CONSENT	. 26
2.4.	CREATION, ACCESS TO AND UPDATE OF EHRS	29
2.4.1.	MAIN FINDINGS	29
2.4.2.	TABLE ON CREATION, ACCESS TO AND UPDATE OF EHRS	. 30
2.5.	LIABILITY	38
2.5.1.	MAIN FINDINGS	. 38
2.5.2.	TABLE ON LIABILITY	. 40
2.6.	SECONDARY USES AND ARCHIVING DURATIONS	45
2.6.1.	MAIN FINDINGS	45
2.6.2.	TABLE ON SECONDARY USES AND ARCHIVING DURATIONS	. 46
2.7.	REQUIREMENTS ON INTEROPERABILITY OF EHRS	50
2.7.1.	MAIN FINDINGS	. 50
2.7.2.	TABLE ON INTEROPERABILITY OF DATA REQUIREMENTS	. 51
2.8.	LINKS BETWEEN EHRS AND EPRESCRIPTIONS	. 52
2.9.	OTHER REQUIREMENTS	. 54
	GAL BARRIERS AND GOOD PRACTICES FOR THE DEPLOYMENT OF EHRS IN BULGARIA D FOR THEIR CROSS-BORDER TRANSFER IN THE EU.	55

# List of abbreviations

Administrator Administrator of personal data under the Personal Data Protection

Law

BAPD Bulgarian Association for Patients' Defence

Commission Commission for Personal Data Protection

EDES Electronic Document and Electronic Signature Law

EHRs Electronic Health Records

IIS Integrated Information System

NHIF National Health Insurance Fund

NHIF Partner Health practitioner contracted by the National Health Insurance Fund

NSOPLB National Association of General Practitioners in Bulgaria

PIS Personalised Information System

UAC Unique Access Code

ZZO Health Insurance Law

ZZOL Person covered under the Health Insurance Law

# 1. General context

# 1.1. EHR systems in place

The Personalised Information System (Персонализирана информационна система) (PIS) is an electronic record system set in place by the National Health Insurance Fund (Национална здравноосигурителна каса) (NHIF - see below for more information)<sup>1</sup>.

It is the only EHR initiative in Bulgaria that comprises the following elements: a framework present at national level, formalities on the data-hosting institution, modalities concerning the patient and identification of health practitioners.

Other EHRs are being held in Bulgaria by health practitioners, hospitals and other institutions. They are, however, not designed to have a national scope nor a generalised shared access and are therefore not detailed in this study.

The Ministry of Health has launched a number of eHealth pilot projects<sup>2</sup> in Bulgaria but none of them led to the development of EHRs in Bulgaria.

#### PIS records

Under the Bulgarian Health Insurance Law (Закон за здравното осигуряване) (ZZO), the NHIF is responsible for implementing and providing mandatory health insurance. In this context, it is in charge of all persons subscribed to the national health insurance system i.e. 'all persons covered under the Health Insurance Law' (Задължителна здравноосигурени лица) (these persons are thereafter referred to as 'ZZOL'). Mandatory health insurance is provided to Bulgarian nationals since their date of birth, and to foreign citizens and individuals without nationality or refugees since their authorisation to reside in Bulgaria<sup>3</sup>.

The Ministry of Health has set a 'basic package' of medical care procedures and medicinal products covered entirely or partially by the NHIF budget<sup>4</sup>. This basic package is very wide and includes general and specialist care, home and hospital care, prescription of medicinal products and dental care. Health practitioners that provide medical care or prescribe medicinal products to ZZOLs are required to send monthly or daily medical care reports to the NHIF in order to receive reimbursement<sup>5</sup>. The reports are sent in an electronic format and are centralised in the Integrated Information System (Интегрирана информационна система) (IIS) of the NHIF<sup>6</sup>.

The NHIF has the obligation to provide access to every ZZOL to all information on medical care concerning them performed during the last five years<sup>7</sup>. In order to fulfil this obligation, the NHIF undertook the PIS initiative whereby it created an individual electronic record for every ZZOL in Bulgaria by using the medical care reports of health practitioners centralised in its IIS. The PIS was launched in 2009 and further extended (e.g. easier access, additional user services) in 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> PIS official portal: <a href="https://pis.nhif.bg/main">https://pis.nhif.bg/main</a> (last access March 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pilot project for the introduction of eHealth cards (2007), National Health Portal (2008), electronic personal ambulatory books (eLak) involving 40.000 state employees (2008), pilot projects on telemedicine.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Articles 33 and 34 of the ZZO.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Ordinance n° 40 of 24 November 2004 determining the basic package of health services covered by the NHIF budget (Наредба № 40 om 24.11.2004 г. за определяне на основния пакет от здравни дейности, гарантиран от бюджета на H3OK) (available at <a href="http://www.nhif.bg/web/guest/67">http://www.nhif.bg/web/guest/67</a>) (last access February 2014)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Article 64 of the ZZO.

PIS records are automatically created for every ZZOL in Bulgaria and the NHIF is not required to obtain the ZZOL's consent for their creation.

PIS records are accessible through a specific web portal (https://pis.nhif.bg/main) protected with a security encryption system. ZZOLs can access their PIS record by using a Unique Identification Code (Уникален код за достьп) or a Qualified Electronic Signature (Квалифициран електронен подпис) (see Section 2.4).

PIS records contain the following information: general information about the ZZOL, allergies, vaccinations, risk groups, check-ups, dispensaries, prescribed medicinal products, history of medical check-ups, lab exams, medical procedures, implants, hospitalisations, and dentistry. PIS records are automatically updated with the daily or monthly medical care reports of the NHIF Partners. Information provided reaches back to 2009 with regard to medical care provided by general practitioners, medical specialists, hospitals, medical laboratories and pharmacies. Dental care information only reaches back to 2012.

ZZOLs have full access to their PIS records but cannot change or hide any health information. They can grant access to their PIS records to health practitioners on a case-by-case basis. The PIS allows ZZOLs to receive an e-mail or SMS notification whenever a health practitioner has accessed their PIS records and/or the record is being updated via the medical care report of health practitioners. ZZOLs can also choose to receive a notification when their next mandatory check-up or vaccination is due<sup>8</sup>.

The general rules on the content of medical health records detained by health practitioners are set in Articles 27 and 28 of the Health Law (3ακομ за здравето). These rules are however not applicable to the existing PIS records as they are not considered as the patients' official health record<sup>9</sup>. The NHIF explicitly states on the PIS portal that PIS records are not an official document<sup>10</sup>. Indeed, PIS records are created by the NHIF mainly with an informational and financial control purpose, and not as a tool to record and share electronic health data for medical purposes. In addition, PIS records include information only on medical care that is part of the NHIF 'basic package' when provided by a health practitioner contracted by the NHIF (thereafter referred to as 'NHIF Partner').

#### 1.2. Institutional setting

The main competent authorities responsible for the development and functioning of PIS records in Bulgaria are:

#### The National Health Insurance Fund (NHIF)<sup>11</sup>

The NHIF was founded in 1999 as an independent public institution when mandatory health insurance was introduced in Bulgaria. The NHIF is regulated by the Health Insurance Law.

The NHIF has a central structure and 28 regional structures entitled Regional Health Insurance Funds (Районна здравно-осигурителна каса) (RHIF).

The NHIF is responsible for implementing and providing mandatory health insurance to all ZZOLs in Bulgaria, and is both the creator and host of the existing PIS records. The NHIF enters directly in contracts with individual health practitioners, hospitals and pharmacies (NHIF Partners) that provide medical care to ZZOLs who are paying their contributions to the NHIF.

available at <a href="http://www.nhif.bg/c/document\_library/get\_file?uuid=092c7f4b-9bfc-4b18-b68b-">http://www.nhif.bg/c/document\_library/get\_file?uuid=092c7f4b-9bfc-4b18-b68b-</a> description 03562fccb938&filename=pis3.pdf&groupId=10139 (last access March 2014).

Interview with the NSOPLB on 20 February 2014 and with the Bulgarian Association for Patients' Defence (Българска *Acoquaquя за Закрила на Пациентите*)(BAPD)on 21 February 2014.

10 'Rights of Use of the PIS', available at <a href="https://pis.nhif.bg/pis-main\_files/Rights">https://pis.nhif.bg/pis-main\_files/Rights</a> of use.htm (last access February 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Official website: www.nhif.bg.

# The Ministry of Health<sup>12</sup>

The Ministry of Health (Министерство на здравеопазването) is responsible for public health and the organisation of the healthcare system. As such, it is responsible for amending and adopting new regulations, proposing legal changes and implementing the National Healthcare Strategy (Национална здравна стратегия).

#### The Bulgarian Medical Association<sup>13</sup>

The Bulgarian Medical Association (Български лекарски съюз) is the professional union of health practitioners in Bulgaria. It holds the registry of health practitioners and is responsible for applying the Codes of professional ethics. The Bulgarian Medical Association participates in the elaboration of healthcare policies.

The NHIF and the Bulgarian Medical Association establish together a National Framework Contract on a yearly basis. The National Framework Contract sets the NHIF Partners' obligations as well as the requirements on medical care reports (e.g. the content of reports, or the periodicity of reporting). NHIF Partners' medical care reports are the main component of PIS records.

# The Commission for Personal Data Protection<sup>14</sup>

The Commission for Personal Data Protection (Комисия за защита на личните данни) is the institution responsible for implementing the Personal Data Protection Law (Закон за защита на личните данни).

The NHIF and health practitioners in Bulgaria fall under the legal definition of 'Administrators of personal data' (администратор на лични данни) (thereafter referred to as 'Administrator') and are subject to the Personal Data Protection Law's requirements.

The Commission for Personal Data Protection holds the registry of Administrators and regularly controls the effective protection of personal data.

#### 1.3. Legal setting and future legal development

There are no specific provisions with regard to the development and implementation of EHRs in the current Bulgarian regulatory framework.

The 2008 Electronic Governance Law (Закон за електронното управление) sets requirements for administrative authorities when working with electronic documents. It also regulates the electronic provision of public services and the exchange of electronic documents between administrative authorities. However, the PIS developed by the NHIF does not constitute an 'e-service' in the sense of this law and therefore falls outside of its scope<sup>15</sup>.

The PIS is the NHIF's initiative and is therefore not set up by any law. As a result, PIS records are regulated by the general health and data protection laws and regulations.

Article 63 of the ZZO provides that the NHIF is obliged to build an information system containing a registry of ZZOLs and NHIF Partners. As a result, in 2008, the NHIF created its Integrated

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Official website: www.mh.government.bg.

<sup>13</sup> Official website: www.blsbg.com.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Official website: <a href="https://www.cpdp.bg">https://www.cpdp.bg</a> .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Article 4 of the Internal NHIF rules of 7 June 2013 on the issuing of a UAC for the PIS (available at: <a href="http://www.nhif.bg/c/document library/get file?p1">http://www.nhif.bg/c/document library/get file?p1</a> id=58538&folderId=11374&name=DLFE-7903.pdf ) (last access February 2014).

Information System (IIS) containing all relevant registries, contracts with NHIF Partners and medical care reports sent by NHIF Partners.

