

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Sanja Fabrio

#### **email**

sfabrio@yahoo.com

#### **country**

Belgium

#### **age**

44

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

To regulate novel products based on their (reduced / increased) harm as scrutiny of the manufacturers/marketers can show and not based on scientific understanding existing at the time of the previous TPD.

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

It ignores the epidemiology of Sweeden which clearly shows that cancer rates of most if not all types of cancer are lower than for cigarette like products. It also speculates on on initiation of smoking after using the smokeless product (see again comparative figures from Sweeden of incidence of use of all tobacco products).

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Current health warnings are prominent enough and clearly stating the risks of smoking. Their increase in their size and/or adding the graphic health warnings has not shown any added value in raising further awareness of the risks associated with smoking. The only remaining thing to do would be to address water pipe more consistently with the rest of tobacco products.

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no scientific or epidemiological proof that adding ingredients to the manufacturing process of tobacco products is increasing toxicity of already toxic product as tobacco products with or without ingredients are equally harmful. There is no proof that such ingredients used are increasing attractiveness of tobacco products as shown in the similar developments of rates of smoking incidence in both countries where products with or without ingredients are used.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrycja Tomczak

**email**

patrycja.tomczak@cubepromotion.pl

**country**

Poland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The definition of the problem is vague, incorrect and unclear. It fails to provide any scientific basis or evidence for the different options considered in this section.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem is defined overly narrowly and so it fails to identify relevant policy options.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no evidence provided for the different options discussed in this section

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no evidence provided for the different options discussed in this section.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ARGENTI LUCIANO

**email**

fit.terni@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Melanie Burkardt

**email**

melanie\_b1980@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DEPONTE EDI

**email**

fit.trieste@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging



because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Cordula Passig

**email**

Cordula.Passig@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BORDON ANITA

**email**

fit.trieste@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Arndt

**email**

pan57@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Neuregelung schänkt die Freiheit des Einzelnen zu stark ein.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPINUCCI SIMONE

**email**

fit.ascolipiceno@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access



**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAVUCCI MADDALENA

**email**

fit.ascolipiceno@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BERNES ZELIKO

**email**

tazzadoro06@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Moritz Köberlein

**email**

comboplucher@googlemail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es hängen eine Menge Arbeitsplätze an der Cigarettenindustrie

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

VERCH AMELIA

#### **email**

fit.trieste@tabaccai.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

78

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).



---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Carlo Christiaans

#### **email**

christiaans.carlo@googlemail.com

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COPPOLA ALBERTO

**email**

albcop@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robert Biernaczyk

**email**

robert.biernaczyk@cubepromotion.pl

**country**

Poland

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The definition of the problem is vague, incorrect and unclear. It fails to provide any scientific basis or evidence for the different options considered in this section.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem is defined overly narrowly and so it fails to identify relevant policy options.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no evidence provided for the different options discussed in this section

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem definition incorrectly defines the use of ingredients.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LATINA LUCIANO

**email**

latinasalvatore@simail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

71

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexander Gaul

**email**

DrGaul@Web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE CHIARA ASSUNTA

**email**

leopx86@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Di Baia Domenico Presidente del colleg. dei Periti Agrari Caserta

**email**

domenicodibaia@libero.it

**country**

Italia

**age**

72

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Mose

#### **email**

siegfried-mo@t-online.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

56

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

keine Änderung

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

kein Kommentar

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **additional option**

kein Kommentar

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

manfred steigemann

**email**

mannistei@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**



kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'ERAMO PAMELA

**email**

lanfrancod2006@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Barbara Schotte

**email**

barsh@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

56

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I'm against regulation,

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

addiction doesn't originate from stuff, it's in the person itself.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I'm tired of regulations, it doesn't help people. It destroys jobs.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It costs a whole lot of money, and it doesn't ingrees any positiv effect.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BATTISTONI AMBRETTA

**email**

daniele.canini@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

DATTI MARCO

#### **email**

m.datti@foxservice.eu

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

40

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).



---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Kamiński

#### **email**

lukasz.kaminski@cubepromotion.pl

#### **country**

Poland

#### **age**

24

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

The definition of the problem is vague, incorrect and unclear. It fails to provide any scientific basis or evidence for the different options considered in this section.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

If not, please provide your comments and supporting evidence.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

The problem is defined overly narrowly and so it fails to identify relevant policy options.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no evidence provided for the different options discussed in this section

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem definition incorrectly defines the use of ingredients.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

If not, please provide explanations

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Petr Sosnovec

**email**

psosnove@seznam.cz

**country**

Czech republic

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Will easy illegal copying.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Abidance by rules = responsibility everybody's of the state.

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Testing additives on escalation toxicity nicotian smoke.

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SARPO FRANCESCOMARIA

**email**

francescosarpo@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

19

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CECERE LUCA MASSIMILIANO

**email**

mariopalmi@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maciej HERNES

**email**

maciej.hernes@cubepromotion.pl

**country**

Poland

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The definition of the problem is vague, incorrect and unclear. It fails to provide any scientific basis or evidence for the different options considered in this section.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem is defined overly narrowly and so it fails to identify relevant policy options.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no evidence provided for the different options discussed in this section

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem definition incorrectly defines the use of ingredients.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MOSCATELLI STEFANIA

**email**

fit.terni@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Froehlich

**email**

info-mfm@wem-medienhaus.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bevormundung der Bürger

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Beschneidung der Rechte auf freie Entscheidung

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Beschneidung der Rechte auf freie Entscheidung

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Beschneidung der Rechte auf freie Entscheidung

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Prinz

**email**

juergen\_priz@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

68

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE ANGELIS MARCO

**email**

MARCDEAN@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen



**name**

PERINI VALENTINA

**email**

fit.pisa@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Stamm

**email**

peter-stamm@hotmail.de

**country**

germany

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

leave things the way they are, or even make them more liberal

**additional comments**

we are living in democracy (allegedly)

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Noeth Gerald

**email**

do-ge.ko-noe@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RICCARDI ALESSANDRO

**email**

fit.trieste@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAPPELLAZZO MARITA

**email**

marita65@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BILLI ALESSANDRO

**email**

alessandro.billix360@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
31

**gender**  
male

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BERTOLINI LAURA

**email**

emanuele.battistelli@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Søren Brandt

**email**

sb@skjerning.dk

**country**

DK

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Individuals should decide for themselves if there is a problem overall

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Yes, I certainly need an option: "delete directive" The kind of regulation at stake belongs to communist states and not for liberal societies

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

The main question should be whether or not we need this kind of regulation

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

I need the 4th option

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Let individuals decide for themselves

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

I need the 4th option

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Any standardized information would make it easier for the individual to collect information and decide for himself/herself

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

As long as relevant information is an option for consumers, industry will have to comply to market

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zélia Moraes

**email**

zeliamoraes@gmail.com

**country**

Portugal

**age**

27

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rotert

**email**

michael@rotert.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

puer Aktionismus

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

its against my personal opinion

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

dont understand the problem

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

which problem

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

C.Haveland

**email**

coundan@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

dem EU- Bürger sollte mehr Eigenverantwortung zugetraut werden

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

zu viel Reglementierung

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSPINI PIETRINA GIUSEPPA

**email**

basilebiagio@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PONSELLA GIULIANA

**email**

fit.macerata@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dirk Lahr

**email**

dk7616021@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Germany

**email**

rokko-2000@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARBIERO AGOSTINO

**email**

fit.campobasso@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'ANGELO GIULIANO

**email**

barpalladium@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Weigel, Wilhelm

**email**

w.j.weigel@ya.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

67

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BUTTARI ALESSANDRO

**email**

fassmarai@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Udo Dengel

**email**

Schulbrunnenstraße 7 97277 Neubrunn

**country**

GERMANY

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This should be a free world, a free europe! There are other problems instead of regulating people more and more!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no problem with smoking despite the fact the i am nonsmoker

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no need for it

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

it don't like it

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no need for a change

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernd Norbert Fuchs

**email**

bn-fuchs@versanet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COCO LEANDRO

**email**

tabaccheriacocol@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**



Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dreisigacker

**email**

harald.dreisigacker@gmx.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen kommentar. die gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche stellungnahme in meinem sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

aufhebung des snus-verbots

**additional comments**

ich als raucer habe gute erfahrungen damit gemacht,durch snus meinen cigarettenkonsum zu rdeuzieren.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

ich habe keinen kommentar. die gewerkschaft NGG WIRD EINE AUSFÜHRLICHE STELLUNGNAHME IN MEINEM SINNE ABGEBEN:

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

KEINE ÄNDERUNG

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ICH HABE KEINEN KOMMENTAR: DIE GEWERKSCHAFT ngg wird eine ausführliche stellungnahme in meinem sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

keine änderung

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

mitgliedsstaaten behalten die kompetenz, massnahmen einzuführen, die den zugang zu tabakprodukten begrenzen.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional comments**

cigaretten sind immer noch legale produkte. die sollte der kunde im geschäft sehen können.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LONZA MAURIZIO

**email**

lecsrl@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PALUMBARO ANGIOLETTA

**email**

tiziano.spinal@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

73

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COPPOLECCHIA MASSIMILIANO

**email**

barmassi79@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change



**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE SANTIS SERGIO

**email**

fit.rimini@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VARRIALE MATTEO

**email**

MAT77@LIVE.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BERTOLONI PAOLINA

**email**

luigi@messaggi.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexander

**email**

manfred.alexander@bossmail.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Putting Horror Pictures on packages and kill the taste an flavour will not keep the smoke "abusers" from changing their opinion. For people like me who smoke responsible it is a joke. Living from tobacco taxes you should not be so silly to cut your own income ladies and gentlemen of the EU comission.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No, because smoking or not smoking is a decision of every citizen. It is a fact of freedom free choice and free living. This is the start of a new discrimination and will lower tax income of the EU countries.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

This "laws" are absolute nonsense. It is the ego-trip of some eu-politians who are unable to solve the REAL problems we have in the EU.

**additional comments**

A banking law to keep the EURO stable is much more important then talking about smoking. Again, the politicians try to hide the real political problems behind this nonsense law.

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Everybody knows, that smoking can be dangerous, if you abuse it. it is the same fact with alcohol. A new law is not needed.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

there is no system to compare all ingredients. So what will you do? Using tax money for implement such a system? I say NO. If you want to spend Tax money. spend it into education, infrastructure and jobs. That makes sense and increases Tax income.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Please tell me, which ingredients of tobacco are "Healthy"? If so, smoking will get "Healthy"? So, why making this law. Again nonsense. Please solve the real problems we have in the EU.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

If you want to smoke, you WILL smoke. AND you will get the tobacco products.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Sperling

**email**

j.sperling@email.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LOCATELLI LUISA MARIA ANGELICA

**email**

WISANL@TIN.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

76

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johannes Eigelsreiter

**email**

eigelsreiter@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Everybody knows, that smoking is not healthy. Why do you want to cut personal freedom?

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

there is no problem

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no more laws on tobacco. leave the people smoke!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

there is no problem

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

from time to time its fine to smoke a stronger cigarette

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

everybody can buy cigarettes or anything if wanted. it looks like a new prohibition

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralph Simon

**email**

kappars@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VACCHIANO LUCIO

**email**

vacchianolucio@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

1

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE LEONARDIS ANTONIO

**email**

fit.taranto@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RODRIGUEZ ANDREA

**email**

riv146@g.mail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

anton aggermann

**email**

anton.aggermann@tanngroup.com

**country**

austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Each of the defined products should be checked in the way of scientific bases.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There are no scientific bases that a more demanding way the consumer influences.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Currently there are existing regulations.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Currently there are existing regulations.

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Currently there are existing regulations.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Josef Nikl

**email**

josef.nikl@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE FRANCISCI PAOLO



**email**

paolodefrancisci62@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Weigel, Roswitha

**email**

roswitha.weigel@ya.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

70

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VACCARI BARBARA

**email**

fit.rimini@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Jarchow

**email**

michael.jarchow@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no further directive needed

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

free advertisement needed

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

other focus areas for regulation in the EU

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

other focus areas within EU

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TOGNINI PATRIZIA

**email**

ferditognini@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging



because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COMITO MICHELA

**email**

rivendita21@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Raab

**email**

a.raab@aachen.creditreform.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sascha Maihöfer

**email**

saschamaihoefer@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus bzgl. Flavors-Tests sollte darauf ausgerichtet sein, festzustellen ob die Flavors wirklich zu einer Erhöhung der toxischen Wirkung beim Tabakrau führen.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach führt ein totales Verbot dazu, dass die Vielfalt an verschiedenen Marken verschwindet. Das wäre schade.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Wechsel

**additional comments**

-

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pöllath Sandra

**email**

551104772867-0001@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Matthias Farner

**email**

farnair@macdisk.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ORTENZI VANESSA

**email**

fit.terni@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

22

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI PIETRO MARIO

**email**

blimals@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

T Hilgemann

**email**

kalibaba78@web.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

L'Agricola s.r.l. (Cinzia Capuccini)

**email**

agricola@deltafina.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No



**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BASILE STEFANO

**email**

basile.stef@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**  
41

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Guido Vandermarliere

**email**

guido.vandermarliere@jcortes.com

**country**

Belgium

**age**

69

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bauer Wolfgang

**email**

bauerwolfgang3@gmail.com

**country**

Austria

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Um mündige Bürger zu erziehen ist es notwendig diese auch selbstständig entscheiden zu lassen

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Der Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie sollte durchaus die angegebenen Produkte beinhalten. Ohne zuvorige wissenschaftliche Überprüfung der Produkte in Hinsicht auf ihre Schädlichkeit für den menschlichen Körper sollte jedoch kein Verbot ausgesprochen werden

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt unseres Erachtens keine wissenschaftlichen Beweise dafür, dass größere Warnhinweise oder Bilder besser dazu geeignet sind, potenzielle Raucher vom Rauchen abzuhalten bzw. Raucher davon zu überzeugen, mit dem Rauchen aufzuhören. Auch bei Plain Packaging gibt es bis dato keine Beweise dafür, dass sie eine Auswirkung der Gesundheit der Bevölkerung haben. Plain Packaging würde vielmehr Fälschungen und den illegalen Handel mit Tabakprodukten forcieren, weil die Fälschungssicherheit der Produkte nicht gewährleistet werden kann.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

bildliche Warnhinweise mögen eine wirkungsvolle Maßnahme zur allgemeinen Verbraucherinformation über die Gefahren des Rauchens darstellen dies, sofern sie gut und zweckmäßig positioniert sind. Die in Option 2a vorgeschlagene exzessive graphische Darstellung stellt jedoch aus meiner Sicht keine wirksame Maßnahme dar, weil globale Daten zeigen, dass die Größe von Gesundheitswarnungen keine Auswirkungen auf den Anteil der Raucher in der Bevölkerung bzw. auf ein erhöhtes Risikobewußtsein hat.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Rechtsdurchsetzung ist Angelegenheit der Mitgliedsstaaten und nicht der EU. Auch wenn die EU dieses Prinzip einführt, würden die Beiträge für Gebühren und Sanktionen zwischen den Mitgliedsstaaten unterschiedlich ausfallen. Dies deshalb, da es in die Kompetenz des

jeweiligen Staates fällt. Eine Verbesserung des Funktionierens des Binnenmarktes ist somit auf diese Weise nicht ersichtlich

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Es sollte ein gemeinsames, auf EU-Ebene eingerichtetes Meldeformat entwickelt werden, und zwar auf Grundlage der vorhandenen, von der Kommission im Mai 2007 veröffentlichten Formate. Dabei sollte darauf geachtet werden, dass jedes Meldesystem die Geschäftsgeheimnisse von Herstellern entsprechend schützt.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus bei der Evaluierung von Zusatzstoffen sollte ganz grundsätzlich darauf ausgerichtet sein, ob deren Einsatz die toxische Wirkung des Zigarettenrauches erhöht. Es sollte daher von der Attraktivität der Stoffe ausgegangen werden, da diese nahezu ausschließlich auf subjektiven Kriterien fßen.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Die Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen auf EU-Ebene wird von mir unterstützt. Diese Regulierung muss allerdings auf wissenschaftlich gültige Kriterien basieren. Die in den anderen Optionen enthaltenen Annahmen, welche die Möglichkeit der Regulierung aufgrund von "Attraktivität" einschließen, deuten darauf hin, dass in den anderen Optionen Lösungen angestrebt werden, die nicht auf wissenschaftlich belegten Ergebnissen beruhen Für die Bewertung von Zusatzstoffen sind meines Erachtens keine grundsätzlichen wissenschaftlichen Kriterien identifiziert worden. Zudem ist der Begriff Attraktivität eine absolut subjektive Definition uns lässt erheblichen Wahrnehmungsspielraum. Als Beispiel für für eine derartige unterschiedliche Geschmackspräferenzierung wären hier die regional unterschiedlichen Vorlieben für entweder Virginia- Zigaretten, die praktisch keine Zusatzstoffe enthalten, oder Blend-Zigaretten, zu nennen, welche mit einer Vielzahl an Zusatzstoffen angereichert sind.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die hier dargestellte Problemstellung zielt nicht auf gesundheitspolitische Probleme im Zusammenhang mit Tabakkonsum und die Verfügbarkeit der Produkte ab. Weiters werden keinerlei Beweise für die Annahme angeboten, dass derartige Verbote den Binnenmarkt stärken oder aber zu einer Reduktion des Tabakkonsums führen würden

**which option**



No change

**additional option**

Ich unterstütze ausgewählte Maßnahmen zur Einschränkung für Internet-Verkäufe, wie zum Beispiel Altersbegrenzungen. Ein Präsentationsverbot am jeweiligen Verkaufsort (Point of Sale) würde jedoch dem Handel nutzen, noch wird es aus meiner Sicht dazu führen, dass der Anteil der Raucher verringert wird. Der Zugang Jugendlicher zu Tabakerzeugnissen würde durch diese Maßnahmen ebenso wenig eingeschränkt. Es würde vielmehr zu einer Zunahme des illegalen Handels und Konsums kommen, anstatt diesen einzugrenzen. Für Einzelhändler bringen Präsentationsverbote zusätzliche erhebliche Lasten und Kosten, wie selbst im RAND-Report der EU erläutert wird. Zudem würde ein Präsentationsverbot Produkt-Neueinführungen erschweren.

**additional comments**

Internetverkäufe können zB durch Altersbegrenzungen eingeschränkt werden.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Boris Moshkovits

**email**

boris.moshkovits@gmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Cigars and Pipes should be excluded from any bans.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHIECCO DOMENICA

**email**

fit.reggiocalabria@tabaccai.t

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rainer Appel

**email**

rainer.appel@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

64

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich möchte als mündiger, gebildeter Bürger nicht bevormundet werden. Das Problem mit Tabak in Verbindung mit Krebs ist mir vollauf bekannt. Ich bin Gelegenheitsraucher und rauche nur selten, gerade dann, wenn ich mir eine besondere Freude im Kreise von Freunden antun will. Dann rauche ich Zigarre, die ich nicht inhaliere. Ebenso halte ich es ab und an mit einer Pfeife. Ich bin deshalb gegen gleichmachende Verpackungen, Schockbilder und generelle Verbote.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BOURGEOIS

**email**

bourgeois.claude@cegetel.net

**country**

FRANCE

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

AUCUNE ETUDE SCIENTIFIQUES EFFICACES PROUVANT LES RISQUES CONCERNANT LES PRODUITS DU TABAC SANS FUMÉES

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

AUCUNE ETUDE NE PROUVE L'EFFICACITE DES PAQUETS NEUTRES. AUCUNE ETUDE NE PROUVE L' EFFICACITE DES PHOTOS CHOCS LA MISE EN PLACE DES PAQUETS NEUTRES RISQUE D'ENTRAINER UNE GUERRE DES PRIX DU TABAC CE SERA LA SEULE ACTION QU' ILS PUISSENT FAIRE. DE TELLES MESURES RISQUENT DE DESTABILISER ET PENALISER ECONOMIQUEMENT LE RESEAU DES 28000 BURALISTES DE FRANCE REGLEMENTE DANS UN RESEAU STRUCTURE SOUS COUVERT DE L" ETAT .

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

CÉLA RISQUE D 'ENTRAINER UNE STANDARDISATION DE LA PRODUCTION AVEC COMME CONSEQUENCE UNE DIMINUTION DE L' OFFRE AU FUMEUR ADULTE ET RESPONSABLE ET LE RISQUE DE VOIRE APPARAITRE UNE AUGMENTATION DES PRODUITS DU TABAC CONTREFAITS.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

**additional comments**

IL N'Y A PAS DE CORRELATION PROUVEE ENTRE LA DISPARITION DES LINEAIRES TABAC ET LA LUTTE CONTRE LE TABAGISME. LA FRANCE DISPOSE D' UN RESEAU DE 28000 BURALISTES ,PREPOSES DE L' ADMINISTRATION RESPONSABLES ET PROFESSIONNELS, SEULS HABILITES A VENDRE DU TABAC . CE RESEAU EST FORTEMENT REGLEMENTE PAR L' ETAT CE QUI PERMET DEJA DE CONTROLER EFFICACEMENT L'ACCES AU PRODUIT TABAC.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIULIANI STEFANO

**email**

fit.terni@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**



**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BIAGIOTTI EMMA

**email**

fit.perugia@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rother, Michael

**email**

Mrother880@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Piotr Chowaniec

**email**

piotrchowaniec@interia.pl

**country**

Poland

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I do not agree with it that text or pictural warnings have any influence on non-smokers even addicted smokers decision

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no commnets

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

to leave common reporting format implemented

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comments

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

to keep a common reporting format already implemented

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comments

**additional comments**

no comments

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

to keep a common reporting format

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comments

**additional comments**

no comments

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'AQUINO PIETRO

**email**

federica.daquino@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products



consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hornig Michael

**email**

blitz8686@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sarah Hoffmann

**email**

sarah\_hoffmann@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Man kann heute noch nicht wissen was in der Zukunft entwickelt wird, da klingt es mir nicht sehr plausible neue Formen des Tabak per se zu verbieten

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Soweit ich weiß, gibt es keine Erfahrungen, dass größere Wahrhinweise mehr Wirkung zeigen. Genause verhält es sich mit dem sogenannten plain packaging, meiner Meinung nach werden die Leute nicht weniger rauchen, es würde lediglich die Produkte noch weiter austauschbar machen, ohne Nutzen. Sofern ist allerdings keinen Nutzen gibt, sollte sich der Gesetzgeber meiner Meinung nach aus diesen Dingen raus halten

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt doch keinerlei Beweise dafür, dass sich dadurch irgendetwas ändern würde, dass die Leute weniger rauchen würden. Auch hier handelt es sich aus meiner Sicht lediglich um eine Verzerrung des Wettbewerbs, aber um keine sinnvolles Vehicel zur Reduzierung des Zigarettenkonsums.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Justus de Zeeuw

**email**

justus.dezeeuw@rub.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Gabriela Koschatzky-Elias

**email**

gabriela.koschatzky@chello.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no compulsory measures

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
this is overacting

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
it is overacting

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
overacting

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**



No

**explanations**

overacting

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ladusch

**email**

joerg@ladusch.com

**country**

Deutschlan

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

I am against this solution. I want to have the old situation.

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pieper

**email**

barbarapieper@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

tomoscheit

**email**

mike.tomoscheit@yahoo.de

**country**

germany

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Désirée Burger

**email**

desireeleers@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Warum ist das Formular nicht in Deutsch (oder anderen Sprachen) erhältlich? Will man vermeiden, dass der "Otto-Normal-Verbraucher", der nicht fließend englisch spricht, seine Stimme abgibt? Plain-Packaging wäre eine Katastrophe sowohl für den Handel, die Industrie als auch für den Endkonsumenten!! Man beschneidet den Menschen in seinem freien Willen, das zu kaufen, wonach ihm der Sinn steht!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Jeder Mensch darf selbst entscheiden, was er tut. - freier Wille!

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Jeder Mensch darf selbst entscheiden, was er tut. - freier Wille!

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rainer Krüger



**email**

Krueger.Bruchenbruecken@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

68

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein EU-Problem

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Die EU sollte sich mit wirklichen Problemen beschäftigen

**additional comments**

nein

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Problem

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Nein

**additional comments**

Nein

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt kein Problem

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Nein

**additional comments**

Nein

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt kein Problem

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Nein

**additional comments**

Nein

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt kein Problem

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Nein

**additional comments**

Nein

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt kein Problem

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Nein

**additional comments**

Nein

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Harald Winkler

**email**

rostman@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

**additional comments**

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

einschränkung persönlicher rechte

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

co comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Olga Flampouri

**email**

Olga\_flab@hotmail.com

**country**

Greece

**age**

19

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Norbert Linn

**email**

snlinn@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Miljanovic

**email**

t@miljanovic.com



**country**  
Germany

**age**  
47

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Because it is my desicion. you must not force me to write somthing .

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
-----

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
James Bigwood

**email**  
james\_bigwood@hotmail.com

**country**  
United Kingdom

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
The definition of a tobacco product, as noted in the consultation document, is unclear and vague. A Directive that deals with 'tobacco' should not reference or take into consideration non-tobacco containing products, such as nicotine drinks, nicotine sweets, or even, ENDS. It is alarming that the consultation document provides no scientific evidence or justification for any proposed changes.

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
No.

**additional comments**  
No.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Again, I find the problem definition to be vague, along with the apparent lack of any

independent scientific justification for an extension of the ban. Smokeless tobacco takes many forms worldwide and this differentiation is not noted. Snus is available in many countries worldwide, including Sweden. There is no justification provided for continuing the ban.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

No.

**additional comments**

No.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

For a section entitled ‘consumer information’, I find the problem definition confusing, as the consultation document only deals with tobacco packaging. The consultation document states that packaging is an advertising tool, but provides no definitive evidence to support this. In my view, packaging is not advertising. PHWs: In my opinion, the use of picture health warnings is unnecessary, as they do not reach their stated objectives of reducing consumption or further raising awareness of the risks associated with smoking, which I believe are already well known. Plain Packaging: In my opinion, plain packaging is unnecessary and would risk breaching a range of national and international treaties. The impact on illicit trade would also be considerable, as plain packaging could be easily copied and counterfeited.

Tar/Nicotine/CO: I believe that the requirement to originally place tar/nicotine/CO data on packaging was stipulated under the original Tobacco Product Directive. However this consultation document provides no evidence to suggest that the current requirements are misleading. The only current purpose is to provide comparative information for consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No.

**additional comments**

No.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I find the problem definition to be incomplete. The consultation document does not provide an analysis of the competency of the EU to adopt any of the proposed options. I agree that varying forms of reporting across Member States may cause difficulties, and as such, support a common framework.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

The common format should be an EMTOC type solution

**additional comments**

No.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The use of the term “attractiveness” is not a concept that should be used to regulate tobacco products, as it lacks any evidential basis. I do not believe that tobacco manufacturers add ingredients to make products more addictive or attractive.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No.

**additional comments**

No.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The consultation document lacks a defined problem. Internet Sales: I support Internet sales of tobacco products, as long as they contain age verification and that taxation is properly enforced. Vending: Automatic vending machines should have age verification mechanisms, but they should not be banned. Display of Tobacco Products: A ban on the display of tobacco products would be disproportionate, not meet stated objectives and lead to severe unintended consequences, particularly increases in the illicit trade.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No.

**additional comments**

No.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Volker Hallmann

#### **email**

volker.hallmann@alice-dsl.net

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mari Kakaviatou

**email**

mari\_kvt@yahoo.gr

**country**

Greece

**age**

24

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Gerling

**email**

thomas\_gerling@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heine, Pierre

**email**

pierre@gundh-web.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
34

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
möchte selbst entscheiden zumal man ja mündig ist und über 18

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
EU Mitgliedsstaaten haben ja Ihre Maßnahmen schon erlassen

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
auf gut schwäbisch = absoluter schwachsinn

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

eine Unterscheidung bei diesem Verbot zeigt mir als Endverbraucher dann nicht mehr an - welche Marke bzgl. welches Produkt man raucht = Vielfalt verschwindet

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heinz Albiez

**email**

halbiez1@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christina Flabouri

**email**

xristina\_flab@hotmail.com

**country**

creece

**age**  
22

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bert Borowsky

**email**

bert.borowsky@gmx-topmail.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The color of the package doesn't influence the smoker. Children or teenagers don't start smoking because of the package color.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the access is already restricted

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Melanie



**email**

bonja.m.sz@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

20

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

---

**additional comments**

---

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Baum

**email**

altwiebaum@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ettl

**email**

j.ettl@tonline.de

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Freie Selbstbestimmung

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Jeder hat das Recht selbst zu bestimmen

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Verbietet man Autos, die mehr Giftstoffe aus den Auspuff blasen und Feinstaub freisetzen, oder Fabrikschlote usw.

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wojciech Wojtyłło

**email**

wojciech.wojtyllo@pl.imptob.com

**country**

Poland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the problem definition is incorrect, vague and unclear. It fails to provide any scientific basis or evidence for the different options considered in this section

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the problem is defined overly narrowly, and so fails to identify relevant policy options.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no evidence provided for the different options discussed in this section.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the problem definition incorrectly defined the use of ingredients

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stautmeister

**email**

Stautmeister@powerautomation.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
65

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Annette Theilmeier

**email**

kater251@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**



female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Simone Hees

**email**

simone.hees@villiger.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Greta Busch

**email**

greta.busch@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Es gibt keine Beweise, die belegen, dass größere Warnhinweise effektiver sind. Außerdem ist nicht belegt, dass sich Plain Packaging positiv auf das Gesundheitswesen auswirkt. Plain Packaging würde das Fälschen von Produkten eingetragener Marken erleichtern. Dadurch würde der Konsum illegaler Zigaretten ansteigen.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Die Mitgliedsstaaten der EU sind bereits selbstständig dazu in der Lage, Strafen und Sanktionen aufzuerlegen. Eine Verstärkung der Vorgaben der Tabakproduktdirektive sollte in der Verantwortung der EU-Mitgliedsstaaten bleiben.

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Der Fokus beim Test von Zusatzstoffen sollte darauf liegen, ob die Zusatzstoffe dazu führen, dass der Tabakrauch durch die Zugabe von Zusatzstoffen toxischer wird oder nicht.

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es kann nicht belegt werden, dass Werbe- oder Produktpräsentationsverbote die Funktion des Binnenmarktes verbessern würden oder positive Effekte auf die öffentliche Gesundheit hätten.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Antje Schönborn

**email**

ace-s@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

23

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I think the actual regulations go far enough. No consumer is forced to smoke - it is his free decision.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Verbraucher sind bereits hinreichend über Gesundheitsrisiken aufgeklärt - Keine Hinweise, dass weitergehende Warnhinweise deren Wahrnehmung steigert

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

- Einheitsverpackungen zerstören Markenstrukturen und führen zu einer reinen Preisorientierung - Fallende Preise führen zu sinkenden Handelsmargen. Folgen: a) Entzug der Einkommensbasis für viele tabakumsatzabhängige Unternehmen, infolge erhöhte Stellenstreichung; b) leichter Zugang für Jugendliche durch niedrigere Preise - Neutrale Verpackungen lassen sich leichter fälschen

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Zusatzstoffe erhöhen weder die Toxizität, noch haben Sie eine für sich genommen suchterzeugende Wirkung

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

- Ein Verbot verringert die Markenvielfalt ohne Einfluss auf das Konsumverhalten zu haben. Eine Einschränkung der Markenvielfalt bedeutet gleichzeitig eine Schwächung von Einzelhändlern, insbes. derer mit großem Sortiment. - Durch ein Verbot verringert sich nicht die Nachfrage. Diese wird dann durch den illegalen Markt bedient, verbunden mit Schäden für den Handel und Steuermindereinnahmen für den Staat - Tabak fällt unter das Lebensmittelrecht. Zigaretten und ihre Zusatzstoffe sind also streng kontrolliert.

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Zusatzstoffe erhöhen weder die Toxizität, noch haben Sie eine für sich genommen suchterzeugende Wirkung

**which option**

No Change

**additional comments**

- Ein Verbot verringert die Markenvielfalt ohne Einfluss auf das Konsumverhalten zu haben. Eine Einschränkung der Markenvielfalt bedeutet gleichzeitig eine Schwächung von Einzelhändlern, insbes. derer mit großem Sortiment. - Durch ein Verbot verringert sich nicht die Nachfrage. Diese wird dann durch den illegalen Markt bedient, verbunden mit Schäden für den Handel und Steuermindereinnahmen für den Staat - Tabak fällt unter das Lebensmittelrecht. Zigaretten und ihre Zusatzstoffe sind also streng kontrolliert.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Die Produktpräsentation stellt kein Problem dar. Es handelt sich um legale Produkte. - Behinderung des Handels, ohne dass es nachweislich den Anteil der Raucher verringert oder den Zugang Jugendlicher zu Tabakprodukten einschränken würde

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

- Es wird schwieriger für den Verbraucher, seine bevorzugte Marke zu finden. - Erhebliche finanzielle Belastung für den Einzelhandel, um Tabakprodukte nicht sichtbar am Verkaufsort zu lagern. Oftmals nicht möglich, dann Ausschluss vom Markt. - Illegaler Handel mit Zigaretten wird stark vereinfacht, mit finanziellen Folgen für Wirtschaft und Staat - Weniger Markenvielfalt, Wettbewerb nur noch über Preis. Sinkende Preise schädlich für Handel, Staat und Gesundheit. - Sinkende Werbeeinnahmen, dadurch weitere finanzielle Belastung

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jim dvinge hansen

**email**

bredegade@gmail.com

**country**

DK

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Where is the problem? ??????

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
There is no problem, only eu is a problem.

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No problem.

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**



No change

**additional comments**

I get the smoke from your cars every day, start there .

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kamilla Stavgren

**email**

kamillastavgren@hotmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

EU has to realise that nicotine products is a part of the society, and not regulate the personal freedom so much. Individual freedom to choose the intake of nicotine is a cornerstone in a free society. It is ridiculus that snus is banned and cigarettes is allowed. Every person knows that the risklevel of cigarette consumption is much worse than snusconsumption. There must be thousand of healthinvestigations proving this. As a private citizen I do not have the resources to investigate this. But I am sure that DG Sanco has these resources. Another effect of the lift of the ban on snus would be that cigarette consumption would be reduced in the EU

countries. I know that cigarette consumption is less in Sweden, especially for Swedish male persons, because they are users of snus instead. As a teacher of grownup I have a big experience of this. Also here I do not have the resources to prove my statements based on health investigations or consumption pattern investigations, but I am sure DG Sanco can do this investigation with all your staff in Brussels.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

I recommend some parts of the options to be introduced. Picture warnings should become mandatory, however the current size is big enough and placed on one side is enough. To insert an information folder as it is in the pharmaceutical industry seems to be a good and reasonable idea. We have to bear in mind that also most smokers are able to read and absorb information. Levels of tar, nicotine and CO should definitely be part of the information of the pack.

**additional comments**

Introduction of Plain Packaging is such a bad idea, and are really showing that EC Health and Consumers directorate-general has no feeling at all for the consequences of introducing such a bad measure. This would reduce the barriers of entry to the cigarette production so much. We would see every gangster organisation in the world producing and smuggling cigarettes into the EU markets. Please bear in mind that this business is a very large one. Probably the EU members consume cigarettes for around 100 bill EUR per year. I would guess that production costs for a pack is only 10% of the salesprice after all taxes and distribution costs are taken out. So the net added value of smuggling trade would be 90 bill EUR. This is such a huge sum of money. Close to a small countrys GNP. We would see massive gangster organisations be tempted to build up supply channels into the EU. Some would be local, but probably most of them would be in countries where there are not so hard rules and controls on what is going on EU. It will lead to the destabilization of some countries or regions of the world where gangster syndicates would be in war with the authorities and in between themselves. The destruction we see in Mexico today on the illegal trade of drugs into the US would be nothing compared to what plain packaging would do to the cigarette trade. The only protection we have for this to happen right now is the trademark protection for the brands on cigarettes. The serious producers who pay taxes should also have the right to protect their brand values. Illegal trade is already here. Illegal traders will not respect to sell to underage people, so with increased smuggling there will be more children smoking.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Data collection should be done. It will lead to that recipes for cigarette production will come in the hands of the gangster organisations and would increase the level of their quality of cigarettts.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Böhm

**email**

EffectiveConcepts@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Nagel

**email**

Wayneee99@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem, only if someone reduces the freedom and the free will of the people

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no problem (see page before)

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No problem (see page before)

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Maybe a better reporting of ingredients would be a good way

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no problem, the access is reduced enough

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Veronika Zamecnik

**email**

veronika.zamecnik@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hartwig Grote

**email**

grotehamburg@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Meines Erachtens ist Snus weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten.



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Es werden von Jugendlichen (> 18) gerade die Packungen wegen der Warnhinweise gekauft  
Es ist also kontrproduktiv.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Dr. Klaus H. Jägersküpper

#### **email**

KlausJaegerskuepper@web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

56

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Thi Nga Nguyen

**email**  
thinga.nguyen@villiger.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
38

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comments

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**

no comments

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michél Krumm

**email**

mikchelkrumm@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Koen Beeckman

**email**

kbeeckman@hotmail.com

**country**

Belgium

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

I believe snus can be an alternative for smokers; as this is a less harmful usage of tobacco.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Warnings on cigarettes in Belgium are significant enough to inform the adult smokers. However you have to make it applicable to all tobacco products and limit it not to only cigarettes. I can not see any reason why the legislation is not applicable to Fine cut / cigars & cigarillos. The government tend to lower the importance of these categories in terms of consumption and related volumes in some of the European markets.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I do not agree

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I do not agree

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I do not agree

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

alexander spies

**email**

alexanderspies@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar“ ist ausreichend).

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Harri Kyllönen

**email**

harri.kyllonen@delfortgroup.com

**country**

Finland

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Opis

**email**

stefan.opis@arcor.de

**country**

Federal Republic of Germany

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ludwig Friedt

**email**

info@flohmarkt-friedt.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Weissenberger Horst

**email**

Horst.Weissenberger@Villiger.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Simone Neumayer

**email**

simone\_neumayer@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Peter Zugec

#### **email**

peter.zugec@hotmail.com

#### **country**

Slovensko

#### **age**

43

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

K tejto casti sa nechcem vyjadrovat

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

K tejto casti sa nechcem vyjadrovat

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Existuje uz dostatok (az privela) informacii o skodlivosti fajceni. Napriek tomu, ze som nefajciar, myslim si ze, akekolvek varovania alebo ine dalsie informacie nezabrana ludom aby fajcili a ani neznizia pocet fajciarov.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Nie

**additional comments**

Nie

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

K tejto casti sa nechcem vyjadrovat

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

K tejto casti sa nechcem vyjadrovat

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ako mnefajciarovi ma ziadne vystavenie fabakovych vyrobkov neobmedzuje ani nelaka na konzumaci. Ak je vsak niekto fajciar mal by mat moznost vyberu tak, ako konzument kazdeho ineho druhu tovaru.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Nie

**additional comments**

Nie

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

John Dean

#### **email**

johnrdean@hotmail.co.uk

#### **country**

UK

#### **age**

54

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **additional comments**

I am concerned that without clear branding the market would be open to all kinds of copies and uncontrolled quality

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Koller Josef

**email**

mail@j-koller.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

69

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban

**which one2**  
Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carola Koller

**email**

c-haider@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

24

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

it's my right and my decision if I want to smoke or not, no one is allowed to tell me what to do

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

no more rules!!!!!!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

it doesn't influence the consumer

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no one cares about it

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

everybody can decide on his own what he wants to buy

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

you always can find a way to buy it

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Allendorf

**email**

f.allendorf@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Politik sollte sich nicht in dieser Weise einmischen. es sollte jedem überlassen bleiben, wie und ob er Tabak konsumiert und wie er mit seinen Mitmenschen zu einer einvernehmlich Lösung kommen kann. Öffentliche Schutzzonen wie bisher sind ok.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Jedermann weiss das Tabak gesundheitsschädigend sein kann. - Was soll der Blödsinn alles regeln und definieren zu müssen. Entweder ich will Tabak rauchen, schnupfen oder lutschen oder nicht !

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

siehe oben

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change



**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jose M Lopez Inchaurre

**email**

jmlopezi@telefonica.net

**country**

Spain

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem definition is incorrect, vague and unclear. The consultation does not identify a problem in any of the areas it mentions in this section.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

It is vague and the consultation document has failed to define a problem in this area.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I disagree with the problem definition. It is based on a series of assumptions and assertions that are wrong.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No, I disagree with the problem definition. It is vague and incomplete.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Using the EMTOC (Electronic Monitoring Tobacco Control) solution for a common reporting format.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I disagree with the problem definition. Manufacturers do not add ingredients to attract non-smokers or influence existing smokers away from quitting.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is vague and incomplete. The Consultation document does not identify a problem in any of the areas mentioned in this section.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Alexandra Faro

#### **email**

alexandrafaro@hotmail.com

#### **country**

Portugal

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **recommend option**

The scope of the Directive can be extended to cover these other products, but this should not include an automatic ban on one category of product (novel forms of oral tobacco). Any bans should be based on scientific assessments.

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

There is no evidence that bigger warnings are more effective. There is no evidence that plain packaging would improve the functioning of the internal market or have any public health benefits. It would make it easier for criminals to copy legitimate brands and would lead to an increase in illegal sales of cigarettes.

