Research evidence in health enhancing physical activity policy making – key results from REPOPA

Riitta-Maija Hämäläinen, PhD, Senior Researcher

EU Platform for Action on Diet, Physical Activity and Health 24.11.2016

Brussels









WHY TO STUDY HEALTH ENHANCING PHYSICAL ACTIVITY POLICIES?

REPORA au

GESearch Into POlicy to enhance Physical Activity

towards evidence-informed decision making

- Growing sedentarism and health challenges
- Use of both scientific knowledge and practical know-how to make evidence informed decisions for cross sectoral health enhancing physical activity (HEPA) policies
- Timely discussion on the use of research evidence in policy making in Finland, EU countries and globally
- Finland:
 - Working group at the Ministry of Education and Sport on access to sport and physical activity information
 - renewal of the Sport Law
 - making of health enhancing physical activity policy and
 - structural reform of public sector (recentralisation)







REsearch into Policy to Enhance Physical Activity - REPOPA

- Integrate scientific research evidence, expert know-how & policy making process to increase synergy & sustainability in promoting health and preventing disease
- The aims were reached by
 - Building on research evidence & experiences on policy making processes
 - Studying innovative ways of collaboration between researchers, policymakers and other stakeholder
 - Establishing structures, such as virtual platform and exchanges of good practices for future health promotion through enhanced physical activity







REPOPA CONSORTIUM

Coordinator: Arja R. Aro, Professor































u Ottawa

L'Université canadienne Canada's university



REPOPA –Results Role of evidence in policy making





- Countries involved Denmark, Finland, Italy, Netherlands, Romania and England
- 21 policy documents by content analysis of policies
- 86 stakeholders interviewed

Main results:

Few systematic and explicit use of research evidence + mixed types of evidence used

Main facilitators: administrative support, orientation of organizations towards use of research evidence, relevant and easily available information and knowledge, role of media

Main barriers: traditions, political interests, capacity

decision making

leader,

all partners

First phase: Use of research and other type of evidence in policy making: document analysis and stakeholder interviews THL - WP leader, all partners

Second phase: Policy game interventions on cross-sector decision making

Tranzo/Netherlands - WP leader, Denmark, Romania

Second phase: Locally tailored interventions on evidence-informed policy making

SDU/Denmark - WP leader, Italy, Netherlands

Third phase: Implementation and guideline development (Delphi) CNR/Italy - WP leader, all partners



REPOPA Results Increasing use of research evidence – policy game

- Countries involved Denmark, the Netherlands and Romania
- > Three policy games applied at local level; 57 participants
- Systems analysis of the context of physical activity policy making;

 Questionnaires to participants in the beginning, right after and 6 months after the game to look at the use of research evidence in policy making

Main results:

- Increased understanding of the local HEPA policy processes
- Increased insights for collaboration in policy making
- Changes in attitudes towards the use of knowledge and research evidence in policy making
- Positive experience in using of policy game in policy making







REPOPA Results

Increasing use of research evidence – Stewardship interventions

- Countries involved Denmark, Italy and the Netherlands
- Six local, needs-based policy interventions of 6-12months
- Pre, post and 12 months follow ups, with common and context-specific measures

Main results:

- Increased used of evidence from research; not always influential on policymaking; not always sustainable up to 12 months
- Awareness raising for use of research evidence; to keep sustainability for the use of research evidence political request and organizational processes need to be in place
- Politicians got more interested in research knowledge and cooperation of research organizations and stakeholders
- In Denmark a spring-off study to enhance PA among elderly; in Italy longer local intervention





REPOPA Results

eDelphi study to develop indicators for evidence informed policy making (EIPM)

- Countries involved Denmark, Finland, Italy, the Netherlands and Romania + international consultation
- > eDelphi process to test and validate indicators for EIPM
- > Two eDelphi rounds; 82 panelists
- > Validation of EIPM indicators:
 - Generic list of 25 measurable indicators
 - national consensus conferences
 - Indicators included
 - human resources: competences & networking;
 - communication & participation;
 - documentation;
 - monitoring & evaluation;
 - commitment & statements of intents and