The PIS was the next stage of development of the Integrated Information System<sup>16</sup>. Pursuant to Article 64 of the ZZO, every ZZOL must have access to all information on their medical care and performed during the last five years that enters in the NHIF basic package. In order to fulfil this obligation, in 2009, the NHIF decided to use the centralised medical care information in the IIS and create an individualised PIS record for every ZZOL. The system was largely extended in 2013 making it more easily accessible and including additional services for the users.

The NHIF hopes to further develop the PIS services by including an ePrescription system by the end of  $2014^{17}$ . This will however involve legal and regulatory amendments (see Section 2.8).

In terms of interoperability requirements, review of the relevant legislation is awaited<sup>18</sup>. This should widen the interoperability of health care systems in Bulgaria and further develop eHealth policies.

With regard to the future legal development on eHealth in Bulgaria, no specific developments seem to be planned<sup>19</sup>. Moreover, the Ministry of Health did not participate in the stakeholder interviews undertaken for this study, and as a result it was not possible to shed light on the stages of development of existing strategies and projects, nor on the Ministry's intentions to develop new specific legal or regulatory measures on eHealth.

In the 2007-2013 National Health Strategy, the creation of an integrated system for electronic data exchange was set as a priority<sup>20</sup>. In 2011, the Ministry of Health launched the creation of a 'Base for health information system' (*База за здравно-информационна система* – БаЗИС) (BaZIS) that was due to be finalised in October 2013 (NB. finalisation of BaZIS has not occurred at the time of writing this study)<sup>21</sup>. BaZIS's purpose is to provide the conceptual framework for eHealth in Bulgaria and 'improve health services through the application of innovative technologies in order to optimise the process efficiency in the health system at all levels'.

The 2014-2020 National Health Strategy has not been officially adopted yet. The draft Strategy<sup>22</sup> sets eHealth development as a priority and foresees the creation of a single integrated information system that will lay down the ground for EHRs and ePrescriptions. The Strategy project envisages the development of the following measures:

- mandatory standards for health information and statistics,
- policies and interoperability of healthcare information systems for all providers of health care, including in order to improve cross-border use of health records of patients,
- national health information system and providing public access to the system through electronic ID.
- electronic health cards,
- electronic health records,
- security measures for data protection.

http://www.mh.government.bg/Articles.aspx?lang=bg-BG&pageid=468&categoryid=4662&articleid=4329 (last access March 2014).

http://www.mh.government.bg/Articles.aspx?lang=bg-BG&pageid=393&categoryid=6465 (last access March 2014).

Overview of national legislation on EHR in Bulgaria / 10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Interview n°1 with the Bulgarian Medical Association on 17 February 2014 and with the BAPD on 21 February 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> National Health Strategy for 2007-2013 available at www.mh.government.bg.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>Project available at:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Draft available at:

## List of relevant legislative and regulatory instruments

■ Health Insurance Law (Закон за здравното осигуряване)<sup>23</sup>

The Health Insurance Law regulates mandatory and voluntary health insurance in Bulgaria. It sets the NHIF's functioning and obligations.

■ Health Law (*Закон за здравето*)

The Health Law<sup>24</sup> regulates the social relations associated with the health of citizens. It also sets the general rules on health information and documentation.

Personal Data Protection Law (Закон за защита на личните данни)

The Personal Data Protection Law<sup>25</sup> regulates the hosting and processing of personal data. It sets legal requirements for the Administrators and provides control rights to the Commission for Personal Data Protection.

• Electronic Document and Electronic Signature Law (Закон за електронния документ и електронния подпис)

The Electronic Document and Electronic Signature Law<sup>26</sup> regulates the provision of electronic signature.

 National Framework Contracts (Национален рамков договор за медицинските дейности между Националната здравноосигурителна каса и Българския лекарски съюз и Национален рамков договор за денталните дейности между Националната здравноосигурителна каса и Българският зъболекарски съюз).

The National Framework Contract for medical activity and the National Framework Contract for dental activity are adopted on a yearly basis between the NHIF and the Bulgarian Medical Association and the Bulgarian Dental Association<sup>27</sup>. They regulate the NHIF Partners obligations.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Published in the State Gazette on 19 June 1998, last amended on 3 January 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Published in the State Gazette on 10 August 2004, last amended on 3 January 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Published in the State Gazette on 4 January 2002, last amended on 15 February 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Published in the State Gazette on 6 April 2001, last amended on 21 December 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> National Framework Contracts for 2014 available at <a href="http://www.nhif.bg/web/guest/65">http://www.nhif.bg/web/guest/65</a> (last access March 2014).

# 2. Legal requirements applying to EHRs in Bulgaria

#### 2.1. Health data to be included in EHRs

#### 2.1.1. Main findings

There are no specific rules defining the content of Personalised Information System (PIS) records.

The NHIF has the obligation to provide access to ZZOLs to all information on medical care concerning them and performed during the last five years that enters in the 'basic package' covered by the NHIF<sup>28</sup>. The basic package includes general and specialist care, home and hospital care, prescription of medicinal products and dental care.

Information provided reaches back to 2009 with regard to medical care provided by general practitioners, medical specialists, hospitals, medical laboratories and pharmacies. Dental care information only reaches back to 2012<sup>29</sup>.

Each PIS record is divided in the following 16 categories: general information about the ZZOL, allergies, vaccinations, risk groups, check-ups, dispensaries, registered general practitioners, medicinal products, prescription book, history of medical check-ups, lab exams, medical procedures, implants, hospitalisations, dentistry, protocols. PIS records are automatically updated with the daily or monthly medical care reports of the NHIF Partners. They however do not include thorough description of the actual diagnosis of doctors (e.g. patient summaries), which does not allow to record in details the patient individual's medical history. PIS records use a coding system, based on the International Classification of Diseases, which provides diagnostic codes for diseases, including nuanced classifications depending on a variety of criteria, symptoms, complications, abnormal findings and others. Besides the classification codes, medical care reports of NHIF Partners also contain a brief description of patient's health state, the prescribed exams and the imposed treatment. These descriptions, however, are not included in PIS records. In case of hospitalisation, PIS records contain nevertheless the epicrisis (*enuκpusa*) which is an analytical report of the medical case history.

In all administrative matters, including healthcare, citizens in Bulgaria are individually identified by a 'uniform civil number' (единен граждански номер (ЕГН)) generated from the person's date of birth. Foreigners in Bulgaria are identified by a 'personal number of a foreigner' (личен номер на чужденец) or a 'service number of a foreigner' (служебен номер на чужденец) depending on their residency status. The NHIF and PIS records both use these numbers of identification.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Article 64 of the ZZO.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> PIS description available at <a href="http://www.nhif.bg/c/document\_library/get\_file?uuid=092c7f4b-9bfc-4b18-b68b-03562fccb938&filename=pis3.pdf&groupId=10139">http://www.nhif.bg/c/document\_library/get\_file?uuid=092c7f4b-9bfc-4b18-b68b-03562fccb938&filename=pis3.pdf&groupId=10139</a> (last access March 2014).

#### 2.1.2. Table on health data

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
Are there specific rules on the content of EHRs? (or regional provisions, agreements, plans?)	ZZO, Articles 63 (last amended 18 December 2009) and 64 (last amended 17 December 1999)	There are no specific rules defining the content of Personalised Information System (PIS) records.  Pursuant to Article 64 of the Health Insurance Law (Закон за здравното осигуряване - 33O) (ZZO) every Person covered under the ZZO (задължително здравно осигурено лице - 33OЛ) (ZZOL) must have access to all information on medical care concerning them performed during the last five years that enters in the 'basic package' covered by the NHIF <sup>30</sup> .  As a result, medical care information concerning every ZZOL is centralised by the NHIF in individualised PIS records <sup>31</sup> .  A PIS record, therefore, contains information on all medical care provided and drugs prescribed by NHIF Partners to a ZZOL.  The PIS was created in 2009, and following its extension in 2013, each PIS record contains the following information:  - Medical care provided by general practitioners, medical specialists, hospitals, medical laboratories and pharmacies since 2009;  - Dental care provided since 2012.  Each PIS record is divided in the following 16 categories <sup>32</sup> :  - general information about the ZZOL  - allergies  - vaccinations

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Ordinance n° 40 of 24 November 2004 determining the basic package of health services covered by the NHIF budget (*Наредба №* 40 *om 24.11.2004 г. за определяне на основния пакет от здравни дейности, гарантиран от бюджета на H3OK*) (available at <a href="http://www.nhif.bg/web/guest/67">http://www.nhif.bg/web/guest/67</a>) (last access February 2014).

<sup>31</sup> Internal NHIF rules of 7 June 2013 on the issuing of a UAC for the PIS (Вътрешни правила по издаване на уникален код за дость до Персонализираната информационна система на H3OK) (available at: <a href="http://www.nhif.bg/c/document\_library/get\_file?p\_1\_id=58538&folderId=11374&name=DLFE-7903.pdf">http://www.nhif.bg/c/document\_library/get\_file?p\_1\_id=58538&folderId=11374&name=DLFE-7903.pdf</a>) (last access February 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> 'Guidelines on the use of medical records by ZZOLs' - <a href="http://www.president.bg/docs/1352303612.pdf">http://www.president.bg/docs/1352303612.pdf</a> and PIS record available at <a href="https://pis.nhif.bg">https://pis.nhif.bg</a> (last access February 2014).

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
		<ul> <li>risk groups</li> <li>check-ups</li> <li>dispensaries</li> <li>registered general practitioners</li> <li>medicinal products</li> <li>prescription book</li> <li>history of medical check-ups</li> <li>lab exams</li> <li>medical procedures</li> <li>implants</li> <li>hospitalisations</li> <li>dentistry</li> </ul>
Are these data restricted to purely medical information (e.g. physical or mental health, well-being)?		<ul> <li>protocols.</li> <li>PIS records are not strictly limited to purely medical information.</li> <li>As such, a PIS record also contains general information about the ZZOL: <ul> <li>national identification number (единен граждански номер ЕГН) used for identifying Bulgarian citizens (or 'personal number' or 'service number' for foreigners (see table below)),</li> <li>possession of a European health insurance card<sup>33</sup>,</li> <li>consent to organ donations,</li> <li>contact information (address, phone numbers, e-mail, contact person).</li> </ul> </li> <li>A PIS record also contains information about the ZZOL's current general practitioner (identification number, contact information)<sup>34</sup>.</li> <li>Moreover, PIS records have a section 'Notifications' where a ZZOL can choose to receive a notification by e-mail or by a phone text message when</li> <li>the PIS record has been consulted by an authorised NHIF</li> </ul>

European Health Insurance Card - <a href="http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?catId=559">http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?catId=559</a> (last access February 2014).

34 'Guidelines on the use of PIS records by ZZOLs' - <a href="http://www.president.bg/docs/1352303612.pdf">http://www.president.bg/docs/1352303612.pdf</a> (last access February 2014).