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Member States can already introduce fees and sanctions. Enforcement of the provision of the Directive should remain the responsibility of the Member States.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The focus of ingredients testing should be on whether the ingredients result in increased toxicity of tobacco smoke.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no clear problem stated as regards either vending machines or POSO. There is no evidence provided as to why bans would improve the functioning of the internal market or advance any public health objectives.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Artur Fallenbeck

**email**

artur.fallenbeck@onlinehome.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I think. there are enough regulations and laws to protect or warning people about smoking.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There are enough regulations and laws.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There are enough restrictions.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heinz Suhr

**email**

heinz.suhr@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Lange

**email**

langet@hotmail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Es sollte ein generelles Rauchverbot in Gaststätten/Restaurants geben. Überall wo gegessen wird soll ein Rauchverbot gelten.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**



Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

kuellmer

**email**

kuellmer@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MIGUEL ANGEL REVENGA

**email**

marevenga1@hotmail.com

**country**

SPAIN

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not correct, not clear and not well identified

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

It is vague and the consultation document has failed to define a problem in this area

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Disagree with definition of the problem, it is based on arguments that are wrong

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I disagree with the problem definition because it is vague and incomplete

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I disagree with the problem definition because manufactures don't add ingredients to attract non-smokers

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Problem is not well and clearly defined, consultation does not identify a problem in any of the areas mentioned in this section

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Denise Banse

**email**

Denise\_Banse@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Die Regelungskompetenz der EU ist ausreichend

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Liebmann,Jacqueline

**email**

FamilieLiebmann@hamburg.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tobacco products are legal products with brand identity. The brand freedom should be kept for consumers. I would prefer much more regulations for alcohol. Furthermore the government likes to get the tax of tobacco on the one hand on the other hand the government increases the pressure for smoker. This is not understandable.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

information for consumers

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

If a smoker wants to smoke he will get tobacco products every where possibly illegal

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Steffen Schütz

#### **email**

steff.schuetz@t-online.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

51

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerhard Emrich

**email**

Gerde.Emrich@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sebastian Sturm

**email**

sturm.sebastian@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
28

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**  
Kau und Schnupftabak sind genauso, wenn nicht sogar schädlicher als Tabak zum Rauchen, sollten allerdings nicht verboten sondern, sondern mit Warnhinweisen versehen werden

**additional comments**  
Kräuterzigaretten oder Kräutermischungen sollten nicht reguliert werden.  
Selbstregulierungsmechanismen des Marktes, unterschiedliche strafrechtliche Auffassungen in den einzelnen Mitgliedsländern der EU

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**  
das Problem liegt in der Ungleichbehandlung von Snus und anderen Rauchlosen Tabakerzeugnissen

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

das wäre ein viel zu großer bürokratischer Aufwand

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

sollte weiterhin von den mitgliedsstaaten in eigener zuständigkeit geregelt werden

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sehe kein Problem mit der derzeitigen Regelung (in Deutschland)

**which option**

No change; Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional comments**

zugang zu Verkaufs Automaten schon nur mit Führerschein, oder ECKarte mit Chip (elektronische Altersabfrage) möglich Altersprüfung, (mit warnton für Kassierer) an Supermarktkassen besteht bereits

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karin Tirany

**email**

tabaktirany@gmx.net

**country**

germany

**age**  
53

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Napolano Vittorio

**email**  
vnapolano@gmail.com

**country**  
Italia

**age**  
56

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo

SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60. 000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Eggers

**email**

martin\_eggers@autohaus-eggers.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Es ist ausreichend reguliert worden und der Zugang für Kinder/Jugendliche hinreichend erschwert.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marco Grimmeisen

**email**

marco\_the\_grimm@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gabriele Kumar

**email**

gabrielekumar@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

56

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Siehe Kommentar unter "specific comments"

**additional comments**

Grundsätzlich bin ich der Meinung, dass Verbraucher über Inhaltsstoffe und Schadstoffe von Produkten, also auch Tabakerzeugnissen, informiert werden sollten. Jedoch: in angemessener Form! Überdimensionale Schreckensbilder und Warnungen über Krankheit und Tod sind meiner Meinung nach überzogen und gehen am eigentlichen Problem der Suchtprävention vorbei. Generelles Rauchverbot finde ich ebenfalls nicht richtig. Dann müsste man alles verbieten, durch das man krank werden und sterben kann, z. B. Autos (man denke an die vielen Verkehrsunfälle), Alkohol (ein großes Problem!!), Medikamente (Medikamentenabhängigkeit ist weit verbreitet) usw. usw. Als erwachsener Mensch mit umfangreichen Informationsmöglichkeiten sollte es jedem selber überlassen sein, wie er sein Leben gestaltet und was er tut bzw. nicht tut, SOLANGE ES KEINEM ANDEREN SCHADET!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Nicht erwiesen

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**additional option**

Wie bei Medikamenten könnte man einen Beipackzettel beilegen mit Verbraucherinformation. Angst und Schrecken zu verbreiten mit gräßlichen Bildern finde ich völlig falsch.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ob "die Mehrheit der Zusatzstoffe Substanzen, die krebserzeugend, erbgutverändernd und/oder reproduktionstosisch sind", kann ich nicht beurteilen. Diese verallgemeinernde Aussage ist nicht erwiesen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Jedoch, Alterüberprüfung der Käufer finde ich sinnvoll. Zugang zu Zigarettenautomaten nur für Erwachsene: sinnvoll. Sonstige Verbote bzw. Einschränkungen wie einheitliche weisse Verpackungen oder Verbot von Displays und öffentlichem Verkauf wird den Schmuggel fördern. Ob jemand dadurch zum Nichtraucher wird bezweifle ich.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Hans-Joachim Fischer

#### **email**

chrishan.fischer@t-online.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

### **regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dominic Lehmann

**email**

dlehmann@dannemann.com

**country**

Switzerland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach braucht es weder grössere Warnings noch Fotos oder weisse Packungen für Cigars. Wir sind alle erwachsene Bürger und wissen über die Gefahren des Rauchens, doch wollen wir bei der richtigen Gelegenheit unsere Cigars geniessen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die einzelnen EU Mitgliedstaaten können bereits heute entsprechende Gebühren und Massnahmen erlassen.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus bezüglich Flavour Tests sollte darauf ausgerichtet sein, festzustellen ob die Flavours wirklich zu einer Erhöhung der toxischen Wirkung beim Tabakrauch führen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach führt ein totales Verbot dazu, dass die Vielfalt an verschiedenen Marken verschwindet. Das wäre schade.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Norbert Schelkle

**email**

norbert.schelkle@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich finde die jetzigen Regelungen weitreichend genug.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich bin der Meinung, das rauchlose Produkte eine sinnvolle Alternative zur Zigaretten sein können.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die bestehenden Regelung reichen schon sehr weit. Jedem mündigen Verbraucher ist heute klar, dass Rauchen schädlich ist.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin der Meinung, dass die bestehenden Gesetze weitreichend genug sind.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin der Meinung, dass die bestehenden Gesetze weitreichend genug sind.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine weitergehende Einschränkung ist weder erforderlich noch verhältnismässig.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dusan Stary

**email**

natalluska71@azet.sk

**country**

Slovakia

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Nehodnotím

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Nehodnotím

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Celá verejnosť si už dobre uvedomuje škodlivosť fajčenia. Kto chce fajčiť bude bez ohľadu na veľkosť varovania, či tam obrázky budú alebo nie. Môžete tam dať aj smrtku aj tak fajčiť budem. A možno, že tieto obrázky sa budú mládeži páčiť, môžu ich zbierať a vymieňať.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Nehodnotím

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Pre mňa a fajčiarov, ktorých poznám nie sú atraktívne cigarety s výraznými aromami. Vôňa cigarety musí byť zladená a jemná.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ja chcem byť informovaný kde a za akú cenu môžem cigarety kúpiť, a preto ich potrebujem vidieť, nebudem sa vypytovať neochotných predavačov. Mladým nezabráname si zohnať cigarety kedykoľvek a kdekoľvek.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Benjamin Merkl

**email**

Benni.Me@t-online.de

**country**

deutsch

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen persönlichen Kommentar. Die NGG wird meine Interessen vertreten.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen persönlichen Kommentar. Die NGG wird meine Interessen vertreten.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen persönlichen Kommentar. Die NGG wird meine Interessen vertreten.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen persönlichen Kommentar. Die NGG wird meine Interessen vertreten.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen persönlichen Kommentar. Die NGG wird meine Interessen vertreten.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen persönlichen Kommentar. Die NGG wird meine Interessen vertreten.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulrich Kanstinger

**email**

ulikan@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It appears to be useful and necessary to enlarge the problem definition so as to include comparable products which are suspected to be addictive and dangerous to health and to others, especially alcoholic products. a) in order to ensure that comparable products are treated in a sense of equity. b) in order to be more effective in obtaining a better protection to health Il parâit utile et nécessaire d'élagir les données du problème à des produits comparables susceptibles de créer une addiction et de présenter un danger pour la santé et l'entourage, plus particulièrement les produits alcoolisés. a) afin d'assurer un traitement égalitaire pour des produits comparables. b) pour une meilleure efficacité dans l'obtention d'une meilleure protection de la santé. Die Erweiterung der Problemdarstellung auf vergleichbare Erzeugnisse mit Sucht-, Gesundheits- und Mitmenschen gefährdendem Potential, insbesondere Alkoholerzeugnisse, erscheint angebracht und notwendig. a) Um die Gleichbehandlung von Vergleichbarem zu wahren. b) Um das Ziel eines verbesserten Gesundheitsschutzes effektiver erreichen zu können.

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

As the problem concerns part of the field of food or pharmaceutical respectively there should be a harmonisation with respect to those field. Especially, for example, because the line between nicotine drinks (or sweets) and alcoholic drinks (or sweets) is rather fluid in what their potential harmfulness is concerned. There should be clear purity rules applicable to food (the observance of such rules could be put forward for promotional purposes). Food containing addictive and / or noxious substances should be declared as such, so that a consumer can more easily buy and consume healthy food as his decision is thus guided by knowledge, enabling him to solve the problem he himself. Du fait que le problème concerne en partie les domaines alimentaire et pharmaceutique, il conviendrait d'assurer une harmonisation par rapport à ces domaines. En particulier parce que, p. ex. la limite entre les boissons (ou les sucreries) contenant de la nicotine ou de l'alcool est plutôt floue en ce qui concerne leur potentiel de nocivité. Concernant les aliments, des réglementations claires au sujet de la

pureté des produits devraient être applicables (dont le respect pourrait servir d'argument publicitaire). Les aliments contenant des substances favorisant une addiction et nocives pour la santé devraient être déclarés comme tels afin de faciliter au consommateur la décision d'acheter et de consommer une alimentation saine en toute connaissance de cause, lui permettant ainsi de résoudre le problème par lui-même. Da das Problem in die Bereiche Lebens- bzw. Arzneimittel hineinragt, sollte es auch mit diesen harmonisiert werden. Insbesondere da z.B. die Grenze zwischen nikotin- und alkoholhaltigen Getränken (bzw. Süßigkeiten) und deren Schädigungspotential fließend ist. Für Lebensmittel sollten klare Reinheitsgebote (mit deren Einhaltung geworben werden könnte) gelten. Suchtfördernde und gesundheitsschädigende Stoffe enthaltende Lebensmittel sollten auch als Solche deklariert werden müssen, um dem Verbraucher die bewußte Entscheidung für den Kauf und Konsum gesunder Lebensmittel zu erleichtern und somit das Problem selbst zu lösen.

### **additional comments**

Society in general has to be awakened to the concern about products that are suspected to be dangerous for health in order to promote a more evident differentiation between harmful addictive behaviour and enriching pleasure consuming behaviour. This should also be specially applied to those widely available alcoholic products that are often consumed in excessive quantities (in public places as well, as in private spheres). Il convient de rechercher une sensibilisation généralisée de la société par rapport aux produits potentiellement dangereux pour la santé afin de favoriser une différenciation plus évidente entre attitude de consommation nocive par dépendance et attitude de consommation enrichissante par le plaisir. En particulier aussi au sujet des produits alcoolisés largement répandus et souvent consommés en excès (en public comme en privé). Eine generelle Sensibilisierung der Gesellschaft in Bezug auf Erzeugnisse mit gesundheitsgefährdendem Potential ist anzustreben, um eine offensichtlichere Trennung zwischen schädigenden Sucht- und bereichernden Genuss- Konsumverhalten zu fördern. Insbesondere auch für die weitverbreiteten und häufig in übertriebener Menge (öffentlich und privat) konsumierten Alkoholprodukte.

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **additional option**

Treating comparable products such as alcoholic products in a sense of equity would consist in generally pointing out the threat to health, especially to the health of babies to be born, expectant mothers and young children. Preventive ban should be in force until a regulation is available based on scientific conclusions. Le traitement égalitaire pour des produits comparables tels que les produits alcoolisés consisterait en un signalement général du danger pour la santé, en particulier celle des enfants à naître, des femmes enceintes et des jeunes enfants. Par mesure de prévention, une interdiction devrait être en vigueur jusqu'à ce qu'une réglementation fondée sur des bases scientifiques soit trouvée. Gleichbehandlung mit Vergleichbarem, wie Alkoholerzeugnissen wahren, in dem allgemein auf die Gesundheitsgefährdung, insbesondere von Ungeborenen, Schwangeren und Kleinkindern hingewiesen wird. Bis zum Vorhandensein einer auf wissenschaftlichen Erkenntnissen basierten Regelung, sollte präventiv ein Verbot bestehen.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

A regulation combining these options along with the introduction of a comparable regulation concerning alcoholic products, in view of harmonization. Une combinaison utilisant les options ainsi qu'une réglementation comparable applicable aux produits alcoolisés, au titre d'une harmonisation. Eine Kombination dieser Optionen, sowie zur Harmonisierung die Einführung einer vergleichbaren Regelung für Alkoholerzeugnisse.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Option 2 combined with fees and sanctions that would not be imposed on a lump-sum basis but strictly in proportion to the product turnover (quantity of noxious substances sold). Option 2, associée à des frais et des sanctions fixés non pas sur une base forfaitaire mais proportionnellement au chiffre d'affaires réalisé avec le produit (quantité de substances nocives). Option 2 in Kombination mit Gebühren und Strafen, die nicht pauschal erhoben werden, sondern im Verhältnis zum Produktumsatz (verkaufter Schadstoffmenge) stehen müssen.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

Introduction of a purity rule for tobacco products similar to the german purity rule for beer, dated from 1516. It could be transposed by using a clear-cut declaration and be quite effective



in terms of promotion. e.g. Quality cigarette according to the purity rule vs. mixed tobacco product. In case of non-compliance fines corresponding to quantities and interdict from the market could be inflicted. Introduction d'une règle de pureté des produits du tabac, comparable à la règle allemande de pureté de la bière datée de 1516. Sa transposition peut utiliser une déclaration claire et nette et apporter un "plus" promotionnel. ex.: Cigarette de qualité selon la règle de pureté vs. produit du tabac, mélangé Le non-respect pourrait être sanctionné par des amendes en rapport avec les quantités et des interdictions de mise sur le marché. Einführung eines Reinheitsgebots für Tabakerzeugnisse vergleichbar mit dem deutschen Reinheitsgebot für Bier von 1516. Die Umsetzung kann mittels eindeutiger Deklaration erfolgen und durchaus Werbewirksam sein. Z.B.: Qualitätszigarette nach Reinheitsgebot vs. Tabakmischprodukt Bei nicht Einhaltung könnten mengenbezogene Geldstrafen und Marktverbote verhängt werden.

### **access to tobacco products**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Ban

#### **which one2**

Vending machines to be banned

#### **additional option**

A combination using options 3b and 2a. Une combinaison utilisant les options 3b et 2a. Eine Kombination aus den Optionen 3b und 2a.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Johannes Vogel

##### **email**

johannesvogel@gmx.net

##### **country**

Deutschland

##### **age**

28

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ihr habt die Tabak-Industrie erst zum aktuellen Verhalten gezwungen! Verpackungen haben außerdem eine begrenzte Wirkung. Ein verstärkter Einsatz ist nicht vorhanden! Warnhinweise bringen erwiesenermaßen nichts. Sondern bewirken das Gegenteil!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Stimmt alles nicht...

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Da sieht man, wozu Verbote und Zwang führen. Ich darf an die Prohibition in Amerika erinnern!!!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christine Hotz

**email**

viola128@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wissenschaftliche Erkenntnisse liegen keine vor.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Genuss rauchloser Tabakprodukte hat keine Einfluss auf dritter dh. er gefährdet nicht ihre Gesundheit und schränkt sie auch in keinster Weise ein.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Größe der Warnhinweise hat keinen nachweislichen Effekt auf dessen Wirksamkeit. Die Allgemeinheit ist sich über die Risiken bereits bewusst. Eine Einheitspackung schränkt die freie Marktwirtschaft in der EU ein. Sie führt eher zu sinkenden Preisen wobei dem Staat Steuereinnahmen fehlen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Attraktivität ist nur ein subjektes Kriterium.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das Verkaufen von Tabakprodukten an Automaten steht bereits unter strengen Kontrollen! (Legitimation am AT mit beispielsweise EC-Karte) Das in anderen Mitgliedsstaaten der Verkauf bereits verboten ist, stellt keine Problemdarstellung dar. Des weiteren zweifele ich daran, dass das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher sich durch das Verbot von Automaten ändert.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wilfried Pape

**email**

wilfried.pape@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gabriele Beringer

**email**

gabi.beringer@villiger.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Filbeck Petra

**email**

petra@filbeck.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**



No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARC REIJMEN

**email**

marc.reijmen@belux.imptob.com

**country**

BELGIUM

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I disagree with the problem definition. The problem definition provided by the Consultation document is vague, confused and inaccurate. There is no analysis within the Consultation document of Union competence to adopt the proposed options and no discussion of the internal market issues that would be required in order for the Union to proceed on the proposed option. Furthermore, the problem definition does not contain any evidence to demonstrate the justification for the various options provided in this section

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

NO

**additional comments**

NO

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

the problem definition is not acceptable as drafted. It is vague, confused and unclear. Statements in the problem definition address all smokeless tobacco products without making any differentiation between the various types of products in this category. By ignoring this variety, the Consultation creates confusion rather than providing clarity to allow for objective policy choices.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

NO

**additional comments**

Snus is legally sold in a number of countries worldwide and we believe there is no justification for a ban on snus within the EU.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no credible or scientific evidence which demonstrates this is a necessary step. This problem definition tries to cover too many options at once. Packaging provides consumers who wish to purchase products with information about the product they have chosen. Tobacco packaging has never been identified as a reason why children start to smoke or why adult smokers continue to choose to smoke. Plain packaging would lead to an increase in counterfeit products and illicit trade. It will have a negative impact on competition. Plain packaging expropriates the brand owners of valuable intellectual property. Pictorial health warnings make no additional contribution to the public awareness of the risks.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

NO

**additional comments**

NO

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

. All EU Member States need to agree to and adopt the common reporting format for

ingredient reporting in a fully harmonized way. Introducing fees and sanctions is clearly outside the Commission's competence. With regard to this option, the Commission has also failed to analyse the impact of registration fees on the functioning of the Internal Market as the level of fees would vary between Member States and would impede its functioning. Also, registration fees would likely lead to a further increase of Anti-Illicit Trade.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

NO

**additional comments**

NO

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No, I do not agree with the problem definition. The concept “attractiveness” is an unsound base for regulation. Ingredients give brands distinctive styles -> competition. Ingredients also apply to substances in the non-tobacco materials needed to eg. filter, paper adhesive etc. There is no evidence that ingredients enhance or in themselves are addictive. The problem definition incorrectly defines the use of ingredients and claims without foundation that the majority form carcinogens, mutagens and/or toxic for reproduction. In the case of, for example, mentholated cigarettes have been available for several decades yet there is no credible scientific evidence to suggest that their use is associated with increased smoking incidence, increased consumption, change in smoking behavior or reduced quitting rates compared to other cigarettes. Furthermore, there is no evidence that smokers of mentholated cigarettes inhale more deeply.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No, but a common list of authorised ingredients could be generated using both sound and scientific principles and criteria.

**additional comments**

NO

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No. The problem definition is vague and incomplete. The display of tobacco products at PoS is important to the proper operation of a market economy in the sale of legal tobacco products. It informs the consumer of the products available, their characteristics, their price and the

pack sizes available. Particularly in situations where other channels of communication may be restricted, consumers must be able to identify easily, quickly and effectively the existence and availability of tobacco products and to distinguish between them. Product display ban could exacerbate the illicit trade in contraband and counterfeit products. Consumers would not be able to distinguish legally traded and illicit products. Vending: vending machines in Belgium are strictly controlled to prevent sales to children. It is therefore unnecessary and disproportionate to prohibit tobacco vending.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

NO

**additional comments**

NO

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernhard Schönhammer

**email**

B.Schoenhammer@bit-field.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Überregulierung gefährdet die Akzeptanz der bisher erreichten Regelungen (Nichtraucherschutz) und verursacht unnötige Kosten (Bürokratie, Administration,...)

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Aufhebung der Restriktionen für alle oralen Tabakprodukte.

**additional comments**

Diese Produkte sind im Sinne der Schadensminderung (Wegfall der Schadstoffe durch die Verbrennung von Tabak) durchaus sinnvoll. Nur darauf abzuheben, daß nicht wissenschaftlich nachgewiesen ist, daß sie zu Raucherentwöhnung beitragen ist, nicht zielführend. Zumindest sollte man dann mit einer Regulierung warten, bis dieser Nachweis erbracht ist. Da der Konsum dieser Produkte auch keine schädlichen Auswirkungen auf Unbeteiligte (wie das Passivrauchen) hat, wird ein totales Verbot diese Produkte bei der Bevölkerung als Schikane empfunden werden und die Angst vor weiteren Verboten "ungesunder" Produkte verstärken.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Durch Überregulierungen macht sich die EU bereits jetzt zum Gespött ("Krümmungsradius von Gurken"). Man sollte unbedingt vermeiden, diesen Eindruck noch zu verstärken.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Das einheitliche Berichtsformat als Empfehlung. Evtl. spätere Evaluierung.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional comments**

Die Staaten können ihre Listen jederzeit abgleichen, auch ohne eine Direktive.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Diese Regulierungen gehören nicht in eine Direktive über Tabakprodukte. Der Grenzüberschreitende Verkehr sollte in den entsprechenden Zollbestimmungen geklärt werden. Sonstige Regulierungen bez. des Zugangs zu diesen Produkten können lokal wirkungs- und sinnvoller umgesetzt werden.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Susanne Mueller

**email**

SuWiAn@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't want any changes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

snus should be allowed

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the current information is sufficient and ok

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the comments I agree to will be given by NGG

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

we don't need more regulations

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

cigarettes are a legal product - there is no reason to hide them



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Georges KROMBACH

**email**

gkrombach@gmail.com

**country**

Luxemburg

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Je ne suis pas d'accord!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Je ne suis pas d'accord

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Je ne suis pas d'accord

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

je ne suis pas d'accord

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

je ne suis pas d'accord

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Je ne suis pas d'accord

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

yvonne

**email**

yvonne2.@freenat.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**  
40

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paul Nordgren

**email**

paul.nordgren@telia.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

67

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**additional comments**

Product which are to be smoked (or where combustion products are inhaled), such as ENDS, herbal cigarettes, mixtures for water pipes etc. should be regulated under equal conditions as tobacco products. Products which contain nicotine but not tobacco, should be regulated either as tobacco products or as pharmaceutical products, not be left without regulation.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Traditional, "marginal" products could remain allowed on the market. Other products, e.g. snus, should, however, remain prohibited. Snus has a great potential of attracting children and young people who would otherwise not start using tobacco (40 % of current male snus users

in Sweden have never smoked). In the end of the 1960s, snus was disappearing from the Swedish market; the Swedish tobacco company even discussed to end production. Instead, the company launched a huge advertising campaign, which led to a big increase (a doubling) of snus use among boys and young men (15-29), but no change among older men (see Nordgren & Ramström, Moist snuff in Sweden - tradition and evolution, British Journal of Addiction (1990) 85, 1107-1112). Even if tobacco advertising is now prohibited, one should not take the risk of creating a similar development in Europe. The hypothesis that use of snus could help reduce smoking, should not be overrated - currently 1 in 4 of Swedish male snus users are ALSO SMOKERS. Daily smoking among male snus users is effectively the same as for Swedish men in general. Smoking among Swedish women has now decreased to the same (low) level as for Swedish men, without any significant increase of snus use among women.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**additional option**

Proper health warnings should be placed on non-tobacco mixtures for water pipe smoking. Mixtures which contain tobacco should have the same warnings as pipe tobacco.

**additional comments**

The numbers for "tar", nicotine and CO are misleading to consumers and must be removed from the package. The maximum yields for the content of Tar" etc. in the smoke, should remain unchanged.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Juergen Stoeppel

**email**

jueka.stoeppel@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

66

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Holzmann, Horst

**email**

Holzmann-Touristik@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

59



**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Saarmann

**email**

Saarmann@me.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**  
victor

**email**  
victor2.freenet.de

**country**  
deutschland

**age**  
49

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Nadja Mahmoud

**email**  
nadja.mahmoud@gmx.net

**country**  
Germany, Berlin

**age**  
43

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no explanations

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**  
No

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

The problem definition isn't correct

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

non

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARC REIJMEN

**email**

marc.reijmen@belux.imptob.com

**country**

BELGIUM

**age**



**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I disagree with the problem definition. The problem definition provided by the Consultation document is vague, confused and inaccurate. There is no analysis within the Consultation document of Union competence to adopt the proposed options and no discussion of the internal market issues that would be required in order for the Union to proceed on the proposed option. Furthermore, the problem definition does not contain any evidence to demonstrate the justification for the various options provided in this section

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

NO

**additional comments**

NO

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

the problem definition is not acceptable as drafted. It is vague, confused and unclear. Statements in the problem definition address all smokeless tobacco products without making any differentiation between the various types of products in this category. By ignoring this variety, the Consultation creates confusion rather than providing clarity to allow for objective policy choices.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

NO

**additional comments**

Snus is legally sold in a number of countries worldwide and we believe there is no justification for a ban on snus within the EU.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

. There is no credible or scientific evidence which demonstrates this is a necessary step. This problem definition tries to cover too many options at once. Packaging provides consumers who wish to purchase products with information about the product they have chosen. Tobacco packaging has never been identified as a reason why children start to smoke or why adult smokers continue to choose to smoke. Plain packaging would lead to an increase in counterfeit products and illicit trade. It will have a negative impact on competition. Plain packaging expropriates the brand owners of valuable intellectual property. Pictorial health warnings make no additional contribution to the public awareness of the risks.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

NO

**additional comments**

NO

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I disagree with the problem definition. The problem definition provided by the Consultation document is vague, confused and inaccurate. There is no analysis within the Consultation document of Union competence to adopt the proposed options and no discussion of the internal market issues that would be required in order for the Union to proceed on the proposed option. Furthermore, the problem definition does not contain any evidence to demonstrate the justification for the various options provided in this section

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

NO

**additional comments**

NO

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I disagree with the problem definition. The problem definition provided by the Consultation document is vague, confused and inaccurate. There is no analysis within the Consultation document of Union competence to adopt the proposed options and no discussion of the internal market issues that would be required in order for the Union to proceed on the

proposed option. Furthermore, the problem definition does not contain any evidence to demonstrate the justification for the various options provided in this section

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

NO

**additional comments**

NO

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I disagree with the problem definition. The problem definition provided by the Consultation document is vague, confused and inaccurate. There is no analysis within the Consultation document of Union competence to adopt the proposed options and no discussion of the internal market issues that would be required in order for the Union to proceed on the proposed option. Furthermore, the problem definition does not contain any evidence to demonstrate the justification for the various options provided in this section

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

NO

**additional comments**

NO

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Helga Eckert

**email**

h.eckert@vauen.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
i don't like

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
i don't like

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
I don't like

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
i don't like

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Cristel Huber

**email**

cristel.huber@villiger.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Elina Pietiläinen

**email**

elinapietilainen@gmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

42

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**  
I do believe that snus can be a help for people that want to stop smoking. In my circle of friends it has proven to be a way out, instead of a way in to become a smoker. I also think that snus is equally harmful to tobacco products (not less, not more; even there are no studies to back that up) and that it should be treated like any other tobacco product. Right now the directive can be interpreted that snus is more harmful than normal tobacco as it is banned.

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**additional comments**  
People who smoke, will smoke disregarding what is printed in the box. Tobacco products are harmful and that information should clearly be indicated on the packaging. You can even increase the amount of information, that is common sense. Like any other product the packaging should clearly indicate what the product contains etc. Some responsibility must be on the users as well. Nobody is forcing them to smoke. When it comes to generic or plain packaging I do not think that is a good idea. It will make room for fake tobacco products as packaging would be easier to copy.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**additional comments**

I all for all the three options. Lot of this is already being done. Vending machines are almost extinct (haven't seen one for ages, though haven't been looking for either as I'm not a smoker). Tobacco products at shops are quite well hidden. Age verification when shopping via internet could be difficult to establish, but if it can be done, by all means.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexander Linsert

**email**

alexanderlinsert@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rune Vesterby Braskhøj Bust

**email**

runebust@gmail.com

**country**  
Denmark

**age**  
26

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
"This might imply both a distortion of the internal market and a failure to ensure a high level of health protection in the EU." I disagree, people are perfect capable of a high level of health protection for themselves. This is not a job for the EU

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
I recommend less bans (less prohibitions)

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Lift the ban on everything regarding smoking, people can decide for themselves

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Its stupid to restrict people in making their own decisions

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**additional option**  
Picture warnings are stupid, most people in the EU know how to read. Inform people of the

harm their doing to themselves and stop the regulations. People have a right to choose for themselves whether or not they want to smoke. Use information based on proper facts and not smoking scared, WHO and nicotine chewing gum manufacture paid research.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Same as before

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Less bans. Stop ypur restrictive behavior and you will see that people can maintain their health for themselves

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

same as before

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

same as before

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No bans!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Costas

**email**

theo.costas@yahoo.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marcus Krösche-Dölz

**email**

MarcusKroescheDoelz@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem statement is incorrect, inaccurate and misleading. In the presentation you point out Problems which are not a problem

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

The problem statement is incorrect. In the presentation you point out facts which are not a problem.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In the presentation you point out facts which based on claims which are wrong.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The presentation is misleading and incomplete

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Manufacturer's products do not lead ingredients in order to move Non-smoker to smoker or to prevent consumers with quit smoking.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is misleading and incomplete. You point out facts which are not a problem.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Abe

**email**

mabe3168@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The current restrictions are sufficient

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Consumers have several different ways to keep themselves informed and are informed already

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There are already sufficient information provided

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The current regulations are sufficient

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oliver Kinne

**email**

megafrosch@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jana Balazova

**email**

jankabal@gmail.com

**country**  
Slovakia

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**  
it's a tobacco product, therefore it should be treated as other tobacco products - it should be taxed in the state where it is consumed

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
it'd be much easier for the criminals to manufacture counterfeit cigarettes. moreover, I believe plain packaging is a breach of the IP rights of the companies.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Legislators do have means to enforce legislative measures.

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

member states can use their best practices as it works with the alcohol denaturants (list of denaturants is accepted for each MS)

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

limiting internet access is rather impossible. limiting any other access in retail is in contradiction with the idea of vendors selling a legally accessible product. not to mention that as a customer, I do have the right to see what I'm about to buy.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Keil, Ralph

**email**

ralph.keil@googlemail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Peter Kleeberg

#### **email**

peklee@t-online.de

#### **country**

Deutschland / Germany

#### **age**

49

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

#### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

menzel

**email**

menzel-klaus@web.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karin Hobmaier

**email**

karin.hobmaier@villiger.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Dalpke

**email**

Frank.Dalpke@FA-5335.fin-nrw.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ansonsten Eingriff in die freie Marktwirtschaft

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Storm

**email**

christian-michael.storm@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Maria Cruz

**email**  
maelcruz@hotmail.com

**country**  
spain

**age**  
28

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comments

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comments

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Ziegenbein

**email**

cflnj@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maren Sylle

**email**

maren.sylle@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I want every company to decide themselves the design of the cigarettes You can't stop a real smoker by bringing up new rules Everyone who wants to smoke, will continue it

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

More health teaching in schools

**additional comments**

Do more for the youth

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

As you know, cigarettes are legal drugs. So why don't you work in the same way as in alcoholism??

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Help people not start smoking....

**additional comments**

But you can't stop a real smoker, never

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**additional option**

Let people decide themselves if they want to smoke or not

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**additional option**

You can tell everywhere "NO" to cigarettes, but you'll never stop it. There'll be always a place where you can smoke

**additional comments**

Some people are drinking and smoking their whole life and become 100 years Some people are living real healthy and dying on a heart attack at 40 years..... So it's not really proved, that smoking makes you ill

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GHIGNOLI IVANO

**email**

piombino@confcommerciolivorno.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johannes Vogel

**email**

johannesvogel@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Um einem Verbotsstaat Deutschland und einer Verbots-Gemeinschaft EU entgegenzuwirken.  
Es wird viel zu viel geregelt, das eigentlich keiner Regelung bedarf!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Snus sollte erlaubt werden. Freiheit für freie Bürger! Bitte keinen Erziehungszwang durch die EU! Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse sind nicht erwiesenermaßen sucht und Krebs verursachend! Aber für einzelne Personen würde der Gebrauch v. rauchlosen Tabakerz. die Häufigkeit des Auftretens von Erkrankungen verringern.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tabakverpackungen + Produktmerkmale werden zunehmend für die Verkaufsförderung und das Markenimage eingesetzt, nur weil die EU die Tabakindustrie dazu gezwungen hat: durch entspr. andere Regelungen zur Einschränkung der Werbemöglichkeiten!! Warnhinweise führen erwiesenermaßen zu mehr Tabakkonsum bei Rauchern, weil sie sich dadurch herausgefordert fühlen. Die Versuche den Raucher zu erziehen scheitern und müssen verurteilt werden!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Hört auf, alles verbieten zu wollen! Außerdem, wer soll das bitte alles kontrollieren? Das ist nur Geldverschwendung! Ihr gebt hier nur unser Geld für unsinnige Sachen aus! Georg Orwell lässt grüßen!!!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Mauthe

**email**

m.mauthe@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

43

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein persönlicher Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein persönlicher Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Snus ist weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Zigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus nur in Schweden legal kaufen?

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein persönlicher Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Konsumenten von Rauchartikel werden nicht weniger rauchen nur weil die Verpackung weiß ist. Außerdem wären die weiße verpackung für Kriminelle leichter zu fälschen.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein persönlicher Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

keine Änderung

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein persönlicher Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

keine Änderung

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein persönlicher Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Als Bürger mit Recht zu freier Entscheidung möchte das komplette Sortiment sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POLVERIGIANI LEONARDO MARIA

**email**

fit.macerata@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;



Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Werner krupp

**email**

w.krupp@dow.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Vittorio Piscitelli

**email**  
victory25@yahoo.it

**country**  
Italia

**age**  
38

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SILEONI ANNA

**email**

fit.macerata@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PESARESI ANDREA

**email**

fit.macerata@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Grzegorz Zabrzeński

**email**

zabrzyk@gmail.com

**country**

Poland

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LAMBERTUCCI ANNA

**email**

fit.macerata@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Tobias Riemann

##### **email**

t\_riemann@freenet.de

##### **country**

Germany Muncih

##### **age**

34

##### **gender**

male

##### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

Ich stimme zu daß alle Nikotin haltigen Produkte voll gekennzeichnet werden müssen, aber ein Verbot einzelner Produkte halte ich für nicht ratsam, denn lieber kontrolllierte Qualität statt wilder illegaler Import aus anderen Ländern die nicht diesen Bestimmungen folgen werden.

##### **which option**

No change

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

##### **questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gloria Arruga

**email**

pisa@unizar.es

**country**

Spain

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SILVETTI SILIO

**email**

fit.macerata@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an



appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mirjam Altenfelder

**email**

moehrensalat@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

26

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Bevor die Tabakindustrie völlig zerstört wird plädiere ich dafür sich erst einmal mit dem Problem Alkohol zu beschäftigen, da diese Droge nicht nur den Konsumenten zerstört sondern auch alle in seiner Näheren Umgebung mit beteiligt. Durch die bereits geltenden Gesetze ist der Tabakkonsum so eingeschränkt, dass Nichtraucher definitiv die Möglichkeit haben sich von Rauchern fern zu halten. Mit dem Alkohol sieht das noch viel schlimmer aus!!!

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

aufgrund der medienwirksamen Aufklärung der Bevölkerung dürfte sich jeder Bürger über die Folgen von Tabakkonsum im klaren sein, wozu dann noch irgendwelche Bilder oder Einheitsverpackungen?? Wer rauchen will, der raucht auch so, egal welche Warnungen angebracht werden!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARIANI SCIPIONI ROSA

**email**

fit.macerata@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

86

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans-Michael Kuhn

**email**

michael.kuhn@concept-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Verbraucher ist mündig

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

eine völlig übertriebene Sichtweise

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
CHERUBINI MAURIZIO

**email**

fit.macerata@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**



SCARPACI MARIANO

**email**

info@gattopardobrolo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

87

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASETTI MARCO

**email**

casettimarco@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FARRUGGIA GIUSEPPE

**email**

fit.caltanissetta@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

69

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FOTI BELLIGAMBI LUIGI

**email**

fit.messina@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

67

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---



## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Grzegorz Janiak

#### **email**

grzegorz.janiak@rbs.com

#### **country**

Poland

#### **age**

44

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Health warnings to be put on water pipes

#### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Witold Dryl

**email**

witold.dryl@rbs.com

**country**

Poland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZANGARA TONI

**email**

zangara-toni@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrej Ajsih

**email**

ajshi@yahoo.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rita Eggers

**email**

juergen.eggerts2@googlemail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Der Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie sollte durchaus die angegebenen Produkte beinhalten. Ohne zuvorige wissenschaftliche Überprüfung der Produkte in Hinsicht auch ihre Schädlichkeit für den menschlichen Körper sollte jedoch kein Verbot ausgesprochen werden.



**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ich bin der Auffassung, dass das Snus-Verbot aufgehoben werden sollt. Es gibt wissenschaftliches Beweismaterial, dass nachweist, dass Snus weniger schädlich ist als Zigaretten und dass die Verfügbarkeit dieses Produktes ind Schweden zu einer Reduzierung des durch Tabak verursachten Schadens geführt hat.

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin der Meinung, dass größere Warnhinweise oder Bilder, keinen Raucher überzeugen würde, mit dem Rauchen aufzugeben. Außerdem bin ich der Meinung, dass Plain Packaging ebenfalls keine Wirkung für einen Raucher hätten.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Gesundheitswarnungen haben meiner Meinung nach keinen Einfluss auf Raucher.

**additional comments**

Zur besseren Kennzeichnung der Inahltstoffe könnte man z.B. Zahlen verwenden.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Es sollte ein gemeinsames Meldeformat entwickelt werden. Damit wären alle auf einen einheitlichen und auch übersichtlichen Stand

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Krauß Rainer

**email**

raikrauss@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARINELLI BEATRICE

**email**

FIT.PESCARA@TABACCAI.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAA WIRLD SERVICE CE 002 Responsabile Michele Busico

**email**

worldservice\_ce02@yahoo.it

**country**

Italia

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Udo Trost

**email**

Eutro@mapema.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZALTRON MARIA ANGELA

**email**

zaltronmariangela@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

vetter

**email**

c.vetter1@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich rauche

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**  
Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Petar Peric

**email**  
pera@mail.com

**country**  
Slovenia

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Horst Müller

**email**

horst-mueller1@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANZARDO MIRKA

**email**

didixit2@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Åsa

**email**

asajohansson33@hotmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No need of change.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Snus does not affect anybody else than the user and should not be banned.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Removing the right to use trademarks constitutes trademark infringement and trademark owners should have the right to use their trademark that they had invested a lot of money in and if not they should be compensated for the exproportion.

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Different member states has different level of protection and local law needs to be taken into consideration.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cigarettes without ingredients are as dangerous as those with ingredients and the smoking incidence is as high in countries where people normally smokes cigarettes without ingredients.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It does not have any effect on smoking incidence. Instead the member states should focus on having more sever penalties for shops that sell to minors.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIANEZZOLA PIER LUIGI

**email**

pianezzola.mail@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SMANIA GIUSEPPE

**email**

fit.vicenza@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GROTTO DIEGO

**email**

fit.vicenza@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.



**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tomasz Olejnik

**email**

olejnikt@o2.pl

**country**

Poland

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sorry, these consultation is much more too hard to understand to go deeper into subject and explain everything. One should only ask - why it is only in English and why so difficult to fill in - maybe you prefer not to receive too many opinions...

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Too many compulsory fields - sorry, it is for citizens not scientists. This is just my opinion and you don't need to know why.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Too many compulsory fields - sorry, it is for citizens not scientists. This is just my opinion and you don't need to know why. Too many restrictions will result in all the cons of prohibition in US in old years. It is better to allow and to control. Safer, cheaper, smarter. Bans will result in less income for budgets, crime increase and worse quality of cigarettes which will impact on health of citizens and again budgets. Restrictions are not the method, trying to change new generations thinking is.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Please see comment to previous question - it is not the right method. What is prohibited, is more desired.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAROLLO RICCARDO

**email**

fit.vicenza@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Nina Kochendörfer

##### **email**

Nina.Kochendoerfer@t-online.de

##### **country**

Germany

##### **gender**

female

##### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

##### **which option**

No change

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

michelle colditz

**email**

michelle.colditz@web.de

**country**  
germany

**age**  
27

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POSTERI GIOVANNI

**email**

FIT.VERONA@INTRANETFIT.DOM

**country**

Italy

**age**

68

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

janko guzijan

**email**

janko.guzjan@gmail.com

**country**

serbia

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

No

**additional comments**

No

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Siegfried Franke

**email**

windmuehler@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

76

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als "mündiger Bürger/Europäer" will ich mir die Art zu leben nicht von Bürokraten/Fundamentalisten vorschreiben lassen!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Rauchst sterbst, rauchst neet sterbst aa!

**additional comments**

Und die vielen anderen Chemikalien in Lebensmitteln, Um- und Arbeitswelt???

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**  
dto. wie vor

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
dto.

**additional comments**  
dto.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
dto.

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
dto.

**additional comments**  
dto.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
dto.

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
dto.

**additional comments**  
dto.

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

dto.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

dto.

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Selbst entscheiden!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Selber entscheiden!

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SALAMO' ELISABETTA

**email**

elisabettasalamo@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of



toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'ANGELO MARIA GRAZIA

**email**

FIT.PESCARA@TABACCAI.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Melanie Haindl

**email**

mhaindl@myway.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

29

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
absolute Einschränkung der Entscheidungsfreiheit

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RUSSO ANTONINO

**email**

fnicolosi1@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Adam Westgren

**email**

adam.westgren@pmintl.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The definition of the problem sates inconsistencies.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

I dont think that smokeless tobacco should be banned without any scientific proves.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

There is no proof that smokesless tobacco is a gateway to cigarettes.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

There is no reason why snus, which is not proven harmful, should be banned when numerous of tobacco products are legal when there is a clear health impact.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

GHW does not seem to be an effective way of reducing the smoking incidence.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The approach is non scientific and is therefore irrelevant.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

What is "attractive substances", who can define that?

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

There is no evidence that banning vending machines or display ban would reduce smoking.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI STEFANO ROSA ANNA

**email**

rosannadistefanow@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAZZELLI MICHELE

**email**

fit.macerata@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Shell Station Brandes OHG

**email**

kontakt@shell-station-brandes.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Regulierung muss immer auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse erfolgen und nicht auf Basis vager Einschätzungen. Nur weil Produkte neu sind, müssen sie nicht reguliert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse gefährden nicht die Gesundheit Dritter. Ein Verbot von Snus entmündigt die EU-Bürger.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich denke, dass ein Warnhinweis wirksamer ist, wenn er größer ist. Ausserdem besteht bereits ein Bewusstsein für die Risiken des Rauchens, ein Bildwarnhinweis ist überflüssig. Einheitspackungen greifen in das freie Markenrecht ein. Einheitspackungen führen zu einer Preisspirale, dadurch werden Groß- und Einzelhändler und damit mein Arbeitsplatz gefährdet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch Zusatzstoffe werden Zigaretten nicht attraktiver. Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe geben dem illegalen Handel auftrieb.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In 15 von 27 Mitgliedsstaaten sind Zigarettenautomaten erlaubt und das sollte so bleiben. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen, z.B. Ausweisleser in Deutschland. Zigarettenautomaten fallen in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der einzelnen Staaten. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt lokal und weist keinen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Zigarettenautomaten haben meiner Meinung nach keinen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Lindsey Bonner

#### **email**

linzi05@yahoo.com

#### **country**

UK

#### **age**

26

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

Any changes in regulation should be strictly evidence based

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

There is no evidence that either plain packaging or larger health warnings would reduce smoking prevalence and have any public health benefits. On the contrary, these measures are likely to worsen the illicit trade making it easier for counterfeit tobacco products to be produced and sold.

##### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Enforcement of the provisions of the Directive should remain the responsibility of Member States who already have the power to impose fees and penalties.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The focus of ingredients testing should be on whether the ingredients result in increased harmfulness of tobacco smoke.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no evidence that a point of sale display ban and vending ban would reduce smoking prevalence and help meet any other public health objectives.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MENDICINO TERESA

**email**

fit.verbania@tabaccai.it

**country**



Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dietmar Kochendoerfer

**email**

dietmar.kochendoerfer@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
38

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IZZO CARMINE

**email**

carmine.izzo@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hubert Stiegler Michalak

**email**

stiegler.nordheim@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BONATI LAURETTA

**email**

giulianomoro@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**



The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dieter May

**email**

dustym@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Horst Löffler

**email**

horst@hl-site.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Einbeziehung neuer nikotinhaltiger kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch gefährdet niemanden, vielmehr werden Menschen in uralten Traditionen eingeschränkt. Bayern ohne Schnupftabak ist schlecht vorstellbar.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Einheitsverpackungen haben keinen Einfluss auf das Verbrauchsverhalten der Konsumenten. Oder ist in USA etwa der Konsum von Alkohol eingeschränkt worden, weil der Schnaps in graubraunen Tüten herumgetragen wird? Die rauchenden und auch alle Bürger wissen auch ohne abschreckende Bilder und Warnungen, dass das Gesundheitsrisiko besteht.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden, ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen verkauft werden.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden, ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen verkauft werden.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Dass die Existenz von Zigarettenautomaten einen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher hat, ist nicht nachgewiesen. Es gibt Länder ohne Zigarettenautomaten, dort gibt es zum Teil mehr jugendliche Raucher als in Ländern mit Automaten. Die Abschaffung der Automaten schränkt daher die Bezugsmöglichkeiten der Verbraucher ein, die dann weitere Wege zum Kauf der Produkte zurücklegen müssen, aber deswegen nicht weniger rauchen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANTIONE LILIANA

**email**

tabacch1@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marc Rottmann

**email**

marc.rottman@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access



**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARESCALCHI ROSA

**email**

FIT.PESCARA@TABACCAI.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FOGLIATO ANDREA

**email**

sncilgabbianosnc@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hauptmann, Mario

**email**

mario.hauptmann@t-online.de

**country**

germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
6591

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
MAZZONI ALESSIA

**email**  
jamapina88@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

22

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karl Ehmann



**email**

karl.ehmann@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Regulierung ist genug getan. Die Ausweitung auf weitere Produkte würde Produkte, die beim Rauchen-Aufhören helfen können, würden ebenso verboten (z.B.: e-Zigarette).

