



# The European Commission's Scientific Advice Mechanism

Sigrid Weiland  
Policy Officer  
Scientific Advice Mechanism

WS Synthetic Biology– December 10, 2015

<http://ec.europa.eu/research/sam/index.cfm>



European  
Commission



Source: [www.kudelka.com.au](http://www.kudelka.com.au)

# Why?

*"..a focus on...making sure that Commission proposals and activities are based on sound scientific evidence and contribute best to our jobs and growth agenda"*

President Jean-Claude Juncker, in his mission letter to Carlos Moedas, 1 November 2014

*"The new mechanism will provide high quality, timely, independent scientific advice to policy making... and will build upon the wealth of expertise available both in Europe and in the services of the European Commission".*

Commissioner Moedas, Informal Competitiveness Council lunch, July 2015

# What does success look like?



Scientific advice provided by SAM is a valuable component of the EC decision making process

SAM has helped to improve the interaction between the supply and demand side of scientific advice

SAM is perceived as providing scientific advice in an independent, transparent way

SAM has contributed to building trust in the way decisions are taken by EC policy-makers



Frankfurter Allgemeine

Le Monde



theguardian

# How do we get there?

*A mechanism!*

*Roles and responsibilities  
of the different parts*

*High Level Group of  
Scientific Advisors*

*European Academies*

*Commissioner Moedas and College*

*Inter-service Group*

*Agencies*


*Scientific community*

*SAM secretariat*



© Can Stock Photo - csp3858406

# How?



\* Including the Joint Research Centre which provides in-house scientific support

## Learning from experience

*We also need a fundamental review of the way European institutions access and use scientific advice. In the next Commission I want to set up a Chief Scientific Advisor who has the power to deliver proactive, scientific advice throughout all stages of policy development and delivery. This will reflect the central importance I attach to Research and Innovation.*



José Manuel Barroso, 18 September 2009



## Learning from others

### Eight ways to improve expert advice

*Sutherland, Burgman; Nature, 15 Oct 2015*

- Use groups
- Choose members carefully
- Don't be starstruck
- Avoid homogeneity
- Don't be bullied

### Science Advice to Governments: Diverse systems, common challenges

*Wilsdon, Allen, Paulavets; The Guardian Aug 2014*

- Distinguish between 'Science for Policy' and 'Policy for Science'
- Improve quality through multi- and inter-disciplinary expertise

# Whom?



**Professor Janusz M. Bujnicki**  
Head of the Laboratory of  
Bioinformatics and Protein  
Engineering, International Institute of  
Molecular and Cell Biology, Warsaw



**Professor Elvira Fortunato**  
Professor, Materials Science  
Department of the Faculty of Science  
and Technology, NOVA University,  
Lisbon



**Professor Rolf-Dieter Heuer**  
Director-General, European  
Organization for Nuclear  
Research (CERN)



**Professor Pearl Dykstra**  
Professor of Sociology, Erasmus  
University, Rotterdam



**Professor Julia Slingo**  
Chief Scientist, Met Office, Exeter



**Cédric Villani**  
Director, Henri Poincaré  
Institute, Paris



**Professor Henrik C. Wegener**  
Executive Vice President, Chief Academic  
Officer and Provost, Technical University  
of Denmark

# What?

## EC decision setting up the HLG

### Criteria:

- 1) Policy relevance
- 2) Added value

### Tasks:

- 1) Responsive
  - Provide independent scientific advice to EU policy and legislation (not duplicating existing advice)
- 2) Proactive
  - Identify policies where advice required
  - Recommend improvements to interaction between policy and advice

# Avoiding duplication & adding value

EFSA explains **risk assessment**

## Caffeine

- What is **caffeine**?
- Why did EFSA carry out its **risk assessment**?
- How does the **body process caffeine**?
- What are the **risks**?
- Why did EFSA carry out its **risk assessment**?
- What does the **assessment cover**?
- How much caffeine do we consume?
- How much caffeine is it **safe** to consume?
- How much **caffeine** is there in ...
- Does caffeine have an **adverse effect** when consumed with other constituents of "energy drinks" and/or with alcohol?



## What is caffeine?

Caffeine is a naturally occurring chemical compound found in plant constituents such as coffee and cocoa beans, tea leaves, guarana berries and the kola nut, and has a long history of human consumption. It is added to a variety of foods, such as baked pastries, ice creams, sweets, and cola drinks. Caffeine is also found in so-called energy drinks, alongside other ingredients such as taurine, and D-glucuronoy-lactone. It is also present in combination with y-glycophenine in a number of food supplements that are marketed for weight loss and sports performance. Some medicines and cosmetics contain caffeine.

When consumed by humans, caffeine stimulates the central nervous system, and in moderate doses increases alertness and reduces sleepiness.

Caffeine is also found in so-called energy drinks, alongside other ingredients such as taurine, and D-glucuronoy-lactone.