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
		Partner and when it has been updated (see <u>Section 2.4.2</u> ).  the next mandatory check-up or vaccination is due <sup>35</sup> .
		A ZZOL has the possibility to change general practitioner directly via the PIS record. For that purpose, as well as for the purpose of granting access to a NHIF Partner (see Section 2.4.2), PIS records contains the list of all NHIF Partners.
Is there a definition of EHR or		There is no legal definition of PIS records.
patient's summary provided in the national legislation?	amended 1 <sup>st</sup> January 2000)	Rather, PIS records are defined by which information ZZOLs should have access to. Pursuant to Article 64 of the ZZO, every ZZOL is entitled to receive information from the NHIF on 'all available information on the medical care used during the last five years, as well as its cost' (see above).
Are there any requirements on the content of EHRs (e.g. detailed requirements on specific health data or general reference to health		As explained above, a PIS record is based on the obligation for the NHIF to provide information for all medical care provided to a ZZOL in the last five years <sup>36</sup> .
data)?		Ordinance n°40 of 24 November 2004 <sup>37</sup> determines the basic package of health services covered by the NHIF budget. The basic package includes general and specialist care, home and hospital care, prescription of medicinal products and dental care. Information relevant to the listed services and drugs is provided in the PIS.
Are there any specific rules on the use of a common terminology or coding system to identify diseases,	ZZO, Article 66 (last amended 15 November 2002)	·

Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

36 Article 64 of the ZZO.

37 Ordinance n° 40 of 24 November 2004 determining the basic package of health services covered by the NHIF budget (available at <a href="http://www.nhif.bg/web/guest/67">http://www.nhif.bg/web/guest/67</a>) (last access February 2014).

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
disorders, symptoms and others?		The coding system is provided on a yearly basis as an annex to the National Framework Contract <sup>38</sup> established between the NHIF, the Bulgarian Medical Association and the Bulgarian Dental Association.
		The NHIF uses the International Statistical Classification of Diseases set by the World Health Organisation <sup>39</sup> .
Are EHRs divided into separate categories of health data with different levels of confidentiality (e.g. data related to blood type is		Information in PIS records is not divided into separate categories with different levels of confidentiality. This possibility is foreseen by the NHIF but there is no legal ground for implementing it <sup>40</sup> .
less confidential than data related to sexual diseases)?		At the moment, therefore, the content of the entire record becomes available upon access irrespective of the individual that accesses the PIS record (see Section 2.4.2).
Are there any specific rules on identification of patients in EHRs?		In Bulgaria, every citizen is identified by a 'uniform civil number' (единен граждански номер (ЕГН)) generated from the person's date of birth. It is a unique 10-digit number that serves as national identification number.
		ZZOLs are also identified by their uniform civil number in all health-related matter <sup>41</sup> . For instance, health practitioners use this uniform civil number to identify patients whom they have provided medical care to. Health practitioners also use it in their medical care reports sent to the NHIF <sup>42</sup> .

<sup>38</sup> Annex n°3 'Basic Medical Documents' to the 2014 National Framework Contract (available at: <a href="http://www.nhif.bg/web/guest/233">http://www.nhif.bg/web/guest/233</a>) (last access February 2014).
39 Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.
40 Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.
41 Interview with the NHIF on 6 February 2014.
42 Interview n°1 with the Bulgarian Medical Association on 17 February 2014.

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
		As a result, the NHIF centralises health information for every ZZOL by using the uniform civil number <sup>43</sup> . Foreigners residing in Bulgaria are identified either by a 'personal number of a foreigner' (личен номер на чужденец), or by a 'service number of a foreigner' (служебен номер на чужденец) <sup>44</sup> depending on their residency status.
Is there is a specific identification		No, there is no specific identification number for eHealth purposes.
number for eHealth purposes?		

<sup>43</sup> Interview n°1 with the Bulgarian Medical Association on 17 February 2014 and interview with the National Union of General Practitioners in Bulgaria (Национално сдружение на общопрактикуващите лекари в България)(NSOPLB) on 20 February 2014.

Article 6 of the Internal NHIF rules of 7 June 2013 on the issuing of a UAC for the PIS (available at: <a href="http://www.nhif.bg/c/document\_library/get\_file?p\_1\_id=58538&folderId=11374&name=DLFE-7903.pdf">http://www.nhif.bg/c/document\_library/get\_file?p\_1\_id=58538&folderId=11374&name=DLFE-7903.pdf</a>) (last access February 2014).

#### 2.2. Requirements on the institution hosting EHRs data

#### 2.2.1. Main findings

Bulgaria has detailed requirements applying to institutions hosting personal data in its Personal Data Protection Law based on Directive 95/46/EC<sup>45</sup> on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data<sup>46</sup>.

Pursuant to Article 5(1) of the Personal Data Protection Law, health data cannot be processed unless it fulfils the conditions and purposes specified in Article 5(2).

The NHIF and health practitioners in Bulgaria fall in the legal definition of 'administrator of personal data' (thereafter referred to as 'Administrator') and as such are subject to the Personal Data Protection Law's requirements.

Administrators cannot begin collecting, hosting and processing personal data before being officially registered by the Commission for Personal Data Protection<sup>47</sup> (thereafter referred to as 'Commission'). Administrators have to prove compliance with the requirements of the Personal Data Protection Law in their application to the Commission. Moreover, the Commission considers that health data is 'sensitive data' and performs a prior control of Administrators before admitting them to the Administrators Registry.

Administrators must take appropriate technical and organisational measures to protect the data against accidental or unlawful destruction or accidental loss, unauthorised access, modification or disclosure, and against other unlawful forms of processing<sup>48</sup>. As required by the Data Protection Law, the Commission adopted Ordinance n°1 of 30 January 2013 establishing the minimum level of technical and organisational measures for personal data protection which further details requirements applicable to Administrators.

Administrators are required to set deadlines for conducting periodic reviews on the need to continue processing personal data or to delete it<sup>49</sup>. They also have to periodically review the level of protection of technical and organisational measures<sup>5</sup>

The Commission controls Administrators' compliance of personal data protection requirements<sup>51</sup>. The Commission can impose mandatory instructions to Administrators and temporarily forbid the processing of personal data. As part of its controlling duties, the Commission elaborates a yearly control action plan designating a number of Administrators that will be subject to controls<sup>52</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 October 1995 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (available at http://eurlex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:1995;281:0031:0050:EN:PDF).

Interview with the Commission for Personal Data Protection on 6 March 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Article 17 of the Personal Data Protection Law.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Article 23 of the Personal Data Protection Law.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Article 23 of the Personal Data Protection Law.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Article 11 of Ordinance n°1 of 30 January 2013 of the Commission for Personal Data Protection on the minimum level of technical and organisational (available at https://www.cpdp.bg/?p=element&aid=632) (last access February 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Articles 10 and 12 of the Personal Data Protection Law.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Interview with the Commission for Personal Data Protection on 6 March 2014.

# 2.2.2. Table on requirements on the institutions hosting EHRs data

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
Are there specific national rules about the hosting and management of data from EHRs?	Personal Data Protection Law, Articles 2 (last amended 18 October 2011) and 5 (last amended 25 December 2005)	There are no specific national rules on the hosting and management of data from PIS records. Therefore, the general rules for hosting and managing health data apply.
	ZZO, Articles 63 (last amended 18 December 2009) and 64 (last amended 17 December 1999)	According to Articles 63 and 64 of the ZZO, the NHIF has to keep information about all medical and dental care provided to a ZZOL. According to the Personal Data Protection Law <sup>53</sup> (Закон за защита на личните данни (ЗЗЛД), the legal definition of personal data includes health information.
		As a result, the Personal Data Protection Law considers the NHIF as an administrator of personal data (администратор на лични данни) (Administrator) subject to the Law's requirements <sup>54</sup> . Every health practitioner is also considered an Administrator <sup>55</sup> .
		Under the Personal Data Protection Law, personal data can only be used and gathered for purposes defined by law <sup>56</sup> . The Personal Data Protection Law further requires personal data to be precise, updated and to contain no errors <sup>57</sup> .
		The identification of a person whose data is collected should be possible only for the period that is necessary for the purposes for which personal data is being processed <sup>58</sup> .

Article 5 of the Personal Data Protection Law.
 Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.
 Interview with the Commission for Personal Data Protection on 6 March 2014.
 Article 2(2)4° of the Personal Data Protection Law.
 Article 2(2)5° of the Personal Data Protection Law.
 Article 2(2)6° of the Personal Data Protection Law.

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
		Pursuant to Article 5(1) of the Personal Data Protection Law, health data cannot be processed unless it fulfils the conditions and purposes specified in Article 5(2).
Is there a need for a specific authorisation or licence to host and process data from EHRs?	Personal Data Protection Law, Articles 7 (last amended 10 November 2006) to 18 (last amended 10 November 2006)	The general rules for hosting and managing personal data are provided in the Personal Data Protection Law.  Administrators cannot begin collecting, hosting and processing personal data before being officially registered as Administrators <sup>59</sup> .
	To November 2000)	Therefore, before commencing the processing of personal data, the Administrator has to send an application to the Commission for Personal Data Protection (Комисия за защита на личните данни) (Commission) requesting registration on the Personal Data Administrators Register <sup>60</sup> .
		The application contains:  1. information identifying the Administrator and its representatives, if any;  2. the purposes of processing personal data;  3. the categories of individuals whose data are processed, and the categories of personal data related to them;  4. the recipients to whom the personal data may be disclosed;  5. the proposed provision of data in other countries;  6. general description of the data protection measures <sup>61</sup> .
		The Commission is a collegial body consisting of a chairman and four members. The members of the Commission and its chairman are elected by the Bulgarian National Assembly ( <i>Народното събрание</i> ) upon a proposal by the Council of Ministers. They are elected for a period of five years and may be reappointed for another term <sup>62</sup> .

<sup>59</sup> Interview with the Commission for Personal Data Protection on 6 March 2014.
60 Article 17 of the Personal Data Protection Law.
61 Article 18 of the Personal Data Protection Law.
62 Article 7 of the Personal Data Protection Law.

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
		In the application, the Administrator needs to prove compliance with the requirements of the Personal Data Protection Law <sup>63</sup> . The Commission can ask for complementary proof and information <sup>64</sup> .
		Moreover, the Commission considers that health data is 'sensitive data' and as such it performs a prior control of the Administrator before admitting them to the Administrators Registry <sup>65</sup> . The Personal Data Protection Law explicitly states that the Commission has to perform a prior control of the Administrator within two months of the application when the personal data processing could disclose information on a person's ethnic origin <sup>66</sup> .
		14 days after the application is made, the Commission registers the Administrator in the Administrators Registry <sup>67</sup> . The Administrator receives a certificate with a registry identification number.
		Pursuant to Articles 10 and 12 of the Personal Data Protection Law, the Commission has the right to perform controls on Administrators. After each control, the Commission drafts a report evaluating the Administrator's compliance with the legal obligations.
		The Commission can give mandatory instructions related to personal data protection and has the right to temporarily forbid the processing of personal data if the provisions of the Personal Data Protection Law have not been complied with.
		The Commission establishes the minimum level of technical and

<sup>63</sup> Article 17(3) and (5) of the Personal Data Protection Law.
64 Interview with the Commission for Personal Data Protection on 6 March 2014.
65 Interview with the Commission for Personal Data Protection on 6 March 2014.
66 Article 17b of the Personal Data Protection Law.
67 The NHIF Administrators Registry Application is N° 3 – 10115958; the number of certificate issuef by the Commission is N°52412. The Administrators registry is public and is available at <a href="https://www.cpdp.bg/?p=rubric&aid=12">https://www.cpdp.bg/?p=rubric&aid=12</a> upon registration on the web-site (registration formalities available at <a href="https://www.cpdp.bg/files\_eRALD\_new/ukazanija\_eRALD\_new.pdf">https://www.cpdp.bg/?p=rubric&aid=12</a> upon registration on the web-site (registration formalities available at <a href="https://www.cpdp.bg/files\_eRALD\_new/ukazanija\_eRALD\_new.pdf">https://www.cpdp.bg/?p=rubric&aid=12</a> upon registration on the web-site (registration formalities available at <a href="https://www.cpdp.bg/files\_eRALD\_new/ukazanija\_eRALD\_new.pdf">https://www.cpdp.bg/files\_eRALD\_new/ukazanija\_eRALD\_new.pdf</a>).