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Jeder sollte für sich selbst entscheiden können, da ohne Rauch keine Gefährdung Dritter besteht.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Warnhinweise stumpfen ab und bringen Nichts. Die Einheitspackung ist einerseits eine Bevormundung und verhindert andererseits ein Sich-Auseinander-setzen mit dem Produkt. Produktinnovationen werden nicht mehr kommuniziert und Produktfälschungen, ev. mit unkontrollierbarem Inhalten, nehmen sehr stark zu.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Regulierung, Dokumentation stehen für steigende Kosten für Alle. Die Nikotinwerte wurden in der EU in den letzten 15 Jahren stetig reduziert. Bei Schmuggelware, die durch den Preisabstand nach vorne kommt, fragt keiner nach Werten oder Beistoffen.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das Problem beginnt in der Definition von attraktiven Stoffen, führt über die Messmethoden zu Regulierung auf schwachen wissenschaftlichen Aussagen. Nikotin ist nicht gesundheitsförderlich. Wie es sich bei anderen Stoffe verhält ist sich nicht wissenschaftlich oder gar empirisch festzustellen. In einer Art Mutmaßung wird was schaden könnte verboten. Kann man noch über eine Straßenkreuzung gehen ohne Gesundheitsschäden? Mit einer solchen Regelung wird ein anderer Zweck verfolgt.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Fragestellung ist nicht korrekt. Der Zigarettenautomat ist jugendschutzsicher und damit eine gute und gewohnte Einkaufsmöglichkeit. Grenzüberschreitend kann für den Zigarettenautomaten nicht das Thema sein. Die Grenzen für Menschen binden zu gestalten, hat historischen Beleg aus DDR-Zeiten. Ziel ist nur die fiskalische Ausweichmöglichkeit den Konsumenten zu nehmen. Der Kunde soll sich für oder gegen ein sichtbares Produkt entscheiden können.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'IPPOLITO STEFANIA

**email**

fit.macerata@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
2010

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bogdau Topic

**email**

mimidrav@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Regulierung muss immer auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse erfolgen und nicht auf Basis vager Einschätzungen. Nur weil Produkte neu sind, müssen sie nicht reguliert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse gefährden nicht die Gesundheit Dritter. Ein Verbot von Snus entmündigt die EU-Bürger.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich denke, dass ein Warnhinweis wirksamer ist, wenn er größer ist. Ausserdem besteht bereits ein Bewusstsein für die Risiken des Rauchens, ein Bildwarnhinweis ist überflüssig. Einheitspackungen greifen in das freie Markenrecht ein. Einheitspackungen führen zu einer Preisspirale, dadurch werden Groß- und Einzelhändler und damit mein Arbeitsplatz gefährdet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch Zusatzstoffe werden Zigaretten nicht attraktiver. Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe geben dem illegalen Handel auftrieb.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In 15 von 27 Mitgliedsstaaten sind Zigarettenautomaten erlaubt und das sollte so bleiben. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen, z.B. Ausweisleser in Deutschland. Zigarettenautomaten fallen in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der einzelnen Staaten. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt lokal und weist keinen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Zigarettenautomaten haben meiner Meinung nach keinen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Małgorzata Wańska-Szefer

**email**

malgorzata.szefer@com.imtob.pl

**country**

Polska

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the problem definition is incorrect ,vague and unclear. It fails to provide any scientific basis or evidence for the different options considered in this section.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the problem is defined overly narrowly, and so fails to identify relevant policy options

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No evidence provided for the different options discussed in this section.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the problem definition incorrectly defines the use of ingredients.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LUCA ELENA

**email**

fit.pavia@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**



The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Hermanns

#### **email**

info@kirashu.de

#### **country**

Mönchengladbach

#### **age**

62

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SIMONNEAUX

**email**

famillesimonneaux@orange.fr

**country**

FRANCE

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

« Il n'y a pas d'études scientifiques efficaces prouvant les risques concernant les produits du tabac sans fumée. »

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

« Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des paquets neutres. Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des photos chocs, ni même de plus grands avertissements sanitaires sur les paquets. La mise en place de paquets neutres risque d'entraîner une guerre des prix du tabac (puisque cela restera le seul moyen pour les fabricants de se différencier). La mise en place de photos chocs sur les 2 faces des paquets ou de paquets génériques va pousser les consommateurs français à aller s'approvisionner sur les marchés parallèles du tabac (achats à l'étranger ou de contrebande, ou sur internet. De telles mesures risquent de déstabiliser et pénaliser économiquement le réseau des 28 000 buralistes français organisés dans un réseau réglementé et sous tutelle de l'Etat. »

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

« Cela risque d'entraîner une standardisation de la production avec comme conséquence une diminution de l'offre au fumeur adulte et responsable, et le risque de voire apparaitre une augmentation des produits du tabac contrefaits. »

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

« Cela risque d'entraîner une standardisation de la production avec comme conséquence une diminution de l'offre au fumeur adulte et responsable, et le risque de voire apparaitre une augmentation des produits du tabac contrefaits. »

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

**additional comments**

<< Il n'ya pas de corrélation prouvée entre la disparition des linéaires tabac et la lutte contre le tabagostime. La France dispose d'un réseau de 28 000 buralistes, préposés de l'administration responsables et professionnels, seuls habilités à vendre du tabac. Ce réseau est fortement réglementé par l'état ce qui permet déjà de contrôler efficacement l'accès au produit tabac >>

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Weiland Hans

**email**

h.weiland@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Anna Jaśkiewicz

#### **email**

anna.jaskiewicz@pl.imptob.com

#### **country**

Poland

#### **gender**

female

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

The problem definition is incorrect, vague and unclear. It fails to provide any scientific basis or evidence for the different options considered in this section.

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

The problem is defined overly narrowly, and so fails to identify relevant policy options.

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No evidence provided for the different options discussed this section.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem definition incorrectly defines the use of ingredients.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Greenwood

**email**

tecsirmaddog@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rudolf Kallidat

**email**

rudkallidat@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

sidot philippe

**email**

phil.sidot@gmail.com

**country**

france

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Le champ d'application de la directive pourrait être étendu pour couvrir ces autres produits mais ne devrait pas exclure automatiquement une catégorie de produit (nouvelle forme de tabac oral). Toute interdiction devrait s'appuyer sur des bases scientifiques.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

le snus est moins nocif

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Il n'y a aucune preuve qu'une augmentation de la taille des avertissements sanitaires soit plus efficace. Il n'y a aucune preuve que le paquet générique améliore le fonctionnement du marché intérieur ou ait un impact bénéfique pour la santé publique. Cela faciliterait la tâche des criminels qui copient les paquets et entraînerait une augmentation du commerce illicite de cigarettes.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Les Etats membres peuvent déjà introduire des frais d'enregistrement et des sanctions. La

mise en oeuvre de telles mesures qui seraient prévues par la directive doit rester de la responsabilité des Etats membres de l'UE.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le question de l'évaluation des ingrédients devrait uniquement poser le problème de l'augmentation ou non de la toxicité de la fumée du tabac.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le problème des distributeurs automatiques et de l'affichage des produits sur le point de vente est mal posé. Il n'y a pas de preuve que ces interdictions amélioreraient le fonctionnement du marché intérieur ou répondent aux objectifs de santé publique.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Post

**email**

post.markus@gmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tankstelle Cinar Gmbh

**email**

reifen.cinar@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Regulierung muss immer auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse erfolgen und nicht auf Basis vager Einschätzungen. Nur weil Produkte neu sind, müssen sie nicht reguliert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse gefährden nicht die Gesundheit Dritter. Ein Verbot von Snus entmündigt die EU-Bürger.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich denke, dass ein Warnhinweis wirksamer ist, wenn er größer ist. Ausserdem besteht bereits ein Bewusstsein für die Risiken des Rauchens, ein Bildwarnhinweis ist überflüssig.

Einheitspackungen greifen in das freie Markenrecht ein. Einheitspackungen führen zu einer Preisspirale, dadurch werden Groß- und Einzelhändler und damit mein Arbeitsplatz gefährdet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch Zusatzstoffe werden Zigaretten nicht attraktiver. Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe geben dem illegalen Handel auftrieb.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In 15 von 27 Mitgliedsstaaten sind Zigarettenautomaten erlaubt und das sollte so bleiben. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen, z.B. Ausweisleser in Deutschland. Zigarettenautomaten fallen in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der einzelnen Staaten. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt lokal und weist keinen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Zigarettenautomaten haben meiner Meinung nach keinen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

André Kraus

**email**

andrekraus2@web.de

**country**

Deutschland



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
"Kein Kommentar"

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
"Kein Kommentar"

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
"Kein Kommentar"

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
"Kein Kommentar"

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
"Kein Kommentar"

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

"Kein Kommentar"

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Yvonne Henning

**email**

naraya22@aol.com

**country**

germany

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRIE

**email**

thierry.brie@numericable.fr

**country**

FRANCE

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Il n'y a pas d'études scientifiques efficaces prouvant les risques concernant les produits du tabac sans fumée

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des paquets neutres. Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des photos chocs, ni même de plus grands avertissements sanitaires sur les paquets. La mise en place de paquets neutres risque d'entraîner une guerre des prix du tabac (puisque cela restera le seul moyen pour les fabricants de se différencier). La mise en place de photos chocs sur les deux faces des paquets ou de paquets génériques va pousser les consommateurs français à aller s'approvisionner sur les marchés parallèles du tabac (achats à l'étranger ou de contrebande, ou sur internet. De telles mesures risquent de déstabiliser et pénaliser économiquement le réseau des 28000 buralistes français organisés dans un réseau réglementé et sous tutelle de l'Etat.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cela risque d'entraîner une standardisation de la production avec comme conséquence une diminution de l'offre au fumeur adulte et responsable, et le risque de voire apparaître une augmentation des produits du tabac contrefaits

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**additional comments**

Il n'y a pas de corrélation prouvée entre la disparition des linéaires tabac et la lutte contre le tabagisme. La France dispose d'un réseau de 28000 buralistes, préposés de l'administration responsables et professionnels, seuls habilités à vendre du tabac. Ce réseau est fortement réglementé par l'Etat ce qui permet déjà de contrôler efficacement l'accès au produit tabac

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uwe Rack

**email**

inrack@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
47

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Die Richtlinien gegen das Rauchen sind jetzt bereits sehr stark eingreifend in die persönliche entscheidungs Freiheit.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Im Gegenteil die Schadstoffe sind trotzdem in der Luft durch den Rauch werden diese wenigstens wahrgenommen und der Nichtraucher wird visuell gewarnt.

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Robert Rochner

**email**  
rr@robpro.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No Comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Schmidtman

**email**

c.schmidtman@web.de

**country**

Deutschland



**age**  
41

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich glaube nicht, dass die Änderungen einen Effekt hätten. Darüber hinaus bin ich der Meinung, dass eine Regulierung durch die EU nicht der Selbstverantwortung erwachsener Bürger entspricht.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
Rauchverbote müssen im Sinne der Gastronomie wieder gelockert werden!

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Ich bin nicht der Ansicht, dass diese Produkte zwingend zu einer Abhängigkeit, bzw. zum Zigarettenkonsum führen. Jeder entscheidet selber, was er tut und was nicht!

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Es ist doch klar, dass Rauchen nicht gesund ist. Die Hinweise auf den Packungen halte ich für albern. Eine Beschränkung der Max. Werte einer Zigarette halte ich für Eine Einschränkung der Selbstbestimmung.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Die Werte sind doch hetzt beschrieben, mehr interessiert den Raucher nicht!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die unterschiedlichen Inhaltsstoffe machen den Geschmack der Zigarette aus. Da ist eine verordnete Gleichmacherei kontraproduktiv.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist nicht Aufgabe der EU hier regulierend zu wirken.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Yulia Koshman

**email**

julia\_koshman@ukr.net

**country**

Poland

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

I really do not understand why good quality snus should be banned

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In most countries, if not all, only adults (as of 18 years of age) can buy and smoke cigarettes, in my opinion they can make responsible decisions concerning their health and information available on the pack now is enough.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Introduction of plain packaging, in my opinion, will negatively effect not only tobacco industry but other supporting companies, i.e printing the images on the pack. Besides if tobacco companies' profits decrease, their taxes will decrease too and the worst of all many people will lose jobs.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I think it is enough if the law prohibiting selling tobacco products to minors is reinforced.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marcus Feja

**email**

makafe@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist wichtig eine Produktvielfalt zu haben und zu unterscheiden, das ist nach den Grundsätzen der Marktwirtschaft für Angebot und Nachfrage wichtig Ausserdem sollte jeder selbst entscheiden können was er kauft, es vollkommen ausreichend was bisher auf den Tabakverpackungen angebracht ist

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Da Raucher einen nicht unerheblichen Teil zur Finanzierung der Staaten mittragen sollten die Befürworter der Restriktionen gegen die Tabakindustrie mindstens die Hälfte ihres Einkommen s an den Staat abgeben

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Sihe meine erste Antwort

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sihe este Antwort

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sihe erste Antwort

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

siehe erste Antwort

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die bisherigen Gesetze und Verordnungen gegen den Tabak und den Konsum sind mehr als ausreichend

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

May, Alfred

**email**

alfred-may@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Susanne Fuhrmann

**email**

susefuhrmann@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gody Haas

**email**

haas@sihltal.com



**country**

Switzerland

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Duhme

**email**

k.duhme@die-optimisten.net

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

samantha hibberd

**email**

samantha.hibberd@portsmouthcc.gov.uk

**country**

UK

**age**

27

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Hagbeck

**email**

hagbeck@web.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Extension not necessary.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

I don't see the problem.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ein Produkt, das legal ist, sollte so in den Verkauf gelangen, wie der Hersteller es für richtig hält. Das betrifft vor allem die Gestaltung der Verpackungen. Verpflichtende Infos sind OK, übergroße Warnhinweise und Schockbilder nicht. Es kommt hinzu, dass Schockbilder die Psyche unbeteiligter Personen, darunter Nichtraucher, beeinträchtigen könnte, zum Beispiel wenn Zigarettenpackungen herumliegen.

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**additional comments**

Ich finde, man sollte es den Bürgern überlassen, was sie konsumieren oder nicht.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Bürger sollen selbst entscheiden, woher sie ihre Ware beziehen, analog zu anderen Dingen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Julia Althoff

**email**

julchen2210@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

xx

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Andreas Krisch

**email**

a.krisch@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Guenter Marquardt

**email**  
G.Marquardt58@googlemail.com

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
52

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
In my view neither bigger warnings nor picture warnings or plain packs are required in case

of cigars. Cigar smokers are adults, enjoying a cigar on an occasional basis

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

EU member states can already introduce fees and sanctions

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The focus of ingredients testing should be on whether the ingredients result in increased toxicity of tobacco smoke

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In my view a display ban would effectively mean the end of most small (cigar) brands

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Francisco Lorenzo Rodriguez

**email**

orosaperez@yahoo.es

**country**

Spain

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No coments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No coments

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No coments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No coments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gabriel Lascheck

**email**

gabriel.lascheck@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach braucht es weder grössere Warnings noch Fotos oder weisse Packungen für Cigars. Wir sind alle erwachsene Bürger und wissen über die Gefahren des Rauchens, doch wollen wir bei der richtigen Gelegenheit unsere Cigars geniessen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die einzelnen EU Mitgliedsstaaten können bereits heute entsprechende Gebühren und Massnahmen erlassen

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus bezüglich Flavors-Tests sollte darauf ausgerichtet sein, festzustellen ob die Flavors wirklich zu einer Erhöhung der toxischen Wirkung beim Tabakrauch führen

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach führt ein totales Verbot dazu, dass die Vielfalt an verschiedenen Marken verschwindet. Das wäre schade.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

erich

**email**

Aktien-ricco@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

meier hein

**email**

mhein@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

shit let people free to decide: smoke or not!!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

shit let me do what i whant

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

smoke when i want to

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

all shit

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Schmidt

**email**

j.schmidt@projektdata.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freie Meinung und freier Wille!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

so halt

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ist so

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

quatsch

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rosemann,Ute

**email**

RosemannPodologie@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weiteres aufgeblähtes Regelwerk

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christiane Lascheck

**email**

pingu7@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach braucht es weder grössere Warnings noch Fotos oder weisse Packungen für Cigars. Wir sind alle erwachsene Bürger und wissen über die Gefahren des Rauchens, doch wollen wir bei der richtigen Gelegenheit unsere Cigars geniessen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die einzelnen EU Mitgliedsstaaten können bereits heute entsprechende Gebühren und Massnahmen erlassen

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus bezüglich Flavors-Tests sollte darauf ausgerichtet sein, festzustellen ob die Flavors wirklich zu einer Erhöhung der toxischen Wirkung beim Tabakrauch führen

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach führt ein totales Verbot dazu, dass die Vielfalt an verschiedenen Marken verschwindet. Das wäre schade

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Arne Wendemuth

**email**

arne.wendemuth@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Wolfgang Guthoerl

**email**  
w.guthoerl@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

OLGA SALGUEIRO RODRIGUEZ

**email**

olgabcn49@gmail.com

**country**

SPAIN

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

YOU MUST THINK ABOUT ANOTHER BIGGER PROBLEMS THAN THIS THE CITIZENS HAVE IN THE UE AND NOT ONLY SEE TOBACCO AS THE ONE PROBLEM NOW, THE SMOKERS HAVE THEIR OWN RIGHTS.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

SEE AROUND YOU, YOU WILL SEE MAMY PROBLEMS TO PAY ATTENTION IN

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

THIS MEASURES ARE NOT A SOLUTION FOR ANITHING, YOU MUST BE WORRY ABOUT EDUCATION

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

WHAT A WAY TO LOSE YOUR TIME!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

DO YOU THINK REALLY THIS MEASURE RESPECT THE UE LAWS?

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

YOU MUST CONTROL THE TOBACCO INGREDIENTS, BUT ALSO YOU MUST COONTROL IT IN MEL, PHARMACY, ETC.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I DON'T THINK THIS IS A PROBLEM

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Harry Drews

**email**

freakyharry\_4@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pirajno, Salvatore

**email**

pirajnos@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Regulierung muss immer auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse erfolgen und nicht auf Basis vager Einschätzungen. Nur weil Produkte neu sind, müssen sie nicht reguliert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse gefährden nicht die Gesundheit Dritter. Ein Verbot von Snus entmündigt die EU-Bürger.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich denke, dass ein Warnhinweis wirksamer ist, wenn er größer ist. Ausserdem besteht bereits ein Bewusstsein für die Risiken des Rauchens, ein Bildwarnhinweis ist überflüssig.

Einheitspackungen greifen in das freie Markenrecht ein. Einheitspackungen führen zu einer Preisspirale, dadurch werden Groß- und Einzelhändler und damit mein Arbeitsplatz gefährdet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch Zusatzstoffe werden Zigaretten nicht attraktiver. Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe geben dem illegalen Handel auftrieb.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In 15 von 27 Mitgliedsstaaten sind Zigarettenautomaten erlaubt und das sollte so bleiben. Der

Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen, z.B. Ausweisleser in Deutschland. Zigarettenautomaten fallen in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der einzelnen Staaten. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt lokal und weist keinen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Zigarettenautomaten haben meiner Meinung nach keinen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jörg Müller

**email**

J.I.MUELLER@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Ja

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Briese

**email**

info@juergen-briese.eu

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
68

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich halte die bestehende Regelung für absolut ausreichend. Weiterführende Regelungen grenzen an Bevormundung von mündigen Bürgern.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Jeder Mensch sollte die Möglichkeit haben, selbst zu entscheiden, was er konsumieren möchte, solange es sich um legale Produkte handelt. Wenn es keinen weiteren stört, und dies ist bei rauchfreien Erzeugnissen kaum anzunehmen.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Es gilt als erwiesen, dass grafische oder auch sonstige Warnhinweise keinen gesundheitspolitischen Erfolg haben. Insofern halte ich diesen blinden Aktionismus für nicht zielführend. Aufklärung im Elternhaus ist erfolgversprechender. Gleichmacherei dagegen zerstört die Vielfalt.

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Der Kraftverkehr könnte auch Verkehrsoffer mit Warnhinweisen auf den Kühlerhauben tragen und es würden trotzdem die Menschen Autos fahren. Fettes Fleisch und Süßigkeiten müssten auch Warnhinweise bekommen, Alkohol sowieso. Diese Diskussion führt ins Leere, solange keine Aufklärung passiert und diese liegt nicht in der Hand des Staates.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional comments**

Dies ist die erste Idee in dieser Befragung die überhaupt sinnvoll ist. Sie bietet eine Argumentationsgrundlage zur Aufklärung und nicht für generelle Verbote.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bei Offenlegung der Inhaltsstoffe geht die Aufklärung und Vergleichbarkeit los. Hier sehe ich den Ansatzpunkt zur Aufklärung und nicht in Verboten, die Einheitsprodukte fördern.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Verbote führen zu nichts, sondern signalisieren Schwäche. Aufklärung der Bürger über die Gefahren des Überkonsums ("die Menge macht das Gift" Paracelsus) sind effektiver und sinnvoller.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder mündige Bürger sollte uneingeschränkten Zugang zu legalen Produkten haben.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Keine Verbote oder überzogene Reglementierungen.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

urban gregor

**email**

urbang@gmx.de

**country**  
germany

**age**  
44

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Krzysztof Dziura

**email**

kdziura@onet.eu

**country**

Poland

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comments

**additional comments**

no comments

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

no comments

**additional comments**

no comments

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bröckel

**email**

rdbroeckel@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Armbruster

**email**

Klaus\_Armbruster@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

pilar garcia gabaldon

**email**

pilingabaldon@hotmail.com

**country**

spain

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Papke-Fila

**email**

papke-fila@reemtsma.de

**country**

germany

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Koch

**email**

koch.radebeul@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Hofmann

**email**

tarzan-@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
40

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

dazu möchte ich mich nicht näher Äußern.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

dazu möchte ich mich nicht näher Äußern

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

dazu möchte ich mich nicht näher Äußern

**additional comments**

dazu möchte ich mich nicht näher Äußern

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Iris Henkel

**email**

Iris.Henkel@Hamburg.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Unclear definition

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

unclear definition

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

unclear definition

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

incomplete definition

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

there is not such problem

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

not full definition

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Olaf Reimers

**email**

o.reimers@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Niemand lässt sich meiner Erfahrung nach vom RAuchen abhalten, nur weil Warnhinweise größer werden oder Bilder auf die Schachteln gedruckt werden. Einheitsverpackungen werden hier auch keinen Fortschritt bringen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

Bei der Umsetzung der Richtlinie kann es zu unterschiedlichen Regelungen innerhalb der EU kommen, weil jeder Mitgliedstaat seine eigene Gesetzgebung hat. Eine Vereinheitlichung innerhalb des Binnenmarktes wird damit m.E. nicht erreicht.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Bewertung und Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen sollte sich meiner Meinung nach ausschließlich an der Gesundheitsschädlichkeit im Zigarettenrauch orientieren. Für alles andere gibt es meines Erachtens keine objektive Grundlage (Studien etc.).

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reiner Virgils

**email**

reiner.virgils@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bogdan Ciuca

**email**

eurom2000@yahoo.com

**country**

Romania

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Abel Sánchez

**email**

abel.sanchez-castano@tanngroup.com

**country**

Spanien

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Der Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie sollte durchaus die angegebenen Produkte beinhalten. Ohne zuvorige wissenschaftliche Überprüfung der Produkte in Hinsicht auf ihre Schädlichkeit für den menschlichen Körper sollte jedoch kein Verbot ausgesprochen werden.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt unseres Erachtens keine wissenschaftlichen Beweise dafür, dass größere Warnhinweise oder Bilder besser dazu geeignet sind, potenzielle Raucher vom Rauchen abzuhalten bzw. Raucher davon zu überzeugen, mit dem Rauchen aufzuhören. Auch bei Plain Packaging gibt es bis dato keine Beweise dafür, dass sie eine Auswirkung der Gesundheit der Bevölkerung haben. Plain Packaging würde vielmehr Fälschungen und den illegalen Handel mit Tabakprodukten forcieren, weil die Fälschungssicherheit der Produkte nicht gewährleistet werden kann.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Zur besseren Kennzeichnung der Inhaltsstoffe könnte man z.B. Zahlen verwenden, die mit Hilfe eines anderen Messverfahrens (zB Health-Canada-Messverfahren) ermittelt wurden. Dadurch würden die Verbraucher präzisere Informationen über die Messwerte bekommen.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Rechtsdurchsetzung ist Angelegenheit der Mitgliedsstaaten und nicht der EU. Auch wenn die EU dieses Prinzip einführt, würden die Beträge für Gebühren und Sanktionen zwischen den Mitgliedsstaaten unterschiedlich ausfallen. Dies deshalb, da es in die Kompetenz des jeweiligen Staates fällt. Eine Verbesserung des Funktionierens des Binnenmarktes ist somit auf diese Weise nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus bei der Evaluierung von Zusatzstoffen sollte ganz grundsätzlich darauf ausgerichtet sein, ob deren Einsatz die toxische Wirkung des Zigarettenrauches erhöht. Es sollte daher nicht von der Attraktivität der Stoffe ausgegangen werden, da diese nahezu ausschließlich auf subjektiven Kriterien fußen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die hier dargestellte Problemstellung zielt nicht auf gesundheitspolitische Probleme im Zusammenhang mit Tabakkonsum und die Verfügbarkeit der Produkte ab. Weiters werden keinerlei Beweise für die Annahme angeboten, dass derartige Verbote den Binnenmarkt stärken oder aber zu einer Reduktion des Tabakkonsums führen würden.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Internetkäufe könnten zB durch Altersbegrenzungen eingeschränkt werden.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Maier

**email**

don-stefano@web.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gabriele Renneisen

**email**

renneisen-gabriele@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Scope of the directive on electronic cigarettes and enhance nicotine-containing foods and electronic Nicotine delivery systems ("ENDS") such as electronic cigarettes. Herbal cigarettes are harmful and should therefore be provided with warning signs.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**additional option**

useful are detailed consumer information on tobacco production

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eugen Roth

**email**

hotrod79@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Größe oder auch Bebilderung von Warnhinweisen auf Zigarettenverpackungen hat meiner Ansicht nach noch niemanden vom Rauchen abgehalten. Die Einheitsverpackung wird auch nicht dazu beitragen, Jugendliche vom Rauchen abzuhalten.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Da die Umsetzung der Richtlinie in den Bereich jedes einzelnen Mitgliedstaates fällt, können Gebühren und Sanktionen sehr unterschiedlich ausfallen, sodass eine Stärkung des Binnenmarktes durch diese Maßnahmen nicht realisiert wird.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Prinzipiell sollten die Inhaltsstoffe nach ihrer Gesundheitsschädlichkeit im Zigarettenrauch bewertet werden. Die Attraktivität eines Stoffes kann meiner Meinung nach nicht objektiv bewertet werden und kann deshalb nicht für eine Regulierung verwendet werden.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karlheinz Peiß

**email**

peeb@ewetel.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No, because its no problem.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

The defintion ist no clear.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don`t agree.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I dont agree.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I dont agree.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I dont agree.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Müller Dagmar

**email**

michaelmueller49@alice-dsl.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin es leid mich von der EU gängeln zu lassen. Ich bin alt genug über mein Leben und meine Gesundheit selbst zu bestimmen. Wenn ich Tabak konsumieren möchte ist dies mein Persönlichkeitsrecht und ich verbiete mir, dass sich mir völlig fremde Personen ein Urteil über meinen Körper erlauben!!!!

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Wenn ich diesen Fragebogen verstehen könnte, hätte ich wahrscheinlich auch hier eine Meinung. Wenn die EU schon einen Fragebogen erstellt, dann bitte auch in den Landessprachen ihrer Mitglieder.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Sofern eine Person Volljährig ist, hat man (frau) auch ein Selbstbestimmungsrecht!

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

siehe oben.

**additional comments**

Wenn immer gemeckert wird, dass Tabakrauch Nichtraucher gefährdet, dann sein doch froh, dass es auch rauchlos geht.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Was für eine Bodenlose Frechheit mich in meinem Genuss beschneiden zu wollen. Für wie Hirntod hält die EU ihre Bürger eigentlich?

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**additional option**

Interessiert es überhaupt, was EU-Bürger denken?

**additional comments**

xx

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

so langsam habe ich keine Ahnung mehr, was ich hier mache.

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

xx

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**additional option**

xx

**additional comments**

xx

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Aldona Szczygiel

**email**

aldona.szczygiel@pl.imptob.com

**country**

Poland

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem definition incorrect,vague and unclear.It fails to provide any scientific basis or evidence for the different ooptions considered in this section

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem is defined overly narrowly, and so fails to identify relevent policy options.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No evidence provided for the different options discussed in this section.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem definition incorrectly defines the use of ingredients.

**which option**

No Change



**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Richard Müller

**email**

richard.mueller@m-rat.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Die Problemlösung liegt hauptsächlich in der Aufklärung und Bildung.

**additional comments**

Verbote und Einschränkungen in der hier geplanten Form sind m. E. diskriminierend für Raucher. Eine Entmündigung des Bürgers kann niemals eine gute Lösung sein. Die hier vorliegende Direktive empfinde ich als fast schon hysterisch und völlig überzogen.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Die bisherigen Warnhinweise sollten eher reduziert werden. Sie führen häufig dazu, dass man als Raucher diskriminiert wird.

**additional comments**

Die bisherigen Warnhinweise sind bereits sehr drastisch und völlig ausreichend. Bildliche Darstellungen oder Einheitspackungen sind diskriminierend und bringen keinerlei zusätzlichen Informationseffekt.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change; Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

massimo fancelli

**email**

fancellim@libero.it

**country**

italia

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è

l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Margit Konow

**email**

mkonow\_sb-tank\_maerkl@hotmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Thomas Lorenz

#### **email**

donnie.burns@gmx.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

32

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

Die Menschen können das selber entscheiden

#### **additional comments**

Gleichmacherei ist Schwachsinn, das wird das Grundproblem nicht ändern!

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **additional option**

no

#### **additional comments**

no

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Nein

**additional comments**

nein

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

nein



**additional comments**

nein

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Elke Gerber

**email**

famgerber@kabelmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernhard Scholl

**email**

bernhard-scholl@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gläß

**email**

mglaess@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Hannelore Malachowski

#### **email**

nils.vocke@web.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **gender**

female

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

In my opinion no bigger warnings or signs are needed. We are all grown-ups and know about smoking dangers. But we want to enjoy smoking.

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

The several EU-states can already wave different fees and measures

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The main focus at flavor tests should be at discovering if flavors really increase the toxic effect at smoking.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In my opinion a general ban leads to a decreasing of brand variety which would be sad.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carmen Pilz

**email**

carmen.pilz@tanngroup.com

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Der Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie sollte durchaus die angegebenen Produkte beinhalten. Ohne zuvorige wissenschaftliche Überprüfung der Produkte in Hinsicht auf ihre Schädlichkeit für den menschlichen Körper sollte jedoch kein Verbot ausgesprochen werden.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt unseres Erachtens keine wissenschaftlichen Beweise dafür, dass größere Warnhinweise oder Bilder besser dazu geeignet sind, potenzielle Raucher vom Rauchen abzuhalten bzw. Raucher davon zu überzeugen, mit dem Rauchen aufzuhören. Auch bei Plain Packaging gibt es bis dato keine Beweise dafür, dass sie eine Auswirkung der Gesundheit der Bevölkerung haben. Plain Packaging würde vielmehr Fälschungen und den illegalen Handel mit Tabakprodukten forcieren, weil die Fälschungssicherheit der Produkte nicht gewährleistet werden kann.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Zur besseren Kennzeichnung der Inhaltsstoffe könnte man z.B. Zahlen verwenden, die mit Hilfe eines anderen Messverfahrens (zB Health-Canada-Messverfahren) ermittelt wurden. Dadurch würden die Verbraucher präzisere Informationen über die Messwerte bekommen.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Rechtsdurchsetzung ist Angelegenheit der Mitgliedsstaaten und nicht der EU. Auch wenn die EU dieses Prinzip einführt, würden die Beträge für Gebühren und Sanktionen zwischen den Mitgliedsstaaten unterschiedlich ausfallen. Dies deshalb, da es in die Kompetenz des jeweiligen Staates fällt. Eine Verbesserung des Funktionierens des Binnenmarktes ist somit auf diese Weise nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

Der Fokus bei der Evaluierung von Zusatzstoffen sollte ganz grundsätzlich darauf ausgerichtet sein, ob deren Einsatz die toxische Wirkung des Zigarettenrauches erhöht. Es sollte daher nicht von der Attraktivität der Stoffe ausgegangen werden, da diese nahezu ausschließlich auf subjektiven Kriterien fußen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die hier dargestellte Problemstellung zielt nicht auf gesundheitspolitische Probleme im Zusammenhang mit Tabakkonsum und die Verfügbarkeit der Produkte ab. Weiters werden keinerlei Beweise für die Annahme angeboten, dass derartige Verbote den Binnenmarkt stärken oder aber zu einer Reduktion des Tabakkonsums führen würden.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Internetkäufe könnten zB durch Altersbegrenzungen eingeschränkt werden.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dieter Eckardt

**email**

eckardt35@web.de

**country**

Deutschland - Württemberg - Stuttgart

**age**

75

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**  
Nicola

**email**  
agertab@yahoo.it

**country**  
Italia

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Olaf Böhling

**email**

keine

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die geplanten Änderungen zur Verpackung sind meiner Meinung nach sinnlos, da kein Raucher sich durch Warnhinweise oder Schreckensbilder vom Rauchen abhalten lässt.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die einzelnen EU-Staaten können bei der Umsetzung eigene Regeln schaffen, die dann wiederum nicht zur gewünschten Stärkung des Binnenmarktes führen.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Attraktivität von Stoffen halte ich für kein geeignetes Bewertungskriterium, da diese nicht objektiv messbar ist. Die Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen sollte sich ausschließlich an der Gesundheitsschädlichkeit im Zigarettenrauch orientieren.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

QUELIN

**email**

lasourceauxcadeaux@wanadoo.fr

**country**

FRANCE

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

COMME CELA ,ON DONNE UNE CHANCE A UNE EVENTUELLE LEGISLATION DU SNUS

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

il n'y a pas d'etudes specifiques efficaces prouvant les risques concernant les produits du tabac sans fumees

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des paquets neutres .aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des photos chocs ni meme des grands avertissements sanitaires sur les paquets . La mise en place de paquets neutres risque d'entraîner une guerre des prix du tabac (puisque cela restera le seul moyen pour les fabricants de se différencier). La mise en place de photos chocs sur les 2 faces des paquets ou de paquets génériques va pousser les consommateurs français à aller s'approvisionner sur les marchés parallèles du tabac (achats à l'étranger ou de contrebande, ou sur internet. De telles mesures risquent de déstabiliser et pénaliser économiquement le réseau des 28 000 buralistes français organisés dans un réseau réglementé et sous tutelle de l'Etat

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cela risque d'entraîner une standardisation de la production avec comme conséquence une diminution de l'offre au fumeur adulte et responsable, et le risque de voire apparaitre une augmentation des produits du tabac contrefaits.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

**additional comments**

Il n'y a pas de corrélation prouvée entre la disparition des linéaires tabac et la lutte contre le tabagisme. La France dispose d'un réseau de 28 000 buralistes, préposés de l'administration responsables et professionnels, seuls habilités à vendre du tabac. Ce réseau est fortement réglementé par l'Etat ce qui permet déjà de contrôler efficacement l'accès au produit tabac

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hensel, Anna-Malva

**email**

malva.hensel@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Dittmann

**email**

08061977@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Volker Wilhelm

**email**

v.wilhelm@swwgroup.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
62

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thun

**email**

Finanzkonzepte@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Eu soll sich nicht soweit einmischen. Es bleibt jedem selbst überlassen, ob er raucht oder nicht. Wir sind nicht in China, wo der Staat alles verbietet!!!!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Über die Inhaltsstoffe von Zigaretten und Tabakerzeugnisse aufklären. Zigaretten sind ein Cocktail von Zutaten, die sicherlich nicht jeder zu sich nehmen würde, wenn er wüsste was alles verarbeitet würde. Die Preise für Tabackwaren sollten an die Gesundheitlichen Folgekosten für die Krankenversicherungen angepasst werden. Zuschläge für Raucher in Krankenversicherungstarife sind auch ein gutes Mittel.

**additional comments**

Nein

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Es geht der Eu nur um weitere Möglichkeiten Steuern zu rechtfertigen. Die Gesundheit der Bürger ist nur Mittel zum Zweck für die Eu-Politik.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Keine Lösung. Soll es zu Drogenartigen Verkaufsmethoden kommen?

**additional comments**

Jedes Land sollte selbst entscheiden können. Gerne auch per Volksabstimmung , wenn es denn sein muss.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Nein

**additional comments**

Nein

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kreuer

**email**

c.kreuer@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nobody need more and more regulations

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Nobody need more and more regulations.

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Nobody needs more and more regulations.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nobody needs more and more regulations.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nobody needs more and more regulations.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nobody needs more and more regulations.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nobody needs more and more regulations.

**which option**

No change



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Langanke

**email**

janlanganke@aol.com

**country**

Bundesrepublik Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Viktor Birck

**email**

viktorbirck@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
61

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Es ist nicht Aufgabe der Europäischen Kommission mich bei meinen freien Entscheidungen zu bevormunden oder diese durch Richtlinien in ihrem Sinn zu beeinflussen.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
Verstärken Sie ihre Aufklärung und hören sie auf die EU Bürger zu gängeln.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

tar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joachim Georg Kieras

**email**

joachim.kieras@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

23

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es handelt sich um fast schon sozialistische Gleichmacherei, Unvereinbar mit Unternehmerischer Freiheit sowie der Wahlfreiheit des Einzelnen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

JUERGEN BECKER

**email**

beckii@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No comment

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gebhart Janet

**email**

janet1@gmx.net

**country**  
Switzerland

**age**  
44

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dieter Jeske

**email**

dieter-jeske@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Größere Warnhinweise, Bilder oder Einheitspackungen halten meiner Meinung nach niemanden vom Rauchen ab.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das Argument, der Stärkung und Vereinheitlichung des Binnenmarktes, sehe ich nicht, da die Umsetzung in jedem Mitgliedstaat unterschiedlich aussehen kann.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Inhaltsstoffe sollten sich ausschließlich anhand der Gesundheitsschädlichkeit im Zigarettenrauch orientieren. Die Attraktivität von Stoffen lässt sich nicht objektiv messen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joerg Krause

**email**

joerg2002@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

stricter regulation with no options for German federal states and not so many possible exemptions for small businesses and "smokers clubs". If there is no competition between pubs etc on who allows smoking by rebranding to "corner pub" or "smokers' club" protection for both staff and non smoking customers would be much better

**additional comments**

stricter regulation with no options for German federal states and not so many possible exemptions for small businesses and "smokers clubs". If there is no competition between pubs etc on who allows smoking by rebranding to "corner pub" or "smokers' club" protection for both staff and non smoking customers would be much better

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nico Matys

**email**

nico.matys@email.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lukács Elek

**email**

elek.lukacs@freemail.hu

**country**  
Hungary

**age**  
35

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comment.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No comment.

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comment.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comment.

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans - Michael Wittich

**email**

h.m.wittich@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

57

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Knut Heinrichs

**email**

knut.heinrichs@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Norbert Hippe

**email**

nhippe@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Susanne Lund Pedersen

**email**

suluhope@hotmail.com

**country**

Denmark

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Annul all legislation of tobacco use that interferes with the personal choice of each individual.

**additional comments**

Stop interfering with our free choice. We want to live as free citizens and not by an EU decree.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

There is no problem.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Lift all the bans. It's none of your business.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Stop interfering in the life of people and individually made choices.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Stop interfering.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Stop interfering.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uwe Bergmann

**email**

keine

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch größere Warnhinweise, Bilder oder Einheitsverpackungen wird kein Raucher vom Rauchen abgehalten.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch die Umsetzung der Richtlinie in staatliches Recht könnten Ungerechtigkeiten zwischen einzelnen Staaten auftauchen, die den Binnenmarkt nicht stärken.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Zusatzstoffe sollten prinzipiell nach der Gesundheitsschädlichkeit bewertet werden und nicht nach Attraktivität, da letztere nicht objektiv messbar ist.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SFERRAZZA FILIPPO

**email**

fit.agrigento@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

71

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access



**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Geisler, Jörg

**email**

geisler.joerg@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ANTONELLI SAMUELE

**email**

boosterino90@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CIPRIANI MARIA GLORIA

**email**

fit.arezzo@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SERIO FRANCO

**email**

rettangolo.stazione@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Werner Moeller

#### **email**

werner-moeller@online.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALLEGRO PAOLO

**email**

fit.lecce@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE ANGELIS EMMA

**email**

fit.ascolipiceno@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NATALIA LOPEZ

**email**

nlbonet@gmail.com

**country**

Spain

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

The Directive's scope should include specified products. However, no ban should be imposed without prior scientific review of the product in terms of its harmfulness to the human body.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no scientific evidence that larger warnings or images are better suited to discourage potential smokers from smoking or to convince smokers to quit smoking. Even on plain packaging, there is to date no evidence that they have an impact on the population's health. Plain packaging would instead tend to accelerate counterfeiting and illegal trade in tobacco products, because protection against product counterfeiting cannot be guaranteed.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Numbers, for instance, which were determined using a different measurement method (e.g. Health-Canada test methods), might be used for better labelling of ingredients. As a result customers would get more precise information about measured values.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Law enforcement is the Member States' duty and not the EU's. Even if the EU introduces this principle, fee and penalty rates would differ among the Member States. This is because it is each country's responsibility. An improvement of the internal market's functioning is thus not obvious.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The focus when evaluating additives should be quite fundamental on whether their use increases the toxic effect of cigarette smoke. It should not proceed from attractiveness of the substances, since this is based almost exclusively on subjective criteria.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem presented here is not aimed at public health problems associated with tobacco use and the products' availability. Furthermore, evidence is not offered to suggest that such prohibitions strengthen the internal market or lead to a reduction in tobacco consumption.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Internet purchases could, for example, be restricted by age limits.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Luis Molendo

**email**

ro@ostermeier.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Emma Ostermeier

**email**

rudi@ostermeier.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

83

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine weiteren Beschränkungen!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Keine weiteren Beschränkungen!

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine weiteren Beschränkungen!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine weiteren Beschränkungen!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine weiteren Beschränkungen!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine weiteren Beschränkungen!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ilkay percin

**email**

ilkay.percin@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephan Claus

**email**

Stephan.Claus@T-Online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommmentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Susanne Molendo

**email**

home@ostermeier.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich möchte in Freiheit leben!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich möchte in Freiheit leben!

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich möchte in Freiheit leben!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich möchte in Freiheit leben!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich möchte in Freiheit leben!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich möchte in Freiheit leben!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bork Friedrich

**email**

bork.friedrich@web.de



**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rudolf Ostermeier

**email**

ro@ostermeier.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I want to live free!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

I want to live free!

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I want to live free!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I want to live free!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I want to live free!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I want to live free!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CGG Hovens

**email**

hovens.sigaren@hetnet.nl

**country**

Nederland

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Er is geen bewijs dat grotere of extra plaatjes een groter effect resulteren

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Afzonderlijke EU-landen kunnen al boetes en sancties opleggen.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

De aandacht zou moeten liggen wat de afzonderlijk ingredienten aan de tabaksrook toevoegen

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Er is geen bewijs dat iets verbieden, resulteert in marktaanpassingen. Eerder tegengesteld

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anja Tolksdorf

**email**

anja\_tolksdorf@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roland Müller

**email**

r.muesi@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

67

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Rust

**email**

michael.rust@reemtsma.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**



No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrick Birkner

**email**

patrickbirkner@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

helmut bruns

**email**

helmut.brunsgmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Georg Orterer

**email**

georg\_orterer01@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Vereinheitlichung durch Zwang gefährdet extrem viele Arbeitsplätze. Die EU sollte sich prinzipiell aus den Angelegenheiten der Wirtschaft raushalten. Nichtraucherchutz funktioniert nur, wenn man die Menschen frei entscheiden lässt. Wir leben in einer Welt, in der die freie Entscheidung eines jeden einzelnen per Gesetz gewährleistet ist und die derzeitigen Versuche der EU Einfluss auf das Leben der Menschen zu nehmen ist meiner Meinung nach schon ein Verstoß gegen das absolute Folterverbot, welches in der EU gilt, denn die Entscheidungen der EU entmündigen die einzelnen Bürger der EU und stellen eine Form von seelischer Grausamkeit dar, welche auch eine Form von Folter ist.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Die EU sollte den Firmen zutrauen, Verantwortungsvoll mit Ihren Produkten umgehen zu können.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dominik Henne

**email**

dhenne@edscha.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

For the consumer the existing formats are very clear and easy to understand.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Regarding internet sales I agree. Regarding vending machines and display of tobacco products in shops I do not agree.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Jürgen Friede

#### **email**

juergen.friede@airfox.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

42

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format



**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional comments**

Es sollte eine wissenschaftliche Prüfung der Inhaltsstoffe vorgenommen werden, so dass diese nicht willkürlich auf einer Liste landen.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schief

**email**

schief.marcus@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Tabakprodukte sind legale Genußmittel, das der Genuß gesundheitsschädlich ist, sollte jedem bekannt sein. Ein Aufklärung über die Schädlichkeit sollte aufjedem Fall weiter stattfinden und weiter ausgebaut werden. Aber als Erwachsener bin ich für mich selbst verantwortlich!!!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Wenn Snus kein illegales Genußmittel ist, sollte es freigehandelt werden können.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Was ist mit Alkohol, der darf auch offen in den Geschäften ausgestellt werden, der Verkauf ist erst ab 18 Jahre erlaubt. Hier muß eine Ausweiskontrolle durchgeführt werden, wenn der Käufer zu jung aussieht. Warum soll dies bei Tabakerzeugnissen nicht auch funktionieren ?!!!!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ryzko

**email**

ryzko@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrick Röhrig

**email**

patricksteinborn2@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at

points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Geis

**email**

jubageis@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Heidrich Michael

**email**  
m.p.heidrich@web.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
51

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change



**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRAJON

**email**

brajon.murielle@wanadoo.fr

**country**

FRANCE

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Pas d'études scientifiques efficaces prouvant les risques concernant les produits du tabac sans fumée

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des paquets neutres. Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des photos chocs, ni même de plus grands avertissements sanitaires sur les paquets. La mise en place de paquets neutres risque d'entraîner une guerre des prix du tabac (puisque cela restera le seul moyen pour les fabricants de se différencier). La mise en place de photos chocs sur les 2 faces des paquets ou de paquets génériques va pousser les consommateurs français à aller s'approvisionner sur les marchés parallèles du tabac (achats à l'étranger ou de contrebande, ou sur internet. De telles mesures risquent de déstabiliser et pénaliser économiquement le réseau des 28 000 buralistes français organisés dans un réseau réglementé et sous tutelle de l'Etat. »

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cela risque d'entraîner une standardisation de la production avec comme conséquence une diminution de l'offre au fumeur adulte et responsable, et le risque de voire apparaitre une augmentation des produits du tabac contrefaits.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be

banned

**additional comments**

Il n'y a pas de corrélation prouvée entre la disparition des linéaires tabac et la lutte contre le tabagisme. La France dispose d'un réseau de 28 000 buralistes, préposés de l'administration responsables et professionnels, seuls habilités à vendre du tabac. Ce réseau est fortement réglementé par l'Etat ce qui permet déjà de contrôler efficacement l'accès au produit tabac.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Petra Noack

**email**

P.Noack79@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eduard Noack

**email**

E.Noack73@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Georg Roessler

**email**

georgroessler@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Viele Aspekte in der Problemdarstellung sind nicht nachweisbar oder zum Teil auch widerlegbar. Außerdem greift die EU massiv in die Entscheidungsfreiheit des Individuums ein, was ich schärfstens ablehne.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Johannes Schneider

**email**

jaguschmareia@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BOUMEDJANE

**email**

hakim.boumedjane@free.fr

**country**

France

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Benjamin Demuth

**email**

Benjamin.Demuth@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Rigo Teichmann

**email**  
Teichmannrigo@yahoo.de

**country**  
Rostock / Germany

**age**  
33

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sebastian Kirmse

**email**

julianeklose@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

The announced laws would make it hard to enjoy smoking - the most important reason to smoke at all.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Stang

**email**

pstang@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

in my opinion there are enough possibilities to show that smoking is unhealthy, and the people have the right to decide!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no!