Main scientific publications



- Hämäläinen R-M, Sandu P, Syed AM, Jakobsen MW. 2016. An evaluation of equity and equality in physical activity policies in four European countries. International Journal for Equity in Health (in press).
- van de Goor IAM, Hämäläinen R-M, Syed AM, Lau CJ, Sandu P, Spitters H, Eklund Karlsson L, Rus D, Valente A, Castellani T, Aro AR. (2016). What Hinders Integration of Evidence in Public Health Policy: Differences in the Worlds of Policymakers and Researchers? A study in six EU countries. Health Policy (Forthcoming).
- Hämäläinen R-M, Aro, AR., Lau, CJ., Rus, D., Cori, L., Syed, A. and The REsearch into Policy to enhance Physical Activity (REPOPA) Consortium. (2016). Cross-sector cooperation in healthenhancing physical activity policymaking: more potential than achievements? Health Research Policy and Systems, 14(33).
- Bertram, M., Radl-Karimi, C., Loncarevic, N., Thøgersen, M., Skovgaard, T., Jansen, J., Castellani, T., Dorgelo, A., Valente, A., Cori, L. and Aro, AR. (2016). Planning Locally Tailored Interventions on Evidence Informed Policy Making - Needs Assessment, Design and Methods. Health Systems and Policy Research, 3(2).
- Bertram, M., Wiechmann, A., Aro, AR. and Gulis, G. (2016). Adaption of Knowledge and Participation of Stakeholders in Three Public Health Interventions at Local Government Level in Denmark. International Journal of Community & Family Medicine Volume 1-115. Link to the article.
- Castellani, T., Valente, A., Cori, L. and Bianchi, F. (2016). Detecting the use of evidence in a meta-policy. Evidence & Policy, 12(1), 91-107(17). Link to the article.
- Eklund Karlsson, L., Jakobsen, MW., Winblad Heiberg, M. and Aro, AR. (2016). Involvement of external stakeholders in local health policymaking process: a case study from Odense Municipality, Denmark. Evidence and Policy. 17:41:2016. INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND WELFARE, FINLAND



Main scientific publications

- Aro, AR., Bertram, M., Hämäläinen, R-M., van de Goor, LAM., Skovgaard, T., Valente, A., Castellani, T., Chereches, RM. and Edward, N. on behalf of the REPOPA Consortium. (2015). Integrating research evidence and physical activity policy making REPOPA project. Health Promotion International, 31, 1-10.
- ▶ Bertram, M., Loncarevic, N., Castellani, T., Valente, A., Gulis, G. and Aro, AR. (2015). How could we start to develop indicators for evidence-informed policy making in Public Health and Health Promotion? Health Systems and Policy Research, 2(1).
- Hämäläinen, R-M. and Aro, AR. (2015). Ohjelmallinen tutkimusprosessi politiikkatoimien tutkimuksessa tapaustutkimuksena liikuntapolitiikka ja tutkimustiedon käyttö(Programmatic research process in the study of policies a case study on physical exercise policies and the use of research evidence). Hallinnon Tutkimus Research on administration, ISSN: 0359-6680, 34 (3), 249–263. (In Finnish) (Abstract available in English).
- Hämäläinen, R-M. and Aro, AR. (2015). Chapter: Terveyttä edistävän liikunnan politiikkatoimet ja tutkimustieto. (Health enhancing physical activity and research evidence), book: Information management theories and practices (Tiedolla johtaminen teoriaa ja käytäntöjä) Virtanen, P. & Stenvall, J. & Rannisto P-H (Eds.) Tampere University Press, 233-257. April 2015. (In Finnish).
- Hämäläinen, R-M., Aro, AR., van de Goor, LAM., Lau, CJ., Jakobsen, MW., Chereches, RM., Syed, AM. and On behalf of the REPOPA Consortium. (2015). Exploring the use of research evidence in health-enhancing physical activity policies. Health Research Policy and Systems, 13(43), 1-9.
- Sandu, P., Rus, D., Chereches, RM., Brînză, C., Baba, C., Lau, CJ, van de Goor, LAM. (2015). A systems' analysis of health enhancing physical activity policy making at local level in Romania. Elsevier Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences published by: Medimond International Proceedings, ISBN 978-88-7587-718-7, 77-83.
- Edwards N, Viehbeck S, Hämäläinen R-M, Rus D, Skovgaard T, van de Goor I et al. Challenges of ethical clearance in international health policy and social sciences research: experiences and recommendations from a multi-country research programme. Public Health Reviews. 2012 Jan 1; 34(1):1.



Linked projects and video





- <u>SITLESS</u> Reducing sedentary behaviour among older adults The SITLESS Project
- PASTA project Physical Activity Through Sustainable Transport Approaches
- Credits for Health C4H aimed at finding out and testing a system effective in engaging, nurturing and keeping people committed in the adoption of personalised wellness paths and healthy life-styles
- <u>EUROFIT</u> engage men through their connection with their clubs to make sustainable improvements in their diet, activity, and physical fitness
- REPOPA REsearch into POlicy to Enhance Physical Activity
- VIDEO:
- https://www.dropbox.com/sh/fni4vmraekvja9l/AABKT9z5bfpzWtm2t0aNRvwia?dl=0



Acknowledgement

 The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under the *grant* agreement n° 281532. This document reflects only the authors' views and neither the European Commission nor any person on its behalf is liable for any use that may be made of the information contained herein.