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
		organisational measures. It also sets out admissible types of data protection measures <sup>68</sup> .
Are there specific obligations that apply to institutions hosting and managing data from EHRs (e.g. capacity, qualified staff, or technical tools/policies on security confidentiality)?	Law, Article 23 (last amended 18 October	According to the general rules on personal data hosting and processing, provided in Article 23 of the Personal Data Protection Law, every Administrator must take appropriate technical and organisational measures to protect the data against accidental or unlawful destruction or accidental loss, unauthorised access, modification or disclosure, and against other unlawful forms of processing.  The Administrator sets deadlines for conducting periodic reviews on the need to continue processing personal data or to delete personal data <sup>69</sup> .  The Administrator has to take special protection measures when the processing involves the electronic transmission of data <sup>70</sup> .  All data protection measures have to be consistent with modern technological progress and provide an appropriate level of security compared to the risks represented by the processing of data, as well as the nature of the data protected <sup>71</sup> . Administrators have to perform periodic reviews of the level of protection of the technical and organisational measures <sup>72</sup> .  Pursuant to Article 23(5) of the Data Protection Law, the Commission establishes by ordinance the minimum level of technical and organisational measures and admissible type of data protection measures.  As a result, the Commission adopted Ordinance n°1 of 30 January 2013

<sup>68</sup> Articles 10 and 23 of the Personal Data Protection Law.
69 Article 23(1) of the Personal Data Protection Law.
70 Article 23(2) of the Personal Data Protection Law.
71 Article 23(3) of the Personal Data Protection Act.
72 Article 11 of Ordinance n°1 of 30 January 2013 of the Commission for Personal Data Protection on the minimum level of technical and organisational (*Hapedóa № 1 om 30 януари 2013 г. за минималното ниво на технически и организационни мерки и допустимия вид защита на личните данни*) (available at <a href="https://www.cpdp.bg/?p=element&aid=632">https://www.cpdp.bg/?p=element&aid=632</a>) (last access February 2014).

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
In particular, is there any obligation to have the information included in EHRs encrypted?	Ordinance n°1 of 30 January 2013	on the minimum level of technical and organisational measures. The Ordinance describes the following type of protection measures <sup>73</sup> :  - physical protection (e.g. access to the buildings, offices and desks of the Administrators),  - personal protection (e.g. knowledge of data protection laws, training of staff, signing of confidentiality agreements),  - document protection (e.g. determination of the paper registers containing the data protection, regulation of the access to the registers, the transfer and deletion of personal data),  - automated information systems and networks protection (e.g. authentification, surveillance, remote control, archiving duration),  - encrypted protection (e.g. standards for encrypted protection of operational systems and data registers).  There is no specific obligation to have the information included in a PIS record encrypted.  As mentioned above, encrypted information is one type of protection measure listed by Ordinance n°1 of 30 January 2013 of the Commission for Personal Data Protection on the minimum level of technical and
Are there any specific auditing requirements for institutions hosting and processing EHRs?		organisational measures.  At the time being, the connection between the PIS portal and the ZZOL's Internet browser is encrypted by using SSL <sup>74</sup> . The online service is protected with WS Security (XML I Security XML Encryption) <sup>75</sup> .  There are no specific auditing requirements for institutions hosting and processing EHRs.  As mentioned above, pursuant to Articles 10 and 12 of the Personal Data Protection Law, the Commission controls Administrators' compliance

<sup>73</sup> Ordinance n°1 of 30 January 2013 of the Commission for Personal Data Protection on the minimum level of technical and organisational measures (available at <a href="https://www.cpdp.bg/?p=element&aid=632">https://www.cpdp.bg/?p=element&aid=632</a>) (last access February 2014).
74 'Rights of Use of the PIS', available at <a href="https://pis.nhif.bg/pis-main\_files/Rights\_of\_use.htm">https://pis.nhif.bg/pis-main\_files/Rights\_of\_use.htm</a> (last access February 2014).
75 Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
		with personal data protection requirements. The Commission can also impose mandatory instructions on Administrators.
		<ul> <li>The Commission performs controls in the following situations:</li> <li>before the Administrator is registered as such, the Commission performs a prior control if the Administrator wants to process sensitive data;</li> <li>when the Commission receives a complaint from an individual;</li> <li>when the Commission has issued mandatory instructions to particular Administrators<sup>76</sup>.</li> </ul>
		As part of its controlling duties, the Commission elaborates a yearly control action plan designating a number of Administrators that will be subject to controls <sup>77</sup> .
		Moreover, the Administrator is required to set deadlines for conducting periodic reviews on the need to continue processing personal data or to delete it <sup>78</sup> . Administrators also have to perform periodic reviews of the level of protection of technical and organisational measures <sup>79</sup> .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> Interview with the Commission for Personal Data Protection on 6 March 2014.

<sup>77</sup> Interview with the Commission for Personal Data Protection on 6 March 2014.

<sup>78</sup> Article 23 of the Personal Data Protection Law.

<sup>79</sup> Article 11 of Ordinance n°1 of 30 January 2013 of the Commission for Personal Data Protection on the minimum level of technical and organisational (available at <a href="https://www.cpdp.bg/?p=element&aid=632">https://www.cpdp.bg/?p=element&aid=632</a> ) (last access February 2014).

#### 2.3. Patient consent

#### 2.3.1. Main findings

The NHIF automatically creates PIS records for every ZZOL. The NHIF is not required to inform ZZOLs of the existence and the purpose of PIS records. After the system's extension in 2013, the NHIF organised a number of media campaigns and conferences in order to inform the population of PIS records<sup>80</sup>.

There are no specific national rules on consent from ZZOLs to create, share or process PIS records. ZZOLs have full access to their PIS records. In practice, ZZOLs and can grant access to health practitioners on a case-by-case basis. This requirement (to grant access on a case-by-case basis) is not established in the law.Only NHIF Partners may have access to PIS records. NHIF Partners access PIS records by using their electronic signatures and their 'unique identification number', both given only to health practitioners that are members of the Bulgarian Medical Association<sup>81</sup>. Therefore, health practitioners of another Member State cannot access PIS records.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

<sup>81</sup> Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

# 2.3.2. Table on patient consent

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
Are there specific national rules on consent from the patient to set-up EHRs?		There is no specific rule in Bulgaria on consent from ZZOLs to set up a PIS record <sup>82</sup> .
		As the NHIF possess information on all medical care provided to ZZOLs, the NHIF automatically creates an individual PIS record for every ZZOL.
Is a materialised consent needed?		No consent is needed for the creation of PIS records.
Are there requirements to inform the patient about the purpose of EHRs and the consequences of the consent or withholding consent to create EHRs?		There are no requirements to inform the patient about the purpose of PIS records and the consequences of the consent or withholding consent to create PIS records <sup>83</sup> .
Are there specific national rules on consent from the patient to share EHRs data?		There are no specific national rules on consent from the patient to share PIS record data.
		However, the PIS interface allows a ZZOL to grant access to her/his PIS record to a NHIF Partner on a case by case basis. A ZZOL can also remove this authorisation to access at any time <sup>84</sup> (see Section 2.4.2).
Are there any opt-in/opt-out rules for patient consent with regard to processing of EHRs?		There are no opt-in/opt-out rules for ZZOL consent with regard to processing of her/his PIS record.
Are there any opt-in/opt-out rules for patient consent with regard to sharing of EHRs?		There are no opt-in/opt-out rules for ZZOL consent with regard to sharing PIS record.
		Once a ZZOL grants access to a NHIF Partner to her/his PIS record, all information updated on the record is available. The patient is only able to grant and remove access to her/his PIS record to a given health practitioner.
Are there requirements to inform the patient about the purpose of EHRs		There are no requirements to inform the patient about the purpose of PIS records <sup>85</sup> .

Region 182 Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.
Region 2014.
Regio

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
and the consequences of consent or withholding consent on the sharing of EHRs?  Can the patient consent to his/her EHRs being accessed by a health practitioner or health institution		The PIS portal webpage describes the system's purpose as allowing ZZOLs to access information on all medical care provided to them by NHIF Partners <sup>86</sup> .  The PIS is a NHIF initiative and contains only information about the medical care provided by a health practitioner contracted by the NHIF to a person covered under the ZZO.
outside of the Member State (cross-border situations)?		Therefore, only ZZOLs and NHIF Partners have the right to access PIS records. NHIF Partners access PIS records by using their electronic signatures and 'unique identification number', both given only to health practitioners that are members of the Bulgarian Medical Association and (see Section 2.4.2).
		Moreover, a ZZOL can grant access right to her/his PIS record only to health practitioners that are in the NHIF registry.
		However, as a ZZOL has access to her/his own PIS record, the ZZOL may provide access to a health practitioner by disclosing her/his details and access code or by logging in directly on the health practitioner's computer. The PIS portal introductory page specifies however that the information contained in the PIS is intended for ZZOL's personal use only, and that the NHIF bears no responsibility should a ZZOL provides information from her/his PIS record to another person <sup>88</sup> .
Are there specific rules on patient consent to share EHRs data on a cross-border situation?		There are no specific rules on patient consent to share PIS records data on a cross-border situation.  The PIS is a NHIF initiative and, therefore, a ZZOL can grant access right to her/his PIS record only to health practitioners that are in the NHIF

<sup>86 &#</sup>x27;Rights of Use of the PIS', available at <a href="https://pis.nhif.bg/pis-main\_files/Rights">https://pis.nhif.bg/pis-main\_files/Rights</a> of use.htm (last access February 2014).

87 Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

88 'Rights of Use of the PIS', available at <a href="https://pis.nhif.bg/pis-main\_files/Rights">https://pis.nhif.bg/pis-main\_files/Rights</a> of use.htm (last access February 2014).

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
		registry. Moreover, every health practitioner is identified in the PIS with a 'unique identification number' <sup>89</sup> that is given only to health practitioners that are members of the Bulgarian Medical Association (see <u>Section 2.4.2</u> ).
		However, the ZZOL may provide access to a health practitioner by disclosing her/his details and access code or by logging in directly on the health practitioner's computer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> Article 112 of the National Framework Agreement between the NHIF and the Bulgarian Medical Association for 2014.

#### 2.4. Creation, access to and update of EHRs

#### 2.4.1. Main findings

The NHIF automatically creates individual PIS records for every ZZOL.