**additional comments**

no!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**



no

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Braeutigam Tino

**email**

tino.braeutigam@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
37

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

friedhelm klassen

**email**

friedhelm.klassen@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

66

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Juraj Lipka

**email**

Juraj.Lipka@seznam.cz

**country**

Czech Republic

**age**  
36

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
I am not sure whether bigger health warnings or even plain packaging would really achieve the declared purpose, i.e. reduction in smoking. I think that this could in fact stimulate criminal organisations to start producing fake cigarettes in mass numbers.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Reporting and enforcement of these provisions should continue to be covered by member states.

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
The whole discussion about ingredients and their regulation should concentrate on whether ingredients cause increased toxicity of cigarettes (cigarette smoke) or not.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I fail to see the definition of the problem re display of tobacco products at points of sale or in case of vending machines. I have not seen any proof that any bans would in fact lead to reduction in smoking or have any other health benefits. How would this improve the internal market in which free competition and free movement of products is to be maintained ?

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mathias Brams

**email**

mathias.brams@email.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale



---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Dominik Funck

#### **email**

dominik\_funck@web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

29

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Jeder mündige Staats- und EU-Bürger sollte (für sich) selbst die Entscheidung treffen und somit selbst die Verantwortung tragen. Eine Verschärfung der Richtlinie ist aus meiner Sicht nicht notwendig.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexander Flügger

**email**

smoker@email.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir brauchen nicht noch mehr Reglementierungen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

siehe erste frage

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

siehe erste frage

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

siehe erste frage

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

siehe erste frage

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Grothoff

**email**

selmermicha@hotmail.de

**country**

germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Burkhard Ruland

**email**

mail@burkhard-ruland.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΝΙΚΟΣ ΜΠΑΚΑΣ

**email**

nicosbakas@yahoo.gr

**country**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**  
No Change



**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΝΙΚΟΣ ΚΑΤΖΟΓΙΑΣ

**email**

marialenask@yahoo.com

**country**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paulo Osorio

**email**

paulojorge.osorio@gmail.com

**country**

portugal

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

o ambito da directiva pode ser alargado a novos produtos sem banir nenhum sem base cientifica.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

o scenihr não chegou a conclusão que o snus e menos nucivo? qual o sentido de manter a proibição?

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Maços genericos só facilita o trabalho dos falsificadors ( problemas crescente nos dias de hoje)

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Estados membros ja o pdem fazer. é da sua competencia.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

já existe uma directiva europeia para isto.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

proibir ingredientes só faz sentido se estes provucarem os cigarros mais nocivos. está ptovado cientificamente? tabaco sem ingredientes apenas facilita a contrafacção

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

não existe nenhuma evidencia que a proibição da exposiçao do produto no ponto de venda obtenha qualquer ganho em termos de saude publica. o canal de de maquinas de venda automatica até limitam o acesso de menores efectivamente ao tabaco.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Em Inglaterra o tabaco é escondido no balcão para ser mais facil vender tabaco contrabeado ( tabaco este que foge aos impostos do pais onde é vendido). Também facilita a contrafacção. Por vezes o produto proibido é o mais apeticivel.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

KLINGNER Bernhard

**email**

bmk@rezzonico.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
67

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΣΚΙΑΔΑΣ ΑΠΟΣΤΟΛΗΣ

**email**

apost.skiadas@yahoo.com

**country**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Franzen

**email**

Markus-Franzen@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Absolut blauäugig und kontraproduktiv

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Andere Lösung, am besten DURCHDACHT!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

nicht durchdacht!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Überarbeitung und Berücksichtigung von z.B. Zigarrenkonsumenten

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**



realitätsfern...

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein abgestimmtes System für die Vorlage verwendeter Zusatzstoffe

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Einführung von einheitlichen Verbotkriterien, die auf wissenschaftlichen und objektiven Einschätzungen berufen

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jugendliche und Kinder schützen aber Erwachsenen einen adäquaten Zugang mit Beratung nicht nehmen.

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

ΠΑΝΑΓΙΩΤΗΣ ΜΠΑΚΑΣ

#### **email**

bakazz@gmail.com

#### **country**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

#### **age**

26

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΔΗΜΗΤΡΗΣ ΚΩΤΣΟΠΟΥΛΟΣ

**email**

dkotsop@yahoo.gr

**country**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Bürkle

**email**

Christian.Buerkle@O2online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Let the people choose for themselves.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Unique packages is not an option to minimize consume of cigarettes.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

schmakowski.heiko

**email**

schmakowski.heiko@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sandra Ankenbrand

**email**

sandra@ankenbrand.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no, leave it as it is... people are able to decide itself - don't always think we are stupid!!!

**additional comments**

Leave adult person alone in their decisions!



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
we war adult people and we need nobody to control this!

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
I don't think that banning something or controlling access will keep teenagers avoiding - to the contrary: it will get interesting

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Eva Thomsen

**email**

eva.thomsen@e-chauffiert.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marianne Sonneborn

**email**

marianne.sonneborn@e-chauffiert.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional comments**

Ban all tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank T. Sonneborn

**email**

sonneborn@e-chauffiert.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Sigrid Hayn

##### **email**

sigi\_hayn@hotmail.com

##### **country**

Deutschland

##### **age**

50

##### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

- neutrale Verpackungen halten bestimmt keinen Jugendlichen vom Rauchen ab, denn da steht ja sowieso nicht mehr die Stärke des Tabaks drauf. Also ist das Rauchen doch gar nicht sooo schädlich.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

-

#### **additional comments**

- Als erwachsener Raucher möchte ich schon noch die feie Entscheidung haben, mir die Sorte "meines Geschmacks" aus zu wählen. Also alle farbige Verpackungen mit allen Aufschriften.

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

**additional option**

- Warum denn kein Kautabak ?

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Diese ganze Kampagne gegen das Rauchen und die Tabakindustrie hat doch große Konsequenzen für die Wirtschaft ! - Keiner Tabakmarke darf das GROßE AUS drohen !

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

- Warum werden die Tabakhersteller immer an "den Pranger" gestellt ? Als wenn das Rauchen das Gesundheitsrisiko Nummer 1 wäre.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- z.B. sind Menthol Zigaretten doch mal (so zwischendurch) etwas Anderes - bei Zigarillos ist es auch schön, zwischen verschiedenen "Flavours" wählen zu können

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- selbstverständlich kann auf jedem Tabak drauf stehen , ob.z.B Menthol oder andere Geaschmacksstoffe zugesetzt wurden

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

-Bitte lassen Sie den Rauchen das Rauchen !!!! - Bitte keine Packungen Ohne Aufschrift !!! - Quasi NO NAME Produkte bei Zigarillos oder Zigaretten find` ich überhaupt nicht gut !!!! - EU : LASST KEINE TABAKMARKE STERBEN !!! BITTE!!!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kiewitz, M.

**email**

akiewitz@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Telma Alves

**email**  
sofia\_t@sapo.pt

**country**  
Portugal

**age**  
30

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
there is no evidence that larger smoking warnings will reduce smoking. the generic packing will facilitate illicit trade of brands.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
subsidiarity principles should prevail in thees matters

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ban on ingredients only makes sense if it turns the cigarettes less warmfull

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

what is the problem in the UK? what can I know what I'm buying

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Büchler

**email**

buechler-duesseldorf@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional option**

Ban of indirect advertising (image-commercials) for tobacco and nicotine-products. Ban of selling of tobacco and nicotine-products to persons under the age of 21 years. A tax of 2 Euros for every (!) cigarette smoked by persons below 21, to be determined by established statistical methods A deposit of 1 Euro per tobacco package and 10 Cent for cigarette stubs

**additional comments**

Introduction of a voluntary (!) electronic smokers-passport for smokers, afterwards only selling to persons with the smokers-passport by reducing the allowed buying-quantity of tobacco-products within one year down to zero. In the first month 23 cigarettes per day, then 21 and so on. The daily possession is not allowed to be higher than the daily quantity of the allowed buying quantity Refunding the costs for treatment of smoke-induced illness and of the loss of working hours by the tobacco industry. Ban of sale and consumption of tobacco-products in EU would be the best solution!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Konoppa

**email**

jkonoppa@teleos-web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Man sollte dem mündigen Bürger nicht immer Gesetze vorsetzen, sondern ihn frei entscheiden lassen ob er Rauchen möchte oder nicht.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich kann machen was ich will, bin alt genug um selbst zu entscheiden.daher brauche ich keine Vorschriften der EU.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Komentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

martin cellarius

**email**

martin.cellarius@web.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Winfried Keisinger

**email**

keisinger@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- es bedarf keiner zusätzlichen Regelungen, die mündige Bürger hindern, von ihren Freiheitsrechten Gebrauch zu machen - hierzu zählt auch der Genuss legaler Genussmittel, wie alle Arten von Tabakprodukten und Tabakersatzprodukten - es gibt schon viel zu viel Regularien und Bürokratie - Fragen der Gesundheitspolitik sollten auf nationale Besonderheiten Rücksicht nehmen

**which option**



No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

- es bedarf keiner zusätzlichen Regelungen, die mündige Bürger hindern, von ihren Freiheitsrechten Gebrauch zu machen - hierzu zählt auch der Genuss legaler Genussmittel, wie alle Arten von Tabakprodukten und Tabakersatzprodukten - es gibt schon viel zu viel Regularien und Bürokratie - Fragen der Gesundheitspolitik sollten auf nationale Besonderheiten Rücksicht nehmen

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- es bedarf keiner zusätzlichen Regelungen, die mündige Bürger hindern, von ihren Freiheitsrechten Gebrauch zu machen - hierzu zählt auch der Genuss legaler Genussmittel, wie alle Arten von Tabakprodukten und Tabakersatzprodukten - es gibt schon viel zu viel Regularien und Bürokratie - Fragen der Gesundheitspolitik sollten auf nationale Besonderheiten Rücksicht nehmen - es gibt ausreichen Informationen über die Gesundheitsrisiken - jeder kennt die Gefahren des Tabakgenusses - zusätzliche Einschränkungen gefährden Unternehmen und Arbeitsplätze, ohne dass dem ein messbarer Nutzen gegenüber stünde

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- es bedarf keiner zusätzlichen Regelungen, die mündige Bürger hindern, von ihren Freiheitsrechten Gebrauch zu machen - hierzu zählt auch der Genuss legaler Genussmittel, wie alle Arten von Tabakprodukten und Tabakersatzprodukten - es gibt schon viel zu viel Regularien und Bürokratie - Fragen der Gesundheitspolitik sollten auf nationale Besonderheiten Rücksicht nehmen - es gibt ausreichen Informationen über die Gesundheitsrisiken - jeder kennt die Gefahren des Tabakgenusses - zusätzliche Einschränkungen gefährden Unternehmen und Arbeitsplätze, ohne dass dem ein messbarer Nutzen gegenüber stünde und sie gefährden die Vielfalt des Warenangebots - der Grundsatz des freien Warenverkehrs wird eingeschränkt

**which option**

No change

## **regulation of ingredients**

### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

- es bedarf keiner zusätzlichen Regelungen, die mündige Bürger hindern, von ihren Freiheitsrechten Gebrauch zu machen - hierzu zählt auch der Genuss legaler Genussmittel, wie alle Arten von Tabakprodukten und Tabakersatzprodukten - es gibt schon viel zu viel Regularien und Bürokratie - Fragen der Gesundheitspolitik sollten auf nationale Besonderheiten Rücksicht nehmen - es gibt ausreichende Informationen über die Gesundheitsrisiken - jeder kennt die Gefahren des Tabakgenusses - zusätzliche Einschränkungen gefährden Unternehmen und Arbeitsplätze, ohne dass dem ein messbarer Nutzen gegenüber stünde - unzulässige Einschränkung des freien Warenverkehrs, Einschränkung der Vielfalt der angebotenen Produkte zu Lasten des mündigen Bürgers

### **which option**

No Change

## **access to tobacco products**

### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

- es bedarf keiner zusätzlichen Regelungen, die mündige Bürger hindern, von ihren Freiheitsrechten Gebrauch zu machen - hierzu zählt auch der Genuss legaler Genussmittel, wie alle Arten von Tabakprodukten und Tabakersatzprodukten - es gibt schon viel zu viel Regularien und Bürokratie - Fragen der Gesundheitspolitik sollten auf nationale Besonderheiten Rücksicht nehmen - es gibt ausreichende Informationen über die Gesundheitsrisiken - jeder kennt die Gefahren des Tabakgenusses, mündige Bürger sollten selbst entscheiden dürfen - zusätzliche Einschränkungen gefährden Unternehmen und Arbeitsplätze, ohne dass dem ein messbarer Nutzen gegenüber stünde - Beschränkung des freien Warenverkehrs, Beschränkung des Zugangs mündiger Bürger zu legalen Produkten verstößt gegen seine Freiheitsrechte - das kommt in weiten Teilen letztlich dem Verbot des Genusses von Tabakwaren gleich, da eine Beschaffung in ländlichen Regionen kaum noch möglich ist

### **which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Jesús M<sup>a</sup> Jiménez Oribe

**email**

jimeoribe@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sin comentarios

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Sin comentarios

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Sin comentarios

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
luis gomez jimenez

**email**  
lgomez@andaluciajunta.es

**country**  
españa

**age**  
50

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
está suficientemente regulado

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**

indicar donde se venderan y donde pueden consumirse estos productos

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

igual que la anterior definicion

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional comments**

actualmente el consumidor tiene suficiente informacion sobre la toxicidad del tabaco. será mas efectivo introducir programas educativos en los centros de enseñanza dirigidos a menores de edad.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mathias Fränzl

**email**

Trust4884@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Roberto Schramm

**email**  
wollfrey@freenet.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
30

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change



---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Carmine Maisto

#### **email**

cmaisto66@gmail.com

#### **country**

italia

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti,

sono stati smantellati

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Delbove Jacqueline

**email**

joel.delbove@wanadoo.fr

**country**

France

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Il n'y a pas d'études scientifiques efficaces prouvant les risques concernant les produits du tabac sans fumée

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Aucune ne prouve l'efficacité des paquets neutres. aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des photos chocs, ni même de plus grands avertissements sanitaires sur les paquets. la mise en place des paquets neutres risque d'entraîner une guerre des prix du tabac ,puisque cela restera le seul moyen pour les fabricants de se différencier. la mise en place de photos chocs sur les 2 faces des paquets ou de paquets génériques va pousser les consommateurs français à s'approvisionner sur les marchés parallèles du tabac (achats à l'étranger ou de contrebande (très dangereux )ou sur internet. De telles mesures risquent de déstabiliser et pénaliser économiquement le réseau des 28000 buralistes français organisés dans un réseau réglementé et sous tutelle de l'Etat ( développement de la contrebande)

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cela risque d'entraîner une standardisation de la production avec pour conséquence une diminution de l'offre au fumeur adulte et responsable, et le risque de voire apparaitre une augmentation des produits du tabac contrefaits.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

**additional comments**

Il n'y a pas de corrélation prouvée entre la disparition des linéaires tabac et la lutee contre le tabagisme. La France dispose d'unréseau de 28000 buralistes, préposés de l'administration

responsables et professionnels, seuls habilités à vendre du tabac. Ce réseau est fortement réglementé par l'Etat ce qui permet déjà de contrôler efficacement l'accès au produit du tabac.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Ulrich Frank

##### **email**

ufra@freenet.de

##### **country**

germany

##### **age**

49

##### **gender**

male

##### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

no comment

##### **which option**

No change

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Rainer Bischof

**email**  
ritchyl302-trash@yahoo.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
46

**gender**  
male

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No Commentary

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comentary

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comentary

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comentary

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comentary

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comentary

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Krempf, Renate

**email**

sauers@directbox.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Everyone can make his or her OWN decision.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Everyone can choose. Such as people who eat fast food. everyone can make a decision.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

I do want to smoke and hurt nobody. People can choose where they stay or which restaurant they are in.

**which option**

No change



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Heubes, Ralf

#### **email**

ralf\_heubes@web.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

49

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Improve consumer information

##### **which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Baumann

**email**

micha\_baumann@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Criminalization of tobacco consumers, what that actually means, doesn't solve the problem of unhealthly citizens. If so, you have to limit the consumption of fast food, too. Or only permit the selling of burgers in "white-boxes". Till this fact is missing equality, or other comparable business is affected, this kind of intrusion into the self-rule of an european citizen isn't tolerable.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heinz H. Schmitt

**email**

vmd.schmitt@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

let it be

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

let the individual decide - liberty for everyone !

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Biewer

**email**

haewesmaster@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Emmerich

**email**

largo1978@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die momentan Richtlinie ist schon schlimm genug. Als erwachsener Mensch kann ich wohl selber darüber entscheiden, ob ich rauche und wenn ja was ich rauche. Ich brauche dafür keine Gesetze und Vorschriften die mich als EU Bürger weiter in meiner persönlichen Freiheit einschränken und zu einem unmündigen Bürger erziehen wollen !

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Die EU soll aufhören, sich andauernd und immer weiter in die persönlichen Kernbereiche der Bürger einzumischen ! Wir sind alle alt genug und wissen was wir machen ! P.S. Ich bin KEIN Kettenraucher, sondern ein Genussraucher der vielleicht 5 Mal im Jahr eine Zigarre raucht.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Wo ist das Problem, solche Tabakarten zuzulassen ? Wenn das in Schweden zugelassen ist, sollte es auch in der ganzen EU zugelassen sein. Die EU sollte sich lieber darum kümmern, das Verbot von Cannabis/Marihuana mit mehr polizeilichen Mitteln durchzusetzen. Denn DAS sind WIRKLICH NACHHALTIG schädigende Rauchwaren.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Packungen sind jetzt schon verhunzt genug. Jeder weiss, dass zu viel rauchen tödlich enden kann. Durch Einheitspackungen ändert sich nichts, denn was zählt ist der Inhalt.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Was soll das ? Einheitspackungen bei Rauchwaren ? Was kommt als nächstes ? Einheitsgetränkepackungen ? Einheitskleidung ? Einheitsmenschen ? Einheitsdenken ? Ja ? Diese Einheitsmasche hatten wir schon einmal vor 75 Jahren, und die hat Europa die größte

Vernichtung von Menschen beschert durch Krieg und einen Verrückten der alle töten ließ die nicht seinem einheitlichen Denken folgten ! Das heutige Europa lebt jedoch von der Vielfalt und von mündigen Bürgern. Wir brauchen keine neue Gleichschaltung ! Nirgends !

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wieviel Bürokratie will die EU denn noch aufbauen ? Was soll noch alles gemeldet werden ? Warum noch mehr Daten ? Wer steckt sich damit eigentlich dann die Taschen voll?

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Lasst die Länder doch weitermachen wie bisher. Was bisher gut gelaufen ist, braucht nicht durch einen weiteren EU Zentralismus zerstört werden. Dafür sind die einzelnen EU Staaten mündig genug !

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

So wie es bisher läuft, ist es gut genug. Oder soll die organisierte Kriminalität in diesem Bereich weiter wachsen ?

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dmitrij Gulov

**email**

sey\_mitja@hotmail.com

**country**  
germany

**age**  
23

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AGUEDA

**email**

SIN CUENTA DE CORREO

**country**

ESPAÑA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ese problema no existe

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ese problema no existe

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ese problema no existe

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ese problema no existe

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ese problema no existe

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ese problema no existe

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anna Bauer

**email**

b21er-fy@yahoo.de

**country**

Austria

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

H.-P.Fritzwanker

**email**

peterfritzwanker@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Hasenfuß, Wolfgang



**email**

wo50@gmx.de

**country**

Weißwasser

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

orquidea

#### **email**

kidian23@hotmail.com

#### **country**

ESPAÑA

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Ese problema no existe

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Ese problema no existe

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Ese problema no existe

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ese problema no existe

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ese problema no existe

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ese problema no existe

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Herbert Wagner

**email**

herbert.wagner@ebe-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

66

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Panagiota Politopoulou

#### **email**

ppolitopoulou@gmail.com

#### **country**

Greece

#### **age**

33

#### **gender**

female

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **additional comments**

Big caution should be used in the characterization of any alternative-to-cigarettes products as "cigarette-like" or "harmful". Restriction or prohibition of any new product prior to scientific proof availability on its potential harmful effect has no reasoning.

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **additional comments**

Reasoning behind the proposal to lift the ban of snus: 1. Freedom of choice right of the individual. 2. Sweden's example: Decrease in the harmful effect of tobacco identified in the country by a smokeless product availability to whomever chooses to use it. 3. Snus is also addictive and can cause cancer indeed, however no more than tobacco products, so why discriminate it and ban its usage?

### **questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I personally, as a cigarettes consumer, feel I have all necessary information on the product I choose to buy and use and take into consideration all health warnings and general sensitization of society on the harmful effects of tobacco while doing that. As an adult smoker, I do not wish to experience an "aesthetic attack" by picture warnings or any other extra notification on the product I choose to buy, as first of all that measure would not make me reduce or quit smoking, it would only possibly urge me find alternative ways to store my cigarettes (e.g. reusable tin pack). If and when I choose to quit smoking it will be based on my personal judgment and own motivation, taking into consideration of course the harmful effects of tobacco, which I also know currently, that I do smoke, though. In addition to this, generic/ plain packaging can be judged as obnoxious for the following reasons: 1. Brands' trade marking rights violation. 2. Consumers' right to identify and select the product of their preference based on their taste/ judgment violation. 3. Risk of all sorts of illegal tobacco handling and increase in counterfeit cigarettes manufacturing by unauthorized individuals, with highly more harmful effects to the consumers, due to the questionable tobacco and ingredients used for these products.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional comments**

A common compulsory reporting format to report and register ingredients should of course protect the trade secrets of the manufacturers. Fees and sanctions to non-compliant manufacturers can be introduced by the Member States, as they are the most qualified party for such measures, depending on each Member State's specifics/ individual particularities.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Until this day there is no scientific proof that any of the ingredients used for tobacco products increase the addictiveness and harmful effects of tobacco. Certain ingredients are essential to the production of a balanced tobacco product in the context of tobacco processing. To allege however that these ingredients make the product more attractive is an arbitrary speculation, since attractiveness is something totally subjective. Can any scientific study also inform us if, for example, the ban of all substances would maybe even increase the harmful effects of tobacco?

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Supply and access to tobacco products is already regulated at the Member States' level, e.g. prohibition of selling tobacco to the under-age, which is necessary. Distance sale and access to vending machines in particular are issues that are already being addressed and attended by many Member States. The display of tobacco products on sales points however, is an area that, to my opinion, should not be restricted. Such a prohibition entails serious economic implications, especially to tobacco retail dealers. It would also encumber the legal trade of tobacco, as well as encourage illegal trade/ counterfeit products' manufacturing at the same time. Moreover, there is no evidence that such a prohibition would lead to a decrease in tobacco usage or minors' accessibility to tobacco. Finally, such a prohibition would also limit consumers' ability to freely choose the product they prefer to buy and identify any newly available brands, which constitutes a violation of free trade/ competition and freedom of choice at the same time.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

H.patzelt

**email**

horst.patzelt@online.de

**country**

germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Anja Grothe

**email**  
Anja.Grothe@web.de

**country**



Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Because we smokers KNOW about that and ignore it anyhow. To stay equal to all you will have to do the same to ALL kinds of alcohol which causes a lot more problems.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schindler Thomas

**email**

Schindler.T@gmx.net

**country**

Österreich

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Der Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie sollte durchaus die angegebenen Produkte beinhalten. Ohne zuvorige wissenschaftliche Überprüfung der Produkte in Hinsicht auf ihre Schädlichkeit für den Menschen sollte jedoch kein Verbot ausgesprochen werden.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keine wissenschaftlichen Beweise dafür, das größere Warnhinweise oder Bilder besser geeignet sind, potentielle Raucher vom Rauchen abzuhalten, bzw sie davon zu überzeugen mit dem Rauchen aufzuhören. Plain Packaging würde sehr wahrscheinlich auch keine Auswirkung haben, außer die Fälschung und den illegalen Handel zu erleichtern.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Bessere Kennzeichnung der Inhaltsstoffe durch z.B. Health-Canada-Messverfahren.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Rechtsdurchsetzung ist Angelegenheit der Mitgliedsstaaten und nicht der EU. Auch wenn die EU dieses Prinzip einführt, würden die Beträge für Gebühren und Sanktionen zwischen den Mitgliedsstaaten unterschiedlich ausfallen. Dies deshalb, da es in die Kompetenz des jeweiligen Staates fällt. Eine Verbesserung des Funktionierens des Binnenmarkes ist somit auf diese Weise nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Focus bei der Evaluierung von Zusatzstoffen sollte ganz grundsätzlich darauf ausgerichtet sein, ob deren Einsatz die toxische Wirkung des Zigarettenrauchs erhöht. Es sollte daher nicht von der Attraktivität der Stoffe ausgegangen werden, da diese nahezu ausschließlich auf subjektiven Kriterien beruhen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die hier dargestellte Problemstellung zielt nicht auf gesundheitspolitische Probleme im Zusammenhang mit Tabakkonsum und die Verfügbarkeit der Produkte ab. Weiters werden keinerlei Beweise für die Annahme angeboten, daß derartige Verbote den Binnenmarkt

stärken oder zu einer Reduktion des Tabakkonsums führen fürden.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Internetverkäufe könnten z. B. durch Altersbegrenzungen eingeschränkt werden.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephan Ruppert

**email**

stephan.ruppert1@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rädler, Ulrike

**email**

u.raedler@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paula Figueiredo

**email**

paula.figueir@netcabo.pt

**country**

Portugal

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Directiva poderia ser extendida para incluir novos produtos mas não banir nenhum sem base científica

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Não há evidência que avisos de saúde maiores ou maços genéricos reduzam incidência de fumadores. Também facilitam a contrafacção e contrabando.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

os Estados Membros já o podem fazer, têm competência para tal.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Já há uma directriz para isto.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Só faz sentido banir ingredientes se estes aumentarem a toxicidade dos cigarros.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Problema mal formulado.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**



SONIA

**email**

SONY66X@hotmail.com

**country**

españa

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ese problema no existe

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ese problema no existe

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

la idea propuesta me parece una tortura emocional y psicologica muy escabroso de la cual no tengo porque pasar por ello

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ese problema no existe

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ese problema no existe

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ese problema no existe

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mike Filzen

**email**

m.filzen@unitybox.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Thorsten Biethmann

#### **email**

t.biethmann@t-online.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

38

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach braucht es weder grössere Warnings noch Fotos oder weisse Packungen für Cigars. Wir sind alle erwachsene Bürger und wissen über die Gefahren des Rauchens , doch wollen wir unsere Cigars geniessen.

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Die einzelnen EU Mitgliedsstaaten können bereits heute entsprechende Gebühren und Massnahmen erlassen.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus bezüglich Flavors-Tests sollte darauf ausgerichtet sein, festzustellen ob die Flavors wirklich zu einer Erhöhung der toxischen Wirkung beim Tabkrauch führen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach führt ein totales Verbot dazu, dass die Vielfalt an verschiedenen Marken verschwindet. Das wäre schade.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Luetje

**email**

chris@luetje.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Menschen sind frei darin Ihre eigene Meinung zu haben, Ihre eigenen Freiheiten zu definieren und über Ihre Risiken zu entscheiden. Einwichtiger Prozess im Leben eines Menschen ist die Bildung, die uns zu kritischen weltoffenen Bürgern macht. Eine Bevormundung und eine Meinungsmache lehne ich kategorisch ab. Ein mündiger Bürger ist sowohl intellektuell als auch kritisch dazu in der Lage für sich selbst zu entscheiden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist nicht notwendig.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Not former relevant.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist alles gut geregelt.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Kloiber Fa.Otto Sippekamp

**email**

kloiber.w@online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Diese Aroganz der EU Beörden übersteigt mein persönliches Denkvermögen. Der mündige Bürger wird dadurch nur verärgert.

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der mündige Bürger sollte FREI entscheiden können.Er sollte nicht wie ein kleines Kind behandelt werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder normale Bürger sollte lesen können, da wir in der EU auch über Schulen verfügen (Schulpflicht)

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Alle reden über Arbeitslosigkeit!!! Falls die Damen und Herren der EU weitere haben möchten, so bin ich auch Betroffen. Kann mein Haus verkaufen und werde dann Hartz 4 Empfänger.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Leben und leben lassen

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir Veräußern legale Produkte, die man nicht einschränken sollte. Auch die Menschen, die kein Interesse an dem Produkt haben, müssen diesen Finanzausgleich mitfinanzieren. Würde mich nicht wundern, dass diese Menschen demnächst ANDERS Wählen!!!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

stefan neumann

**email**

stn021@googlemail.com

**country**

germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jutta Böhme

**email**

juttaboehme@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There are more important problems to be discussed in the EU

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

No comment

**additional comments**

No

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Assaid before: the EU should deal with more important matters

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexander Bachmann

**email**

alexzwoenitz@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hartmut Janßen

**email**

janssentabak@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist schon zuviel geregelt

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

X

**additional comments**

X

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Freiheit + Traditionen

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

s.o.

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Verbraucherinformation ist jetzt schon mehr als ausreichend und überall gegenwertig. Eine Ausweitung dieser Ideen auf diese und ähnlich (gefährdende) Produktgruppen müßte die Folge sein. Diese übertriebene Regelung würde das Lebensgefühl stark negativ beeinflussen. Das ist wiederum gesundheitsgefährdent .....

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

x

**additional comments**

Freiheit und Selbstverantwortung sind hohe Güter. Nimmt man immer mehr Selbstverantwortung weg verdummt das Volk!

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

x

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

x

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die bestehenden Vorschriften sind ausreichend.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

x

**additional comments**

Der mündige Bürger soll selbst entscheiden!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anna Gątkowska

**email**

gaska1@poczta.onet.pl

**country**

Poland

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the problem definition is incorrect, vague and unclear it fails to provide any scientific basis or evidence for the different options considered in this section.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**



The problem is defined overly narrowly, and so fails to identify relevant policy options.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no evidence provided for the different options discussed the section.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the problem definition incorrectly defines the use of ingredients.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nicola Hippmann

**email**

nicola@hippmann.org

**country**

Germany

**age**

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Cetin Güzel

**email**

The-Itchy@gmx.net

**country**

germany

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Steffen Eilenstein

**email**

oldossi.eile@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Henkel, Heiko

**email**

heiko\_h72@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

„kein Kommentar“

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

„kein Kommentar“

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

„kein Kommentar“

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

„kein Kommentar“

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

„kein Kommentar“

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerhard Hippmann

**email**

rauchfrei@hippie.org

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
42

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes



**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hannes

**email**

dunkler-donner@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Of course smoking is unhealthy. Of course smoking kills, but everyone knows that and I think it should be your own choice if you want to smoke or be a non smoker. For some people it may be a problem to be surrounded by smokers, but I think, for the smokers themselves, it won't be attractive to have just a white box and no difference in taste. Sorry, but I smoke cigarettes and cigars. And I smoke different brands because of the different tastes.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

stronger controls for children. Cigarettes should be sold just to adults. unfortunately it's not done everywhere.

**additional comments**

- none -

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

I told before (smoking just for adults! - 18 years and up)

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

already told

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

how often again??

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

come on ...

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

and again

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Prof. Jürgen A. Pabst

**email**

j-pabst@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

68

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Yes, if, wehre ever, makes the them thing, Every body gos on the street to protest. !!!!

**additional comments**

No.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

christian bömeke

**email**

cbgoe@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

44

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
ein legales produkt

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommertar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Holsten

**email**

stefan-holsten@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

23

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zuerst konnte ich noch über diese hirnrissigen Gesetze aus Brüssel lachen, aber jetzt stehe ich nur noch fassungslos da. Gibt es wirklich nichts, dass wichtiger ist. In der Schule habe ich gelernt, dass die EU uns mehr Freiheit geben soll. Aber ich kann davon nichts erkennen. Sperrt doch einfach alle in eine Gummizelle, dann kommt auch keiner mehr zu Schaden.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

NK

**additional comments**

NK

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

NK

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

NK

**additional comments**

NK

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NK

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

NK

**additional comments**

NK

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NK

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

NK

**additional comments**

NK

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NK

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

NK

**additional comments**

NK



**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NK

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

NK

**additional comments**

NK

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martina Söllner

**email**

mamakatz@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

more information about the risks and consequences

**additional comments**

no comments

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**additional comments**

Publicity such as posters or information in newspapers would be an effective idea

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

the bad ones printed outside of the package and the good ones inside

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dagmar Gabriele Demirtzoglu

**email**

demirtzoglu@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommetar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Azad Faeshid

**email**

azad@johannes-krankenhaus.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ronny Schmieder

**email**

ronnyschmieder@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jordi Amigó

**email**

kangu64@gmail.com

**country**

Spain

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**



citizen

**name**

Martin Wunsch

**email**

smuess@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Barbara Möller

**email**

barbara-moeller@online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Kuttner

**email**

Daniel.Kuttner@T-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das geplante Automatenverbot erkennt, dass der Automat zumindest in Deutschland im Hinblick auf das Abgabeverbot von Tabakwaren an Jugendliche ein sehr sicherer Abgabekanal ist.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tanja Vogt

**email**

famtaps@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Die Tabaksteuer zu erhöhen, Rauchverbote in Gaststätten auszusprechen oder weiße Schachteln wird das Problem der gesundheitlichen Schäden nicht ändern können. Im Gegenteil durch die stark erhöhten Preise kaufen viele im Umland ihren Eigenbedarf ein. Vielleicht würde es helfen, bei der Krankenkasse einen höheren Beitrag von Aktivrauchern zu verlangen. Nichtraucher müssen dieses durch eine ärztliche Bescheinigung nachweisen, damit sie nicht wie die Raucher mehr im Monat an die Krankenkasse zu zahlen haben. Um das Rauchen zu stoppen müssten kostenlose Raucherentwöhnungsseminare zur Verfügung gestellt werden- durch Coaching, Hypnose und Akkupunktur wäre dies möglich.

**additional comments**

Wahrscheinlich brauch unserer Staat nur eine weitere Maßnahme, welche Geld in die leeren Kassen spült, wie es auch bei der Umweltplakate war.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bitte lesen Sie sich meinen Kommentar auf der vorherigen Seite durch.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keinen Kommentar, meine Stellungnahme ist auf der vorherigen Seite zu lesen

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bruno Lopes

**email**

bruno\_lsantos87@hotmail.com

**country**

Portugal

**age**

23

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

packaging will not be the main problem

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The member states should decide

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Toxicity will increase on tobacco products

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Vending machines are not the main problem

**which option**

No change

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Hartmut Bach

#### **email**

bach.augsburg@freenet.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

51

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

die bisherige Regelungen sind ausreichend

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kain Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Helga Boch

**email**

helga.boch@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban

**which one2**  
Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional comments**

Warum müssen Tabakprodukte überhaupt noch hergestellt werden, wenn es jährlich 600000 Tote gibt. Das ist unverantwortlich!

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulrich Adam

**email**

familie.adam@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karoline Foerster

**email**

karofoe@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Huber

**email**

stefan.huber@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kai Fischer

**email**

butthead@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no need for a change.

**which option**

No change



**recommend option**

No more paternalism on tax paying adult citizens.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Does the EU-administration currently have no other important scope than witch-hunting on tobacco products?

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

stefan baecker

**email**

sxxisa@hotmail.com

**country**

D

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GAILLARD

**email**

renaudgaillard@hotmail.com

**country**

FRANCE

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Il paraît évident qu'une telle réglementation doit couvrir tous les produits du tabac, qu'il soit à fumer, sans fumée, à mâcher, cigarettes électroniques afin de n'exclure aucun produit d'un cadre réglementaire adéquat.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Les produits sans fumée du type SNUS devraient être autorisés dans la mesure où il est prouvé qu'ils sont moins nocifs que les produits avec fumée.

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Les informations disponibles sont déjà très nombreuses pour que les consommateurs adultes puissent faire leur choix en connaissance de cause.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional comments**

Cela paraît réaliste de demander un format de reporting harmonisé dans l'UE.

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Pourquoi parler d'attractivité quand il s'agit d'une notion très subjective. Soyons pragmatique et interdisons les produits qui sont réellement faits pour attirer les trop jeunes du type les cigarettes bonbons qui sont interdites en France. Les autres ingrédients n'apportent que du goût. C'est la fumée qui est nocive pas les ingrédients qui me semble-t-il sont déjà réglementés donc connus.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Il n'y a pas lieu de réglementer davantage l'accès pour les pays qui disposent déjà d'un système de licence de distribution très encadré par l'Etat (buralistes en France). On ne va pas chez un buraliste comme au supermarché.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thorsten Flohé

**email**

Thorsten.Flohe@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das Problem ist zu klein um gelöst werden zu müssen. Es gibt in der EU viel größere Gesundheitsprobleme und Gesundheitsgefährdungen für die EU-Bevölkerung. Zum Beispiel: Fehlernährung und Bewegungsmangel. Wenn man für die Gesundheit der EU-Bevölkerung möglichst viel tun will, muß man logischer weise die großen Probleme anpacken (Fehlernährung, Bewegungsmangel). Wenn man solche Probleme im Griff hat, dann kann man auch über die Ausweitung von Nikotinbekämpfung nachdenken.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Gesundheitserziehung der Bevölkerung mit den Hauptzielen: gesunde Ernährung und ausreichend körperliche Bewegung. Dadurch würde allgemein ein gesünderer Lebensstil gefördert, der auch zu weniger Nikotinverbrauch führen würde.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Es gibt wichtigere Gesundheitsgefährdungen für die EU-Bevölkerung: Fehlernährung, Bewegungsmangel

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Gesundheitserziehung für die EU-Bevölkerung mit dem Ziel: gesunde Ernährung, und ausreichend körperliche Bewegung

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt wichtiger Gefahren für die Gesundheit der EU-Bevölkerung: Fehlernährung und Bewegungsmangel.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Gesundheitserziehung der EU-Bevölkerung mit dem Ziel: gesunde Ernährung und ausreichend körperliche Bewegung. In der Folge würde auch der Nikotinverbrauch sinken.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Raucher werden die sie störenden Hinweise durch eigene Umverpackung verdecken.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Vebote schränken Freiheit ein. Sie werden doch nur unterlaufen (wie zum Beispiel Alkoholprohibition in den USA in Anfang des letzten Jahrhunderts).

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Julius Grennigloh

**email**

Grennigloh@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

21

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Loecherer

**email**



ecko12@gmx.net

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich möchte als freier Bürger keine Zensuren, Einschränkungen oder unnötige Belehrungen über mich ergehen lassen.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Sabine Koehl

#### **email**

koehl.sabine@web.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

43

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Riedel Manfred

**email**

mr@cyber-bob.eu

**country**

Germany

**age**

64

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michele Schochet

**email**

barfbag4000@yahoo.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ziech

**email**

werox@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

harald Elm

**email**

harald.elm@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Guido C. Bischof

**email**

guido.bischof@rub.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

topic missed

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Warum sollte ich das begründen müssen?

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Schochet

**email**

barfbag4000@yahoo.com

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional comments**

No more purchase of tobacco products. It's responsible of death and illness.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Röpnack

**email**

aroepnack@andreasroepnack.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Smoking is bad for the health of people. That is a fact. Nobody disagrees. The ingredients do not matter regarding the bad effects. A regulation on this does not change anything. If nothing changes, why should anything be regulated?

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

What could be the effect (positive or negative) on this regulation? In my personal opinion there is no effect other than regulating something, which is obviously bad to anybody's health. Despite this people are smoking and they will smoke and it doesn't matter if there is a regulation or not.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Adrian Brugger

**email**

bruggera@in.tum.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No Comment

**additional comments**

No Comment

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No Comment

**additional comments**

No Comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

No Comment

**additional comments**

No Comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No Comment

**additional comments**

No Comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No Comment

**additional comments**

No Comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**



Lars Jünger

**email**

ljuenge@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

VENCEREMOS;FREIHEIT DEM RAUCHERN!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!ES GIBT BESTIMMT  
WICHTERIGE DINGE IN DER EU ZU KLÄREN!!!!!!!!!!!!!! LARS AUS ERFURT.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jörn Reschke

**email**

JReschke@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Holger Papke

**email**

holgerpapke@hotmail.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

„Kein Kommentar“

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

„Kein Kommentar“

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Grohmann

**email**

poppey4711@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christa Schochet

**email**

barfbag4000@yahoo.com

**country**

Germany

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Lostak

**email**

daniel@lostak.com

**country**

Czech Republic

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

nope

**additional comments**

unification is not necessary, based on the principle of subsidiarity, such affairs should stay in the hands of national governments.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

again, it should be based on the decisions made by democratic national governments

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

do the ingredients increase harm cause by smoking? Non-sense.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

again, this should be based on the decision of national elected lawmakers...these things don't have to be harmonized. The main benefit of the European integration is based in the three freedoms of movement, not in such regulations. The anti-tobacco measures should be based on scientific evidence only. Hiding the tobacco products has no objective reason and it certainly does not solve the main problem - access of minors to tobacco products

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rudolf Niehaua

**email**

vegassan@gmail.com

**country**

Costa Rica

**age**

73

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

free from any restrictions

**additional comments**

It should be my choice if I smoke or not. The pursuit of happiness.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Radeck, Sabrina

**email**

schnaubfrosch@googlemail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lutz

**email**

konerding@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir brauchen nicht mehr Regulierung, sondern weniger. Unsere europäische Gesellschaft lebt davon, dass auch Dinge getan werden, die nicht sinnvoll oder gesund sind.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

siehe Seite vorher

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine zusätzlichen Regulierungen, die die Freiheit der Menschen zu wählen beeinflussen.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sieh vorherige Seite

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matthias Löhde

**email**

Ronin48@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I do not see the historicly grown national diversity on the issue of tobacco-regulation between the member-states as a "problem". The EC is anyway too eager to gain more power and controll

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich sehe es nicht als "Problem" an, wenn die unterschiedlichen Mitgliedsstaaten aus ihren unterschiedlichen Traditionen heraus nicht-rauchbare Tabak-Produkte unterschiedlich regulieren. Den Satz "the use of smokeless tobacco products may lead to subsequent cigarette smoking" empfinde ich als eine eines Erwachsenen unwürdige Gängelung.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

"Currently the use of pictorial warnings by Member States is limited." So what? "Tobacco packaging and product features are increasingly used to attract consumers, to promote products and brand image." Das gilt auch für Schokoladen-Riegel und Kräutertee. Die sollen



aber (zum Glück nicht reguliert werden).

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Für Tabak gilt das selbe, wie für alle anderen organischen und anorganischen Stoffe: Dosis sola venenum facit

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The idea of regulating addictive or toxic ingredients is not bad at all. But since it is from the European Commission, I oppose it.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Tabak-Handel über das Internet ist insgesamt vernachlässigenswert. Zigaretten-Automaten sind schon heute so hoch, dass Kinder sie nicht erreichen können...