## How does the body process caffeine?

Taken orally, caffeine is absorbed rapidly and completely by the human body. The stimulatory effects may begin 15 to 30 minutes after ingestion and last a number of hours. In adults the half-life of caffeine – the time it takes for the body to eliminate

50% of the caffeine – varies widely, depending on factors such as age, body weight, pregnancy status, medication intake and liver health. In healthy adults, the average half-life is approximately four hours, with a range of two to eight hours.

## What are the risks?

Short term adverse effects on adults and children can include issues related to the central nervous system such as interrupted sleep, anxiety and behavioural changes. In the

longer term, excessive caffeine consumption has been linked to cardiovascular problems and, in pregnant women, stunted foetus development.



Fact sheet  
www.eda.europa.eu

## Cyber Defence

Cyber space today is often described as the fifth domain of warfare equally critical to military operations as land, sea, air, and space. Success of military operations in the physical domains is increasingly dependent on the availability of, and access to, cyberspace. The armed forces are reliant on cyberspace both as a user and as a domain to achieve defence and security missions.

The Cyber Security Strategy for the European Union, which was released in February 2013 and endorsed by the Council in June 2013, emphasises, "Cybersecurity efforts in the EU also involve the cyber defence dimension."

Cyber defence is one of the ten priorities in the European Defence Agency (EDA) capability development plan (CDP). A project team of EDA and its participating Member States' (pMS) representatives is responsible for jointly developing cyber defence capabilities within the EU common security and defence policy (CSDP). A network of EDA and MS research & technology (R&T) experts support this work by collaborative activities delivering the required technologies at the right time. All of this is positioned next to existing and planned efforts by civil communities (national and EU institutions) and NATO. Given that threats are multifaceted, a comprehensive approach is taken, seeking to enhance synergies between the civilian and military domains in protecting critical cyber assets.

### EDA stocktaking study Objective & methodology

EDA commissioned a one-year study to establish an in-depth understanding of cyber defence capabilities across EDA MS to support progress towards a more consistent level of cyber defence capability across the EU 20 countries participated in the study.

This stocktaking exercise included research into the different EU level organisations involved in cyber-defence activities in the context of CSDP missions as well as data collection on cyber defence capabilities in each Member State. The research was carried out via document review, semi-structured interviews and the development of a questionnaire distributed to those EU Member States participating in the EDA's Cyber Defence Project team.


Cyber defence capability information was analysed according to a commonly understood military framework of functional contributors to defence capability, known as Defence Lines of Development (DLoDs): doctrine, organisation, training, materiel, leadership, facilities and interoperability (DOTMLPF-II). To measure and to a certain degree benchmark the degree of "Cyber-Readiness" the study utilised a five step maturity model with 89 discrete and weighted indicators for maturity broken down within the DOTMLPF-II structure to achieve the required granularity. Each country was qualitatively assessed in each contributor against this weighted maturity model. The study report including an unclassified summary was presented in May 2013. Profiles for each participating Member State (pMS) are provided in the classified report.

### Results

The study finds a complex and diverse picture with regard to cyber defence capability at both the EU level and within the pMS.

As for cyber defence among EU organisations, the study highlights the complex operational set-up between European Defence Agency, European External Action Service (EEAS), General Secretariat of the EU Council and European Commission and related EU agencies like the European Network and Information Security Agency (ENISA), the European Cybercrime Centre (EC3) and the EU Computer Emergency Response Team (CERT-EU). While threat analysis and cyber-intelligence gathering capability appears to be emergent, incident response capabilities could be deepened. The study also reveals that the culture of cyber-security good practice needs to be nurtured and that the use of military specific standards and tools is still poorly understood.

For MS a mixed picture with respect to military cyber defence capability was detected. Generally speaking, MS in which key decision-makers are familiar with cyber-security, cyber defence capabilities are more advanced. The 20 MS exhibit strengths in the three capability domains Leadership, Personnel and Interoperability. In the areas of Doctrine, Organisation and Training, an early level of maturity was defined which might be linked to the fact that these three areas require more complex and longer-term efforts to establish organisational structures. Facilities is the capability domain which remains to date highly immature or non-existent.



**SCIENTIFIC ADVICE**

**Expert Opinion on the public health needs of irregular migrants, refugees or asylum seekers across the EU's southern and south-eastern borders**

www.ecdc.europa.eu

## When?

***Nov 2015***

***Press release announcing the  
7 members of the High Level  
Group***

***Dec 2015***

***Inter Service Group meets  
High Level Group starts  
working***

***29 Jan 2016***

***First meeting of High Level Group***

# Trickier questions?

## **Internal organisational**

Ensure synergy

Ensure buy-in

## **External organisational**

Go beyond the  
HLG

## **Scope**

## **Processes**

## **Communications**

- President's priority
- Close working relationship in college and at services level
- JRC
- EU-ANSA
  
- HLG - Academies and other science advice providers - MS
  
- Long, medium, short
- Critical to EU policy development or legislation
- Pro-active
- e.g. how to identify topics for scientific advice
  
- Under development: relations with scientific community, civil society



European  
Commission

# Questions?