ZZOLs can access their PIS records by using an electronic signature or a unique access code (UAC). The issuing of electronic signature is regulated by the Electronic Document and Electronic Signature Law. Only 'providers of certification services' registered at the Commission for Regulation of Communications can provide electronic signatures.

In 2013 the NHIF introduced the possibility of access by UAC in order to facilitate access to PIS records, ZZOLs can request their UAC at each Regional health insurance fund (RHIF). The RHIF can issue UACs only to ZZOLs in person or to a third party holding an official certified procurement. In their capacity of legal guardians, ZZOLs can use their own UAC to access the PIS record of children under 18 under their care.

The UAC can be deactivated when it has been compromised or when the ZZOL requests it 90. The PIS record, however, is not deleted.

Only ZZOLs that access their PIS record by using an electronic signature can grant access to their PIS record to a NHIF Partner. This possibility is not given to ZZOLs accessing their PIS record through UAC. ZZOLs can grant access either until a specific date or for a period of one, three, six or 12 months. ZZOLs can also remove the access right given to a NHIF Partner at any time. In terms of identification of health practitioners, every individual health practitioner is identified in the PIS with a 'unique identification number'91. This number is given to all health practitioners members of the Bulgarian Medical Association.

NHIF Partners are however not entitled to update the PIS records directly. They are automatically updated with information from the medical care reports sent by NHIF Partners on a daily or monthly basis.

Both ZZOLs and NHIF Partners have access to all of the PIS record content. ZZOLs cannot update, modify or erase information in their PIS record. If PIS records contain incorrect information, ZZOLs can send complaints to a RHIF<sup>92</sup>.

ZZOLs can choose to receive a notification when their PIS record has been accessed and/or updated by an authorised health practitioner. They can also choose to receive a notification when their next mandatory check-up or vaccination is due.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> Article 13 of the Internal NHIF rules on the issues of a UAC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> Article 112 of the National Framework Afrrement between the NHIF and the Bulgarian Medical Association for 2014.

<sup>92</sup> Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

# 2.4.2. Table on creation, access to and update of EHRs

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
		Article 64 of the ZZO only states that ZZOLs have the right to access information on the medical care they received in the last 5 years.
Are there any specific national rules		There is, however, no specific rule about creating a PIS record such as how and where it can be created.
regarding who can create and where can EHRs be created?		PIS records are part of the centralised information system of the NHIF. They are automatically generated and updated based on the electronic reports NHIF Partners are legally required to send to the NHIF. These records are stored in the IIS of the NHIF that also contains all relevant
		registries of ZZOLs and health practitioners.
Are there specific national rules on access and update to EHRs?	Electronic Document and Electronic Signature Law (last amended 21 December 2011)	There are specific rules on access to PIS records and their update.  Access by the ZZOL
		There are two methods for a ZZOL to access her/his PIS record:
		- Electronic signature
		From 2009 until April 2013, a ZZOL could access her/his PIS record only by using a 'qualified electronic signature'.  The issuing of such an electronic signature is regulated by the Electronic Document and Electronic Signature Law (Закон за електронния документ и електронния подпис) (EDES Law).
		Only 'providers of certification services' registered at the Commission for Regulation of Communications (Комисия за регулиране на съобщенията) can create electronic signatures. The criteria (e.g. qualified personnel, secured systems, financial stability) that need to be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>93</sup> Electronic Document and Electronic Signature Law (*Закон за електронния документ и електронния подпис*) (available at <a href="http://www.crc.bg/section.php?lang=bg&id=72">http://www.crc.bg/section.php?lang=bg&id=72</a>) (last access February 2014).

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
		fulfilled by the providers, as well as their legal obligations are set in Articles 19 to 22 of the EDES Law.
		At the moment, only the five providers on the Register of Providers of Certification Services <sup>94</sup> are authorised to issue qualified electronic signatures.
		- Unique access code
		In order to facilitate and promote access to the PIS record, in April 2013 the NHIF introduced the possibility to access the PIS by the means of a unique access code (UAC).
		The Internal NHIF rules of 7 June 2013 on the issuing of a unique access code for the Personalised Information System <sup>95</sup> regulate the new access system.
		ZZOLs can request their UAC to each Regional Health Insurance Fund (RHIF) <sup>96</sup> . The RHIF can issue UACs only to ZZOLs in person or to a third party holding an official certified procurement <sup>97</sup> .
		In Bulgaria, every citizen is identified by a 'uniform civil number' (единен граждански номер (ЕГН)) generated from the person's date of birth. Each UAC, a combination of 10 letters and numbers, is associated to the citizen's uniform civil number <sup>98</sup> . Therefore, each access code is unique for every ZZOL.

Register of Providers of Certification Services: <a href="http://crc.bg:8080/dpls/apex/f?p=923:310:5715425272544763">http://crc.bg:8080/dpls/apex/f?p=923:310:5715425272544763</a> (last access February 2014).

Internal NHIF rules of 7 June 2013 on the issuing of a UAC for the PIS (available at: <a href="http://www.nhif.bg/c/document\_library/get\_file?p\_1\_id=58538&folderId=11374&name=DLFE-7903.pdf">http://crc.bg:8080/dpls/apex/f?p=923:310:5715425272544763</a> (last access February 2014). ) (last access February 2014).

<sup>96</sup> Article 5 of the Internal NHIF rules of 7 June 2013 on the issuing of a UAC for the PIS (available at: <a href="http://www.nhif.bg/c/document\_library/get\_file?p\_1\_id=58538&folderId=11374&name=DLFE-7903.pdf">http://www.nhif.bg/c/document\_library/get\_file?p\_1\_id=58538&folderId=11374&name=DLFE-7903.pdf</a>) (last access February 2014).

97 Articles 10 and 11 of the Internal NHIF rules on the issues of a UAC.

98 Article 6 of the Internal NHIF rules on the issues of a UAC.

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
		The UAC can be deactivated when it has been compromised or when the ZZOL requests it 99. The PIS record, however, is not deleted.
		Access to a minor's PIS record
		The internal NHIF rules of 7 June 2013 on the issuing of a unique access code for the Personalised Information System set specific access rules regarding minors' PIS records.
		As explained above, every ZZOL can receive a UAC to access her/his PIS record. In their capacity of legal representatives, ZZOLs can use their personal UAC to access the PIS record of children under 18 under their care. Legal guardians can use their personal UAC to access the minor's PIS record only if they are the one that had chosen the minor's general practitioner and registered the minor under the practitioner's care <sup>100</sup> .
		When the child reaches 18 years old, s/he can request her/his personal UAC. As a result, the legal representatives access to the child's PIS record is automatically removed.
		Access granted to health practitioners
		ZZOLs that access their PIS record by using an electronic signature can grant access to their PIS record to a NHIF Partner. Hence, at the moment, the large majority of ZZOLs who access their PIS record through UAC, do not have this possibility <sup>101</sup> .
		ZZOLs give the right to access on a case by case basis – the ZZOL has to enter each health practitioner's name and identification number.

<sup>99</sup> Article 13 of the Internal NHIF rules on the issues of a UAC. 100 Article 7 of the Internal NHIF rules on the issues of a UAC. 101 Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
	8	For that purpose, PIS records contain a list of all NHIF Partners and ZZOLs can research a health practitioner by region, by name or by identification number <sup>102</sup> .  ZZOLs can grant access either until a specific date or for a period of one, three, six or 12 months <sup>103</sup> .  ZZOLs are also allowed to remove the access right given to a NHIF
		Partner at any time. PIS records list all NHIF Partners that are granted access and ZZOLs have to simply click on "End Access" in order to end the sharing of their PIS record.
		Update of PIS records
		PIS records are automatically updated with information extracted from the medical care reports sent by NHIF partners.
		The NHIF Partners' reporting obligations are set in the National Frame Agreements between the NHIF and the Bulgarian Medical Association and the Bulgarian Dental Association.
		NHIF Partners must send the reports to the RHIFs in an electronic form on a daily basis for hospitals and on a monthly basis for individual health practitioners <sup>104</sup> .
		The reports describe all medical care and medicinal products that NHIF Partners have provided or prescribed to ZZOLs.
Are there different categories of		Consultation of a PIS record is possible, subject to the access
access for different health		authorisation of a ZZOL, by NHIF Partners <sup>105</sup> .

Guidelines on the use of medical records by ZZOLs' - <a href="http://www.president.bg/docs/1352303612.pdf">http://www.president.bg/docs/1352303612.pdf</a> (last access February 2014).

Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

Interview tithe the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

Guidelines on the use of medical records by ZZOLs' - <a href="http://www.president.bg/docs/1352303612.pdf">http://www.president.bg/docs/1352303612.pdf</a> (last access February 2014).

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
professionals?		Once access has been granted, the health practitioner can access all information in a PIS record. ZZOLs cannot hide any of the information
Are patients entitled to access their EHRs?		contained in their PIS record <sup>106</sup> .  ZZOLs can access their PIS record via a portal created for that purpose on the NHIF website.
		As explained above, ZZOLs can access their PIS records by using an electronic signature or by introducing a UAC delivered by a RHIF.
Can patient have access to all of EHR content?		The patient has access to all information updated by the NHIF on her/his PIS record <sup>107</sup> .
Can patient download all or some of EHR content?		The content of the PIS record cannot be downloaded, but the ZZOL can copy-paste the information from the screen.
Can patient update their record, modify and erase EHR content?		ZZOLs cannot update, modify or erase information in their PIS record <sup>108</sup> .
		The information in PIS records is generated automatically from the medical care reports sent to the NHIF by NHIF Partners.
		<ul> <li>ZZOLs can make the following changes directly in their PIS record:</li> <li>Change her/his contact information (phone number, e-mail address)</li> <li>Change her/his general practitioner</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Choose to receive a notification for the next mandatory check-up or vaccination (by email or by a phone text message)</li> <li>Choose to grant or remove access to the PIS record to a health practitioner</li> </ul>
		- Choose to receive a notification when her/his PIS record has been accessed an authorised health practitioner by and/or

<sup>106</sup> Interview with the NSOPLB of 20 February 2014.
107 Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.
108 Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
		updated via medical care report of a NHIF Partner <sup>109</sup> .
		If PIS records of ZZOLs contain incorrect information, ZZOLs can send complaints to a RHIF <sup>110</sup> .
Do different types of health professionals have the same rights to update EHRs?		As explained above, health practitioners do not directly update the PIS records.
to upatite ETIKS:		The information in a PIS record is automatically updated when health practitioners send their medical care reports to the NHIF.
		PIS records are also updated with pharmacists' reports when ZZOLs buy drugs fully or partially covered by the NHIF.
Are there explicit occupational prohibitions (e.g. insurance companies/occupational physicians)?		There are no explicit occupational prohibitions <sup>111</sup> .
Are there exceptions to the access		There are no exceptions to the access requirements <sup>112</sup> .
requirements (e.g. in case of emergency)?		Health practitioners can access PIS records only upon authorisation by ZZOLs.
		It should be kept in mind that PIS records are mainly an informative tool allowing ZZOLs to view their medical care history and are created by NHIF with a reporting and financial purpose.
Are there any specific rules on		In terms of identification of health practitioners, every individual health
identification and authentication for health professionals?		practitioner is identified in the PIS with a 'unique identification number' 113. This number is given to all health practitioners members of

Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

110 Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

111 Interview n°1 with the Bulgarian Medical Association on 17 February 2014.

112 Interview n°2 with the Bulgarian Medical Association on 17 February 2014.

113 Article 112 of the National Framework Afrrement between the NHIF and the Bulgarian Medical Association for 2014.

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
Or are they aggregated?		the Bulgarian Medical Association. Hospitals <sup>114</sup> and pharmacies are identified in the PIS with their 'registration number'.
		In order to access the PIS interface allowing access to authorised PIS records, the health practitioner has to be registered in the PIS portal as a 'provider of medical care' 115. The health practitioners must identify themselves by using an 'advanced electronic signature' integrating the practitioner's unique identification number 116.
		<ul> <li>When health practitioners access the PIS, they can view<sup>117</sup></li> <li>Their own PIS record (in their quality of ZZOL)</li> <li>PIS records of minors (in their quality of legal representatives)</li> <li>PIS records of patients that have granted them access (in their quality of health practitioners).</li> </ul>
Does the patient have the right to		ZZOLs have the right to know who has accessed their PIS record.
know who has accessed to his/her EHRs?		Every ZZOL can choose to receive an e-mail or phone text message notification when an authorised health practitioner is accessing her/his PIS record and when the PIS record is being updated via medical care report of a NHIF Partner <sup>118</sup> .
Is there an obligation on health professionals to update EHRs?	,	Health practitioners do not update directly the PIS record.
projessionais to update EHKs:		However, pursuant to Article 55 of the ZZO and Chapter X of the 2014 National Framework Agreement, NHIF Partners are obliged to send daily or monthly reports to the NHIF with all medical activity provided to ZZOLs.
		Following those centralised reports, PIS records of ZZOLs are automatically updated.