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sven Lochner

**email**

barfbag4000@yahoo.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

angelo

**email**

angelo.decrescenzo@libero.it

**country**

italia

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti

solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto

lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uwe Pfeifer

**email**

topmailp@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pietschmann Martina

**email**

Martina.Pietschmann@gmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**  
39

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Aufhebung des Snus Verbots!

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Enrico Frey

#### **email**

enicofrey@googlemail.com

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

24

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Vage Vermutungen über die Effizienz von neuen Maßnahmen zur Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsniveaus in der EU müssen zuvor in wissenschaftlichen Studien in einer repräsentativen Population auf Stichhaltigkeit geprüft werden.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Das Verbot rauchloser Tabakprodukte gefährdet in keinster Weise die Gesundheit der Bürger. Jeder Bürger hat das Recht auf freie Entscheidung beim Kauf und Konsum von Genussprodukten. Die Problemstellung ist ausserdem nicht wissenschaftlich belegt.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No



**explanations**

Wie bei jedem anderen Produkt, das auf dem freien Markt vertrieben werden darf, ist das Design der Verpackung von Tabakprodukten ein Marketing- und Imageinstrument.

Warnhinweise, egal in welcher Form, können lediglich durch ausgeklügelte psychologische Formulierungen einen minimalen Einfluss auf die Entscheidungsfähigkeit des Bürgers haben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Aus meiner Sicht fällt dieses Problem nicht in die Zuständigkeit der Kommission und ist auf nationaler Ebene der einzelnen Mitgliedsstaaten zu lösen.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Hierfür fehlen wissenschaftliche und fundierte Aussagen, die diese Problemstellung sachlich diskutieren lassen. Es gibt Länder in Europa, in denen Tabakwaren ohne Zusatzstoffe angeboten werden. Hier sind keine gravierenden Unterschiede im Rauchverhalten wie in Ländern mit Tabakprodukten mit Zusatzstoffen festzustellen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Tatsache, dass in Ländern Europas Zigarettenautomaten verboten sind, leitet nicht zu der Schlussfolgerung, dass man Zigarettenautomaten in ganz Europa verbietet.

Zigarettenautomaten in Deutschland haben einen nachvollziehbaren Jugendschutz.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Petra Lochner

**email**  
barfbag4000@yahoo.com

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Reichelt

**email**

mein-space@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

everything should be like it is now, nothing should be changed

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

thatswhy

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Horst J. W. Schulz, Dillenburger Str. 58 E, D-14199 Berlin

**email**

hjwsch@hotmail.com

**country**

Bundesrepublik Deutschland

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stoschitzky Stefanie

**email**

stoschitzky@yahoo.de

**country**

Austria

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt schon zu viele Regelungen

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

schont die Atemwege des Rauchers

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Enteignung der Markenrechte

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Erhalt der Geschmacksvielfalt

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

soll Ländersache bleiben

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ernst Münzenmaier

**email**

ernst.muenzenmaier@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Komentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**



No Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Mittelstädt

**email**

whop\_it@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sebastian Zapf

**email**

sebastianzapf@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ric McGill

**email**

g4z6o@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anesto Poitz

**email**

Anesto@web.de

**country**

Deutschland (Germany)

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich hab kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stoschitzky Edith

**email**

stoschitzky@yahoo.de

**country**

Austria

**age**

61

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die einzelnen Länder sollen für die Gesundheit zuständig bleiben

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Schützt vor Passivrauch

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zumutung für den Konsumenten

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zuviel Bürokratie

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Beibehaltung der Vielfalt

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freier Zugang zu legalen Produkten

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dariusz Kawczyński

**email**

kaawka@o2.pl



**country**  
Poland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
the problem definition is incorrect, vague and unclear.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
the problem is defined overly narrowly.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no evidence provided for the different options discussed in this section.

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
the problem definition incorrectly defines the use of ingredients.

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Schellberg

**email**

andreas.schellberg@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hackl Peter

**email**

peter@hacklpit.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Volker Weiß

**email**

post.box@cityweb.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weshalb diese ständige Bevormundung? Ich bin erwachsen und kann selbst entscheiden, was ich will - ich benötige keine unerwünschten "Vordenker", die letzten Endes nur Müll produzieren! Ich will entscheiden, was ich sehen will, kaufe oder konsumiere - ohne vorgeschaltete Filter unter dem angeblichen Deckmäntelchen der Gesundheit oder sonstiger vorgeschobener Gründe.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Niemand, der Tabakprodukte konsumieren möchte, interessiert sich in irgendeiner Form für die dämlichen "Warn"hinweise auf den Verpackungen. Ergo sollte man diesen Unsinn lieber komplett weglassen, anstatt Steuermillionen für Beratungen darüber zu verbraten, wie man diesen Mist noch weiter verkomplizieren kann.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Töper Wolfgang

**email**

wolfgang.toeper@madcv.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TUija Kari

**email**

tuija.kari@gmail.com

**country**

Finland

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Hard to take a stand as many things are grouped together

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Plain packaging will cause more problems

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It should be examined whether the ingredients increase toxicity of tobacco smoke

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Testing should concentrate on whether ingredient increase the toxicity of tobacco smoke

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Problem definition does not define the problem properly

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Norbert Rödel

**email**

Norbert.Roedel@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

EU Regulierungswut

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

EU Regulierungswut

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

EU Regulierungswut

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

EU Regulierungswut

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

EU Regulierungswut

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

EU Regulierungswut

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fischer, Günter

**email**

gunfish\_007@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Smoking is an addiction with different roots. One of them is the psychological constitution of the addict, in my opinion the strongest factor of getting an addict, and that point is not met by the proposal of the revision of TPD

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Most people get into contact with smoking during puberty or even earlier, e.g. during a time, when their personality is not fully developed. At that age they are usually not receptive for rational arguments like they are shown on the warnings on the cigaret boxes or any other of the proposals made. What they need during that sensitive years is good guidance and example by adults that can show them, that a life without tobacco is worth living. This cannot be reached by any directive.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

It is also not meeting the basic problems of addiction.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**additional comments**

In that point I agree, because consumer information is a very severe topic that is disregarded in many other fields where incomplete declaration, sepecially in the food sector, puts many hazards on the consumers.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In the field of alcohol there were clear experiences made during the prohibition in the USA. A total ban of tobacco produts would have the same effect .

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The core problem of addiction is psychological one. Instead of demonising distinctive substances there should be a stronger emphasis on prevention by building up strong personalities. But that is more a task of education as far as children are concerned and programs for encouraging adults to face and to solve the problems behind their addiction.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fiona Whelan Wisloff

**email**

wisloff@orange.net

**country**

UK

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I disagree with the problem definition as the definition provided by the Consultation document is inaccurate, confused and vague. It states: "The tobacco products market has increasingly diversified", but cites non-tobacco products (e.g. nicotine drinks, electronic cigarettes) as evidence for this claim. This inability to distinguish between tobacco and non-tobacco products demonstrates fundamental and concerning ignorance about the tobacco sector. I see that the problem definition does not contain any evidence, scientific or otherwise, to justify the options proposed and fails to define a problem in any of the areas it proposes be further regulated. I don't think the EU should be legislating on this. There is no discussion of any internal market issues to be resolved before the EU can proceed with the proposed option. We assume that this essential, fundamental analysis will be carried out after the Consultation, in preparation for the Impact Assessment Report. I note in the UK, the Medicines and Healthcare product Regulatory Agency (MHRA) has conducted a consultation aimed at regulating all nicotine-containing products (with the exception of tobacco) as medicines. The term 'nicotine-containing products' as used by the MHRA does not include tobacco products. In our response to the consultation we agreed with MHRA's view that tobacco products

should be subject to specific tobacco regulation while nicotine-containing should be regulated by the MHRA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No, I disagree with the problem definition. It is vague and the Consultation document has not defined a problem that requires addressing. As a snus user I don't see that there is no evidence to justify the ban on the marketing and sales of snus or the extension of the ban to other smokeless tobacco products. I wish to be able to purchase this product in the UK and the rest of Europe. The problem definition does not differentiate between various product types in this broad category. By ignoring this, distinction the Consultation creates confusion rather than providing clarity that would allow for objective policy choices. The WHO TobReg Report strongly emphasises this variation amongst smokeless tobacco products and (referring to risks associated with different smokeless tobacco products) states "it would be scientifically inappropriate to consider smokeless tobacco a single product for the purpose of estimating risk or setting policies." Snus is legally sold in many countries worldwide. I can't see that there is any justification to ban snus completely in the EU. The problem definition refers to SCENIHR's 2008 Opinion which states: "According to the evidence from some countries, the use of smokeless tobacco products may lead to subsequent cigarette smoking". In fact, "some countries" relates only to the USA. The SCENIHR Opinion also states: "The marked social, cultural and product differences between North America and Europe suggest caution in translating findings across countries, also within Europe." . Moreover, SCENIHR's Opinion refers to suggestions rather than facts. We object to these suggestions being presented as facts in the Consultation. Snus may be described by some as addictive, but that does not mean that people are unable to stop using snus if they choose to do so. Adult smokers who wish to change their smoking behaviour should have the freedom to choose an alternative to conventional combustible tobacco products. The Consultation does not analyse EU competence to adopt the proposed options; nor is there any discussion of the internal market issues that would be required to be resolved for the EU to proceed with the proposed options. We assume that this essential, fundamental analysis will be carried out after the Consultation, in preparation for the Impact Assessment Report.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Lift the ban on snus and allow all countries to market electronic cigarettes which I believe are a very useful product extension

**additional comments**

I think the EU wide ban on snus is merely political. Sweden has one of the lowest incidence of male smoking as there are smokefree options for indoor situations. One can snus indoors and smoke outside if there is a need for smokefree indoor environments. Though I feel that the claims of risks of indoor smoking on others is not borne up by science.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tobacco is a legal product and its packaging is part of its branding. I don't see Mars bars being attacked for having a distinct wrapper. Clapping down on cigarette packing misses the point. Nowadays, all smokers are aware of the risks and I think it is merely posturing to put warning labels on things and think that deals with the problem. The EU has no place legislating on this area. It states that "packaging as an advertising tool is not covered by the current Directive" but it does not clarify or explain that advertising is covered by EU Tobacco Advertising Directive COM(2008) 330 final and Council Directive 89/552/EEC Television without Frontiers. Most Member States have transposed the Directives into national laws that are wider in scope. The problem definition asserts only that the packet is advertising but provides no evidence for this. Packaging is not advertising it is branding. What is wrong with allowing tobacco companies to distinguish their products from their competitors' and provides consumers who wish to purchase tobacco products with information about their chosen product? The Consultation does not analyse EU competence to adopt the proposed options; nor is there any discussion of the internal market issues that would be required to be resolved for the EU to proceed with the proposed options. We assume that this essential, fundamental analysis will be carried out after the Consultation, in preparation for the Impact Assessment Report. Picture Warnings Pictorial health warnings are unnecessary and. The risks associated with smoking are already well known and pictorial warnings make no additional contribution to public awareness, with no evidence that they provide any additional motivation to quit. Instead these measures further "denormalise" tobacco products and stigmatize smokers. These are not sufficient grounds for legitimate public policy objectives, and we believe that adult smokers and smoking should not be treated in this way. The public policy objectives surrounding text and pictorial health warnings claim to produce a higher degree of awareness of tobacco-related health risks, thereby reducing smoking initiation, increasing quitting and reducing consumption in continuing smokers. There is no credible scientific or empirical research or evidence to demonstrate such health warnings achieve these objectives. Any changes to current legislation must be based on clearly defined public health goals and robust evidence to confirm that PHWs are necessary, effective and proportionate to the functioning of the internal market. EU mandating of PHWs in all Member States would be disproportionate. The burden to justify a change to the TPD in this area lies with the EU. Plain Packaging Plain packaging is unnecessary, unreasonable and unjustified. It is not sound public policy or based on compelling evidence. The balance of the available evidence provides no compelling argument to suggest that plain packaging would make a contribution to addressing youth smoking initiation or smoking cessation.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This is a really complex area that I don't think that the EU understands. The Consultation does not analyse EU competence to adopt the proposed options; nor is there any discussion of the internal market issues that would be required to be resolved for the EU to proceed with the proposed options. We assume that this essential, fundamental analysis will be carried out after the Consultation, in preparation for the Impact Assessment Report. Formats and Reporting Mechanisms: The Consultation makes no reference to the extensive work put into the Electronic Model Tobacco Control (EMTOC) project. I support a sustainable, robust and secure IT system as that being developed by EMTOC for collecting and holding ingredient data, provided that adequate solutions are incorporated and include agreed Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and protection for competitively sensitive and trade secret information. This has been repeatedly communicated to the EU via the Confederation of European Community Cigarette Manufacturers. We understand that the EMTOC term "Instructions" means SOPs and is fully interchangeable in both definition and principle. We continue contributing to the development of EMTOC. The common reporting non-binding format (Practical Guide on Tobacco Product Ingredients Reporting) was communicated to Member States. However, the Guide also states that "Member States, manufacturers and importers are expected to use the common reporting formats" as soon as they were published and that "electronic submission of data would be the desirable form". Despite Commission guidance, to date not all Member States have accepted the common reporting format for ingredient reporting or have implemented the new templates into their submission process. Some have adopted different reporting requirements. We welcome any Commission initiative to make the Practical Guide binding and to incorporate the EMTOC system and proposed solutions to the outstanding issues in the Practical Guide. All Member States must agree and adopt the common ingredient reporting format in a fully harmonised way and accept tobacco product ingredients submission via the latest templates in order to move to EMTOC reporting. This should be facilitated and/or endorsed by the Commission. The problem definition states "It has proven difficult to get financing for the development, validation and carrying out of the appropriate toxicological and addictiveness tests". We have provided extensive toxicological data to Member States, none of which to our knowledge has been analysed or commented on. The problem definition fails to mention the draft SCENIHR opinion on tobacco additives and particularly the conclusion that no evidence has been found to suggest any ingredient adds to or of itself contributes to addictiveness. The statement in the problem definition also contradicts the introductory section of the Consultation document ("The Directive does not foresee any specific assessment of the information provided by manufacturers"). Finally, compliance costs occur for business as well as for authorities. The fact that significant costs are involved for national competent authorities results from the objective of having reporting in the first place. Our ingredient reports have always complied with Article 6 of the Directive. Fees and Sanctions Introducing fees and sanctions is outside the Commission's competence. The Commission has failed to analyse the impact of registration fees on the functioning of the Internal Market, as their levels would vary between Member States and impede its functioning. Registration fees would lead to a further increase in anti-illicit trade.

**which option**

No change



## **regulation of ingredients**

### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

No, i don't disagree with the problem definition as it is based on the subjective concept of "attractiveness", which i think is an unsound basis for regulation. Ingredients (for example, flavourings) may be added to tobacco products during manufacture in very small quantities to enhance overall flavour characteristics and aroma, giving each brand variant its own distinctive style, in line with consumer preferences. The term 'ingredient' also applies to substances in non-tobacco materials used to make products. These include paper, filter, adhesive and inks. To ensure the proper functioning of a market economy, legitimate tobacco companies have the right to fair competition by developing and differentiating their products to support adult consumer choice. Cigarettes don't contain anything that makes more difficult to stop smoking, to make them attractive to children or to increase the level or change the chemical form of nicotine in tobacco smoke. Ingredients do not influence smoking initiation or the ability of smokers to quit who are determined to do so. It has been suggested that some tobacco ingredients may have either direct or indirect rewarding or reinforcing properties. I can't think of any scientific evidence to support this allegation at the exposure levels observed in tobacco product use. SCENIHR's Opinion concluded there is no evidence that ingredients have rewarding or reinforcing properties or are in themselves addictive. "Attractiveness" fails all established criteria for issue definition in terms of it being a regulatory goal or objective: it lacks any evidential foundation and is inherently uncertain and arbitrary. There is no evidence that supports the reduction of "attractiveness" as a valid public policy objective against which ingredients should be regulated. Sound scientific evidence and a criteria-based approach should be the foundations of any ingredient regulation instead of introducing vague terms which merely intend to circumvent the evidence base. We are concerned that some regulators are using "attractiveness" in the context of tobacco ingredients' use without defining meaning, scope or context. This lack of definition was highlighted during the negotiations of FCTC Articles 9 and 10 at CoP4, where provisional and partial guidelines were agreed, although being inconsistent with acknowledged scientific evidence and the practice of manufacturing tobacco products. The problem definition incorrectly defines the use of ingredients and makes an unfounded claim that the majority form carcinogens, mutagens and/or are toxic for reproduction. Mentholated cigarettes for example have been available for many decades, yet there is no scientific evidence to suggest that their use is associated with increased smoking incidence, increased consumption, change in smoking behaviour or reduced quit rates compared to other cigarettes, nor is there any evidence that smokers of mentholated cigarettes inhale more deeply. Overall, I believe the contribution of ingredients to smoke chemistry is overestimated at levels typically in use. A shift in focus is required to assess the impact of ingredients and in the absence of any criteria we can not support any subjective, unscientific and arbitrary concepts such as 'addictiveness' or 'attractiveness' to form the basis of any regulation. All legislative measures proposed must meet accepted principles of better regulation, be based on evidence and proportionate. I could support a common EU ingredient list, but basic criteria for assessing ingredients have not yet been identified. Introducing such an option now would be premature. In this respect we would welcome a debate between regulators and tobacco companies' scientists on the principles and criteria for a science-based assessment framework.

### **which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

## **access to tobacco products**

### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

No, I disagree with the problem definition as it is vague and incomplete. We do not consider that the problem definition or the Consultation identifies a problem in any of the areas proposed. The Consultation does not analyse EU competence to adopt the proposed options; nor is there any discussion of the internal market issues that would be required to be resolved for the EU to proceed with the proposed options. I assume that this essential, fundamental analysis will be carried out after the Consultation, in preparation for the Impact Assessment Report. Distance Selling/Internet Sales I support distance selling (internet and mail order) of tobacco products to adults, provided that such sales are regulated to prevent access to those under 18 and that proper tax payments are ensured. On-line age verification measures can be established. The onus could also be placed on shippers and distributors to identify the recipient's age and ensure taxation is paid. Banning internet and mail order sales would exacerbate illicit trade, but would not address youth access. Vending Access to cigarette vending machines should be strictly controlled to prevent sales to children. I do not support bans on vending which would prevent legitimate access by adult smokers. I oppose disproportionate and unnecessary regulation that prohibits tobacco vending, which is a long-standing and legitimate adult sales channel. We support regulation that is reasonable, proportionate and evidence based. We believe that tobacco products are for adults. We do not want children to purchase, smoke or use tobacco products. A vending ban would contravene Article 34 TFEU in respect of restrictions on trade between member states and Art 1 of the First Protocol ECHR in respect of the peaceful enjoyment of possessions. I support proportionate solutions to prevent youth access, such as adult identification and verification or siting guidelines. Adult verification mechanisms have been introduced into machines around the world (e.g. Austria Germany, Ireland, Netherlands, Portugal, Sweden, Spain, New Zealand, Japan and the UK) and we would share information on costs and technicalities of the different types of such methods available. Siting guidelines ensure that machines are located only in monitored supervised areas where a responsible adult ensures that minors do not access the machine. Display of Tobacco Products I could support regulation that is reasonable, proportionate and evidence-based. We believe that tobacco products are for adults and support the enforcement of legal minimum age restrictions for the purchase of tobacco products. I think governments should respect the principles of adult choice and freedom of competition when regulating tobacco products. There is no need to prohibit retailers from displaying tobacco products at the point of sale. Display is an important aspect of the consumer purchasing process, providing information to make a genuine selection from the wide range of products, brands and prices in retail outlets, whilst contributing to fair and undistorted competition between manufacturers and retailers. "Denormalisation" of tobacco products is not by itself a sufficient or legitimate public policy objective, as regulation should always be evidence-based.

### **which option**

No change

### **additional option**

Display of Tobacco Products The display of tobacco products is important to the proper functioning of a market economy. It informs consumers of the products available, their

characteristics, price and pack sizes available. Where other channels of communication may be restricted, consumers must be able to identify easily, quickly and effectively the existence and availability of tobacco products and to distinguish between them. Due to the high turnover and value of tobacco products, display is essential to retailers in maintaining efficient trade operations. There is no evidence to credibly demonstrate a causal link between tobacco display and youth smoking initiation. Data made available as regards the impacts of display bans in Canada and Iceland seriously undermine the claim that banning tobacco displays reduces youth smoking. Regulators who have considered this had questioned the likely efficacy of measures to restrict display. Product display bans could exacerbate illicit trade in contraband and counterfeit products. Consumers' ability to distinguish between legally traded and illicit products would be impaired, making it easier to distribute and sell contraband and counterfeit tobacco. If these become easier to distribute and sell this would undermine the significant progress made by enforcement bodies to tackle the trade in illicit products and to take action when criminal gangs are caught. In accordance with the principles of better regulation, I encourage the Commission to consider regulatory solutions that are proportionate, effective, evidence-based and not to go further than necessary to achieve policy objectives. Point of Sale Advertising I believe that tobacco products are for adults, there is no need to do other measures.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerhild King

**email**

marrobking@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

If the government would lay down the law that the tobacco industry would omit the hundreds of poisonous additives which never used to be in cigarettes before and also make the tobacco smoking more addictive, then perhaps more people willing to stop would find it easier to quit the habit a lot easier. Warning against smoking and banning advertising, dismantling cigarette machines and plain packaging, will not stop a smoker from quitting.

**additional comments**

Why, why, is the smoker always being picked on. Does death related to alcohol bring about the horrible photos of alcohol related diseases being printed on the bottles? No! Do grossly obese people have to look at heart disease photos on their sweet and fatty foods? No! Do dangerous sports get banned because they can kill? No! People are left in peace to do as they please, because there is such a thing as free will. So where does that leave the smoker? As smokers are already sent outside to smoke, they are only harming themselves and not others. So shouldn't it be left for each and every individual person to decide whether they smoke or not. People aren't ignorant of the risk factors, but it's damn hard to quit the habit, hence my above comment on leaving out the 'additives' that keep people hooked. And is the government really interested to go without the billions of pounds, Euros etc, in taxes that a smoker pays? I think not!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Wolfgang Willam

**email**

willam@rumms.uni-mannheim.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no coment

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no coment

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

weil es blöd ist

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wilhelm Scheile

**email**

wilhelmscheile@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Wojciech Poniewierski

**email**  
poniew9w@op.pl

**country**



Poland

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrick Gerstner

**email**

page4you@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Naveed Abdul

**email**

kanu79\_03@yahoo.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

31

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO coment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No Coment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No coment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No coment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No coment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No coment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tobias Dengler

**email**

tobiasder1@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stoschitzky Werner

**email**

stoschitzky@yahoo.de

**country**

Austria

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Falkinger Martin

**email**

maschd@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Helmut Landsrath

**email**

info@helmut-landsrath.de

**country**

Bundesrepublik Deutschland

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roman Laux

**email**

roman.laux@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr.Zoellner

**email**

zoellner.family@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David, Ulli

**email**

ullidavid@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
50

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Es gibt keine wissenschaftliche Belege dafür. Die bestehenden Regelungen sind ausreichend.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Der Konsum rauchfreier Produkte gefährdet in keiner Weise Personen Dritter. Ich bin ein mündiger Bürger und will selbst entscheiden, welche Produkte ich konsumieren möchte. Wissenschaftliche Belege, die die Problembeschreibung fundiert untersetzen, sind mir nicht bekannt.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Die Warnhinweise hat aus meiner Sicht keinerlei Auswirkungen auf den Konsum oder Abschreckung. Im Gegenteil gerade. Tabakprodukte sind legale Markenprodukte, Packungsgestaltung sind wesentliche Wettbewerbsfaktoren.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Aus meiner Sicht überschreitet die Kommission Ihre Zuständigkeit. Dieses Problem sollte nur auf nationaler Ebene der Mitgliedsstaaten gelöst werden!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Hierfür fehlen wissenschaftliche und fundierte Aussagen, die diese Problemstellung sachlich diskutieren lassen. Es gibt Länder in Europa, in denen Tabakwaren ohne Zusatzstoffe angeboten werden. Hier sind keine marginalen Unterschiede im Konsum von Tabakwaren wie in Ländern mit Zusatzstoffen festzustellen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wenn in Ländern in Europa Zigarettenautomaten verboten sind, so muss das nicht in ganz Europe verboten sein. Zigarettenautomaten in Deutschland gewährleisten einen effektiven Jugendschutz.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tzitz

**email**

dimitris\_fassois@hotmail.com

**country**

Greece

**age**

22

**gender**

male



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

κανένα σχόλιο

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

κανένα σχόλιο

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

κανένα σχόλιο

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

κανένα σχόλιο

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Łukasz Kwiatek

**email**

lukasz.kwiatek@pl.imptob.com

**country**

Poland

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

THE PROBLEM DEFINITION IS INCORRECT, VAGUE AND UNCLEAR. IT FAILS TO PROVIDE ANY SCIENTIFIC BASIS OR EVIDENCE FOR THE DIFFERENT OPTIONS CONSIDERED IN THIS SECTION.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

THE PROBLEM IS DEFINED OVERLY NARROWLY, AND SO FAILS TO IDENTIFY RELEVANT POLICY OPTIONS.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO EVIDENCE PROVIDED FOR THE DIFFERENT OPTIONS DISCUSSED IN THIS SECTION

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

THE PROBLEM DEFINITION INCORRECTLY DEFINES THE USE OF INGREDIENTS

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

enrico koedel

**email**

ejmek@web.de

**country**  
germany

**age**  
45

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich bin für volle Entscheidungsfreiheit. Es ist ein Eingriff in meine persönlichen Rechte. Das ist diskriminierend, dass Raucher ständig bestraft werden.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
<<<<<

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Das hält niemanden vom Rauchen ab.

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

alles käse

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uwe Fischer

**email**

fischeruwe@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexander Huckfeldt

**email**

FeldtAl@gmx.de

**country**

Germanx

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

x

**additional comments**

x

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

x

**additional comments**

x

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**additional option**

x

**additional comments**

x

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

x

**additional comments**

x

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

x

**additional comments**

x

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

x

**additional comments**

x

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Anti

**email**

antigoniprokopi@hotmail.com

**country**

Greece

**age**

23

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Brune, Cristopher

**email**

mestadis@yahho.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Mensch entscheidet selbst. Ich bin strikt gegen Bevormundung

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Jeder Raucher weiß um das Risiko.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Staatliche Bevormundung ist verfassungswidrig

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Alkohol wird auch nicht sanktioniert.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der verantwortungsvolle Bürger informiert sich selbst.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es wird für so viel geworben ...

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Iti de Murcia López

**email**

itidemurcia@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on

harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Björn Rohde

**email**

bs.rohde@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

41

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
alles sollte beim Alten bleiben. Durch die Pläne der EU sehe ich mich in meiner persönlichen Freiheit eingeschränkt. Die Vorgehensweise erinnert mich stark an alte Zeiten in der DDR oder anderen Staaten des Ostblocks.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
giacomo lombardi

**email**  
giac.depaolo@tiscali.it

**country**  
italia

**age**  
51

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No



**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Helmut Krebs

**email**

helmut-krebs@web.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

63

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bornkessel

**email**

jens.bornkessel@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ole Peschl

**email**

ole.peschl@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christine

**email**

asimak@mail.gr

**country**

Greece

**age**

23

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Lilly Santo

#### **email**

martin@mjmarinesolutions.com

#### **country**

UK

#### **age**

31

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

The problem definition is vague and inaccurate and confuses tobacco and non tobacco products.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

There is no scientific justification for the complete ban on snus or the consideration of extending the ban to other smokeless tobacco products.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

The problem definition makes no reference to the existing legislation that covers tobacco

advertising such as the EU Tobacco Advertising Directive. Packaging is not advertising it provides consumers with information on the brands that they choose to purchase. There is no robust evidence to demonstrate that the proposed measures would achieve the stated policy aims.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no consideration of the extensive work being developed by EMTOC.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

An EMTOC type solution should be developed taking into account the concerns around EMTOC have been addressed.

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Because it is based on the subjective concept of "attractiveness". Subjective concepts cannot form the basis of sound regulatory proposals.

**which option**

No Change

**additional comments**

Until an appropriate criteria based on science is developed it is premature to discuss whether a list should be introduced.

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Again, the problem definition is vague and unclear and does not identify an actual problem in the areas it deals with.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Florian Kaiser

#### **email**

kaiser4racing@web.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

23

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

I Must give ab my jop pecaus i felkt sick if i see the pictures

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Denn jeder ist für sich selber verantwortlich für die Steuereinnahmen seid ihr nicht zu schade  
Und Alls nicht Raucher Geht mein Arbeitsplatz Verloren

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Alles Wird Verboten irgent wann musst du CO2 steuer Auf Dein Eigenen pfurz Zahlen Dann  
muss doch Die ungesunde Ernährung auch Verboten werden Wir Sind einfreies Land ????

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Einheitspackung nimmt Der Industrie das recht auf markenfreiheit verletzt das Grundrecht des freien Marktes und Widerspricht den grundgedanke der EU = Kommunismus So müsst eine Tafel Schockolade auch Das gleiche gelten (Achtung macht fett)

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Wenn Tabac gift ist sollte Dies ach nicht in Suppermäkkten for den süßwahren verkauft werden So Wie In Skandinavien Die Alco-shops darf Tabak nur noch in Kioske oder speziele tabackläden verkauft werden Die nur durch abgabe von personalausweis möglich ist

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Schimmel

**email**

schimmelthomas@netscape.net

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
35

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Franz Pilz

#### **email**

franz.pilz2@aon.at

#### **country**

Austria

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **recommend option**

Der Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie sollte durchaus die angegebenen Produkte beinhalten. Ohne vorhergehende wissenschaftliche Überprüfung der Produkte in Hinsicht auf ihre Schädlichkeit für den menschlichen Körper sollte jedoch kein Verbot ausgesprochen werden.

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Es gibt meines Erachtens keine wissenschaftlichen Beweise dafür, dass größere Warnhinweise oder Bilder besser dazu geeignet sind, potentielle Raucher vom Rauchen abzuhalten bzw dazu zu veranlassen, mit dem Rauchen aufzuhören. Auch bei Plain Packaging gibt es bis dato keine Beweise dafür, dass sie eine positive Auswirkung für die Gesundheit der Bevölkerung haben. Plain Packaging ist eher dazu angetan, Fälschungen und den illegalen Handel mit Tabakprodukten zu fördern, weil die Fälschungssicherheit der Produkte nicht gewährleistet werden kann.

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Rechtsdurchsetzung ist Angelegenheit der Mitgliedsstaaten und nicht der EU. Auch wenn die EU dieses Prinzip einführt, würden die Beträge für Gebühren und Sanktionen zwischen den Mitgliedstaaten unterschiedlich ausfallen, da die Festlegung derselben in die Kompetenz des jeweiligen Staates fällt. Eine Verbesserung des Funktionierens des Binnenmarktes ist somit dadurch nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Es sollte ein gemeinsames, auf EU-Ebene eingerichtetes Meldeformat entwickelt werden, und zwar auf Grundlage der vorhandenen, von der Kommission im Mai 2007 veröffentlichten Formate. Dabei sollte darauf geachtet werden, dass jedes Meldesystem die Geschäftsgeheimnisse von Herstellern entsprechend schützt.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus bei der Evaluierung von Zusatzstoffen sollte ganz grundsätzlich darauf ausgerichtet sein, ob deren Einsatz die toxische Wirkung des Zigarettenrauchens erhöht. Es sollte daher nicht von der Attraktivität der Stoffe ausgegangen werden, da diese nahezu ausschließlich auf subjektiven Kriterien fußen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die hier dargestellte Problemstellung zielt nicht auf gesundheitspolitische Probleme im Zusammenhang mit Tabakkonsum und die Verfügbarkeit der Produkte ab. Weiters werden keinerlei Beweise für die Annahme angeboten, dass derartige Verbote den Binnenmarkt stärken oder aber zu einer Reduktion des Tabakkonsums führen würden.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Internetkäufe könnten durch Altersbegrenzungen eingeschränkt werden.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Jaskowiak

#### **email**

briesen@freenet.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

40

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar



**which option**

Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Horst Wößner

**email**

horst.woessner@netcologne.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
51

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
reicht aus

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kei n Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Renato Salatti

**email**

renatostl@virgilio.it

**country**

ITALIA

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley",

in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bohnet, Martina

**email**

martina\_bohnet@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

59

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Giorgio

**email**

iii.magionosiii@hotmail.com

**country**

Greece

**age**  
21

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Froehlich

**email**

markus.f.froehlich@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

People are able to decide themselves,what they want to do or not to do.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Everybody knows the risks. People are not stupid.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Same answer as before. Everybody knows the risk. No additional information are necessary.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is only necessary that the tobacco pleasures.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Everybody should buy the tobacco he wants to buy.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johannes Schulz

**email**

black.user@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

20

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Think about the prohibition in the USA in the 30's and how many dead people that caused!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karsten Bauer

**email**

bauer-karsten@t-online.de

**country**

germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nocomment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

thorsten fricke

**email**

thorsten2209@gmx.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
nicole adam

**email**  
biene66-nicole@web.de

**country**  
deutschland

**age**  
32

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kalinowski

**email**

marek.kalinow@gmail.com

**country**

Poland

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

People already know that tobacco products may have negative impact on their health. Don't treat all people as non-thinkers - they can make decisions by themselves. Generic packaging will be a mess as it will trigger big time contraband and illegal sales! STOP going into this direction!

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Please don't overregulate industries! You are paid with the taxes on businesses - support them rather than place additional unnecessary burdens which bring no value!

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional comments**

Establishing a common list puts yet another burden on the business and increases the public administration! And there is no clear benefit from such common lists.

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is a mix of different issues: collection of taxes, display of tobacco products, internet sales, etc. If you wish to have an opinion, please separate all these topics and address them on by one.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The proposal of banning display of tobacco products is ridiculous as it will not allow people to make a well informed choice. If the display of tobacco products is enforced this will only fuel sales of contraband / illegal products to the detriment of legal sales. This in turn will bring down the value of collected taxes. I believe we should be wise enough to draw conclusion from the prohibition time in the USA which showed that prohibiting is not a good solution at all.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Dr. Udo Kienle

#### **email**

udo.kienle@uni-hohenheim.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

53

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **recommend option**

Eine Erweiterung des Geltungsbereiches der Richtlinie könnte neuartige Formen von Tabak zum oralen gebrauch, Kräuterzigaretten und elektronische Nikotinabgabesystem enthalten.

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

#### **which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on

harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

mirko kujath

**email**

mirko.kujath@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Scheuchl Marco

**email**

marco.scheuchl@mx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Der Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie sollte durchaus die angegebenen Produkte beinhalten. Ohne zuvorige wissenschaftliche Überprüfung der Produkte in Hinsicht auf ihre Schädlichkeit für den menschlichen Körper sollte jedoch kein Verbot ausgesprochen werden.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt unseres Erachtens keine wissenschaftlichen Beweise dafür, dass größere Warnhinweise oder Bilder besser dazu geeignet sind, potenzielle Raucher vom Rauchen abzuhalten bzw. Raucher davon zu überzeugen, mit dem Rauchen aufzuhören. Auch bei Plain Packaging gibt es bis dato keine Beweise dafür, dass sie eine Auswirkung der Gesundheit der Bevölkerung haben. Plain Packaging würde vielmehr Fälschungen und den illegalen Handel mit Tabakprodukten forcieren, weil die Fälschungssicherheit der Produkte nicht gewährleistet werden kann.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Zur besseren Kennzeichnung der Inhaltsstoffe könnte man z.B. Zahlen verwenden, die mit Hilfe eines anderen Messverfahrens (zB Health-Canada-Messverfahren) ermittelt wurden. Dadurch würden die Verbraucher präzisere Informationen über die Messwerte bekommen.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Rechtsdurchsetzung ist Angelegenheit der Mitgliedsstaaten und nicht der EU. Auch wenn die EU dieses Prinzip einführt, würden die Beträge für Gebühren und Sanktionen zwischen den Mitgliedsstaaten unterschiedlich ausfallen. Dies deshalb, da es in die Kompetenz des jeweiligen Staates fällt. Eine Verbesserung des Funktionierens des Binnenmarktes ist somit auf diese Weise nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus bei der Evaluierung von Zusatzstoffen sollte ganz grundsätzlich darauf ausgerichtet sein, ob deren Einsatz die toxische Wirkung des Zigarettenrauches erhöht. Es sollte daher nicht von der Attraktivität der Stoffe ausgegangen werden, da diese nahezu ausschließlich auf subjektiven Kriterien fußen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die hier dargestellte Problemstellung zielt nicht auf gesundheitspolitische Probleme im Zusammenhang mit Tabakkonsum und die Verfügbarkeit der Produkte ab. Weiters werden keinerlei Beweise für die Annahme angeboten, dass derartige Verbote den Binnenmarkt stärken oder aber zu einer Reduktion des Tabakkonsums führen würden.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Internetkäufe könnten zB durch Altersbegrenzungen eingeschränkt werden.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kamil Kwiatkowski

**email**

kamilkwiatkowski22@interia.pl

**country**

Poland

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the problem definition is incorrect vague and unclear it fails to provide any scientific basis or evidence for the different options considered in this section

**which option**



No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the problem is defined overly narrowly and so fails to identify relevant policy options

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no evidence provided for the different options discussed in this section

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the problem definition incorrectly defines the use of ingredients

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Neubert

**email**

eu@daniel-neubert.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Richard Städele

**email**

rritchie@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bert Hülß

**email**

bhuelss@gmx.net

**country**

BR Deutschland

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hassan Bousouabi

**email**

butzflika@hotmail.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No change is necessary!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Please let the adults do what they want - who is harmed by smokeless tobacco?????

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

As i have said before, we are talking about adults - there is no need to regulate every detail of public life. I do not want to regulate yours either!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

As said before we ARE ADULTS!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Again, i am an adult, please let me live my life the way i want to!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Oh my god - how often do i need to say this again: there is no problem. Is there no other problem worth fighting for in Europe????

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf Kieser

**email**

ralfkieser@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45



**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sylvia Halfmann

**email**

sylvia.halfmann@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

33

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Regulierung muss immer auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse erfolgen und nicht auf Basis vager Einschätzungen. Nur weil Produkte neu sind müssen sie nicht reguliert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse gefährden nicht die Gesundheit Dritter. Ein Verbot von Snus entmündigt die EU-Bürger.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich denke nicht dass ein Warnhinweis wirksamer ist wenn er größer wird. Außerdem besteht bereits ein Bewußtsein für die Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Bildwarnhinweis ist überflüssig. Einheitspackungen greifen in das freie Marktrecht ein. Einheitspackungen führen zu einer Preisspirale, dadurch werden Groß- und Einzelhändler und damit der Arbeitsplatz meines Mannes gefährdet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch Zusatzstoffe werden Zigaretten nicht attraktiver. Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe geben dem illegalen Handel Auftrieb.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In 15 von 27 Mitgliedsstaaten sind Zigarettenautomaten erlaubt und das sollte so bleiben. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen, z.B. Ausweisleser in Deutschland. Zigarettenautomaten fallen in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der einzelnen Staaten. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt lokal und weist keinen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Zigarettenautomaten haben meiner Meinung nach keinen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jochen Baldinger

**email**

jbaldinger@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Damanta

**email**

anta\_\_19@hotmail.com

**country**

Greece

**age**

24

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sebastian, Lucht

**email**

sebastian79er@msn.com

**country**

Deutschland, Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**



kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΧΡΗΣΤΟΣ ΜΠΡΟΥΜΑΣ

**email**

mproumchr@yahoo.gr

**country**  
GREECE

**age**  
39

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENT

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Matla

**email**  
hmatla@web.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
66

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Sascha Weber

**email**  
02odin@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Eine Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zudem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. - Jede Form der Regulierung von Tabakerzeugnissen muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder zigarettenähnliche Produkte (Kräuterzigaretten). - Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. - Aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung(Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

- Der Konsum rauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. - Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. - Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer Rauchloser Produkte rechtfertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalyse aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. - Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht – die Bilder wirken lediglich Überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. - Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweise auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. - Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. - Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. - Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Rauchverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. - Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen..) kontraproduktiv. - Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. - Die Kommission selbst hat im Rahmen der Tabak-Produkt-Richtlinie die Höchstgehalte an Teer, Nikotin und Kohlenmonoxid begrenzt. Das man nun daraus den Schluss zieht, dass Verbraucher aufgrund der niedrigeren Höchstgehalte den Konsum der Produkte als weniger riskant für ihre Gesundheit einstufen könnten, ist daher absurd.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Ob die Behörden Schwierigkeiten bei Vergleich und Analysen der Daten haben oder die Erfassung und Analyse der gemeldeten Daten über Inhaltsstoffe erhebliche Ressourcen auf Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. - - Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervorgeht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten ( jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. - Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Einen notwendigen Handlungsbedarf der Kommission spiegelt die Problemdarstellung nicht wieder.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. - Der Begriff attraktiv ist ein subjektives Kriterium für eine Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. - Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. - Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. - Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU 27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. - Die Problembeschreibung Zigarettenautomat ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht aus dem Nov.09 zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/EC festgehalten hat. - Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufriedenstellend. - Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen, sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch minderjährige Personen. Ein Handlungsbedarf wird daher nicht gegeben. - Weder ein Verbot des Verkaufs aus Automaten, noch die Untersagung der Auslage bzw. Sichtbarkeit von Zigaretten in Verkaufsstellen weisen einen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Für Automaten ergibt sich das schon daraus, dass deren Einzugsgebiet auf die unmittelbare Nachbarschaft zum Automaten beschränkt ist. - Selbst wenn von Mitgliedstaat zu Mitgliedstaat unterschiedliche Anforderungen an die Gestaltung der Automaten z.B. aus Gründen des Jugendschutzes den grenzüberschreitenden Handel mit den Automaten behindern, würde ein Verbot der Automatenaufstellung dem gemeinschaftsweiten Warenhandel mit Automaten die Grundlage entziehen, diesen also beeinträchtigen. - Die EU kann nur tätig werden, wenn sie die Kompetenz dazu hat – diese Kompetenz ist in den EU-Verträgen festgelegt. Ein Verweis darauf, dass bisher weder die Frage der begrenzten Einzelermächtigung, der Subsidiarität oder der Verhältnismäßigkeit in Bezug auf die einzelnen Maßnahmen oder Optionen hin geprüft wurde ist inakzeptabel. Die Problembeschreibung

wird daher mangels fehlender juristischer Vorwertung der Kommission nicht mitgetragen. - Dass die Existenz von Zigarettenautomaten einen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher hat, ist wissenschaftlich nicht nachgewiesen. In Ländern mit einem Automatenverbot fällt die Quote jugendlichen Raucher zum Teil deutlich höher aus als in den Ländern mit einer Automaten- Vertriebsstruktur. Pläne der Europäischen Kommission zur Abschaffung der Automaten schränken daher die Bezugsmöglichkeiten erwachsener Verbraucher ein, dienen dem Jugendschutz jedoch in keiner Weise. - Organisierte Kriminalität wird das Vakuum füllen, das entsteht, wenn legale Verkaufsstellen verschwinden. - Die Problembeschreibung verschweigt wesentliche Sachverhalte, die für die abschließende Bewertung der Bürgern von Wichtigkeit sein können - - Beispielhaft führe ich hier eine Stellungnahme der Deutschen Bundesregierung vom 01.04.2010 im Rahmen einer kleinen Anfrage der Fraktion Bündnis90/Die Grünen im Hinblick auf ein generelles Automatenverbot an: „ Im Rahmen der Verhältnismäßigkeit sieht die Bundesregierung die bereits existierenden gesetzlichen Vorschriften und die in Vollzug dieser Vorschriften erfolgte Umsetzung der technischer Sicherung von Zigarettenautomaten durch Alterskontrolle per Geldkarte oder europäischem Führerschein als derzeit ausreichend und als die mildesten Mittel an, um das Abgabeverbot von Tabakwaren durch Automaten an Minderjährige wirksam zu regeln. - Eine Abschaffung der Automaten wird aus wettbewerbs- wie verfassungsrechtlichen Gründen als nicht durchsetzbar und darüber hinaus als unverhältnismäßig angesehen. Die Ziele des Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutzes sind durch andere, weniger einschneidende Maßnahmen wie die Altersverifikation an Automaten gleichermaßen erreichbar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Petit

**email**

lambert.francine@aliceadsl.fr

**country**

France

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Il n'y a pas d'études scientifiques efficaces prouvant les risques concernant les produits du tabac sans fumée.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des paquets neutres. Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des photos chocs, ni même de plus grands avertissements sanitaires sur les paquets. La mise en place de paquets neutres risque d'entraîner une guerre des prix du tabac (puisque cela restera le seul moyen pour les fabricants de se différencier). La mise en place de photos chocs sur les 2 faces des paquets ou de paquets génériques va pousser les consommateurs français à aller s'approvisionner sur les marchés parallèles du tabac (achats à l'étranger ou de contrebande, ou sur internet. De telles mesures risquent de déstabiliser et pénaliser économiquement le réseau des 28 000 buralistes français organisés dans un réseau réglementé et sous tutelle de l'Etat.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cela risque d'entraîner une standardisation de la production avec comme conséquence une diminution de l'offre au fumeur adulte et responsable, et le risque de voire apparaître une augmentation des produits du tabac contrefaits.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

**additional comments**

Il n'y a pas de corrélation prouvée entre la disparition des linéaires tabac et la lutte contre le tabagisme. La France dispose d'un réseau de 28 000 buralistes, préposés de l'administration responsables et professionnels, seuls habilités à vendre du tabac. Ce réseau est fortement réglementé par l'Etat ce qui permet déjà de contrôler efficacement l'accès au produit tabac.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ramona Wehrl

**email**

Ramona.Wehrl@web.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marco Sinn

**email**

marco.sinn@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robert Hildebrandt

**email**

robert.hildebrandt@onlinehome.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maria Björkskog

**email**

Bine14@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No problems

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Everybody has own opinion - no " THIS HAS TO BE !"

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

As before: it is for everybody to decide by them selves - no coverments or similar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Let it be like before . Everybody makes own decisions to smoke or not!!!!

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO PROBLEM : let it be like before ; we smoke or not!!!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Müller Michael

**email**

annette.michi@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

37

**gender**

male



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Es gibt genug Verbote !!!

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Es gibt genug Verbote !!!

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Es gibt genug Verbote !!!

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Es gibt genug Verbote !!!

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Es gibt genug Verbote !!!

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt genug Verbote !!!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Franz Kottira

**email**

kottira@chello.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

schleinig

**email**

schnaecke@t-online.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karl-Dieter Pechtel, Stiefmütterchenweg 6 b, 22607 Hamburg

**email**

karldieterpechtel@hotmail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich sehe in den Gedanken eine Bevormundung des mündigen Bürger, die Gefahr und Vernichtung von Arbeitsplätzen. Außerdem haben die angedachten Maßnahmen keinen gesundheitlichen Aspekt. Sie sind absolut überflüssig, weil sie keine sinnvolle Wirkung entfalten. Sie nutzen lediglich ohne Effekt einer zunehmenden Bürokratie.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Das Thema Aufklärung gegenüber Jugendlichen sollte sinnvoll und ohne Bevormundung diskutiert werden. Verordnungen und Gesetze schaffen lediglich wie Verbote Gegenreaktionen.

**additional comments**

Plain Packaging ist eine Frechheit, die Markenrechte verletzt und Fälschungen und Schmuggel nur noch erleichtert.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Werbe- und Präsentationsverbot für legale Produkte ist ein Witz. Wollen Sie 29.000 Geschäftsexistenzen, die unmittelbar mit Tabak zusammenhängen vernichten?

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

weltfremde, diktatorische Maßnahmen

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ihre Einschätzung von Zusatzstoffen basiert auf Vermutungen und halten keiner

wissenschaftlichen Untersuchung stand.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΚΩΝΣΤΑΝΤΙΝΟΣ ΜΠΡΟΥΜΑΣ

**email**

c\_broumas@yahoo.gr

**country**

GREECE

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robert Lang

**email**

treborla@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

bisherige Verbote völlig ausreichend

**which option**

No change



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ändeurng nicht nötig

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Böhner Peter

**email**

Peter.Boehner@gmx.net

**country**  
Germany

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Thomas Wienken

**email**  
tom.wie@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
31

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Lambert

#### **email**

lambert.patrice2@aliceadsl.fr

#### **country**

France

#### **age**

58

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Il n'y a pas d'études scientifiques efficaces prouvant les risques concernant les produits du tabac sans fumée.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des paquets neutres. Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des photos chocs, ni même de plus grands avertissements sanitaires sur les paquets. La mise en place de paquets neutres risque d'entraîner une guerre des prix du tabac (puisque cela restera le seul moyen pour les fabricants de se différencier). La mise en place de photos chocs sur les 2 faces des paquets ou de paquets génériques va pousser les consommateurs français à

aller s'approvisionner sur les marchés parallèles du tabac (achats à l'étranger ou de contrebande, ou sur internet. De telles mesures risquent de déstabiliser et pénaliser économiquement le réseau des 28 000 buralistes français organisés dans un réseau réglementé et sous tutelle de l'Etat.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cela risque d'entraîner une standardisation de la production avec comme conséquence une diminution de l'offre au fumeur adulte et responsable, et le risque de voire apparaître une augmentation des produits du tabac contrefaits.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

**additional comments**

Il n'y a pas de corrélation prouvée entre la disparition des linéaires tabac et la lutte contre le tabagisme. La France dispose d'un réseau de 28 000 buralistes, préposés de l'administration responsables et professionnels, seuls habilités à vendre du tabac. Ce réseau est fortement réglementé par l'Etat ce qui permet déjà de contrôler efficacement l'accès au produit tabac.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

welsing Hans-jörg

**email**

fmw@augustakom.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**additional comments**

Freies Recht für alle.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Elisabeth Vorstoffel

**email**

elisabeth.vorstoffel@vr-web.dde

**country**

Germany

**age**

57

**gender**

female



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist für mich nicht verständlich warum die bisherige Regelung verändert werden soll. Ich finde die jetzt gültige Regelung grenzwertig. Der Konsument kann aufgrund der verfügbaren Hinweise seine Kaufentscheidung verantwortlich treffen.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Eine Überreglementierung wie hier geplant entmündigt den Konsumenten. Man müsste dann auch z. B. den Genuß von Alkohol, ungesunde Speisen reglementieren und das ist m.E. nicht vertretbar.

**additional comments**

Eine Überreglementierung wie hier geplant entmündigt den Konsumenten. Man müsste dann auch z. B. den Genuß von Alkohol, ungesunde Speisen reglementieren und das ist m.E. nicht vertretbar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Rauchfreie Produkte beeinträchtigen die Nicht-Konsumenten nicht. Nach Informationen aus Schweden z.B. sind die Belastungen durch snus geringer als durch andere Tabakprodukte. Ausserdem sollte es für die Anbieter möglich sein, innovative Produkte herzustellen und zu vermarkten.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Rauchfreie Produkte beeinträchtigen die Nicht-Konsumenten nicht. Nach Informationen aus Schweden z.B. sind die Belastungen durch snus geringer als durch andere Tabakprodukte. Ausserdem sollte es für die Anbieter möglich sein, innovative Produkte herzustellen und zu vermarkten

**additional comments**

Rauchfreie Produkte beeinträchtigen die Nicht-Konsumenten nicht. Nach Informationen aus Schweden z.B. sind die Belastungen durch snus geringer als durch andere Tabakprodukte. Ausserdem sollte es für die Anbieter möglich sein, innovative Produkte herzustellen und zu vermarkten

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Konsumenteninformation wird durch den Vorschlag der Neuregelung nicht verbessert sondern eindeutig verschlechtert. Eine Produktkommunikation des Vertreibers zum Kunden muss ermöglicht sein und ermöglicht dem Kunden auch eine eigene Auswahl zu treffen. Gehen die "Erfinder" dieser neuen Regelung wirklich davon aus, dass der Konsument nicht weiss, welche möglichen Gesundheitsgefahren mit dem Rauchen verbunden sind.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Die Konsumenteninformation wird durch den Vorschlag der Neuregelung nicht verbessert sondern eindeutig verschlechtert. Eine Produktkommunikation des Vertreibers zum Kunden muss ermöglicht sein und ermöglicht dem Kunden auch eine eigene Auswahl zu treffen. Gehen die "Erfinder" dieser neuen Regelung wirklich davon aus, dass der Konsument nicht weiss, welche möglichen Gesundheitsgefahren mit dem Rauchen verbunden sind

**additional comments**

Die Konsumenteninformation wird durch den Vorschlag der Neuregelung nicht verbessert sondern eindeutig verschlechtert. Eine Produktkommunikation des Vertreibers zum Kunden muss ermöglicht sein und ermöglicht dem Kunden auch eine eigene Auswahl zu treffen. Gehen die "Erfinder" dieser neuen Regelung wirklich davon aus, dass der Konsument nicht weiss, welche möglichen Gesundheitsgefahren mit dem Rauchen verbunden sind

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Es sollte allen Konsumenten in einer EU-weiten Lösung klare Informationen über Inhaltsstoffe gegeben werden. Das kann, ohne das Produkt äußerlich zu beeinträchtigen, durch Beileger erfolgen.

**additional comments**

Es sollte allen Konsumenten in einer EU-weiten Lösung klare Informationen über Inhaltsstoffe gegeben werden. Das kann, ohne das Produkt äußerlich zu beeinträchtigen, durch Beileger erfolgen

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wie bereits erwähnt, muss der Verbraucher alle relevanten Informationen vom Hersteller erhalten. Der so informierte Konsument kann dann z.B. das Produkt mit den wenigsten Zusatzstoffen kaufen. Eine Reglementierung ist m.E. nicht erforderlich.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

wie bereits erwähnt, muss der Verbraucher alle relevanten Informationen vom Hersteller erhalten. Der so informierte Konsument kann dann z.B. das Produkt mit den wenigsten Zusatzstoffen kaufen. Eine Reglementierung ist m.E. nicht erforderlich

**additional comments**

wie bereits erwähnt, muss der Verbraucher alle relevanten Informationen vom Hersteller erhalten. Der so informierte Konsument kann dann z.B. das Produkt mit den wenigsten Zusatzstoffen kaufen. Eine Reglementierung ist m.E. nicht erforderlich

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Zugriff auf legale Produkte muss, im Rahmen der gesetzlichen Regelungen (z.B. Jugendschutz), frei möglich sein. Eine weitere Einschränkung wäre eine Beschränkung des freien Warenverkehrs und der freien Vermarktung von legalen Produkten . Außerdem würde solch ein Vorgehen den schon jetzt blühenden Produktschmuggel, Produktpiraterie weiter Vorschub leisten.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Der Zugriff auf legale Produkte muss, im Rahmen der gesetzlichen Regelungen (z.B. Jugendschutz), frei möglich sein. Eine weitere Einschränkung wäre eine Beschränkung des freien Warenverkehrs und der freien Vermarktung von legalen Produkten . Außerdem würde solch ein Vorgehen den schon jetzt blühenden Produktschmuggel, Produktpiraterie weiter Vorschub leisten

**additional comments**

Der Zugriff auf legale Produkte muss, im Rahmen der gesetzlichen Regelungen (z.B. Jugendschutz), frei möglich sein. Eine weitere Einschränkung wäre eine Beschränkung des freien Warenverkehrs und der freien Vermarktung von legalen Produkten . Außerdem würde solch ein Vorgehen den schon jetzt blühenden Produktschmuggel, Produktpiraterie weiter Vorschub leisten

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rainer Jekewitz

**email**

rc-jekewitz@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Natalie Müller

**email**

angelskiss8@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

29

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Macht die Wirtschaft kaputt und greift in die Menschenrechte ein

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schweiggart Thomas

**email**

thomas.schweiggart@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Gütling

**email**

Christian-Guetling@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Eine Erweiterung des Geltungsbereichs der Richtlinien würden rein gar nichts ändern. Der Kauf von Zigaretten ist für eine volljährige Person völlig legal und sollte auch so gehandhabt werden.

**additional comments**

Die Gefahr und die Risiken des Tabakkonsums sollte durch gezielte Fernsehwerbung und durch Lehrfilme in Schulen dem Heranwachsendem gezeigt werden.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wegener, Heinz Günther

**email**

hgw-marketing@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Werner Anger

**email**

w.e.anger@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lars Wölfing

**email**

lars.woelfing@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
38

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Oelsen.M.

**email**  
MOelsen63931235@aol.com

**country**  
germany

**age**  
48

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Darek Olszewski

**email**

darolsz1@go2.pl

**country**

Poland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the problem definition is incorrect, unclear. It fails to provide any scientific basis or evidence for different options considered in this section

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

it fails to identify relevant policy, the problem is defined narrowly

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no evidence provided for the different options discussed in this section

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the problem definition incorrectly defines the use of ingredients

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nuß

**email**

pfalzgraf2004@web.de

**country**

Bundesrepublik Deutschland

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Holger Christensen

**email**

hochristensen@yahoo.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
36

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
There is no problem.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No comment

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
A wide majority of people, even teenagers, know the danger of tobacco consumption, most of them would or would not start or stop smoking just because of the design of the packing, so leave it as it is. It would rather be worth thinking about more detailed consumer information on other products that make consumers addictive, such as alcohol.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem unless you make it one. Keep it all as it is.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Riesterer

**email**

riesti1@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Matti Rautalahti

#### **email**

matti.rautalahti@fimnet.fi

#### **country**

Finland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

The problem definition could be improved. The tobacco product directive should state the minimum level of regulations for the tobacco products. Naturally the member states would be allowed impose further regulations as long as those are not in conflict with the free movements of goods. Finland, as well as the European Commission, has ratified the UN Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. It reaffirms the right of all people to the highest standard of health.

### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

### **recommend option**

Tobacco products are no ordinary commodities. Many compounds of the tobacco products and the smoke are toxic, mutagenic and carcinogenic. There is no safe level of usage. The scope of the Directive should include the regulation of any new tobacco products smoked (such as low emission or nicotine-free cigarettes). The prohibition of oral tobacco, such as snus, should be maintained. Herbal cigarettes are also dangerous and should be included in the scope Tobacco Products Directive with health warnings.

### **additional comments**

Some forms of nicotine based products (such as electronic cigarettes, nicotine gel, drinks, and sweets) are often marketed as alternatives to cigarettes and as quitting aid. This is not based on facts and they should not classified as quitting aids of smoking. There are strictly regulated pharmaceutical products to help smokers in quitting.

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

As long as the use of smokeless tobacco products is not very common, all types of smokeless tobacco products should be banned. The ban on snus should not be lifted as there is no legitimate reason to introduce any new dangerous product onto the market.

**additional comments**

Snus is not an alternative to treat nicotine addiction. There are already available effective treatments for nicotine addiction, including medicinal or 'clean' nicotine (NRT), that do not carry the risks associated with the use of snus.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem definition could be clarified. Tobacco packages are increasingly used as means to promote the products and brand images. The importance of packaging as a marketing channel has increased when other kind of tobacco advertising has been banned or restricted. Packaging as an advertising measure is not covered by the current Directive.

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

There should be mandatory pictorial health warnings on all tobacco products covering at least 80% of the front and the back of the packs towards the top. This should be combined with plain (generic) packaging. It is important to introduce plain packaging. Tobacco packages are used to attract especially young people. All branding from the packaging should be removed and the size and shape of the packaging should be standardised. There should be information on a national Quitline on all packs of tobacco products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

Additives are an important element of tobacco marketing, particularly to young people. They make the initiation of smoking easier by masking the harshness of tobacco and numbing the mucosal tissues. These compounds should not be allowed in any forms of tobacco products

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional option**

All forms of promotion of tobacco products at point of sale and vending machines should be banned. These measures are important for health protection.

**additional comments**

There is a convincing body of evidence that putting tobacco products out of sight in retail outlets can reduce youth smoking. We support an EU-wide adoption of a ban on the display of tobacco products at the point of sale.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hilde Ruiten

**email**

hilderuiten@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

47

**gender**



female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

jeder Mensch hat die freie Entscheidung ob er raucht oder nicht

**additional comments**

Rauchfreiheit in öffentlichen Gebäuden und am Arbeitsplatz finde ich ausreichend

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

jeder mensch hat seinen freien willen

**additional comments**

nein

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Verbot für jugendliche unter 16

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claudia Hoch

**email**

stbrendel@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

29

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin der Meinung, dass wir alle eigenständig denkende Menschen sind und man nicht alles mit Gesetzen und Verordnungen belegen muss. Wenn jemand rauchen will, dann soll er es auch tun. Jedem Menschen ist in solchen Zeiten der Aufklärung bewusst, welche Risiken eingegangen werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich halte es generell für falsch Produkte zu verbieten, die zumindest im Sinne des Nichtraucherschutzes positiv zu sehen wären - wie die rauchlosen Tabakprodukte. Warum sollte es nicht auch in Deutschland möglich sein, Snus erwerben zu können? Die Nichtraucher würden sich freuen...

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist einfach schlimm, was einem Produkt aufdiktiert wird, nur um es legal verbieten zu können. Jede Packung Gummibären kann aussehen wie sie möchte (übrigens kann auch zuviel Zucker zum Tod führen...) - aber weil man sich gerade das Produkt Cigaretten herausgesucht hat, wird der Verkauf durch die geplanten Maßnahmen dermaßen gehindert, dass Tausende Menschen ihren Arbeitsplatz verlieren werden - eine ganze Industrie stirbt - und die Steuereinnahmen werden drastisch sinken. Naja, dann müssen eben alle Bürger der EU für diesen Steuerausfall zahlen... mal sehen, wann dann das nächste Mal die Mehrwertsteuer angehoben wird...

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Weißer Packungen werden niemanden am Rauchen hindern... Die Leute können dann nur nicht mehr so schnell erkennen - WAS sie kaufen... Den gefälschten Dreck aus Tschechien und Polen? Oder vielleicht doch Qualität? Den Kriminellen würde eine solche Entscheidung nur in die Hände spielen - aber dann haben sich die Behörden wieder Arbeit geschaffen, um zu beweisen, dass die Aufrechterhaltung gerechtfertigt ist....

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist ausreichend, wie derzeit die Zusatzstoffe gehandhabt werden. Eine Änderung ist meines Erachtens nicht notwendig

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe hierzu keinen speziellen Kommentar - es soll einfach so bleiben, wie es ist.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist eine Unverschämtheit, dass man sich anmaßt, den Verkauf von legalen Produkten einfach so zu unterbinden. Wenn man die Tabak-Branche kaputtgemacht hat, sind bestimmt Alkohol und Süßigkeiten als nächstes dran...

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fabian Mann

**email**

Fabian.Leipzig@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Josef Middendorf

**email**

josefmiddendorf@t-online.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
57

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
"Kein Kommentar"

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jost Berchner

**email**

jost.berchner@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Amparo López Pérez

**email**

estancosoledad@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Reducir las sustancias nocivas y aditivas del tabaco. No permitir la publicidad de tabaco, pero no esconderlo como si se tratara de un producto ilegal.

**additional comments**

Queremos que se respete sobre todo la salud del fumador, pero sin que tengamos que pagarlo económicamente los estancos y puntos de venta de tabaco. Cada uno es libre de comprar tabaco o no, y al que no le guste no lo comprará, así que vemos innecesario, como estanco, que no se ponga a la vista el tabaco en el punto de venta, reducir la publicidad me parece una medida acertada para que no llegue a las personas que no lo hayan probado, pero ocultar el tabaco en el punto de venta, la única consecuencia que va a tener es entorpecer el trabajo de los empleados de dicho lugar, además de, en algunos casos tener que invertir dinero en remodelar el establecimiento, lo cual no encaja en la situación económica que estamos ahora, o que podamos estar en los años que entre en vigor esta normativa.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**additional option**

Informar al consumidor de los riesgos y daños que conlleva fumar tabaco, e informar que productos contiene el producto.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Guido Hammes

**email**

guidohammes@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

i see not the sense

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

more training in schools by teenagers , makes no sense with any pictures on packaging

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Baumgärtner, Jürgen

**email**

j.baumgaertner@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lederer, Hans Martin

**email**

lederer@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ZU übertriebene Vorgaben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Benedict Pietsch

**email**

robusto1@gmx.net

**country**

Germanx

**age**

20

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

too many restrictions.

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manfred Bleyer

**email**

blman@web.de

**country**



Deutschland

**age**

62

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christa Pelsers

**email**

christa.pelsers@skynet.be

**country**

Belgium

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem definition is incorrect, vague and unclear. For example it quotes that “the tobacco products market has increasingly diversified” but then goes on to list non-tobacco products including, electronic cigarettes and nicotine drinks and no actual tobacco products. Non-tobacco products containing nicotine are substantially different from tobacco products.

They should be regulated separately. This confusion clearly demonstrates a fundamental lack of understanding of the tobacco sector. This lack of understanding is compounded by the fact that the problem definition fails to provide any scientific basis or evidence for the different options considered in this section.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

-

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No, I disagree with the problem definition. The problem definition is based upon a series of assumptions and assertions that are wrong, and which have the effect of incorrectly defining the debate regarding consumer information. First, despite being entitled “consumer information”, Section 3 only addresses tobacco packaging. Regulators have access to a variety of vehicles to communicate information to consumers, including television, print media, magazines and the internet. The “problem” is defined overly narrowly, and so fails to identify relevant policy options. Secondly, tobacco packaging and pack labelling is not a predictor of youth smoking. The considerable body of evidence and research which exists on the predictors for smoking initiation does not substantiate any link between packaging and youth uptake. The problem definition is, however, concerned with packaging from this perspective. As a result of this flaw, none of the proposed measures in Section 3 regarding packaging are likely to have an impact on youth smoking initiation. Thirdly, the problem definition confuses tobacco packaging and advertising. Packaging and pack labelling are an essential means by which consumers identify, obtain information about and choose tobacco products, easily and without confusion. A cigarette pack does not constitute a form of promotional advertising. The distinction between advertising and packaging and pack labelling is confirmed by the way EU tobacco legislation and the FCTC articles 11 and 13 addresses these issues separately.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

There is a lack of an evidence base for plain packaging. There is no empirical evidence that clearly and credibly demonstrates the effectiveness of plain packing in relation to achieving any of the stated public policy objectives. This lack of conclusive evidence extends to the issue of youth initiation. Indeed, the UK Government decided in 2009, after a preliminary

consultation, not to proceed with plain packaging as the evidence is “speculative” and “needs to be developed” before regulatory action should be taken. Inserts are not necessary. DG SANCO should assess and evaluate existing legislation and other, less restrictive and more proportionate options to remind consumers of the risks associated with smoking before proposing new measures. These could include an expansion of it’s or the Member States’ public health information campaigns through audio-visual or print media or the internet

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem definition makes no reference to the common reporting format, communicated via the European Commission’s Practical Guide on tobacco product ingredients reporting. It was communicated as a non-binding format to the EU Member States but with the expectation that it should be adopted by manufacturers, importers and Member States with the desire for data to be submitted in electronic form. Despite the guidance given from the Commission, to date not all Member States have adopted the new common reporting format for ingredients disclosure or have fully implemented the new templates into their submission process The problem definition fails to mention the opinion of SCENIHR on the use of ingredients and attractiveness and addictiveness or their conclusion that they found no evidence to suggest that any ingredient adds to, or of itself contributes to tobacco products addictiveness

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional comments**

- All EU Member States need to agree to and adopt the common reporting format for ingredients disclosure and accept tobacco product ingredients submission via the latest set of templates in order to move to the EMTOC reporting system. This adoption should be facilitated and/or endorsed by the Commission

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem definition is vague and incomplete

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexander Böker

**email**

alex.boeker@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich verstehe wirklich nicht, was für konkrete Nachteile entstehen sollen, wenn man hier keine Änderungen vornehmen sollte.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Lasst uns einfach in Ruhe! Kein Mensch kann heutzutage ernsthaft behaupten, er wisse nicht,

welches Risiko er mit dem Rauchen eingehe. Warum konzentriert Ihr Euch auf solche Dinge? (oder so einen Quatsch wie die Sache mit den extrem langen Kontonummern). Gibts denn wirklich keine ernsthafteren Probleme?

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Regulieren bis der Arzt kommt?

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

eder günter

**email**

guentered@t-online.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

61

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

von Grabczewski

**email**

grabczewski@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jedes land kann das wohl für sich selbst entscheiden, da haben die korrupten Politiker aus der gescheiterten EU nicht auch Ihre schmutzigen Finger reinzuhängen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweise auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür gibt es bisher keine Nachweise aus Ländern, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend sind.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nicht wirklich umsetzbar, da sich die meisten Schmuggler den Tür und Tor mit ihrem Rauchverbot öffnen, nicht an Registrierung und Regulierung der Inhaltsstoffe halten werden

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

organisierte Kriminalität wird das Vakuum füllen, das entsteht, wenn legale Verkaufs- bzw. Bezugsquellen verschwinden.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Werner Sommer

**email**

a.sommer\_tabakwaren@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Schmiedkunz

**email**

schmiedkunz@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder sollte wissen was er tut

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

schon streng genug

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

trifft nicht auf alle zu

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jede Werbung lügt

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder ist für sich verantwortlich

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Zink

**email**

m.g.zink@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Lindner Jutta

**email**  
dr.lindner@bayern-mail.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
51

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Sandra Escherlor

**email**

SandraEscherlor@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anita Sommer

**email**

savethewhales@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tomas Rychly

**email**

tommryc@gmail.com

**country**  
Czech Republic

**age**  
40

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It seems the contemplated measures will entail significant legal issues and will not bring benefits to customers. general public is sufficiently informed about health risks associated with tobacco products already.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Mandy Hagemann

**email**  
mandy19@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
24

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Man braucht weder grössere Warnings noch Fotos oder weisse Packungen für Zigarretten

oder Zigarillos. Als erwachsener Mensch weiss man über die Gefahren des Rauchens bescheid und kann selbst entscheiden, ob man raucht.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die einzelnen EU Mitgliedsstaaten können bereits heute entsprechende Gebühren und Massnahmen erlassen

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus bezüglich Flavors-Tests sollte darauf ausgerichtet sein, festzustellen ob die Flavors wirklich zu einer Erhöhung der toxischen Wirkung beim Tabakrauch führen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach führt ein totales Verbot dazu, dass die Vielfalt an verschiedenen Marken verschwindet. Das wäre schade.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Czeyka

**email**

stefan.czeyka@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulrich Mühlböck

**email**

ulrich.muehlboeck@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin gegen eine Reglementierung, da ich als mündiger Bürger selbst für mich entscheiden kann, ob ich rauchen will. ich möchte mir auch nicht vorschreiben lassen, wie die Eu sich ein Packungsbild vorstellt. Ich finde mit den strengen Anitraucherkampagnen und Verboten ist der Bogen schon überspannt. Ich finde die Eu gehört abgeschafft, sie bringt den Bürgern der einzelnen Mitgliedsstaaten keine Vorteile, sondern füllt nur die Taschen von korrupten und unfähigen Politikern

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich möchte nicht, dass jedes Produkt gleich schmeckt, heute die Tabakwaren, morgen die Lebensmittel

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Schultes

**email**

jensschultes@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karl Langer

**email**

KarlLanger@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eberhardt, Michael Karl

**email**

MIKEBERH@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschlan

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Chambre professionnelle des buralistes de moselle

**email**

syndicat-tabacs-moselle@wanadoo.fr

**country**

France

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

« Il n'y a pas d'études scientifiques efficaces prouvant les risques concernant les produits du tabac sans fumée. »

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

« Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des paquets neutres. Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des photos chocs, ni même de plus grands avertissements sanitaires sur les paquets. La mise en place de paquets neutres risque d'entraîner une guerre des prix du tabac (puisque cela restera le seul moyen pour les fabricants de se différencier). La mise en place de photos chocs sur les 2 faces des paquets ou de paquets génériques va pousser les consommateurs français à aller s'approvisionner sur les marchés parallèles du tabac (achats à l'étranger ou de contrebande, ou sur internet. De telles mesures risquent de déstabiliser et pénaliser économiquement le réseau des 28 000 buralistes français organisés dans un réseau réglementé et sous tutelle de l'Etat.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

« Cela risque d'entraîner une standardisation de la production avec comme conséquence une diminution de l'offre au fumeur adulte et responsable, et le risque de voire apparaitre une augmentation des produits du tabac contrefaits. »

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

**additional comments**

« Il n'y a pas de corrélation prouvée entre la disparition des linéaires tabac et la lutte contre le tabagisme. La France dispose d'un réseau de 28 000 buralistes, préposés de l'administration responsables et professionnels, seuls habilités à vendre du tabac. Ce réseau est fortement réglementé par l'Etat ce qui permet déjà de contrôler efficacement l'accès au produit tabac. »

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Manca

**email**

martin.manca@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Masson

**email**

markus.masson@gmx.de

**country**

Germany



**age**  
30

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Meiner Meinung nach braucht es weder grössere Warnings noch Fotos oder weisse Packungen für Cigars. Wir sind alle erwachsene Bürger und wissen über die Gefahren des Rauchens, doch wollen wir bei der richtigen Gelegenheit unsere Cigars geniessen

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Die einzelnen EU Mitgliedsstaaten können bereits heute entsprechende Gebühren und Massnahmen erlassen

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Der Fokus bezüglich Flavors-Tests sollte darauf ausgerichtet sein, festzustellen ob die Flavors

wirklich zu einer Erhöhung der toxischen Wirkung beim Tabakrauch führen

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach führt ein totales Verbot dazu, dass die Vielfalt an verschiedenen Marken verschwindet. Das wäre schade.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerald Meyer

**email**

fiz@onlinehome.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mathias Kneissl

**email**

hias82@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

28

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfram Ritter

**email**

wolframritter@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Alexander Brändle

**email**

abraendle@gmail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Gefahren von Tabakprodukten sind hinreichend bekannt, Warnhinweise somit nur eingeschränkt nötig! Letztendlich ist der Tabakkonsum eine Private Entscheidung!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es werden Zusatzstoffe nicht ausschließlich beigegeben um das Inhalieren angenehmer zu machen oder zu erleichtern. Vieles dient auch dem Aroma. Beispiel: Pfeifentabak der nicht inhaliert wird! Die Problemstellung beeinflusst den Leser und ist in der dargestellten Art nicht vollständig!

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Vending machines to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mayer Thomas

**email**

thomas.mayer@t-vs.de

**country**

München

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Harald Romeike

**email**

h.romeike@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**  
49

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Stefan Kriegler

#### **email**

stefan\_kriegler@web.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

32

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

-

#### **additional comments**

-

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

-

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach braucht es weder grössere Warnings noch Fotos oder weisse Packungen für Cigars. Wir sind alle erwachsene Bürger und wissen über die Gefahren des Rauchens, doch wollen wir bei der richtigen Gelegenheit unsere Cigars geniessen

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

-

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die einzelnen EU Mitgliedsstaaten können bereits heute entsprechende Gebühren und Massnahmen erfassen

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

-

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus bezüglich Flavors-Tests sollte darauf ausgerichtet sein, festzustellen ob die Flavors wirklich zu einer Erhöhung der toxischen Wirkung beim Tabakrauch führen

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach führt ein totales Verbot dazu, dass die Vielfalt an verschiedenen Marken verschwindet. Das wäre schade.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Herbert

**email**

herbertmarkus@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

leave it as it is in Germany, as a smoker we have enough restrictions nowadays

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

leave it as it is

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Massimo Marin

**email**

massimo.marin@bain.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

jose mariano espin vera

**email**

jmespin@msn.com

**country**

spain

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ese problema no existe.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**



Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ese problema no existe.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ese problema no existe

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ese problema no existe.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ese problema no existe.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernhard Koeppen

**email**

bernibox@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Knut Zemke

#### **email**

knut@shaddock.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

48

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerhard Hoyer

**email**

e.u.g.hoyer@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

64

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Man sollte das Problem nicht überbewerten. Es gibt wesentlich größere und wichtigere Probleme, als die Jagd auf Raucher.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bringt nichts außer Stigmatisierung der Raucher

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

will nicht mehr dazu sagen

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
ohne Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jaqueline Ortman

**email**  
jaquio@web.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
26

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Meiner Meinung nach braucht es weder grössere Warnings noch Fotos oder weisse

Packungen für Cigars. Wir sind alle erwachsene Bürger und wissen über die Gefahren des Rauchens, doch wollen wir bei der richtigen Gelegenheit unsere Cigars geniessen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die einzelnen EU Mitgliedsstaaten können bereits heute entsprechende Gebühren und Massnahmen erlassen

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus bezüglich Flavors-Tests sollte darauf ausgerichtet sein, festzustellen ob die Flavors wirklich zu einer Erhöhung der toxischen Wirkung beim Tabakrauch führen

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach führt ein totales Verbot dazu, dass die Vielfalt an verschiedenen Marken verschwindet. Das wäre schade.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Praiss

**email**

Snd666@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Gloger

**email**

woglo@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Pietsch

**email**

damapi@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I was in australia for 9 months and they are doing things like this and it doesn't help anything.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

I don't know.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALTIERI SALVATORE

**email**

s\_altieri@virgilio.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZORION LARRAZABAL

**email**

zlgurkixa@euskalnet.net

**country**

SPAIN

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

El problema se basa en un cambio y rechazo al tabaco de nueva imposición. La solución está en educación e información sana y no compulsiva que crea rechazo popular. El comportamiento legislativo no es adecuado a la realidad social que sufre las consecuencias de los impuestos excesivos y las restricciones de libertad.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Piensen que la industria del tabaco tiene muchos años de actividad económica y puede generar problemas de viabilidad de negocios y puestos de trabajo. Los cambios legislativos de consumo deben ser planteados por analistas expertos y no por corrientes de pensamiento. Los consumidores NO ESTAN ATENDIDOS ni tenidos en cuenta y solo sufren unos impuestos muy abusivos.

**additional comments**

La solución está en el consumo moderado y responsable. La solución está en la información educacional al ciudadano y no en oprimirlo con impuestos y que además no se destinan a resolver sus problemas.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

1-El consumo de tabaco no es solo un problema de salud. 2-Tampoco es solo un problema legislativo. Por lo tanto la solución a su realidad no se puede transformar modificando estos dos parámetros. El tabaco es solo parte de una forma de disfrutar responsablemente sus propiedades en sociedad. El consumidor de tabaco está desprotegido y abusan las leyes restrictivas de su forma de entender el tabaco. Por lo tanto debemos preguntar al consumidor de tabaco y ayudarle a convivir con su consumo voluntario y responsable.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

El consumo de tabaco es una actitud humana y no está entendida como tal. No es un crimen ni un robo. Pero si es un abuso de impuestos.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Menos impuestos para el consumidor

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Menos impuestos

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Semmler

**email**

stsemmler@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients



**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Benkel

**email**  
red.lady1@gmx.net

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Catherine Vicente-Buttwill

**email**

scorpion1011@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
39

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Meiner Meinung nach braucht es weder grössere Warnings noch Fotos oder weisse Packungen für Cigars. Wir sind alle erwachsene Bürger und wissen über die Gefahren des Rauchens, doch wollen wir bei der richtigen Gelegenheit unsere Cigars geniessen.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Die einzelnen EU Mitgliedsstaaten können bereits heute entsprechende Gebühren und Massnahmen erlassen

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Meiner Meinung nach führt ein totales Verbot dazu, dass die Vielfalt an verschiedenen

Marken verschwindet. Das wäre schade

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach führt ein totales Verbot dazu, dass die Vielfalt an verschiedenen Marken verschwindet. Das wäre schade

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Falk

**email**

chinalove@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Masson

**email**

markus.masson@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

petra holländer

**email**

hope22@gmx.net

**country**

deutschland

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---



**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hagelaar

**email**

jurgenhagel@yahoo.com

**country**

The Nethetlands

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rudolf Schuch

**email**

Rudolf.Schuch@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Müksch

**email**

muksch@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulrike Mathias

**email**

mail@ullymathias.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Resinger

**email**

thomasresinger@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

der Verregelungswahn der EU muß nicht erweitert werden

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

nicht nötig

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nicht zutreffend

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die Regelung ist Blödsinn

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nicht notwendig

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

nicht notwendig

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

eine Neuregelung ist nicht notwendig

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

eine Neuregelung ist nicht notwendig

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

eine Neuregelung ist nicht notwendig

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

eine Neuregelung ist nicht notwendig

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kiefer Dirk

**email**

dirk-kiefer@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

A. Kramer

**email**

krammaulwurfcity@arcor.de

**country**

German

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

To give some detailed information up to basic schools as an optional necessary learning lesson for all children, also in all further schools as high school, university, private and business schools or similar institutes as prophylactic and informative activity.

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comments

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

preventing in all kind of schools as a lesson initiative

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

cerullo angela

**email**

angceru@libero.it

**country**

italia

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla

coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Smyk

**email**

christian.smyk@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
KOSUBEK KLAUS

**email**  
MOZARTAPOTHEKE @ARCOR.DE

**country**  
DEUTSCHLAND

**age**  
55

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Spreyer



**email**

m.spreyer@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional comments**

No tobacco product at all. Death of million of people are the result.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Annemarie Schmidt

**email**

holly\_schmidt@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comments.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hartmut Schmidt

**email**

holly\_schmidt@t-online.de

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comments.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No comments.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comments.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comments.

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

No comments.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Otten

**email**

klaus.otten@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

66

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir sind alt und aufgeklärt genug, um auf weitere Bevormundungen verzichten zu können. Bevormundende Vorschläge und Vorhaben wie diese sind es, die in der Bevölkerung die EU-Aversion steigern.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein weiterer Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

chorazy

**email**

modellbauer08@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hassemer

**email**

steffen.hassemer@aol.com

**country**

GERMANY

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Neubauer

**email**

martinneubauer86@web.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
24

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Ernst Hake

**email**  
ernst.hake@t-online.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
61

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
jetzt ist noch vieles gut.

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

nein

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

alles soll so bleiben

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sven Schauer

**email**

w-e-s-t@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
42

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carmen Meyer

**email**

carmen112@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Über mein Rauchverhalten möchte frei entscheiden können. Dieses gilt auch für die Auswahl der Rauchware.

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No



**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carola Papendorf

**email**

c.papendorf@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

warum befasst sich die EU mit solchen Problemen und behandelt seine Bürger als NICHT mündig? Die Diskreminierung der Raucher ist ja jetzt schon enorm!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das ist doch alles Quatsch, beeinflusst keinen Raucher wirklich.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

---

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Diskreminierung, bietet keinen wirksamen Jugendschutz - meist ist bei verbotenen Dingen erst recht die Beschaffungsenergie groß

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Kevin Strobelt

#### **email**

hwergelmir9@web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

23

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anne Aign

**email**

anne.aign@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comments.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No comments.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comments.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comments.

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comments.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marcel Back

**email**

darkwear@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komntar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentar

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

beatrice gerbsch

#### **email**

docsnyder\_74@web.de

#### **country**

deutschland

#### **age**

35

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**



No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mathias Jütte

**email**

mathias.juette@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tobias Buttwill

**email**

mr.butt@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach braucht es weder grössere Warnings noch Fotos oder weisse Packungen für Cigars. Wir sind alle erwachsene Bürger und wissen über die Gefahren des

Rauchens, doch wollen wir bei der richtigen Gelegenheit unsere Cigars geniessen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die einzelnen EU Mitgliedsstaaten können bereits heute entsprechende Gebühren und Massnahmen erlassen

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach führt ein totales Verbot dazu, dass die Vielfalt an verschiedenen Marken verschwindet. Das wäre schade.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach führt ein totales Verbot dazu, dass die Vielfalt an verschiedenen Marken verschwindet. Das wäre schade.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Aepler

**email**

mr.lee@web.de

**country**  
germany

**age**  
38

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

HEIDEIGER christine

**email**

christine.heideiger528@orange.fr

**country**

FRANCE

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

IL N Y A PAS D'ETUDES SCIENTIFIQUES EFFICACES PROUVANT LES RISQUES ,  
CONCERNANT LES PRODUITS DU TABAC SANS FUMEE

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

AUCUNE ETUDE NE PROUVE L'EFFICACITE DES PAQUETS NEUTRES AUCUNE ETUDE NE PROUVE L'EFFICACITE DES PHOTOS CHOCS,NI MEME DE PLUS GRANDS AVERTISSEMENTS SANITAIRES SUR LES PAQUETS LA MISE EN PLACE DE PAQUETS NEUTRES RISQUE D'ENTRAINER UNE GUERRE DES PRIX DU TABAC (PUISQUE CELA RESTERA LE SEUL MOYEN POUR LES FABRICANTS DE SE DIFFERENCIER) LA MISE EN PLACE DE PHOTOS CHOCS SUR LES DEUX FACES DES PAQUETS OU DE PAQUETS GENERIQUES VA POUSSER LES CONSOMMATEURS FRANCAIS A ALLER S'APPROVISIONNER SUR LES MARCHES PARALLELES DU TABAC (ACHATS A L'ETRANGER OU DE CONTREBANDE ,OU SUR INTERNET. DE TELLES MESURES RISQUENT DE DESTABILISER ET PENALISER ECONOMIQUEMENT LE RESEAU DES 28000 BURALISTES FRANCAIS ORGANISES DANS UN RESEAU REGLEMENTE ET SOUS TUTELLEDE L'ETAT

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

CELA RISQUE D'ENTRAINER UNE STANDARDISATION DE LA PRODUCTION AVEC COMME CONSEQUENCE UNE DIMINUTION DE L'OFFRE AU FUMEUR ADULTE ET RESPONSABLE ,ET LE RISQUE DE VOIR APPARAITRE UNE AUGMENTATION DES PRODUITS DU TABAC CONTREFAITS

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

**additional comments**

IL N'Y A PAS DE CORRELATION PROUVEE ENTRE LA DISPARITION DES LINEAIRES TABAC ET LA LUTTE CONTRE LE TABAGISME. La France dispose d'un reseau de 28000 BURALISTES ,prèposès de l'administration responsables et professionnels ,seuls habilitès a vendre du tabac . Ce reseau est fortement règlementè par l'etat ce qui permet déjà de controler efficacement l'accès au produit du tabac.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Holger Schmidt

**email**

hschmidtz@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No



**comments**

No comments.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sven Löwe

**email**

sven.loewe@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

moschke, heinz

**email**

moschke@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Burgunder

**email**

Pauke@thburgunder.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
50

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andor Trierenberg

**email**

andor.trierenberg@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Anstatt ständig die Tabaksteuer zu erhöhen und die RaucherInnen zu diskriminieren sollte man eine Soft-Drink-Tax erheben.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ursula Meißner

**email**

gottfried.meissner@nord-com.net

**country**

Germany

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---



## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Gert

#### **email**

ihr-name@freenet.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

63

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **recommend option**

No

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **additional option**

No

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gottfried Meißner

**email**

gottfried.meissner@nord-com.net

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Baecker

**email**

christian.baecker1@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
No Comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No Comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No Comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No Comment

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Daniel Förster

**email**  
badboy791@hotmail.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
19

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Nickel

**email**

nickel\_daniel@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weil die verschiedenen Marken und Sorten für den Verbraucher erkennbar sein müssen und es gegenüber den Herstellern sehr unfair ist und auch Jobs in Grafikabteilungen wegfallen

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Stephan Siering



**email**

siering@elpino.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anna Will

**email**

Anna161290@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dirk Becker

**email**

BeckerInBuchloe@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CODUTI MARISA

**email**

coduti.marisa@virgilio.it

**country**

Italia

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordiamo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette.

Siamo anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Siamo d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordiamo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Siamo contrari all'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma

fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Riteniamo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Bahr

**email**

bahr.christian@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Raucher sind sich der Gefahr bewußt.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Es ist nicht wissenschaftlich erwiesen, daß Plain packaging wirksam ist. Gleichzeitig werden die Markenrechte illegal staatlich enteignet und verstoßt in Dtl. gegen das Gesetz (Artikel 14 GG, Artikel 12 GG, Artikel 5 GG). Da auch keine Unterscheidung der Marken mehr möglich ist, werden die Preise fallen, was Tabakprodukte attraktiver für Jugendliche macht. Ebenso ist dem Kopieren von Marken Tür und Tor geöffnet, da viel einfacher.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No data that proves this.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Auch keine wissenschaftlichen Daten vorhanden, die bestätigen, daß Zusatzstoffe das Rauchen attraktiver machen. Zigaretten mit oder ohne Zusatzstoffe sind gleichermaßen schädlich. Ein Verbot von Zusatzstoffen suggeriert dem Verbaucher, daß das Rauchen dann gesünder ist.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

.

**additional comments**

Auch keine wissenschaftlichen Daten vorhanden, die bestätigen, daß Zusatzstoffe das Rauchen attraktiver machen. Zigaretten mit oder ohne Zusatzstoffe sind gleichermaßen schädlich. Ein Verbot von Zusatzstoffen suggeriert dem Verbaucher, daß das Rauchen dann gesünder ist.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**



No

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Bereits genug Kontrolle durch Mindestabgabealter.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dagmar Fischer

**email**

addoelephant@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Kleppe

**email**

frank.kleppe@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
50

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Freedom for smokers.

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Freedom for smokers.

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Freedom for smokers.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Freedom for smokers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**

Freedom for smokers.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freedom for smokers.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Freedom for smokers.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Prof. Dr. med. Gerhard Siemon, Prüllstr. 80, 93093 Donaustauf

**email**

Prof.Siemon@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

74

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Holger Schmidt

**email**

schmidt.bo@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

überflüssig hier regularien einzuführen

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

not necessary

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

not necessary

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jeanette Hagen

**email**

jeannette.hagen@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned



---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Matthias Scharf

#### **email**

mscharf2@web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

31

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Rauchen ist ein Teil der Alltagskultur und eine Volksdroge, bei strengen Verboten suchen sich die Menschen etwas anderes oder rauchen heimlich, d.h. entziehen sich der Überregulation.