Article 170 of the National Framework Afrrement between the NHIF and the Bulgarian Medical Association for 2014.

Guidelines on the use of medical records by ZZOLs', p.13 - <a href="http://www.president.bg/docs/1352303612.pdf">http://www.president.bg/docs/1352303612.pdf</a> (last access February 2014).

Hit 'Guidelines on the use of medical records by ZZOLs', p.13 - <a href="http://www.president.bg/docs/1352303612.pdf">http://www.president.bg/docs/1352303612.pdf</a> (last access February 2014).

Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
Are there any provisions for		There are no provisions for accessing a PIS record on behalf of and for
accessing data on 'behalf of' and for		request for second opinion <sup>119</sup> .
request for second opinion?		
		When ZZOLs grant access to their PIS records, their unique
		identification number identifies health practitioners.
Is there in place an identification		There is no identification code system for cross-border healthcare
code system for cross-border		purposes <sup>120</sup> .
healthcare purpose?		
Are there any measures that		There are no measures that consider access to PIS records from health
consider access to EHRs from health		practitioners in another Member State <sup>121</sup> .
professionals in another Member		
State?		Only health practitioners members of the Bulgarian Medical Association
		and possessing a unique identification number and 'advanced electronic
		signature' can access PIS records upon authorisation by the ZZOL <sup>122</sup> .

Interview with the NSOPLB on 20 February 2014.

Interview n°1 with the Bulgarian Medical Association on 17 February 2014.

Interview with the NSOPLB on 20 February 2014.

Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

### 2.5. Liability

#### 2.5.1. Main findings

The national legislation does not set specific medical liability requirements related to the use of PIS records.

As explained above, PIS records are automatically updated with information from the reports that health practitioners send to the NHIF in order to get payment for their medical care. Errors in PIS records originate therefore from errors made in the health practitioners reports either by negligence or for financial benefit. Health practitioners can be held liable for violation of their contract with the NHIF, of the ZZO or of the National Framework Contract between the NHIF and the Bulgarian Medical Association.

In Bulgaria, different types of medical liability coexists that may be cumulated depending on circumstances. The general rules and legal principles on medical negligence and malpractice in Bulgaria can be summarised as follows.

### Contractual Liability (Договорна отговорност)

Doctors bear civil contractual liability for misconduct or neglect of duties when providing medical care<sup>123</sup>. The contractual liability covers the material damages suffered by the patient as a result of poor medical care. Moral damages are excluded.

#### **Tort** (Деликтна отговорност)

When a doctor's conduct violating legal medical obligations and best practices causes damage to a patient, the patient is entitled to damages in tort. This type of liability encompasses all material and moral damages caused to the patient and directly arising from the illegal actions of the doctor<sup>124</sup>. The patient has the burden of proof of the fault causing harm, the causality and the type of damage suffered.

#### **Criminal liability** (Наказателна отговорност)

Health practitioners' criminal liability can be engaged for the most serious medical misconducts, e.g. ignorance or negligence causing a patient's death<sup>125</sup> or non-providence of medical care<sup>126</sup>. Breach of professional secrecy can also engage health practitioners' criminal liability<sup>127</sup>.

#### Administrative liability

#### Health Law

Patients can make complaints to Regional Health Centres in case of violation of their rights protected by the Health Law<sup>128</sup>. The complaint is forwarded to the Bulgarian Medical Association or the RHIF. For instance, health practitioners are liable to fines when they violate the legal requirements regarding the form, content, conditions for use, processing, analysis, storage and delivery of medical records<sup>129</sup>.

#### Personal Data Protection Law

In their quality of Administrators of personal data, health practitioners, have to comply with the Personal Data Protection Law. Every individual whose data rights have been violated can make a complaint to the Commission for Personal Data Protection<sup>130</sup> or go in front of the administrative

<sup>123</sup> Article 79 and Article 82 of the Law on Obligations and Contracts Act (Закон за задълженията и договорите).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>124</sup> Articles 45 to 49 of the Law on Obligations and Contracts Act.

<sup>125</sup> Article 123 of the Penal Code (Наказателен кодекс).

Article 141 of the Penal Code.

<sup>127</sup> Article 145 of the Penal Code.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>128</sup> Article 93 of the Health Law (Закон за здравето).

<sup>129</sup> Article 228 of the Health Law.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 130}$  Article 38 of the Personal Data Protection Law.

 $courts^{131}$ . Administrators of personal data violating their obligations are sanctioned with pecuniary sanctions or fines<sup>132</sup>.

## **Professional Liability**

Health practitioners are liable for violating the Codes of professional ethics<sup>133</sup>. The Bulgarian Medical Association can reprimand health practitioners, impose fines or erase them from the health practitioners register for a certain period<sup>134</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>131</sup> Article 39 of the Personal Data Protection Law.

<sup>132</sup> Article 42 of the Personal Data Protection Law.

<sup>133</sup> Code for professional ethics (Кодекс на професионалната етика), Code for professional ethics of dentists (Кодекс за професионалната етика на лекарите по дентална медицина).

134 Article 38 of the Law on the Professional Associations of Doctors and Dentists (Закон за съсловните организации на

лекарите и лекарите по дентална медицина) and Article 13 of the Law on Administrative Violations and Sanctions (Закон за администратибните нарушения и наказания).

## 2.5.2. Table on liability

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
Does the national legislation set specific medical liability requirements related to the use of EHRs?		There are no specific medical liability requirements related to the use of PIS records in Bulgarian legislation.  One should keep in mind that one of the PIS' main aims is financial control. Moreover, health practitioners can consult PIS records only if the patient has granted them access and, even if they have access, health practitioners are not obliged to consult PIS records when treating a patient Therefore, the conditions of use and obligations regarding medical information and records, as defined by Article 27 the Health Law, are not applicable to PIS records.
		However, some of the general liability rules are applicable for the misuse of a PIS records.
		Personal Data Protection Law Every health practitioner is subject to obligations set in the Personal Data Protection Law in their capacity of Administrators (see Section 2.2).
		Every individual whose data rights were violated can make a complaint to the Commission <sup>136</sup> or go in front of the administrative courts <sup>137</sup> . The Commission has the right to perform controls on the Administrators <sup>138</sup> . Administrators violating their legal obligations are liable to pecuniary sanctions or fines <sup>139</sup> .

Interview n°2 with the Bulgarian Medical Association of 17 February 2014.

Article 38 of the Personal Data Protection Law.

Article 39 of the Personal Data Protection Law.

Articles 10 and 12 of the Personal Data Protection Law.

Article 42 of the Personal Data Protection Law.

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
		Criminal liability Breach of professional secrecy can also engage medical practitioners' criminal liability 140.
		Professional liability Pursuant to Article 52 of the Code of professional ethics, professional secrecy covers all information and documentation relevant to a patient. The Bulgarian Medical Association can reprimand health practitioners, impose fines or erase them from the health practitioners register for a certain period for any lack of compliance with the Code of professional ethics <sup>141</sup> .
		Liability towards the NHIF The NHIF can hold liable health practitioners for errors in their reports. Pursuant to Article 105c(1) of the ZZO, a health practitioner that violates the requirements regarding medical or financial documentation, except for cases of obvious factual errors, is liable to fines of BGN 50 to 150 <sup>142</sup> .
Can patients be held liable for erasing key medical information in EHRs?		Patients are not able to erase medical information from their PIS records.
Can physicians be held liable because of input errors?	ZZO, Article 105c (last amended 18 December 2009)	As PIS records are automatically updated with information from the health practitioners' reports sent to the NHIF, input errors in PIS records originate from these reports.
		Health practitioners' reporting obligations are detailed in the National Framework Contract between the NHIF and the Bulgarian Medical Association.
		If a NHIF Partner has not filled in a report correctly, the NHIF will investigate if it was done by negligence or for the purpose of financial benefit <sup>143</sup> .

Article 145 of the Penal Code.

141 Article 38 of the Law on the Professional Associations of Doctors and Dentists and Article 13 of the Law on Administrative Violations and Sanctions.

142 I.e. approximately EUR 25 to 75.

143 Articles 72 to 79 of the ZZO.

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
Can physicians be held liable because	ZZO, Article 105c (last	Pursuant to Article 105c of the ZZO, health practitioners that violate the requirements regarding medical or financial documentation are liable to fines of BGN 50 to 150 <sup>144</sup> , except for cases of obvious factual errors.  Same as above.
they have erased data from the EHRs?	amended 18 December 2009)	
Are hosting institutions liable in case of defect of their security/software systems?	Personal Data Protection Law, Article 42(9) (last amended 10 November 2006)	According to the general rules for hosting and managing personal data, provided in Article 23 of the Personal Data Protection Law, every Administrator must take appropriate technical and organisational measures to protect the data against accidental or unlawful destruction or accidental loss, unauthorised access, modification or disclosure, and against other unlawful forms of processing.
		The Administrator has to take special protection measures when the processing involves the electronic transmission of data. These measures have to be consistent with modern technological advances and provide a level of security appropriate to the risks represented by the processing of data, as well as the nature of the protected data.
		Pursuant to Article 42(9) of the Personal Data Protection Law, Administrators are liable to fines of BGN 500 to 5,000 <sup>145</sup> for all violation of their legal obligations.
Are there measures in place to limit the liability risks for health professionals (e.g. guidelines, awareness-raising)?		The national legislation does not set specific medical liability requirement related to the use of PIS records and, therefore, no measures are in place to limit the liability risk of health practitioners in relation to the PIS record.
		As explained above, if health practitioners' reports sent to the NHIF contain errors, they are liable to fines or pecuniary sanctions.
		In order to ensure the correct use of the NHIF software and electronic

<sup>144</sup> I.e. approximately EUR 25 to 75.
145 I.e. approximately EUR 250 to 2,500.