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

dr christian lüders

**email**

christian\_lueders@yahoo.com

**country**

germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package  
would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jeannette Peter

**email**

jpeter@wollwort.de

**country**

BRD

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robert Leisgang

**email**

r.leisgang@vr-web.de

**country**

Detuschland

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Harald Meyer

**email**

harald57@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin mir der Gefahr des Rauchens, möchte aber frei über den Genuss einer Zigarre aus gegebenen Anlaß entscheiden können.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

mr.x



**email**

gogo@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans-Peter Rutterschmidt

**email**

rutterschmidt-hamburg@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Toriello Mario I.

**email**

marioignazio.toriello2@tin.it

**country**

italia

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenzia mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collegate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che " maggiore è la dimenazione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Tavolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e scock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all'introduzione del cosiddetto "PACCHETTO GENERICO", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensare i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a svrapporsi a quello dello Stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di Berley, in Italia e in tutta l'Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte come chiarito dall'OMS e dallo SCHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano le varietà di tabacco "virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400000 in tutta l'Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare a tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso ai minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Fink

**email**

thomasfink71@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Unterhauser

**email**

junterhauserqt-online.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kien komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

uki



**email**

Uki247328992071@aol.com

**country**

D

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Deschoemaeker Fabries

**email**

f.deschoemaeker@agio.be

**country**

Belgium

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Mijn mening is dat die warnings voor sigaren niet nodig zijn. De reden daarvan is dat sigarenrokers volwassen personen zijn die willen genieten van een goeie sigaar. Die mensen doen dat op een verantwoorde manier en zijn geen verslaafde rokers die hun dagelijkse portie nicotine moeten hebben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Euromembers states can already introduce fees and sanctions. Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The focus of ingredients testing should be on whether the ingredients result in increased toxicity of tobacco smoke

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In my view a display ban would effectively mean the end of most small brands

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Walter Stösser

**email**

walter\_stoesser@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
78

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No need for more Regulations

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
There is no new problem

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Again there is no new problem

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Again there is no new problem

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Again there is no new problem

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I repeat again there is no new problem

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DIETER DESCHRYVERE

**email**

dieter.deschryvere@belux.imptob.com

**country**

belgium

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claudia Janke

**email**

urmeldoc@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
47

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**  
Eine Erweiterung des Geltungsbereichs der Richtlinie könnte neuartige Formen von Tabak zum oralen Gebrauch, Kräuterzigaretten und elektronische Nikotinabgabesysteme enthalten, sofern sie nicht bereits von anderen EU-Rechtsvorschriften (Lebensmittel, Arzneimittel) erfasst werden. Für ENDS (elektronische Nikotinabgabesysteme) würden spezifische Unbedenklichkeits- und Qualitätsanforderungen ausgearbeitet werden. Für zigarettenähnliche Produkte (Kräuterzigaretten) wären angemessene Angaben zu Inhaltsstoffen und Gesundheitswarnhinweise erforderlich. Neue Tabakprodukte würden vereinheitlichte Angaben zu schädlichen Stoffen im Produkt sowie Gesundheitswarnhinweise tragen. Die Mitgliedsstaaten würden von den Herstellern und Importeuren fordern, die zuständigen Behörden über sämtliche bei der Herstellung eines Produkts verwendeten Zusatzstoffe zu informieren. Neuartige Formen von Tabak zum oralen Gebrauch würden ähnlich wie Snus verboten.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**  
Das Verbot von „Snus“ würde auf sämtliche Arten von rauchlosen Tabakprodukten ausgeweitet werden

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce generic or plain packaging

**additional option**  
Mit einheitlichen bzw. generischen Verpackungen würde das Erscheinungsbild der Verpackung von Tabakprodukten standardisiert. Den Herstellern wäre lediglich erlaubt, Marken- und Produktnamen, die Produktmenge, Gesundheitswarnhinweise sowie andere

vorgeschriebene Informationen wie etwa Sicherheitskennzeichnungen abzdrukken. Die Verpackung selbst wäre einheitlich gefärbt (zum Beispiel weiß, grau oder kartonfarben). Größe und Form der Verpackung könnten ebenfalls reguliert werden.

#### **additional comments**

Bildwarnhinweise würden in allen Mitgliedsstaaten vorgeschrieben werden. Sie würden vergrößert, für beide Seiten der Packung verbindlich und oben auf der Packung positioniert werden. Maschinell gemessene Angaben zu den Teer-, Nikotin- und Kohlenmonoxidgehalten („TNCO“), würden durch allgemeine Informationen zu schädlichen Stoffen in Tabakprodukten, insbesondere in ihren Formen, ersetzt. Zudem stünden Informationen zu einem Telefon-Service, der bei Angaben zu schädlichen Stoffen in Tabakprodukten, die auf der Packung nicht aufgedruckt werden einem Rauchstopp hilft, auf der Packung. können, würden innerhalb der Packung platziert werden. Diese Packungsbeilagen würden auch detailliertere Angaben zu den gesundheitlichen Auswirkungen des Tabakkonsums und Informationen darüber, wie man mit dem Rauchen aufhört, enthalten. Gesundheitswarnhinweise würden auf Wasserpfeifen platziert.

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

##### **additional options**

Es würde eine jährliche Registrierungsgebühr geben, die an die zuständigen nationalen Behörden zu entrichten ist, um deren Arbeiten zur Datenerfassung und Analyse von Zusatzstoffen zu finanzieren. Nur registrierte Produkte würden auf dem Markt zugelassen. Wirksame, angemessene und abschreckende Strafen wären bei Nichtbefolgung der Zulieferungspflicht von Daten über Zusatzstoffen von Tabakprodukten anzuwenden.

#### **regulation of ingredients**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

##### **which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

##### **additional option**

Die Liste würden auf der Toxizität, der Attraktivität und der Suchtgefahr eines Produkts beim Konsum (Tabak zum oralen Gebrauch) bzw. beim Rauchen (die Verbrennungs-/Inhalationswirkung) beruhen.

#### **additional comments**

Nur solche Zusatzstoffe, die gelistet sind, könnten für die Herstellung von Tabakprodukten verwendet werden. Gelistete Zusatzstoffe könnten bei der Herstellung von Tabakprodukten



nicht verwendet werden (außer sie unterliegen festgelegten Einschränkungen und Bedingungen).

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

**additional option**

Grenzüberschreitende Einzelhandelsverkäufe von Tabakprodukten über das Internet würden verboten. Dies könnte auch ein Verbot der Postzustellung von Tabak an Verbraucher einschließen. Zigarettenautomaten würden in allen Mitgliedsstaaten verboten. Werbeaktionen („Promotion“) und die Auslegung („Displays“) in Einzelhandelsgeschäften würden in allen Mitgliedsstaaten verboten.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marc Winter

**email**

winter007@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dieter Woll

**email**

woll@wollwort.de

**country**

BRD

**age**  
54

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Daniel Brendel

**email**  
brendeldaniel@gmx.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
23

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich hab keinen Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Christopher

#### **email**

chris@jpadvertising.com

#### **country**

Malta

#### **age**

34

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

no comment

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

kattendiek

**email**

gerrit@kattendiek.name

**country**

deutschland

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**



citizen

**name**

Cesare Trippella

**email**

montsecesare@alice.it

**country**

Italia

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti,

sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schaedlich Frank

**email**

fs2807@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Änderung erforderlich

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

keine Änderung erforderlich

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Änderung erforderlich

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine Änderung erforderlich meiner Meinung nach

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

wie vor, meiner Meinung nach keine Änderung erforderlich

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephanie Vonier

**email**

sfelicitas@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
43

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Schreiber

**email**

Thomas-Schreiber.web@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

nein

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

k. K.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**  
Simon

**email**  
simon@blaze.com.mt

**country**  
Malta

**age**  
36

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**



No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lutz Rieger

**email**

vivec@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Norbert Theuer

**email**

norbert-theuer@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

59

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matthias Urlbauer

**email**

urlbauer@klinikum-nuernberg.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Eine Erweiterung des Geltungsbereichs der Richtlinie könnte neuartige Formen von Tabak zum oralen Gebrauch, Kräuterzigaretten und elektronische Nikotinabgabesysteme enthalten, sofern sie nicht bereits von anderen EU-Rechtsvorschriften (Lebensmittel, Arzneimittel) erfasst werden. Für ENDS (elektronische Nikotinabgabesysteme) würden spezifische Unbedenklichkeits- und Qualitätsanforderungen ausgearbeitet werden. Für zigarettenähnliche

Produkte (Kräuterzigaretten) wären angemessene Angaben zu Inhaltsstoffen und Gesundheitswarnhinweise erforderlich. Neue Tabakprodukte würden vereinheitlichte Angaben zu schädlichen Stoffen im Produkt sowie Gesundheitswarnhinweise tragen. Die Mitgliedsstaaten würden von den Herstellern und Importeuren fordern, die zuständigen Behörden über sämtliche bei der Herstellung eines Produkts verwendeten Zusatzstoffe zu informieren. Neuartige Formen von Tabak zum oralen Gebrauch würden ähnlich wie Snus verboten.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

Das Verbot von „Snus“ würde auf sämtliche Arten von rauchlosen Tabakprodukten ausgeweitet werden.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**additional option**

Mit einheitlichen bzw. generischen Verpackungen würde das Erscheinungsbild der Verpackung von Tabakprodukten standardisiert. Den Herstellern wäre lediglich erlaubt, Marken- und Produktnamen, die Produktmenge, Gesundheitswarnhinweise sowie andere vorgeschriebene Informationen wie etwa Sicherheitskennzeichnungen abzdrukken. Die Verpackung selbst wäre einheitlich gefärbt (zum Beispiel weiß, grau oder kartonfarben). Größe und Form der Verpackung könnten ebenfalls reguliert werden.

**additional comments**

Bildwarnhinweise würden in allen Mitgliedsstaaten vorgeschrieben werden. Sie würden vergrößert, für beide Seiten der Packung verbindlich und oben auf der Packung positioniert werden. Maschinell gemessene Angaben zu den Teer-, Nikotin- und Kohlenmonoxidgehalten („TNCO“), würden durch allgemeine Informationen zu schädlichen Stoffen in Tabakprodukten, insbesondere in ihren Formen, ersetzt. Zudem stünden Informationen zu einem Telefon-Service, der bei Angaben zu schädlichen Stoffen in Tabakprodukten, die auf der Packung nicht aufgedruckt werden einem Rauchstopp hilft, auf der Packung. können, würden innerhalb der Packung platziert werden. Diese Packungsbeilagen würden auch detailliertere Angaben zu den gesundheitlichen Auswirkungen des Tabakkonsums und Informationen darüber, wie man mit dem Rauchen aufhört, enthalten. Gesundheitswarnhinweise würden auf Wasserpfeifen platziert.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

Es würde eine jährliche Registrierungsgebühr geben, die an die zuständigen nationalen Behörden zu entrichten ist, um deren Arbeiten zur Datenerfassung und Analyse von Zusatzstoffen zu finanzieren. Nur registrierte Produkte würden auf dem Markt zugelassen. Wirksame, angemessene und abschreckende Strafen wären bei Nichtbefolgung der Zulieferungspflicht von Daten über Zusatzstoffen von Tabakprodukten anzuwenden.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

Die Liste würden auf der Toxizität, der Attraktivität und der Suchtgefahr eines Produkts beim Konsum (Tabak zum oralen Gebrauch) bzw. beim Rauchen (die Verbrennungs-/Inhalationswirkung) beruhen. siehe nächste Frage Gelistete Zusatzstoffe könnten bei der Herstellung von Tabakprodukten nicht verwendet werden (außer sie unterliegen festgelegten Einschränkungen und Bedingungen).

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional option**

Grenzüberschreitende Einzelhandelsverkäufe von Tabakprodukten über das Internet würden verboten. Dies könnte auch ein Verbot der Postzustellung von Tabak an Verbraucher einschließen. Zigarettenautomaten würden in allen Mitgliedsstaaten verboten. Werbeaktionen („Promotion“) und die Auslegung („Displays“) in Einzelhandelsgeschäften würden in allen Mitgliedsstaaten verboten.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Laske, Gerd

**email**

gerd.laske@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

70

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Graben

**email**

peter.graben@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar



**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans G.Hemmersbach

**email**

fhemmers@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

sam

**email**

info@iseeyellow.com

**country**

Malta

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

nicklas hoffmann

#### **email**

nicklas@elektrohoffmann.eu

#### **country**

germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Timm-Frederik Hagelmann

**email**  
t.f.hagelmann@freenet.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
19

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alec

**email**

info@redlingo.com

**country**

Malta

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Stoschitzky

**email**

michael.stoschitzky@weiz.cc

**country**

Austria

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

zu viele Regelungen

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

schützt vor Passivrauch

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Markenrechte gehören geschützt!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

soll in der Kompetenz der einzelnen Staaten bleiben

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Selbstbestimmung für Konsumenten und Händler!

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Andreas Kaminski

#### **email**

ankamille@googlemail.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no command

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Bevormundung

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich will nicht bevormundet werden

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Frohberg

**email**

frank-frohberg@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Komentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

nadja hoffmann

**email**

nadja@elektrohoffmann.eu

**country**

germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Hamacher

**email**  
mail@hgh1.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
53

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Konrad Hofman

**email**

konrad@elektrohoffmann.eu

**country**

germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hugo Lage

**email**

hcl.sram@gmail.com

**country**

Portugal

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Chris Pace

**email**

christopherpace@me.com

**country**

Malta

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Erich Hofman

**email**

erich@elektrohoffmann.eu

**country**

germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SALVATORE

**email**

scialsal@virgilio.it

**country**

italia

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

non concordo che " l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che " maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotti in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensare i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "burley" in italia e in europa. questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "american blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. d'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti ( come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco Virginia) siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in italia e circa 400.000 in tutta l'europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controllo sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rita Hofmann

**email**

admin@elektrohoffmann.eu

**country**

germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

paola conio

**email**

paolaconio@leoneassociati.com

**country**

italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

In my opinion an extension of the scope of the Directive to include other categories different from tobacco products (such as electronic cigarettes, herbal cigarettes, nicotine drinks and nicotine sweets) would not be effective since is not based on scientific evidence proving that these products are as harmful as tobacco products.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

The current Tobacco Products Directive bans one smokeless tobacco category while leaving the rest unregulated. Under an economic perspective it seems unjustified that “snus” (a smokeless tobacco product) commercialisation is allowed only in Sweden and banned in all other EU countries. This ban implies that millions of EU smokers are denied an alternative to cigarettes and introduces a distortion to the fundamental principle of freedom of goods movement. Regarding health issues, several scientific studies demonstrate that in Sweden smoking related diseases incidence has been reduced compared to EU average thanks to the use of “snus” and smoking prevalence is lower than EU average. Furthermore, also the SCENIHR Report (Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks) asserts that, among the smokeless tobacco products on the market, products such as Swedish “snus” are considerably less hazardous than cigarettes. In my opinion, these data show the effectiveness of “snus” as a potential support means to stop tobacco consumption. Therefore, I hope that “snus” will be commercialised in all EU countries, also eliminating the current distortion in the internal market.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The explanation is provided in the section "do you have any additional specific comment". Please, find it below.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

I believe that the awareness of health risks linked to the product does not increase with the use of pictorial health warnings (PHWs) nor with the health warnings size and specific placement on the package. In fact, data from Member States where these particular warnings are compulsory (Belgium and UK), demonstrate that there is no significant incidence, in terms of cigarettes consumption dissuasion, deriving from this measure. That is why I deem that the possibility to insert pictorial health warnings should remain prerogative of the Member States. In relation to the option 2b, suggesting the substitution of TNCO (tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide) levels information with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products, in my opinion, too much information on the package or in pack inserts could mislead consumers. Then, according to me, information related to contents, ingredients and smoking products components could be properly communicated through other means such as web sites, telephone services etc. Moreover, the introduction of rules to standardise packaging for all products on the market (e.g. the so called plain packaging or constraints on colour use) as suggested by option 3, could seriously undermine the survival of the legal industry due to the fact that it would make it much easier for criminals to copy packs therefore increasing illicit trade. On the other hand, serious doubts arise when considering the consistency of this measure with several internationally recognized fundamental rights such as competition

protection, free entrepreneurial initiative protection, protection of trademark ownership and usage. Plain packaging would as a matter of fact constitute an illegal deprivation of trademarks and, consequently, of brand equity for the legal cigarette business, as well as a restriction of consumers' possibility to choose their usual brands

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

The explanation is fully provided in the following section "Do you have any additional specific comment?" Please, find it below.

##### **which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

##### **additional comments**

In my opinion it is important that a common reporting format is established at EU level to favour data collection and comparison. However, any reporting system should be designed in such a way to protect manufacturers' trade secrets, which constitute essential intangible assets which should not be put at risk. As far as fees and sanctions are concerned, Member States can already introduce registration fees and also impose sanctions for non compliance with the Directive on ingredients reporting. I then consider the enforcement a subject which should remain in the domain of the Member States, since amount of fees and sanctions would still be within their competence

#### **regulation of ingredients**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

The explanation is fully provided in the following section "Do you have any additional specific comment?". Please find it below.

##### **which option**

No Change

##### **additional comments**

I deem tobacco ingredient regulation should remain in the domain of Member States, as already foreseen by the current Directive. This position is supported also by the results of the SCENIHR Report (Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks), which, on behalf of the European Commission, evaluated the role of ingredients with reference to their addictiveness and attractiveness. Studies on addictiveness, in fact, did not prove the addictive potential of the single ingredients used in tobacco products still confirming the addictiveness of nicotine. On the other side, as attractiveness is concerned, this is an arbitrary and subjective concept with no scientific basis. That is why the possibility of option 2 to introduce basic criteria to restrict or prohibit the use of certain ingredients in the production of tobacco products, as well as the one of option 3, to introduce a common list of ingredients allowed or forbidden, seem to us an unjustified measure. Moreover, the eventual ingredient ban would have drastic economic and labour related repercussions for the industry

of tobacco growers in our Country. These measures, in fact, would not make the cultivation of tobacco used to produce American blend cigarettes competitive anymore. The Italian tobacco production, located in important Regions such as Campania, Umbria and Veneto and employing more than 50,000 growers, mainly depends on the production of this kind of cigarettes. What is more, the survival of the Italian tobacco growers industry would also be undermined by the fact that EU aids, already uncoupled, will be eliminated in 2013.

#### **access to tobacco products**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

The explanation is fully provided in the following section "Do you have any additional specific comment?". Please find it below

##### **which option**

Controlled supply and access

##### **which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

##### **additional comments**

I believe that it is necessary that the Directive also includes dispositions imposing age verification of buyers and that all vending machines must have age verification systems, as already foreseen by Italian law. However, I strongly disagree with the possibility of product display ban in authorized point of sales. First of all, there is no proof that display ban reduces smoking incidence or contributes to restrict youth access to tobacco products. Moreover, these restrictions would imply significant costs and burdens for retailers. That is why, in our view, the survival of the legal industry would be undermined by such measures with dramatic impacts on small retailers that could incur in losses bringing them to bankruptcy. This scenario, on the other side, would strongly favour illicit trade diffusion benefiting criminal organizations. As far as internet sales are concerned, I support the adoption of restrictions to online sales based on the fact that this commercialisation could mean lower quality service and products, which in Italy are guaranteed by our distribution system, requiring the grant of public authorisations to sell tobacco products. Internet sales, circumventing any kind of controls could entail not only lower quality but also dangers for consumers' health

---

#### **Citizen submission**

##### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Anja Lück

##### **email**

drquinn67@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bahmann, Heike

**email**

h.bahmann@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keine wissenschaftliche Belege dafür.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der Konsum rauchfreier Produkte gefährdet in keiner Weise die Gesundheit Dritter. Als mündiger Bürger sollte man selbst entscheiden können, welche legalen Produkte man konsumiert oder nicht. Es fehlen wissenschaftliche Belege um, die Problembeschreibung fundiert zu untersetzen



**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Aufschrift auf der Verpackung hat keinerlei Wirkung auf den Konsum sowie auf Abschreckung. Tabakprodukte sind legale Markenprodukte, deren Packungsausssehen ein wesentliches Marketing und Imageinstrument sind.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Aus meiner Sicht fällt dieses Problem nicht in die Zuständigkeit der Kommission und ist auf nationaler Ebene der einzelnen Mitgliedsstaaten zu lösen.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Hierfür fehlen wissenschaftliche und fundierte Aussagen, die diese Problemstellung sachlich diskutieren lassen. Es gibt Länder in Europa, in denen Tabakwaren ohne Zusatzstoffen angeboten werden. Hier sind keine gravierenden Unterschiede im Rauchverhalten wie in Ländern mit Tabakprodukten mit Zusatzstoffen festzustellen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Tatsache, das in Ländern Europas Zigarettenautomaten verboten sind, leitet nicht zu der Schlussfolgerung, das man Zigarettenautomaten in ganz Europa verbietet.

Zigarettenautomaten in Deutschland haben einen nachvollziehbaren Jugendschutz.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

van Duijvenvoorde

**email**

stephie.van.duijvenvoorde@dzbank.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

n/c

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Müller

**email**

schlumpfmt@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
31

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anke

**email**

uweanke@alice.de

**country**

German

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Kleiner

**email**

klaus.kleiner@ra-notar-kkleiner.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

rowitha brock

**email**

roswithabrock@web.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**



kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan De Groot

**email**

stefandegroot@skynet.be

**country**

België

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Grotere waarschuwingen of foto's of "plain packs" zijn niet aanbevolen bij sigaren; sigarenrokers zijn volwassenen die van een sigaar genieten

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Europese lidstaten kunnen reeds sancties opleggen

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Dit lijkt me totaal nutteloos

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wanneer men displays bant zal dit het einde betekenen van de meeste sigaarmerken

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

thomas hoffmann

**email**

elektro\_hoffmann@web.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

a. meisenberg

**email**

a.meisenberg@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joachim Roßberg

**email**

jojo830de@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt genug Regeln von der EU. Die Firmen und Bürger sollen noch Freiheiten haben. WIR LASSEN UNS NICHT ALLES VORSCHREIBEN. Nutzen und Aufwand stehen hier wieder mal in keiner Relation.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Bürger sollte selbst entscheiden was er will

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kk

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**



Lutz Montowski

**email**

montowski@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lore Meissner

**email**

lore.meissner@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

86

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This is against the human right to be a self-determined individual, no further comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

This is against the human right to be a self-determined individual, no further comment.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This is against the human right to be a self-determined individual, no further comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This is against the human right to be a self-determined individual, no further comment.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This is against the human right to be a self-determined individual, no further comment.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This is against the human right to be a self-determined individual, no further comment.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Pontoppidan

**email**

Esberndavidpontoppidan@gmail.com

**country**

Denmark

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

The current regulation of snus makes no sense. In Denmark, unpackaged snus is legal, but if you put it in a tea bag, thereby packaging it, it is suddenly illegal to sell, although still legal to produce. But in neighboring Sweden, both packaged and unpackaged snus is legal to sell and produce. This leads to the absurd situation that Danish snus producers make packaged snus, send it to Sweden, where Danish consumers then travel to buy it, and transport it back to Denmark again. Therefore, the ban on snus should be lifted entirely.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Forcing producers to use plain packaging won't make anyone stop smoking, but will only make it harder for consumers to buy their preferred brand of cigarettes. The problem definition provides no evidence that the proposed regulation will have any health effect

whatsoever.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The EU should not encroach on the sovereignty of the individual member states, who should be left in charge of enforcing the directive.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

EU should not regulate ingredients based on whether or not they are enhancing the attractiveness of the product. If ingredients should be regulated, it should be based on a thorough evaluation on the increased toxicity of the products. Taste is different from country to country, and it is definitely the wrong way to go if EU starts interfering in these matters.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Those who take up or continue smoking don't do it because cigarettes are on display in a store. The problem definition provides no evidence that the proposed regulation will have any health effect.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexandre Neiva

**email**

neivatabacos@sapo.pt

**country**

Portugal

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has more positive effects on consumers health. Smokers have the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of products they prefer to consume. Generic packaging will increase the difficulty on the identification and rejection of counterfeit tobacco products. Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, which the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The only sales that are executed through vending machines are controlled by human subjects, therefore the problem lies in human decisions of buying and selling the tobacco product and not the mechanical act of sale itself. The vending machines are "part of the solution" and not "part of the problem" because they constitute an important tool in combating the sale of counterfeit tobacco products since the offender risks a lot more to be discovered by authorities when selling counterfeit tobacco in their vending machine. there is no evidence that the inhibition of exposure of products in commercial spaces diminishes the consumption of tobacco. Furthermore the ban on display of products is an inhibitor of "freedom of choice" by consumers and promotes confusion between the legal product and the illegal product.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Manfred Link

**email**

dr.m.link@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

70

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

No comments

**additional comments**

No comments

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

No comments

**additional comments**

No comments

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**additional option**

No comments

**additional comments**

No comments

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

No comments



**additional comments**

No comments

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

No comments

**additional comments**

No comments

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

No comments

**additional comments**

No comments

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Georg Brinskelle

**email**

georg@brinskelle.eu

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder soll selber entscheiden können. Bevormundung bringt nichts (siehe Prohibition in den USA).

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Bessere Gesundheitserziehung. Der Staat soll seine Rolle als Co-Dealer aufgeben. Einerseits geht er gegen das Rauchen vor, andererseits ist er abhängig von den Steuereinnahmen.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jonas Uhl

**email**

jonul@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Biagio Lanzillotti

#### **email**

biagio.lanzillotti@tin.it

#### **country**

ITALIA

#### **gender**

male

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Non concordiamo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Siamo anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul

prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Siamo d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordiamo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Siamo contrari all'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Riteniamo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di

monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Leo Erschfeld

**email**

lingard@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

robert g.

**email**

gatti\_83@web.de



**country**  
germany

**age**  
27

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wulf-Henrik von Krosigk

**email**

vonkrosigk@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frithjof Meissner

**email**

frithjof@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This is against the human right to be a self-determined individual, no further comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

This is against the human right to be a self-determined individual, no further comment.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This is against the human right to be a self-determined individual, no further comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This is against the human right to be a self-determined individual, no further comment.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This is against the human right to be a self-determined individual, no further comment.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This is against the human right to be a self-determined individual, no further comment.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

martin karl-heinz

**email**

hindawaidler@web.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Somfleth

**email**

daniel.somfleth@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

manuela brock

**email**

probstjens@web.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

32

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**



No change

**recommend option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Liane Seebach

**email**

Evi\_Seebach@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pawlak, Joachim

**email**

jochenpawlak@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

no change needed

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no change needed

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no change needed

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change of actual regulations needed

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no more regulations needed

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no necessity to change current regulations

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

no change needed

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

things should remain as they are now

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no change needed

**additional comments**

let things unchanged

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

current regulations are enough

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no change needed

**additional comments**

no, don't change anything

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Meesenburg, Irja-Iven

**email**

imeesenburg@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

Make the packages smaller and put higher taxes on tobacco. Make tobacco an expensive consume article, not something you consume several times every day. Ban tobacco machines, make tobacco harder to buy (mandatory to show your i.d. and prove age).

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens-Uwe Raab

**email**

jensuraab@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**gender**

male



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Kammerl

**email**

kammikammerl@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pilz,Jürgen

**email**

juergenpilz1011@yahoo.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

we are no little kids or slaves of the government- i dont need any f.....pictures on nice wooden cigar-boxes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

see before

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

on one hand every government in europe is planning with the tobacco tax to fill other holes- and then they ban... in what a f.....world do i live here

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see before

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see explanation before

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see before

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Vogt

**email**

mvogt@email.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

-

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

-

**additional comments**

-

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Egon Rothenhäusler

**email**

egon@redhouses.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder soll selbst entscheiden dürfen

**which option**



No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

anja lemmens

**email**

anja\_lemmens@hotmail.com

**country**

belgium

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No, I disagree with the problem definition. The problem definition provided by the Consultation document is vague, confused and inaccurate. There is no analysis within the Consultation document of Union competence to adopt the proposed options and no discussion of the internal market issues that would be required in order for the Union to proceed on the proposed option. Furthermore, the problem definition does not contain any evidence to demonstrate the justification for the various options provided in this section

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No, the problem definition is not acceptable as drafted. It is vague, confused and unclear. Statements in the problem definition address all smokeless tobacco products without making any differentiation between the various types of products in this category. By ignoring this variety, the Consultation creates confusion rather than providing clarity to allow for objective policy choices.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

Snus is legally sold in a number of countries worldwide and we believe there is no justification for a ban on snus within the EU.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no credible or scientific evidence which demonstrates this is a necessary step. This problem definition tries to cover too many options at once. Packaging provides consumers who wish to purchase products with information about the product they have chosen. Tobacco packaging has never been identified as a reason why children start to smoke or why adult smokers continue to choose to smoke. Plain packaging would lead to an increase in counterfeit products and illicit trade. It will have a negative impact on competition. Plain packaging expropriates the brand owners of valuable intellectual property. Pictorial health warnings make no additional contribution to the public awareness of the risks.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

All EU Member States need to agree to and adopt the common reporting format for ingredient reporting in a fully harmonized way. Introducing fees and sanctions is clearly outside the

Commission's competence. With regard to this option, the Commission has also failed to analyse the impact of registration fees on the functioning of the Internal Market as the level of fees would vary between Member States and would impede its functioning. Also, registration fees would likely lead to a further increase of Anti-Illicit Trade.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

No

**additional comments**

No

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No, I do not agree with the problem definition. The concept “attractiveness” is an unsound base for regulation. Ingredients give brands distinctive styles -> competition. Ingredients also apply to substances in the non-tobacco materials needed to eg. filter, paper adhesive etc. There is no evidence that ingredients enhance or in themselves are addictive. The problem definition incorrectly defines the use of ingredients and claims without foundation that the majority form carcinogens, mutagens and/or toxic for reproduction. In the case of, for example, mentholated cigarettes have been available for several decades yet there is no credible scientific evidence to suggest that their use is associated with increased smoking incidence, increased consumption, change in smoking behavior or reduced quitting rates compared to other cigarettes. Furthermore, there is no evidence that smokers of mentholated cigarettes inhale more deeply.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No, but a common list of authorised ingredients could be generated using both sound and scientific principles and criteria.

**additional comments**

No

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No. The problem definition is vague and incomplete. The display of tobacco products at PoS is important to the proper operation of a market economy in the sale of legal tobacco products. It informs the consumer of the products available, their characteristics, their price and the pack sizes available. Particularly in situations where other channels of communication may be

restricted, consumers must be able to identify easily, quickly and effectively the existence and availability of tobacco products and to distinguish between them. Product display ban could exacerbate the illicit trade in contraband and counterfeit products. Consumers would not be able to distinguish legally traded and illicit products. Vending: vending machines in Belgium are strictly controlled to prevent sales to children. It is therefore unnecessary and disproportionate to prohibit tobacco vending.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wiesel, Franz Werner

**email**

franz.werner@degon.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Edyta Reczek

**email**

edyta2@op.pl

**country**

Poland

**age**

36

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
I do not believe that bigger size of health warnings is effective method. Now the warning is also visible and this does not stop people from smoking. There is no evidence that such warnings are effective. Next issue - generic/plain packaging: this may result in that way that people will start smoking the cheapest cigarettes that are even more health damaging. Also I believe that the plain packaging may finally lead to increase of illicit trade.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Common reporting format established at EU level will ensure effective data collection, standard way to gather information across all businesses.

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ingredients defines product. They constitute companies' intellectual property and differentiate products. Ban on ingredients may lead to increase of illicit trade, mainly contrabanded

cigarettes. In consequence, the ban will reduce states' revenue.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I do not support bans on displays of tobacco products.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alessandro Maria Poggiali

**email**

Alessandropoggiali@libero.it

**country**

Belgium

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

the scope of the directive could be extended but this should not automatically imply a ban on one category of product

**questions smokeless**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

I believe the ban on Snus should be lifted

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

there is no evidence that larger warnings are more effective. there is no evidence that plain packaging would have public health benefit

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

EU Member States could already apply fees and sanctions.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the focus of ingredients testing should be on whether an ingredient result in increased toxicity of tobacco smoke

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

it has not been demonstrated with evidence that ban would advance health objectives

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Brenda Shaw

#### **email**

brendaamshaw@hotmail.co.uk

#### **country**

Scotland

#### **age**

57

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

I do not believe people start to smoke or continue to smoke because they see tobacco products on display

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Figures appear to show smokeless tobacco products are less harmful

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

health consequences are universally known and are shown by large lettering etc putting larger

letters and more info will make no difference at all

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I want to know the strength of my cigarette not every thing else

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I am curenly happy with what information is supplied by uk government and tobacco companies

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Curenly the UK government is planning to regulate tobacco displays I have disagreed with this porposal as it has not been proven to work in other countries and only increases black market sales I feel current regulations are enough

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kretschmann, Jürgen

**email**

Jurgen.Kretschmann@superkabel.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme

in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rösner

**email**

bigtex@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**  
Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Eric Brand

#### **email**

mopedbrand@web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

22

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Weis

**email**

schreiner\_mubase@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**



23

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Linhard

**email**

stefan\_linhard@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Erweiterung des Geltungsbereichs der Richtlinie, so dass darin neuartige Formen von Tabak zum oralen Gebrauch, Kräuterzigaretten und elektronische Nikotinabgabesysteme enthalten wären, sofern sie nicht bereits von anderen EU-Rechtsvorschriften (Lebensmittel, Arzneimittel) abgedeckt sind. Für ENDS würden spezifische Unbedenklichkeits- und Qualitätsanforderungen ausgearbeitet werden. Für zigarettenähnliche Erzeugnisse (Kräuterzigaretten) wären geeignete Angaben zum Inhalt und Gesundheitswarnhinweise erforderlich. Neue Tabakerzeugnisse würden harmonisierte Angaben zu schädlichen Stoffen im Produkt sowie Gesundheitswarnhinweise tragen. Die Mitgliedsstaaten verlangen von Herstellern und Importeuren die zuständigen Behörden über sämtliche bei der Herstellung eines Produkts verwendeten Zusatzstoffe zu informieren. Diese werden auch der Bevölkerung zugänglich gemacht (z.B. Webseite). Alle Tabakerzeugnisse inclusive Snus werden europaweit freigegeben.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

"wahrscheinlich" "möglich" usw ..... Wissenschaftlich SAUBERE und FUNDIERTE Fakten fehlen. Diese sollten in der Ausarbeitung auch einen Bezug zur NORMALEN Nutzung haben. Es ist sehr leicht nachzuvollziehen, daß eine Maus stirbt, wenn sie einen Kunststoff frisst .... würde vermutlich auch ein Mensch bei einer vergleichbaren Menge. Dies sagt jedoch nichts

darüber aus, ob ich ihn unbedenklich anfassen kann oder nicht.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Siehe Antwort 1

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Studie ..... warum denn keine NACHPRÜFBARE nach wissenschaftlichen Regeln geführte Untersuchung? Die entsprechenden Institute bekommen ja dafür genügend Geld aus der EU .... also aus unseren Geldbeuteln!

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

Hinweise auf einen Telefon-Service – der dabei hilft, mit dem Rauchen aufzuhören – auf die Packung gestellt. Keine Bilder - nach NICHT wissenschaftlichen Erfahrungswerten aus dem morgendlich genutzten öffentlichen Verkehrsmitteln steigt die Coolness proportional mit dem "abschreckensten" Bildern Achja .... Weiß ist auch eine helle Farbe .....es wäre vorteilhaft, wenn die Fragesteller auch mal die eigenen Texte lesen würden.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Mitarbeiter der erfassenden Behörde werden bei Verstoß gegen den Datenschutz und Weitergabe der "Geschäftsgeheimnisse" standrechtlich erschossen, Hinterbliebenenrenten werden gestrichen.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

Nachdem alle! Tabakerzeugnisse ihre Inhaltsstoffe angeben müssen, kann vor einer ein neuer Stoff geprüft werden. Dies sollte SEHR zeitnah stattfinden.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**additional option**

Eine Zugangsbeschränkung nützt GAR nichts! Es war schon immer etwas besonderes an "verbotene" Sachen ranzukommen und macht diese VIEL interessanter.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matthias Wimmer

**email**

matthias-wimmer@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es soll so bleiben wie es ist!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Es soll so bleiben wie es ist!!

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es soll so bleiben wie es ist!!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es soll so bleiben wie es ist

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es soll so bleiben wie es ist!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar!

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ferdinand Stark

**email**

ferdl@hirschenwirt.de

**country**

germany

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

g

**email**

g@e.he

**country**

g

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Grund H.-J.

**email**

achim.grund@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joe Baderan

**email**

xoxbaderan@hotmail.com

**country**

germany

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Don't know.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Don't know.

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Don't know.

**additional comments**  
Don't know.

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Don't know.

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**additional option**  
Don't know.

**additional comments**  
Don't know.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Don't know.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Don't know.

**additional comments**

Don't know.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Don't know.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Don't know.

**additional comments**

Don't know.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Don't know.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Don't know.

**additional comments**

Don't know.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

N. Rupsch

**email**

nrupsch@nws-mail.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wir sind alle mündige Bürger

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

nicht ausreichend wissenschaftlich belegt

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

unsinn

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Markus Kaiser

**email**

hans-m.kaiser@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BELLU PATRIZIO

**email**

fit.avezzano@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy



**age**  
47

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Jansch

**email**

jaensch-michael@versanet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schweiger, Christian

**email**

ch.schweiger@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
SANTUCCI ALDO

**email**

REJSAN@TIN.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

67

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen



**name**

MORGANTE ANTONINA

**email**

aldopasqualoni@tele2.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Hansen

**email**

tjelly@get2net.dk

**country**

Denmark

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is enough regulation in the tobacco area already. Authorities should be using more effort on important items - i.e. the economy.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

According to a newer meta-analysis than the one cited in the problem definition, Smokeless Tobacco is not an important cause of cancer: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1741-7015/7/36/abstract> Swedish men have lower incidence of lung cancer than other countries in Europe. This might very well be the result of the low swedish rate of male smokers because snus is not banned there. Thus - the ban on snus should be lifted immediately in the rest of Europe for health reasons.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

I recommend any option that would lift the ban on snus.

**additional comments**

If you seriously want to reduce health risks the ban on snus should be lifted. This will lower the citizens' health risks for a variety of diseases.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The people do not need more warnings

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

EU should not interfere in or minimize free market trade. The mechanisms of free market still works even after the smoking bans, and that's the way the market should work.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PETROLIO FABRIZIO

**email**

pam902009@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARDUCCI VENANZIO

**email**

guido.carducci89@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus horber



**email**

M.horber@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Constance Volkmar

**email**

constance\_v@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland / Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**additional comments**

Bis auf Kräuterzigaretten stimme ich der genannten Problemdarstellung zu, da Kräuterzigaretten kein Nikotin enthalten und aus natürlichen Bestandteilen zusammengesetzt sind. Verschiedene Kräuter können sogar eine heilende Wirkung erzeugen - egal ob sie gegessen oder geraucht werden.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful

substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional comments**

Denkanstoß: Wenn Tabak als Genussmittel zukünftig gesetzlichen Einschränkungen unterliegen soll, so sollte man ebenso die gesetzlichen Richtlinien anderer Genussmittel überdenken. Im Speziellen ist hier Alkohol gemeint, da dieser nicht nur gesundheitliche Schädigungen, sondern in seiner Entfaltung auch unangenehme Zusammenstöße zwischen Menschen hervorruft.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COLUCCI STEFANIA

**email**

stefania.colucci@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

simek manfred

**email**

kamasi52@aol.com

**country**

germany

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CERASANI DE IORIS SIRIA

**email**

nevio89ds@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Petra Nußhär

**email**

petra.jens@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

oehme

**email**

yoyomurmel@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Nein

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Besser Beschreibung des Inhalts und Wirkung

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPAZIANI FELICE

**email**

fit.laquila@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karel Čermák

**email**

cermak@apk.cz

**country**

Czech Republic

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Nepovažuji za vhodné, aby směrnice zakazovala nové druhy tabákových výrobků. Též nové druhy tabákových výrobků by nicméně vzhledem ke svému charakteru měly být zahrnuty do působnosti směrnice. Při volbě konkrétní podoby regulace nových druhů tabákových výrobků by se mělo vycházet z vědeckých poznatků, které zatím - pokud je mi známo - nejsou k dispozici.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Podle doposud provedených vědeckých šetření je snus méně škodlivý než cigarety. Existují navíc pozitivní zkušenosti s legalizací tohoto druhu výrobku ve Švédsku, kde dostupnost tohoto druhu výrobku vedla ke snížení nepříznivých následků požívání tabáku (Švédsko má nejnižší míru úmrtnosti způsobené užíváním tabáku v Evropě).

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Vyšší efektivita větších varování není prokázána. Existují důvodné pochyby o tom, že by zavedení jednotného balení zlepšilo fungování vnitřního trhu nebo mělo příznivé zdravotní dopady. Zavedení jednotného balení se navíc rovná zákazu užívání ochranných známek chránících tabákové výrobky na obalech těchto výrobků, což by ve svých důsledcích vedlo k omezení vlastnických práv k ochranným známkám chránícím tabákové výrobky. Zavedení jednotného balení by naopak zjednodušilo možnost kopírovat originální výrobky a uvádět na



trh nelegální napodobeniny.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Zavedení tzv. jednotného balení by navíc vedlo k omezení úlohy značek při soutěžení výrobců tabákových výrobků. Soutěžení mezi jednotlivými výrobci by se v důsledku takového opatření odehrávalo již výlučně na úrovni ceny, což by vedlo ke snížení cenové úrovně tabákových výrobků. Zákaz používání značek (ochranných známek) na obalech tabákových výrobků považuji za protisoutěžní opatření a bezprecedentní zásah do vlastnických práv k ochranným známkám chránícím tabákové výrobky.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Členské státy v současné době mohou stanovit příslušné poplatky a sankce. Směrnice nezavádí jednotný systém pro předkládání zpráv o přísadách v tabákových výrobcích. Dodržování příslušných pravidel by tak mělo zůstat v kompetenci členských států.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional comments**

Nový společný formát hlášení na úrovni EU by měl vycházet z formátu, který Komise zveřejnila v květnu 2007. Zavedení nového společného systému hlášení by v každém případě mělo respektovat právo výrobců k předmětům jejich obchodního tajemství.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jakákoli regulace přísad tabákových výrobků by měla vycházet z vědecky ověřených kritérií. Za nepřijatelné pokládám regulovat danou oblast na základě vágních definic typu "přitažlivosti"; užívání takovýchto pojmů vzbuzuje pochybnosti o tom, zda by regulace v tomto směru vycházela z vědecky podložených kritérií. Posuzování přísad by mělo přihlížet především k tomu, zda zvyšují toxicitu tabákového kouře.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Definice problému nevyjasňuje, z jakých důvodů by prodej v prodejnách automatech měl

případně být považován za problematický. Nevyjadřuje též žádný postoj k problematice vystavování tabákových výrobků. Nejsou zde uvedeny žádné argumenty podporující případný závěr, že by zavedení restrikcí i v tomto ohledu vedly ke zlepšení ochrany zdraví nebo lepšímu fungování vnitřního trhu.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAMORCHIA ANTONIO

**email**

fit.laquila@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wirsig

**email**

k.wirsig@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

47

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Bornschlegl

**email**

bornschlegl@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI ROBERTO DAVIDE

**email**

fit.laquila@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

75

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---



## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Jürgen Davidis

#### **email**

jdavidis@VR-Web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

61

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Asena Kiziltepe

**email**

asena\_@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir sind alle alt genug und jeder kennt die Gefahren des Rauchens.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Betroffen Staaten können bereits heute entsprechende Maßnahmen ergreifen.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus bei Flavour taste sollte darauf ausgerichtet sein herauszufinden ob diese wirklich zur Erhöhung der toxischen Wirkung beitragen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich finde es unverschämte die Menschen zu bevormunden. Noch leben wir in einer Demokratie und jeder sollte frei für sich entscheiden dürfen. Das einzige was darunter leiden wird ist die Vielfalt der Sorten. Was ist schon dagegen einzuwenden wenn man hin und wieder mal eine geniesst? Ich bin Nichtraucherin!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fruh Thomas

**email**

fruh.thomas@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MORGANTE MARIA GRAZIA

**email**

g.santoponte@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

75

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Starlinger Josef

**email**

josef.starlinger@liwest.at

**country**

Österreich

**age**

76

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder staat soll frei entscheiden können. Wir brauchen nicht überall die Bevormundung durch einige wenige EU-Abgeordnete oder der Minderheit von militanten Nichttrauern.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Die Tabakindustrie darf diese Produkte nicht auf den Marktbringen. Die Pharmaindustrie will scheinbar auch in diesem Marktsegment kräftig abkassieren.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Informationen durch Medien reichen vollkommen aus. Soll in Zukunft die EU-Abgeordneten in allen Lebensbereichen darüber bestimmen, was für jeden einzelnen das Beste und Gesundeste ist. Oder gibt es in der EU kein Ozon-Problem und Atomkraftwerke? Aber darüber soll nicht gesprochen werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No



**explanations**

Liebe Grüße von der freien Marktwirtschaft. Wenn man die Inhaltsstoffe von lebenswichtigen Lebensmitteln, auf niemand verzichten kann, ansieht sieht man nur E, E, E, .