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
		reporting system, the NHIF publishes guidelines on the official website <sup>146</sup> . Whenever there is a change in the system, the NHIF has to publish the new instructions at least a month prior to the launch of the updated system <sup>147</sup> .
		The Bulgarian Medical Association also organises regular trainings for health practitioners regarding the correct use of the reporting system <sup>148</sup> .
Are there liability rules related to		There are no specific liability rules to breach of access to PIS records.
breach of access to EHRs (e.g. privacy breach)?		If the NHIF detects attempts of unauthorised information retrieval from the PIS, other unauthorised activities or system attacks, the NHIF may prohibit access to the system temporarily or permanently <sup>149</sup> .
		Pursuant to Article 319a of the Penal Code, whoever copies, uses or accesses data in a computer system without authorisation, when such authorisation is needed, is punishable by a fine up to BGN 3,000 <sup>150</sup> .
Is there an obligation on health professionals to access EHRs prior to		There is no obligation for health practitioners to access PIS records before taking a decision involving the patient.
take a decision involving the patient?		It is important to note that the PIS record is not considered as the compulsory health record of a patient set by the Health Law. Even if a PIS record exists for every ZZOL in Bulgaria, first ZZOLs have to make the necessary steps to gain access to it by creating an electronic signature or obtaining a UAC. Afterwards, even if ZZOLs have access to their PIS record, they may not have granted access to their health practitioner.
Are there liability rules related to the	Personal Data Prote	` ' I
misuse of secondary use of health data?	Law, Articles 2(2) amended 18 October	· ·

Guidelines available at <a href="www.nhif.bg">www.nhif.bg</a> (last access February 2014).

146 Guidelines available at <a href="www.nhif.bg">www.nhif.bg</a> (last access February 2014).

147 Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

148 Interview n°1 with the Bulgarian Medical Association on 17 February 2014.

149 'Rights of Use of the PIS', available at <a href="https://pis.nhif.bg/pis-main\_files/Rights\_of\_use.htm">https://pis.nhif.bg/pis-main\_files/Rights\_of\_use.htm</a> (last access February 2014).

150 I.e. approximately EUR 1,500.

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
	and 42(1) (last amended 18 October 2011)	- processed lawfully and in good faith;
		<ul> <li>collected for specified, concretely defined and legitimate purposes and not further processed in a way incompatible with those purposes.</li> <li>Further processing of personal data for historical, statistical or scientific purposes is permitted, provided that the Administrator provides appropriate protection by ensuring that the data is not processed for any other purposes except as expressly provided in the Personal Data Protection Law;</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>relevant and not exceeding the purposes for which they are processed;</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>kept in a form that allows the identification of the person whose data is collected only for the period that is necessary for the purposes for which personal data are processed.</li> <li>Personal data stored for longer periods for historical, statistical or scientific purposes must be kept in a form that prevents identification of individuals.</li> </ul>
		All use of personal data in a way or for a purpose other than the ones specified in the Personal Data Protection Law is punishable by pecuniary penalties and administrative fines. Pursuant to Article 42(1) of the Personal Data Protection Law, non-compliance with Article 2(2) is punishable by fines of BGN 10,000 to 100,000 <sup>151</sup> .
		If health data is used in a way that allows identification of the individual, this use is considered as a misuse in infringement of the Code of professional ethics <sup>152</sup> .

<sup>151</sup> I.e. approximately EUR 5000 to 50,000. 152 Article 54 of the Code of professional ethics

## 2.6. Secondary uses and archiving durations

#### 2.6.1. Main findings

There are no specific national rules on the archiving duration of PIS records. Moreover, pursuant to Article 67 of the ZZO, the NHIF is required to keep all information related to ZZOLs for 5 years after the end of their national health insurance coverage. However, there are no specific rules about the data from PIS records at the end of the archiving duration, nor a specific obligation to destroy PIS records<sup>153</sup>. The NHIF is only obliged to provide access to ZZOLs to information on medical care performed during the last five years<sup>154</sup>.

Pursuant to Article 25 of the Personal Data Protection Law, after the Administrator has achieved the purpose of personal data processing, the Administrator is obliged to destroy the data or to transfer it to another Administrator and notify in advance the Commission. When the purpose of processing personal data has been achieved, the Administrator can store data only in cases provided by law, e.g. for historical, statistical or scientific purposes.

Personal data stored for longer periods for historical, statistical or scientific purposes must be kept in a form that prevents identification of individuals. If an Administrator wants to store data for historical, statistical or scientific purposes, the data has to be anonymised and the Administrator has to inform the Commission <sup>155</sup>. The Commission can prohibit such storing if the Administrator has not provided sufficient protection of the processed data in terms of their anonymity <sup>156</sup>.

Pursuant to Article 27(3) of the Health Law, the form and content, as well as the terms and conditions for the processing, use and storage of medical information and the exchange of medical statistical information shall be determined by ordinance of the Minister of Health, coordinated with the National Statistical Institute. The ordinance will have to specify the general rules on archiving duration of health records, the destruction of records, the automatic transfer of health data for statistic purposes and the type of health data that can or cannot be used for such purposes. However, no such general ordinance has been adopted yet 157.

The Ministry of Health adopted Ordinance n°1 of 27 February 2013 on the provision of medical statistical information and information about medical activity of health institutions 158. Pursuant to Article 7 of this Ordinance, all health institutions have to keep medical statistical documentation. When providing information to the Ministry of Health, data identifying the patient must be deleted unless (i) there is a legal ground to use this data, (ii) patients' rights are protected and (iii) data are protected from unauthorised access. The National Centre for Public Health and Analysis (Националния център по обществено здраве и анализи), the Regional Health Inspectorates (Регионални здравни инспекции) and the National Institute of Statistics (Националния статистически институт) control compliance with data privacy and protection of health information.

<sup>155</sup> Article 25(3) of the Personal Data Protection Law.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>153</sup> Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

<sup>154</sup> Article 64 of the ZZO.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>156</sup> Article 25(3) of the Personal Data Protection Law.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>157</sup> Interview n°1 with the Bulgarian Medical Association on 17 February 2014.

<sup>158</sup> Ordinance n°1 of 27 February 2013 on the provision of medical statistical information and information about medical activity of health institutions (*Наредба n°1 от 27 февруари 2013 за предоставяне на медико-статистическа информация и на информация за медицинската дейност на лечебните заведения*) (available at <a href="http://www.mh.government.bg/Articles.aspx?pageid=391&categoryid=5722">http://www.mh.government.bg/Articles.aspx?pageid=391&categoryid=5722</a>)(last access March 2014).

## 2.6.2. Table on secondary uses and archiving durations

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
Are there specific national rules on	ZZO, Article 67 (last	There are no specific national rules on the archiving duration of PIS
the archiving durations of EHRs?	amended 18 December 2009)	records.
		Moreover, pursuant to Article 67 of the ZZO, the NHIF has to keep all information related to ZZOLs for 5 years after the end of their national health insurance coverage, which in the majority of cases corresponds to the ZZOL's death <sup>159</sup> .
		However, the NHIF is only obliged to provide access to ZZOLs to information on medical care performed during the last five years (see Section 2.1.2).
Are there different archiving rules for different providers and institutions?		There are no different archiving rules for different providers because only the NHIF creates and keeps all existing PIS records.
Is there an obligation to destroy () data at the end of the archiving duration or in case of closure of the EHR?	Personal Data Protection Law, Article 25 (last amended 23 December 2005)	There are no specific rules neither about the data from PIS records at the end of the archiving duration nor a specific obligation to destroy PIS records <sup>161</sup> .
	Health Law, Article 27 (last amended 10 August 2004)	Pursuant to Article 25 of the Personal Data Protection Law, after the Administrator has achieved the purpose of personal data processing, the Administrator is obliged to:  1. destroy the data or
		2. transfer the data to another Administrator and notify in advance the Commission if the transfer is authorised by law and if the purposes of the processing are known.
		When the purpose of processing personal data has been achieved, the Administrator can store data only in cases provided by law, e.g. for historical, statistical or scientific purposes.

<sup>159</sup> Interview with the NSOPLB on 20 February 2014. 160 Article 64 of the ZZO. 161 Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
		When Administrators fill in their registration application, they have to specify the archiving duration and what happens to the data at the end of that period. When a law regulates these questions, the Administrator has to fill in what is set by law. When no law regulates the matter, the Administrator can decide on the archiving duration but cannot keep the data for a longer period than what is necessary for the purpose for which data is processed. In any case, if an Administrator has no longer a legal ground to keep personal data, s/he has to destroy it 162.  Pursuant to Article 27(3) of the Health Law, the form and content, as well as the terms and conditions for the processing, use and storage of medical information and the exchange of medical statistical information shall be determined by ordinance by the Minister of Health, coordinated with the National Statistical Institute. The ordinance will have to specify the general rules on archiving duration of health records, the destruction of records, the automatic transfer of health data for statistic purposes and the type of health
		data that can or cannot be used for such purposes. However, no such general ordinance has been adopted yet 163.
Are there any other rules about the use of data at the end of the archiving duration or in case of closure of the EHR?		
Can health data be used for secondary purpose (e.g.	Personal Data Protection Law, Articles 2(2) (last	There are no specific rules on the secondary use of PIS records data.
epidemiological studies, national statistics)?	amended 18 October 2011) and 25 (last amended 23 December 2005)	The general rules on secondary use of health data are set in the Personal Data Protection Law and the Health Law.
	Health Law, Article 27 (last amended 10 August	Pursuant to Article 5 of the Personal Data Protection Law, data related to individual's health cannot be processed. However, health data can be processed if it is necessary for the purposes of preventive medicine,

<sup>162</sup> Interview with the Commission for Personal Data Protection on 6 March 2014.
163 Interview n°1 with the Bulgarian Medical Association on 17 February 2014.

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
	2004)	medical diagnosis, the provision or management of health services,
		provided that the data are processed by a health care professional who is
		bound by law to observe professional secrecy or by another person under a similar obligation of secrecy <sup>164</sup> .
		Pursuant to Article 2(2) of the Personal Data Protection Law, personal data are :
		<ul> <li>collected for specified, concretely defined and legitimate purposes.</li> <li>Further processing of personal data for historical, statistical or scientific purposes is permitted, provided that the Administrator provides appropriate protection;</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>kept in a form that allows the identification of the person whose data is collected only for the period that is necessary for the purposes for which personal data are processed. Personal data stored for longer periods for historical, statistical or scientific purposes must be kept in a form that prevents identification of individuals.</li> </ul>
		Article 25 of the Personal Data Protection Law states that when the purpose of processing personal data has been achieved, the Administrator can store data only in cases provided by law. If an administrator of personal data wants to store data for historical, statistical or scientific purposes, the data has to be anonymous and the Administrator has to inform the Commission.
		The Code of professional ethics allows the use of medical documentation for scientific and academic purposes <sup>165</sup> .
Are there health data that cannot be	?	At the time being, all health data can be used for secondary use subject to
used for secondary use?		requirements set under the Personal Data Protection Law.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>164</sup> Article 5(2) of the Personal Data Protection Act. <sup>165</sup> Article 54 of the Code of professional ethics.

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
		As explained above, the Minister of Health has to determine the conditions for the processing, storage and use of health data for medical statistical purposes through an ordinance. The ordinance will have to specify the transfer of health data for statistic purposes and the type of health data that can or cannot be used for such purposes. This ordinance has not been adopted yet <sup>166</sup> .
Are there specific rules for the secondary use of health data (e.g. no name mentioned, certain health data that cannot be used)?	Personal Data Protection Law, Articles 2(2) (last amended 18 October 2011) and 25 (last amended 23	Pursuant to Article 2(2) of the Personal Data Protection Law, personal data stored for longer periods for historical, statistical or scientific purposes must be kept in a form that prevents identification of individuals.  Article 25(3) states that if an administrator of personal data wants to store
	December 2005)	Article 25(3) states that if an administrator of personal data wants to store data for historical, statistical or scientific purposes, the data has to be anonymous and the Administrator has to inform the Commission. The Commission can prohibit such storing if the Administrator has not provided sufficient protection of the processed data in terms of their anonymity 167.  Pursuant to Article 54 of the Code of professional ethics, when medical documentation is used for scientific or academic purposes, health information covered by professional secrecy has to be anonymous. The identification of patients by third parties is not allowed.
Does the law say who will be entitled to use and access this data?		No, this is subject to authorisation by the Commission on a case-by-case basis.
Is there an opt-in/opt-out system for the secondary uses of health data included in EHRs?	Personal data Protection Law, Article 34a (last amended 23 December 2005)	There is no specific opt-in/opt-out system for the secondary use of health data included in PIS records <sup>168</sup> .  Pursuant to Article 34a(1) of the Personal data Protection Law, every individual has the right to protest to the administrator of personal data
		against the processing of her/his personal data if the individual has a legal basis for objecting to it. If the objection is grounded, personal data of this individual can no longer be processed.

<sup>166</sup> Interview n°1 with the Bulgarian Medical Association on 17 February 2014.
167 Article 25(4) of the Personal Data Protection Law.
168 Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

## 2.7. Requirements on interoperability of EHRs

### 2.7.1. Main findings

PIS records are centralised in one database hosted by the NHIF. There are no legal obligations to develop interoperability of PIS records with other systems in Bulgaria, as these records are an initiative of the NHIF.

All systems related to the NHIF are interoperable by using the same file format ('xml'). The systems of all NHIF Partners (hospitals, individual health practitioners, pharmacies) are adapted to this format and the Partners also send their monthly or daily medical care reports to the NHIF in xml format<sup>169</sup>. The entire information is centralised in the IIS of the NHIF and relevant information for ZZOLs is automatically extracted and updated in PIS records.

The connection between the PIS portal and the ZZOL's Internet browser is encrypted by using SSL and the online service is protected with WS Security (XML I Security XML Encryption). The technology used would allow successful cross-border interoperability <sup>170</sup>.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>169</sup> Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>170</sup> Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

# 2.7.2. Table on interoperability of data requirements

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
Are there obligations in the law to		There are no legal obligations to develop interoperability of PIS records, as
develop interoperability of EHRs?		these records are an initiative of the NHIF.
		The NHIF systems are interoperable with each other. All NHIF Partners send their medical care reports in 'xml' format. The IIS of the NHIF, the PIS, the hospitals, pharmacies and health practitioners' systems are all adapted to the xml format making them interoperable 171.
Are there any specific rules/standards on the interoperability of EHR?		See above.
Does the law consider or refer to		See above.
interoperability issues with other		
Member States systems?		

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>171</sup> Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

## 2.8. Links between EHRs and ePrescriptions

#### 2.8.1. Main findings

EPrescriptions do not exist in Bulgaria yet. However, the NHIF is planning to develop an ePrescription system by the end of 2014<sup>172</sup>. This would imply amendments in the ZZO and the National Framework Contracts between the NHIF and the Bulgarian Medical Association and Bulgarian Dental Association. In order for ePrescriptions to work in the current NHIF system, all health practitioners will have to send their medical care reports on a daily basis. At the moment, this obligation exists only for hospitals but not for individual health practitioners and pharmacies.

The law should also explicitly allow health practitioners to prescribe all medical products in an electronic form and not only on a paper form 173.

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>172</sup> Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

 $<sup>^{173}</sup>$  Ordinance n°4 of 4 March 2009 on the conditions and orders for prescribing and issuing of medicinal products (*Наредба* n°4 от 4 март 2009 за условията и реда за предписване и отпускане на лекарствени продукти).

# ${\bf 2.8.2. Table\ on\ the\ links\ between\ EHRs\ and\ ePrescriptions}$

# • Infrastructure

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
Is the existence of EHR a		ePrescriptions do not exist in Bulgaria.
precondition for the ePrescription system?		
Can an ePrescription be prescribed to		ePrescriptions do not exist in Bulgaria.
a patient who does not have an EHR?		or resemptions do not empt in Burgaina.

## • Access

Questions	Legal reference	Detailed description
Do the doctors, hospital doctors,		ePrescriptions do not exist in Bulgaria.
dentists and pharmacists writing the		
ePrescription have access to the EHR		
of the patient?		
Can those health professionals write		ePrescriptions do not exist in Bulgaria.
ePrescriptions without having access		
to EHRs?		

2.9.	Other requirements	
None identified.		

## 3. Legal barriers and good practices for the deployment of EHRs in Bulgaria and for their cross-border transfer in the EU.

### Good practices for the development of EHRs in Bulgaria

As an initiative of the NHIF, the PIS was created with the main purpose of having a better control of healthcare expenditures<sup>174</sup>. By having access to their individual PIS records, ZZOLs can easily detect when health practitioners have reported wrongly their medical activities and report it to the NHIF.

PIS records exceeded their original purpose and became the first electronic record system in Bulgaria allowing patients to consult their medical history online, to grant access to their records to health practitioners and to receive notifications with preventive purposes (mandatory check-ups and vaccinations). The system is actually not serving its original purpose because patients are rarely making complaints against their health practitioners<sup>175</sup>.

In its current architecture, the PIS could serve as foundation for the future development of EHRs in Bulgaria.

Firstly, the IIS of the NHIF bears a strong potential because the medical care reports of all NHIF Partners – individual health practitioners, hospitals, laboratories, pharmacies – are centralised in it 176. Therefore, Bulgaria is already vested with an existing database. Moreover, this database is regularly updated, on a daily or monthly basis, by NHIF Partners who are obliged to send their medical care reports in order to receive reimbursement<sup>177</sup>. The mandatory electronic reporting has also helped health practitioners to develop their IT skills which is an important element for the future development of EHRs<sup>178</sup>.

Secondly, individual PIS records are automatically created for every ZZOL in Bulgaria. Therefore, individual PIS records already exist in Bulgaria. Moreover, ZZOLs can access their record upon receiving an electronic signature or a UAC, hence accessing modalities and issues have already been successfully dealt with.

Thirdly, the electronic format used by the NHIF (xml) is suited for future interoperability with other health systems in Bulgaria<sup>179</sup>.

The representative of the NHIF in a written comment stressed that this project can be seen as a one of the major tools for ensuring effective functioning of the Bulgarian health system. One of the key measures specified in the draft 2014-2020 National Health Strategy is the integration and connectivity of the Bulgarian health system by developing a national health information system and providing access to citizens. This is the basis on which development of eHealth will step on with its main elements - electronic health record, electronic direction, ePrescriptions and more' 180.

#### Potential legal barriers for the development of EHRs in Bulgaria

According to all stakeholders, the main obstacle for development of EHRs in Bulgaria is the lack of legal framework. Every aspect of EHRs has to be regulated, as there are no specific provisions in place<sup>181</sup>.

Overview of national legislation on EHR in Bulgaria /55

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>174</sup> Interview n°1 with the Bulgarian Medical Association on 17 February 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>175</sup> Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>176</sup> Interview n°2 with the Bulgarian Medical Association on 17 February 2014.

<sup>177</sup> Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>178</sup> Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>179</sup> Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>180</sup> Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014 - quotation from the NHIF written answers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>181</sup> Interview n°2 with the Bulgarian Medical Association on 17 February 2014.

PIS records were not created with the purpose of being patients' official EHRs. As a NHIF initiative, their original purpose is financial control by the NHIF and by ZZOLs<sup>182</sup>. For this reason, PIS records do not comply with health records standards<sup>183</sup> and present a number of shortcomings.

PIS records contain only information on medical care and medicinal products covered by the NHIF and it does not include the proper diagnosis of doctors. When patients consult private practitioners, this health information will not find its way to their PIS records. Therefore, the health information provided in PIS records is not comprehensive. Moreover, there are often delays with the records' update because of insufficient coordination between the different systems<sup>184</sup>.

In addition, for the moment, medical results and other documents are not uploaded in the PIS records. The NHIF explained that it has no legal ground to require health practitioners to upload results, as they are not part of their reporting obligations which serves the purpose of getting reimbursement <sup>185</sup>. Furthermore, the content of the medical care reports of the NHIF Partners can somewhat be biased as health practitioners fill in those reports in order to receive payment and not in the perspective of filling in a patient's health record <sup>186</sup>.

Finally, in terms of PIS records access, health practitioners consider that access to patients' records should be possible when needed, such as in emergency cases, and not be subject to a prior consent from patients<sup>187</sup>. Moreover, only ZZOLs who access their PIS record by using an electronic signature can grant access to their PIS record to a NHIF Partner while the majority of ZZOLs access their PIS records through UAC. The accessibility of the PIS from a technical point of view is also criticised from both patients and health practitioners – the current authentification measures (access codes and electronic signatures) are considered too complicated and restrictive and often there are technical problems to access the system<sup>188</sup>.

eHealth and EHRs in Bulgaria have to be further developed by reorganising the existing system and by adopting new legal measures on the form, content, terms and conditions for the processing, use, access, sharing, transfer, storage and protection of electronic health information <sup>189</sup>. A clear regulatory framework for standardisation and wider interoperability of information systems is a major component for EHRs development in Bulgaria <sup>190</sup> and for cross-border transfers <sup>191</sup>. In terms of cross-border healthcare, besides technical interoperability, the main barrier according to stakeholders is the language barrier <sup>192</sup>.

Overview of national legislation on EHR in Bulgaria /56

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>182</sup> Interview with the NSOPLB on 20 February 2014.

Interview with the Bulgarian Association for Patients' Defence on 21 February 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>184</sup> Interview with the NSOPLB on 20 February 2014 and with the BAPD on 21 February 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>185</sup> Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>186</sup> Interview with the BAPD on 21 February 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>187</sup> Interview with the NSOPLB on 20 February 2014 and with the BAPD on 21 February 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>188</sup> Interview with the NSOPLB on 20 February 2014 and with the BAPD on 21 February 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>189</sup> Interview with the NSOPLB on 20 February 2014, n°2 with the Bulgarian Medical Association on 17 February 2014 and with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>190</sup> Interview n°1 and n°2 with the Bulgarian Medical Association on 17 February 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>191</sup> Interview with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>192</sup> Interview with the NSOPLB on 20 February 2014 and with the NHIF on 6 March 2014.