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Staat kann selbst entscheiden. Wir müssen uns nicht alles von Brüssel vorschreiben lassen. Haben diese Herrschaften nicht wichtigere Probleme zu lösen?

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In Österreich darf Tabak nur in bestimmten Geschäften verkauft werden. Automaten können nur nach einer Alterskontrolle benutzt werden. Daher brauchen wir Keine EU Vorschriften.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ricchitelli Rocky

**email**

ricchitelli-rocky@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

50

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Meyer

**email**

meynu@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LORENZONI EDI

**email**

fit.arezzo@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DEL LARGO ROBERTO

**email**

fit.arezzo@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free



market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARINI ROSSELLA

**email**

r.marini1@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christine Ricchitelli

**email**

0303charli@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

44

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PICCINI PAOLO

**email**

antonella@piccini.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

74

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Konrad J. Tristram

**email**

finanz-consult@tristram.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Freiheit des Einzelnen wird immer mehr beschnitten, daher sollte jeder selbst entscheiden.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Verhindern, daß Kinder bzw nicht volljährige rauchen.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**additional option**

es sollte verhindert werden, daß die Industrie Brandbeschleuniger oder andere nicht tabakadäquate Stoffe in die produkte verarbeitet

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wie in bayern gewinnen nur Minoritäten die Konsultation



**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ERAMO PASQUALE

**email**

alessandro2712@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

2010

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ingo Kröger

**email**

ingo.kroeger1@ewetel.net

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Bürger einer Demokratie sollte bevormundet werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Dies würde einer Zensur gleichstehen.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freiheit sollte auch für das Konsumverhalten aller Menschen gelten.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARCENO' GAETANO

**email**

info@europarkroccecella.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

67

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Korbeck

**email**

markus@korbeck.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich finde, dass ein Problem gemacht wird, wo keines ist.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Ich würde die Frage auf Deutsch besser verstehen!

**additional comments**

Bitte fragen Sie EU-Bürger auch auf Deutsch.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Güthle

**email**

mguethle@xunit.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**



without any possibility or nearly no possibility to distinguish the different kind of products in my opinion this should be not limited more than necessary for any kind of legal products

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

think this should be part of the national government not the eu

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SASSO ORONZO

**email**

fit.brindisi@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

80

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zeljko Tulec

**email**

zeljko@netmehl.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rene Niedermayer

**email**

XKorinSeranX@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MASCHERPA ROSA

#### **email**

fit.brescia@tabaccai.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

71

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).



---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MOLADORI FEDERICO

#### **email**

fit.brescia@tabaccai.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

77

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Andreas Bischoff

#### **email**

diebischis@online.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

48

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ute Davidis

**email**

ute.davidis@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tassilo Toschner

**email**

Toschi@web.de

**country**

Leipzig

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Yes

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Lifting the ban of snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BOIFAVA PALMA

**email**

fit.brescia@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change



**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

erwin pappenberger

**email**

erwin.appenberger@aon.at

**country**

austria

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die länder sollen für die gesundheit zuständig sein

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

schützt vor passivrauchen

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

zuviel bürokratie

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

zuviel bürokratie - zu hohe kosten

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

erhalt der geschmacksvielfalt

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freier Zugang zu legalen Produkten

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FARCI SAVERIANA

**email**

fit.cagliari@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Horváth Szabolcs

##### **email**

borzsolt01@gmail.com

##### **country**

Hungary

##### **age**

26

##### **gender**

male

##### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

A fent említett termékekre nem vonatkozik az irányelv , és ezért legálisak maradnak .

##### **which option**

No change

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **comments**

Töröljék el a SNUS tilalmát.

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A szabályzat hatálya maradjon változatlan.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A SZABÁLYOZÁS MARADJON VÁLTOZATLAN.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A SZABÁLYOZÁS MARADJON VÁLTOZATLAN.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

A SZABÁLYOZÁS MARADJON VÁLTOZATLAN.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARONE AGOSTINA

**email**

fit.campobasso@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

wuttke

**email**



henrik.wuttke@hotmail.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
45

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
vielfalt

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Heinrichs

**email**

Heinrichs.Peter@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

66

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Binek

**email**

binek@pso-consulting.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CONTINILLO PATRIZIA

**email**

fit.campobasso@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SARTINI ANNA GIULIA

**email**

afrikatabacchi@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

2010

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;



Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kaibach

**email**

h.kaibach@terra.es

**country**

Spain

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

No

**additional comments**

No

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI FABIO GIUSEPPE

**email**

difabiogiuseppe@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIACOMODONATO MARIA ANNA

**email**

fiardi@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schmidt Thomas

**email**

schmidt-tk@freenet.de

**country**

German

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Es kann nicht sein das diese Produkte Werblich Verboten werden. Sind wir mittlerweile in 1949 angekommen. Hier stehen Existenzen auf dem Spiel und nicht nur 100 sondern 100000 Tausende.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es hätte doch gar keine Auswirkung im Gegenteil hier werden Markenrechte vernichtet. Und es werden noch mehr Produktfälschungen auf den Markt kommen! Ach so das soll unterstützt werden. Mir fehlen echt die Worte. Und der Deutsche Steuerzahler soll eher in die Nachbarländer fahren und sich dort seine Genussmittel kaufen. Ändern möchtet ihr es, aber die Steuereinnahme soll die gleiche bleiben. Hier sitzen Leute am Schreibtisch diesen es egal ist, Hauptsache die Diäten werden jedes Jahr sicher.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

OHNE WÖRTE

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ohne worte

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Dies klärt kein Problem

**which option**

No change

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

DI GIAMPIETRO MATILDE AMALIA

#### **email**

fit.campobasso@tabaccai.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

56

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Mosandl

#### **email**

johann.mosandlqt-online.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

kein kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
GUARNIERI PASQUALE

**email**  
mario.zaffino@tin.it

**country**  
Italy

**age**  
45

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NERI ZUELE

**email**

pedropp73@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RANCITELLI DONATELLA

**email**

santillicarmine@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39



**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dirk Stiegele

**email**

account02@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
44

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MINICHINI CLAUDIO

**email**

melaniaminichini@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GERACI SALVATORE

**email**

giusigeraci@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

76

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Häfner

**email**

ulihafner@web.de

**country**

D

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GENOVESI DAVIDE

**email**

fit.viareggio@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

23

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pedro Holtreman

**email**

pedro@holtreman.com

**country**

Portugal

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comments

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Janssen

**email**  
harryjans@ewetel.net

**country**  
Germany

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Government has to decide

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Government has to decide

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
All ingredients have to be noted

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BAGLIO ANGELA

**email**

fit.caltanissetta@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

73

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CENTONZE LUIGINA

**email**

CAINOVITO@YAHOO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DEMOULIN

**email**

laurent.demoulin@sapioenligne.be

**country**

Belgique

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Behrens

**email**

juergen.behrens@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Bürger soll die Freiheit haben, "Seine Entscheidungen selbst zu treffen"

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GALEOTTI GUIDO

**email**

marcuz68@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

72

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Juergen Klein

#### **email**

klein.jk@web.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

46

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ursula Fölsing

**email**

naumann.foelsing@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

62

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional comments**

Ban all tobacco products!!!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MONFREDINI ANNAMARIA

**email**

fit.cremona@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

66

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MIGLIOLI LORENZO

**email**

fit.cremona@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DORNETTI MARIO

**email**

cotti.dornetti@vodafone.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the



profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RICCI MARIA ANCILLA

**email**

fit.cremona@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARINO VINCENZO

**email**

rivendita.marino@chepnet.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rocco Binder

**email**

Rocco.Binder@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DRAGO ROSARIA

**email**

alfredo.cali@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

66

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AZZOLINA CONCETTO

**email**

fit.enna@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

73

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**



No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uwe Widmann

**email**

ufw1965@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karl Naumann

**email**

naumann.foelsing@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

70

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional comments**

Ban all tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CONIGLIO ANTONIO

**email**

fit.enna@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DUCA NUNZIATO

**email**

fit.enna@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

72

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No



**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Heidrich

**email**

JensReinhardHeidrich@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MECCHERI MONICA

**email**

fit.viareggio@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf Pinckvos

**email**

ralf@pinckvos.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die bestehende Richtlinie ist vollkommen ausreichend.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

carlo cioppa

**email**

carlocioppa@libero.it

**country**  
italia

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.



**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SANTORO DINO

**email**

fit.enna@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**  
Rodich

**email**  
rodich@foni.net

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heike Zscheile

**email**

gartenmaus031257@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ANTONIOTTI MONICA

**email**

monicaantoniotti@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Christian Neubauer

#### **email**

Kini80@web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

30

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Das verstößt meiner Meinung nach gegen die Freiheit des Bürger, frei zu entscheiden.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Nein, Regulierungen die die Freiheit des einzelnen einschränken sollten nicht von der EU vorgegeben werden.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Jeder Bürger sollte selbst entscheiden können was er konsumieren will.

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wie vorher!

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Verstoß gegen Wettbewerbsrecht. Eingriff in die Markenrechte. Förderung von Schmuggel und Plagiaten.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wie vorher

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Derzeitige Informationen sind vollkommen ausreichend.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wie vorher

**which option**

No Change

**additional comments**

Es gibt keinen Grund das zu ändern. Was bis jetzt erlaubt war kann nicht schlecht sein.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wie vorher

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Absolut dagegen. Ich als erwachsener Raucher, konnte schon mehrfach wegen diesen blöden Automatenüberprüfungen keine Zigaretten kaufen, weil die EC-Karte nicht an jedem

Automaten funktioniert. Außerdem kann jeder der Zigaretten kaufen will auch über andere Wege diese kaufen.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Graziano De Lucia

#### **email**

grazianodelucia@libero.it

#### **country**

ITALIA

#### **age**

39

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte

per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PARLASCINO MARIA

**email**

fit.enna@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gottlieb Rous

**email**

gottlieb\_rous@gmx.de

**country**

Slowenien

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Nein

**additional comments**

Nein

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Nein

**additional comments**

Nein

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Da die Abgabe an minderjährige Personen nicht zulässig ist, kann davon ausgegangen werden, dass alle Raucher lesen können was auf den Verpackungen steht. So wenig wie bei einem Autokauf auf der Motorhaube ein Opfer eines Verkehrsunfalles abgebildet sein muss und so wenig wie bei der Verpackung eines Big Macs von McDonalds ein Diabetiker-Fuß zu sehen sein muss, so wenig halte ich etwas von der politisch korrekten Drangsalierung einer einzelnen Produktgruppe. Darum ist es auch nicht einzusehen, weshalb sich die Verpackungen nicht unterscheiden sollten und zwar nach dem jeweiligen Wunsch des Hersteller. Schon jetzt ist ein Großteil der Verpackung durch einen Warnhinweis eingenommen.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Nein

**additional comments**

Nein

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wie richtig erkannt wurde in der Problemdarstellung, sprengt der Aufwand für die Analyse und den daraus gewonnen Ergebnissen das Ziel zur Gänze. Es wird nun unter dem Vorwand kleinere Hersteller zu unterstützen von allen Herstellern erwartet, dass sie Zahlen möglichst angenehm überliefern, die zur Bekämpfung ihres eigenen Produktes dienen. Dabei ist festzustellen, dass die möglichen Folgen des Tabakkonsums in Deutschland auf jeder Verpackung eines Tabakerzeugnisses stehen. Desweiteren ist jedem Raucher und Nichtraucher bekannt, dass Rauchen schädlich ist und zu einer verminderten Lebenserwartung



führen kann. Aus meiner Sicht ist jedem ausreichend bekannt wie schädlich Rauchen ist, weshalb ich statt einer Ausweitung der Forschung und für die Behörden Vereinfachung der Daten, eine Auflösung der Forschungsarbeiten dazu empfehle, was auch wieder viel Geld einspart. Es ist nicht nötig zu erforschen, dass 10 Minuten ohne Sauerstoff gefährlicher sind als 3 Minuten. Die Gefährlichkeit des Tabakkonsums ist ausreichend erforscht.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Nein

**additional comments**

Nein

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Nein

**additional comments**

Nein

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Nein

**additional comments**

Nein

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MASCALI ELENA GIUSEPPA

**email**

ficheraarturo@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RESTIVO ADELE

**email**

fit.enna@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAPITANEO MARIA

**email**

fit.foggia@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Karlsson

**email**

david@aspside.se

**country**

Sverige

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Det är ologiskt att förbjuda specifika produkter som är riktade till vuxna personer.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Snus borde vara lagligt i Europa eftersom det är ett mindre skadligt alternativ än andra produkter.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ett sådant förbud kommer enbart att gynna befintliga stora aktörer. Vidare ser jag inte hur detta skulle påverka konsumtion då det idag finns tillräckliga varningar på paketen.

**which option**



No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Inga kommentarer.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ingredienser som inte gör produkten mer farlig eller uppenbart riktar sig till minderåriga bör vara lagliga.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Exponeringsförbud skulle slå hårt mot mindre handlare och medföra höga kostnader för dem utan att medföra mindre konsumtion.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VOLPI ADELMO

**email**

aleandro.raso@otomelara.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
74

**gender**  
female

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCHIAVONE FRANCESCA

**email**

francescaschiavone26@libero.it

**country**

italia

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CECERE VINCENZO

**email**

tabriv4@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karl Siech

**email**

karl.siech@me.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Burkhard Gülsdorff

**email**

buklague@gmx.de

**country**

BRD

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TERLIZZI LUCA

**email**

lucatab@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claudia Sommer

**email**

cla.sommer@t-online.de

**country**

germany

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

RONCOLATO JESSICA

#### **email**

jemx@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

29

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Jonathan Deutekom

##### **email**

deutekom@me.vom

##### **country**

Germany

##### **age**

28

##### **gender**

male

##### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

No comment.

##### **which option**

No change



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comment.

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
DE PADOVA ANTONIO

**email**  
fit.foggia@tabaccai.it

**country**  
Italy

**age**  
45

**gender**  
male

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Elzbieta Sulma

**email**

e.sulma@interia.eu

**country**

Poland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comments

**additional comments**

no comments

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

no comments

**additional comments**

no comments

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comments

**additional comments**

no comments

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

no comments

**additional comments**

no comments

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comments

**additional comments**

no comments

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comments

**additional comments**

no comments

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NOVELLI PASQUALE

**email**

amministrazione@hotelresortnovelli.it

**country**

Italy

**age**  
38

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Ehrich

**email**

jens-ehrich@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI TULLIO MARIA

**email**

ditulliomaria244@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FASANO FABIO ANTONIO GASPARE

**email**

fit.lecce@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANCA DIEGO MASSIMILIANO

**email**

diegomanca@inwind.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tino Augustin

**email**

edv@tinotec.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rola Brentlin

**email**

rbrentlin@gmail.com

**country**

Sweden

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jag har inga kommentarer på detta område förutom att det indikeras att rökfria produkter ska

förbjudas. Varje produkt måste behandlas enskilt och produkter som är mindre farliga, som exempelvis svenskt snus, borde inte omfattas av ett förbud.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

De handelshinder som idag finns mot svenskt snus bör upphävas både för att det strider mot EU:s egna direktiv om fri handel dels för att snuset är mindre farligt än andra tobaksprodukter och kan ha en positiv folkhälsoeffekt.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Generiska paket strider mot befintlig lagstiftning för varumärkesskydd och går inte att förena med de principer och skydd som varumärken har idag. Som princip bör lagliga produkter också kunna ha rätt till sitt eget varumärke.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ingen vidare kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Om man ska införa regleringar för ingredienser måste dessa vara baserade på fakta att de gör produkten farligare - inte generella regleringar som endast leder till krångliga regelverk.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Lagliga produkter måste kunna exponeras lagligt i butik.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SERINELLI GIOVANNI

**email**

fit.lecce@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reinhold Bauernschmitt

**email**

reinhold-bauernschmitt@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paulo Santos

**email**

pfmsantos@hotmail.com

**country**

Portugal

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CESARE DANIELE

**email**

cesaredaniele@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**



Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sommer Jörg

**email**

joergsommerkfz@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
CATTARUZZA CARMEN

**email**  
giulia.puccetti@tiscali.it

**country**  
Italy

**age**  
46

**gender**  
female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

quintin

**email**

m-quintin@orange.fr

**country**

france

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

donne un ouverture à une législation du SNUS

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

quelle étude scientifique indiscutable prouve les risques de santé sur les produits sans fumée  
:aucune

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

de manière unilatérale,sans étude scientifique,il a imposé les les avertissements  
sanitaires,maintenant les photos chocs,demain des messages plus grands,enfin des paquets  
neutres,c'est n'importe quoi de plus,la mise en place de paquets neutres va entrainer une  
guerre des prix dans les pays européens ,avec une fiscalité différente,entraînant des achats  
frontaliers importants,sur des marchés parallèles tenus par des mafias à terme la distribution  
du tabac organisé dans un réseau réglementé,sous tutelle de l'état,va disparaître au détriment  
des achats dans la rue

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

standardisation de l'offre officiel tabac ,ayant des conséquences importantes de la proposition de nouveaux tabac contrefait par mafia,qui va alimenter le marché

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

**additional comments**

la france a un réseau de buraliste,seul habilité à vendre du tabac,composé de 28000 buralistes formés,préposé de l'administration,responsable et reconnu pour son professionnalisme.TOUTE ACTION entreprise pour l'affaiblir,amène à une distribution anarchique dans la rue par des mafias,aussi la disparition des linéaires ajouterait au déclin du seul réseau officiel de vente du tabac, de plus cette disparition des linéaires n'est en aucun cas lié à la lutte contre le tabagisme,sauf dans l'esprit de quelques pensées irréalistes

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALESSIA BERRIOLA

**email**

VIA CALCEDONIA 1, ROMA

**country**

ITALIA

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.



**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christa Fellner

**email**

cw.fellner@gmx.at

**country**

austria

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt schon zuviele Regelungen

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kann helfen mit dem rauchen aufzuhören

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

legale Produkte dürfen nicht unverkäuflich gemacht werden

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

beibehaltung der Vielfalt

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

soll ländersache bleiben

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BERTOZZI LUIGI

**email**

luigi.bertozzi@email.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eberhard Steinbach

**email**

eberhard.steinbach@reemtsma.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem definition is vague and unclear

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

the problem definition is vague

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I disagree with problem definition

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the problem definition is vague

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ingredients are not added to attract non-smokers

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the problem definition is vague

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bittner , Heinz-Wilhelm

**email**

h-w.b@onlinehome.de

**country**

59073 Hamm

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARRACCI PAOLA

**email**

info@puccigiuseppe.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**



No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ramon Laubscher

**email**

Ramon\_Laubscher@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
PETINI GABRIELLA

**email**

p.testiccioli@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

65

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Erich Markert

**email**

em@csb-computer.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Wir brauchen keine bevormundung, wir sind alle mündige bürger

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Gerd Albrecht

**email**  
albrecht-kfz-sv@t-online.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
66

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**

do not force people

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no need

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

FORTI CINZIA

#### **email**

fit.macerata@tabaccai.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

45

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

ESTHER FERRERON MOLINA

#### **email**

estherferreron@terra.es

#### **country**

ESPAÑA

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

la propia genuinidad del producto es su razon de ser

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **regulation of ingredients**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MUNAF0' ANTONINO

**email**

musalvatore@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIOVANOLI OTTAVIO

**email**

fit.mantova@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Regina Bauernschmitt

**email**

spacejumper0815@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.



**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dieter Schönwalter

**email**

schoenwaltertrans@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

let the people their own free will

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SAVOLDO SANDRA

**email**

fit.mantova@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CALZA MARIA LUIGIA

**email**

fit.mantova@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marek Krupiński

**email**

a-tassa@wp.pl

**country**

Poland

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem definition is incorrect, vague and unclear. It fails to provide any scientific basis or evidence for the different options considered in this section.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem is defined overly narrowly, and so fails to identify relevant policy options

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No evidence provided for the different options discussed in this section.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem definition incorrectly defines the use of ingredients.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Sabine Becker



**email**

bineprivat@web.de

**country**

Kissing

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

ich bin für freie marktwirtschaft

**additional comments**

lasst den Bürger selbst entscheiden

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

jeder hat das Recht sein produkt werblich zu unterstützen, Werbung nur an Erwachsene gerichtet

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

warum auch?

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freiheit für alle, keine Vorschriften mehr durch die EU

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DANINI SILVANA

**email**

silvdani52@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COSTAGLIOLA DOMENICO

**email**

fit.napoli@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matthias Bauernschmitt

**email**

matthias\_bauernschmitt@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PERSICO SALVATORE

**email**

carminepersico1@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the



suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Wolfgang Fellner

##### **email**

cw.fellner@gmx.at

##### **country**

Austria

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Die Mitgliedsstaaten sollen die Zuständigkeit für nationale Maßnahmen zur Begrenzung des Zugangs zu Tabakerzeugnissen behalten!

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Schützt vor Passivrauch schont die Atemwege des Rauchers

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Zumutung für den Konsumenten bewirkt keine Entwöhnung. Raucher werden ähnlich diskriminiert wie Hitler es mit den Juden gemacht hat

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

zuviel bürokratie

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

erhalt der geschmacksvielfalt beibehaltung der Vielfalt

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freier zugang zu legalen Produkten Selbstbestimmung für händler sowie komsumenten

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

René Scholz

**email**

scholle88@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
36

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
GRANDI THOMAS

**email**  
thomas.grandi@aol.it

**country**  
Italy

**age**  
29

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hubert Wronski

**email**

HWronski@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Schindler

**email**

danny-schindler@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Stephan Manus

**email**

stephan.manus@physik.lmu.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich halte restriktive Maßnahmen grundsätzlich für nicht zielführend. Ich denke, dass pädagogisch einfühlsame Aufklärung sinnvoller ist.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich halte eine Kennzeichnungspflicht für Zusatzstoffe in Lebensmitteln für viel wichtiger, denn dies betrifft alle EU Bürger.

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**additional comments**

Ich bin ebenso für eine Kennzeichnung aller Zusatzstoffe in z. B. Kraftstoffen wie Benzin oder Diesel etc. . In Benzin und Tabakprodukten ist z. B. Benzol, das als krebserregend angesehen wird, enthalten. Wenn dieser Stoff auf Packungen für Tabakprodukte angegeben werden muß, ist eine Kennzeichnung an jeder Tankstelle ebenfalls zwingend erforderlich.

Alles andere wäre für mich eine Vorspiegelung falscher Tatsachen.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIANNETTO DANIELE

**email**

marco.giannetto1980@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan

**email**

devilsdragen@yahoo.de

**country**

Germmany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BERTONI DOMENICO

**email**

ressam@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rolf Kurt Krone

**email**

rolf.krone@alice-dsl.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

markus neuhaus

**email**

mac.neuhaus@web.de

**country**  
D

**age**  
39

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Nur die raucher werden immer stärker belangt alkohol, ist schlimmer!!!! nur da geht keiner rann.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GLASER JOSEF

**email**

glaser.josef@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jedliche wissenschaftliche Beweise fehlen

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ist nicht Sache der EU

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine richtige Agumentation

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein zusammenhang zwischen gesundheitspolitischen Problemen und dem Tabakkonsum

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DALLA BONA CLAUDIA

**email**

nuova-igea@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Duc Thang Nguyen

**email**

nguyen\_duchang@ymail.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nur teilweise Zustimmung! Keine Zustimmung bei den Punkten: Bildliche Warnhinweise und Verbot von Texten und Namen! Der Rest ist akzeptabel!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Wenn dringend notwendig, ist 1 Bildhinweis ausreichend! Trotzdem Zulassen der Texte und Namen seitens des Herstellers!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Mehrheit der Raucher ist sich bewusst, welche gesundheitlichen Folgen Tabakwaren mit sich bringen!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Mit Verbot der Offenen Präsentation würde ein Tod bedeuten für Tabakwarenhändler! Zudem würden es immer noch weiterhin Raucher geben!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIACOMARRA MICHELE

**email**

giacomarrafrancesco@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

68

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marion Korinek

**email**

marionkorinek@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Either you ban tobacco-products entirely or you leave everything as it is. Probably a ban would cost too much tax-income, so you don't dare. What about food, that is allowed, but causes cancer and other serious diseases? These are allowed and not even clearly signed. I think, this is a problem someone should take care about and not always tobacco!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Look at my answer above.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Please look at my answer above

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Please look at my answer above!

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No, I don't

**additional comments**

No, I don't

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Please look at my answer above.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No, I don't

**additional comments**

No, I don't

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dirk v. Polheim

**email**

dirk.vonpolheim@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RECCHIA ELIO

**email**

fit.pescara@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MUSA CORRADO

**email**

F150891@INWIND.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an

appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Miriam Gunning

**email**

miriam.gunning@hse.ie

**country**

Ireland

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

Restrict the sale of e-cigarettes in pharmacies as a high priority

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

No

**additional comments**

No

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIANSANTE MANUELA

**email**

TABACCHERIA.GIANSANTE@VIRGILIO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VALENTINA CARDILLO

**email**

valentina.\_84@hotmail.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l’ipotesi di vietare l’impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di “Burley”, in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto “American Blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D’altra parte, come chiarito anche nell’OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco “Virginia”) siano meno dannose

di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALBERICI PATRIZIA

**email**

giannicchia@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roland Siegel

**email**

siegelr@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PURRO FRANCESCA

**email**

cartol.tarducci@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Carmela Lanna

##### **email**

carmela-1988@hotmail.it

##### **country**

Italy

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie



che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell'OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è

vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CIMINI ALESSANDRA

**email**

fit.avezzano@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

fischer

**email**

g.fischer@fantasymail.de

**country**

germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LINO MATANO

**email**

linus1984@live.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l’ipotesi di vietare l’impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di “Burley”,

in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto “American Blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D’altra parte, come chiarito anche nell’ OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco “Virginia”) siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l’accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l’esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull’accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un’ ottima soluzione per limitare l’accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MASSARI CORRADA

**email**

sandrogiannecchini@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No



**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Monika Jung

**email**

jungdoedel@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

62

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SAGARIO RACHELE

**email**

rachele.sagario@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Assunta Lanna

**email**

assuntal@hotmail.it

**country**

Italia

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l’ipotesi di vietare l’impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di “Burley”, in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto “American Blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D’altra parte, come chiarito anche nell’OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco “Virginia”) siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maciej Dolewski

**email**

maciej.dolewski@pl.imtob.com

**country**

Polska

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the problem definition is incorrect, vague and unclear. It fails to provide any scientific basis

or evidence for the different options considered in this section

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem is defined overly narrowly, and so fails to identify relevant policy options

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no evidence provided for the different options discussed in this section

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem definition incorrectly defines the use of ingredients

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

GIUNTI ROMANO

#### **email**

cvrgas@fastwebmail.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

54

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulrich Sonneborn

**email**

uliccs@yahoo.de

**country**

germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
DEL GROSSO LIVIANA

**email**  
fit.roma@tabaccai.it

**country**  
Italy

**age**  
60

**gender**  
female

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Saunar Reiner

**email**

mod5@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIUSEPPE RICCA

**email**

peppericca@live.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell'OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PONTIGGIA MORENA

**email**

ginettalory2000@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Salvatore Parisi

**email**

salvatorepeterpan@alice.it

**country**

Italia

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello

stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Wagner

**email**

euyourvoice@maail.trumpkin.de

**country**

DE

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Leupold

**email**

bavariamichel@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich entscheide selbst, ob ich rauchen will, oder nicht. Auch wenn alle Packungen gleich aussehen.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar



**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karwatt, Michael

**email**

michael@karwatt.net

**country**

Germany

**age**  
42

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MORELLI REMO

#### **email**

60remomorelli@tiscali.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

50

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lukas Groh

**email**

Mail@Lukasgroh.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

21

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BISEGNA ANGELO

**email**

diloretoippolito@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Barbara Willich

**email**

barbarawillich@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no idea



**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

iám a self-recommended person

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

none

**additional comments**

none

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

none

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

none

**additional comments**

none

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

none

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**additional option**

none

**additional comments**

none

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIANLUCA ROVITO

**email**

gianlucaniky@live.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l’ipotesi di vietare l’impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di “Burley”, in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto “American Blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D’altra parte, come chiarito anche nell’OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco “Virginia”) siano meno dannose

di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BENEVENTO GIUSEPPE

**email**

benevento65@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Amedeo Furmento

**email**

amedeof85@hotmail.it

**country**

Italia

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l’ipotesi di vietare l’impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di

sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di “Burley”, in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto “American Blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D’altra parte, come chiarito anche nell’ OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco “Virginia”) siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l’accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l’esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull’accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un’ ottima soluzione per limitare l’accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Werner Bittkowski

**email**

werner.bittkowski@t-onlinede

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

61



**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Jeder Mensch hat das Recht auf freie selbstbestimmte Entfaltung seiner Persönlichkeit und seines Handels.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Jeder Mensch hat das Recht auf freie selbstbestimmte Entfaltung seiner Persönlichkeit und seines Handels.

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Jeder Mensch hat das Recht auf freie selbstbestimmte Entfaltung seiner Persönlichkeit und seines Handels.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Mensch hat das Recht auf freie selbstbestimmte Entfaltung seiner Persönlichkeit und seines Handels.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Weinert

**email**

lokalkey@gmail.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VELARDITA SALVATORE

**email**

fit.enna@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marlene Cristina Carvalho de Pinho Nadais

**email**

marlene\_nadais@hotmail.com

**country**

Portugal

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumer's health

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The purchase of tobacco by minors should be penalized, by punishing and holding accountable the parents and guardians of underage children who allow them to purchase tobacco products.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karl-Josef Melzer

**email**

charly.melzer@koeln.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

63

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

ARTURO ROMA

#### **email**

pi.pp.8@hotmail.it

#### **country**

ITALIA

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D. Lehmann

**email**

detlef.lehmann@online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

There is no problem

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

There is no problem

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Rudzio

**email**

thomasrudzio@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Di Rienzo Roberto

**email**

spkr82@gmail.com

**country**

Italia

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è

l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klatt

**email**

detlef\_klatt@web.de

**country**

Hemer

**age**

52

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**



No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

not comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mons, Heinrich

**email**

mons-inden@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

-

**additional comments**

-

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LUIGI RADDI

**email**

louis85@hotmail.it

**country**  
ITALIA

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell'OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dieter Karle

**email**

dieter@karlecw.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TUCCERI CIMINI NADA

**email**

antonio.palermo@21gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults



**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Martin Radermacher

**email**

Radermacher@ish.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

to many regulations

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

to many regulations

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

to many regulations

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

to many regulations

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

to many regulations

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

to many regulations

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Matthias Gensch

#### **email**

antwort-matthias@web.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

42

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

peter Harwardt

**email**

Peter\_harwardt@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es sollte den Konsumenten überlassen sein.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es sollte den Konsumenten über lassen sein.

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ornella Simeone

**email**

pandamao@libero.it

**country**

Italia

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l’ipotesi di vietare l’impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di “Burley”, in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto “American Blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D’altra parte, come chiarito anche nell’OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco “Virginia”) siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ramona Bohlinger

**email**

Bohmi@aol.com

**country**

xxx

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**



**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**additional option**

xxx

**additional comments**

xxx

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

acd

**additional comments**

acd

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

xxx

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

xxx

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**additional option**

xxx

**additional comments**

xxx

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lucio Francisco de Almeida Nadais

**email**

lucionadais@iol.pt

**country**

Portugal

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no evidence that the inhibitor of exposure of products in commercial spaces diminishes the consumption of tobacco. Furthermore the ban on display of products is an inhibitor of "freedom of choice" by consumers and promotes confusion between the legal product and the illegal product.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VIGILANTE ANTONIO

**email**

archvigilante@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COCCA FRANCESCO

**email**

coccafra@COCCAFRANCESCO.191.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Elisabeth Gerhardt

**email**

kurt\_gerhardt@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernd Sobisch

**email**

Sobisch@online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARIAPIA MIRRA

**email**

mapiu84@hotmail.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Heiko

#### **email**

europacker@web.de

#### **country**

GE

#### **age**

44

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

it's enough now !!! Citizens are older than 18 !

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

it's enough restriction !

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

s.a.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

s.a.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

s.a.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

s.a.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roberto Andriella

**email**

r-o-b-b-91@hotmail.it

**country**

Italia

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’ Unione.

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell'OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rainer Pongs

**email**

Rainer.Pongs@gmx.de



**country**

Rainer.Pongs@gmx.de

**age**

64

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephan Bayer

**email**

germanpug@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
walter fischer

**email**

waller0212@aol.com

**country**  
germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAOLA MEZZARANO

**email**

polla2906@hotmail.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l’ipotesi di vietare l’impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di “Burley”, in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto “American Blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di

ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dennys Hofmann

**email**

chilli-dragon@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen



**name**

M. weigold

**email**

m-weigold@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine änderung

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommenar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Angela Pohler

**email**

apohler@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't want that other peoples believe that they have the right to decide what kind of things are good for me or not. I am an adult and I want peoples to respect that I can and will decide

things by my own.

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Teach peoples more to make own decisions, not just to follow the so said Majority.

**additional comments**

I don't know why politicians and other (mostly richer) peoples always try to rule the world. We have already to many useless laws and rules worldwide, we really don't need any more. And I hate it really when other peoples (most unknown peoples) are not respecting other peoples and their opinions and actings.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

The new products are only showing that the market wants Tobacco and manufacturers are threatened by silly politicians to looks for alternate products.

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional comments**

Instead of taking peoples own right to decide things, it would be better for all to remember the true problems the world has, e.g. the worldwide need of jobs.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Same answer as one questions side before

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

(why are you asking so many times the same?)

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Additional ingredients are making some products more interesting, e.g. Menthol.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I am shocked about how "clean" some authorities are wanting the world... I bet that, if peoples really would be asked (without any threatening of media and politicians), that around 89% of all citizens would say: Let us alone and let us live like we want to live and not how YOU want us to live. Start to RESPECT THAT! ty

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

I am shocked about how "clean" some authorities are wanting the world... I bet that, if peoples really would be asked (without any threatening of media and politicians), that around 89% of all citizens would say: Let us alone and let us live like we want to live and not how YOU want us to live. Start to RESPECT THAT! ty

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Gryska

**email**

enigma-b@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Nicht verpflichtet

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nicht Verpflichtent

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Nicht Verpflichtent

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Nicht Verpflichtent

**additional comments**

Nicht Verpflichtent

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ilardo Raffaele

**email**

ilaraff@hotmail.it

**country**

Italia

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è

l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Udo Eble

**email**

udo.eble@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**



Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Weißer Packungen sind viel einfacher zu fälschen als die bisherigen. Also wird kriminellen Menschen in die Hände gespielt. Zudem finde ich weiße Packungen häßlich.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Sichtbarkeit: Zigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte und sollten somit auch für den Kunden im Geschäft sichtbar sein. Verbot der Automaten: Durch Verbote von Automaten würden alle Fachgroßhändler schließen müssen, was wiederum eine hohe Arbeitslosigkeit

bedeutet. Das kann nicht im Sinne der EU sein! Zudem sind die Menschen in der EU freie Bürger und entscheiden somit selbst ob sie Cigaretten kaufen möchten oder nicht. Durch das Verbot von Cigarettenautomaten schränkt man die Freiheit der Menschen ein.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Ingrid Morawetz

#### **email**

ingridmorawetz@alice.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zu dem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip Jede Form der Regulierung von "Tabakerzeugnissen" muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder Zigaretten ähnliche Produkt(Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. ...oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Der konsumrauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produktrechte fertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen über zeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit leichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervor geht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und um zu setzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dies erfolgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/EC aus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung

zufriedenstellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch minderjährige Personen. Weder ein Verbot des Verkaufs von Tabakwaren in Automaten, noch die Untersagung der Auslage bzw. Sichtbarkeit von Zigaretten in Verkaufsstellen weisen einen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Für Automaten ergibt sich das schon daraus, dass deren Einzugsgebiet auf die unmittelbare Nachbarschaft zum Automaten beschränkt ist. Selbst wenn von Mitgliedstaat zu Mitgliedstaat unterschiedliche Anforderungen an die Gestaltung der Automaten - z.B. aus Gründen des Jugendschutzes - den grenzüberschreitenden Handel mit den Automaten behindern, würde ein Verbot der Automatenaufstellung dem gemeinschaftsweiten Warenhandel mit Automaten die Grundlage entziehen, diesen also beeinträchtigen und ihn nicht erleichtern. Die EU kann nur tätig werden, wenn sie die Kompetenz dazu hat – diese Kompetenz ist in den EU-Verträgen festgelegt. Ein Verweis darauf, dass bisher weder die Frage der begrenzten Einzelmächtigung, der Subsidiarität oder der Verhältnismäßigkeit in Bezug auf die einzelnen Maßnahmen oder Optionen hin geprüft wurde, ist inakzeptabel. Die Problembeschreibung wird daher mangels fehlender juristischer Vorwertung der Kommission nicht mitgetragen. Dass die Existenz von Zigarettenautomaten einen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher hat, ist wissenschaftlich nicht nachgewiesen. In Ländern mit einem Automatenverbot fällt die Quote jugendlicher Raucher zum Teil deutlich höher aus als in den Ländern mit einer Automatenvertriebsstruktur. Pläne der Europäischen Kommission zur Abschaffung der Automaten schränken daher die Bezugsmöglichkeiten erwachsener Verbraucher ein, dienen dem Jugendschutz jedoch in keiner Weise.“ Organisierte Kriminalität wird das Vakuum füllen, das entsteht, wenn legale Verkaufs- bzw. Bezugstellen verschwinden.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Isabel Cristina da Silva limoes

**email**

belali330@hotmail.com

**country**

Portugal

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
With generic packaging consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
The vending machines are "part of the solution" and not "part of the problem" because they constitute an important tool in combating the sale of counterfeit tobacco products since the offender risks a lot more to be discovered by authorities when selling counterfeit tobacco in their vending machine.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Juergen Paepenmoeller

**email**

j.paepenmoeller@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

7

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uwe Lorenz

**email**

uwe.lorenz@finland.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Angela Schaum

**email**

schaum1972@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche

Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zu dem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von "Tabakerzeugnissen" muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder Zigaretten ähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. ...oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der konsumrauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produktrecht fertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen über zeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den

Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervor geht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und um zu setzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der

Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dies erfolgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettensautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettensautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/EC aus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufriedenstellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch minderjährige Personen. Weder ein Verbot des Verkaufs von Tabakwaren in Automaten, noch die Untersagung der Auslage bzw. Sichtbarkeit von Zigaretten in Verkaufsstellen weisen einen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Für Automaten ergibt sich das schon daraus, dass deren Einzugsgebiet auf die unmittelbare Nachbarschaft zum Automaten beschränkt ist. Selbst wenn von Mitgliedstaat zu Mitgliedstaat unterschiedliche Anforderungen an die Gestaltung der Automaten - z.B. aus Gründen des Jugendschutzes - den grenzüberschreitenden Handel mit den Automaten behindern, würde ein Verbot der Automatenaufstellung dem gemeinschaftsweiten Warenhandel mit Automaten die Grundlage entziehen, diesen also beeinträchtigen und ihn nicht erleichtern. Die EU kann nur tätig werden, wenn sie die Kompetenz dazu hat – diese Kompetenz ist in den EU-Verträgen festgelegt. Ein Verweis darauf, dass bisher weder die Frage der begrenzten Einzelermächtigung, der Subsidiarität oder der Verhältnismäßigkeit in Bezug auf die einzelnen Maßnahmen oder Optionen hin geprüft wurde, ist inakzeptabel. Die Problembeschreibung wird daher mangels fehlender juristischer Vorwertung der Kommission nicht mitgetragen. Dass die Existenz von Zigarettensautomaten einen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher hat, ist wissenschaftlich nicht nachgewiesen. In Ländern mit einem Automatenverbot fällt die Quote jugendlicher Raucher zum Teil deutlich höher aus als in den Ländern mit einer Automatenvertriebsstruktur. Pläne der Europäischen Kommission zur Abschaffung der Automaten schränken daher die Bezugsmöglichkeiten erwachsener Verbraucher ein, dienen dem Jugendschutz jedoch in keiner Weise.“ Organisierte Kriminalität wird das Vakuum füllen, das entsteht, wenn legale Verkaufs- bzw. Bezugstellen verschwinden.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Dr. Hubert Klus

#### **email**

hubert.klus@aon.at

#### **country**

Austria

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **recommend option**

The directive should be a “tobacco directive” . Therefore, the directive needs an exact definition of its scope, e.g., “the directive should be valid for nicotine containing products for smoking, chewing, or sniffing consisting of at least 60 (for example and open for discussion) percent of tobacco”. Due to such a definition the directive will not be valid for nicotine containing pharmaceutical products, electronic cigarettes (nicotine delivery systems), nicotine containing sweets and drinks, as well as for herbal cigarettes. These non tobacco products should be regulated by separate directives.

### **additional comments**

If there is an exact definition of the scope of the directive an extension seems to be not necessary.

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Since centuries different kinds of smokeless tobaccos have been on the market in Europe and worldwide. The toxic and health related properties of these finds of tobaccos may be different. Therefore, it is not correct to generalize the health risks for humans connected to any kind of smokeless tobacco use. According to several studies, human health risks connected to Swedish snus are extremely lower than that of tobacco products for smoking and perhaps also of other smokeless tobacco products. Therefore, it is not understandable, that the sale of several kinds of smokeless tobacco is allowed in the European member states, the sale of Swedish snus, however, is restricted to Sweden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I agree only in part. I agree that there are differences in health warnings on the package between member states. But I do not agree that these differences have an impact on smoking behavior in these individual countries. The design of the packing for tobacco products is competition tool used by tobacco product manufacturers and not a tool to hire new tobacco consumers. It is correct, that the numbers for the tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide content on cigarette packs can mislead some consumers. Therefore an elimination of these numbers from the cigarette packs is an option. On the other hand, these numbers give the consumer information on taste and impact of the specific cigarette brand. The regulation of labeling of water pipes by the directive makes no sense. The tobacco used in water pipes may be labeled and its composition (additives) regulated.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The up to now published scientific literature show no increase of health risks for consumer of tobacco products due to added ingredients. In addition, none of the today used ingredients is per se an addictive substance or increases the addictiveness of tobacco products. In the EU two types of cigarettes are on the market: cigarettes without additives predominately in Great Britain and Ireland made from flue cured tobacco, cigarettes with additives added to tobacco common in all other countries of the EU( American blend cigarettes). The number of smokers and smoking habits are comparable in the markets of the both types of cigarettes. A restriction or ban of tobacco ingredients will therefore prefer the manufacturers of additive-free flue-cured cigarettes. A positive health effect for the society of such a ban or restriction cannot be expected.

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I agree only in part. The cross border sale of tobacco products, e.g., via Internet should be restricted. A ban of vending machines will only restrict the availability of tobacco products during night or Sunday, but not reduce the number of smokers. However, vending machines should have equipments to prevent children to purchase a tobacco product. I am convinced that a prohibition of the display of tobacco products in the point of sale will not reduce the number of smokers nor the number of starters. There is no valid scientific study confirming such a prohibition.

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mario Tresch

**email**

mario-tresch@online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**



citizen

**name**

Emma Marchione

**email**

emma2507@live.it

**country**

Italy

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Federica Processi

#### **email**

federicaprocessi@interfree.it

#### **country**

Italia

#### **gender**

female

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul

prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a

disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

cortes

**email**

lerondpoint3@wanadoo.fr

**country**

france

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Il n'y pas d'études scientifiques efficaces prouvant les risques concernant les produits du tabac sans fumée

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Rien de prouvé une moindre consommation si les photos chocs apparaissent ou si les paquets deviennent génériques. Si nous sommes les seuls en Europe à appliquer les directives, cela n'est pas bon. Tous les états membres doivent de concert appliquer les directives, sinon que vont faire les consommateurs ? Ils vont simplement aller acheter le tabac dans des pays où ces contraintes ne sont pas appliquées, mais n'arrêteront pas pour autant. Là est le vrai danger, car aucune surveillance ne sera appliquée.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Si on diminue l'offre au fumeur de plus de 18 ans, on risque de voir apparaître beaucoup de tabac de contrebande et de contre façon certainement plus nocive que le tabac vendu par les Buralistes, d'où danger.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

**additional comments**

C'est utopique de penser que si il n'y a plus de linéaire tabac, il n'y aura plus de fumeur. Les buralistes sont des préposés de l'administration française, et sont extrêmement contrôlés

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PICCINI PAOLO

**email**

antonella@piccini.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

74

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Berndt

**email**

thomas-berndt@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DARIO MARCHIONE

**email**

dariolandia@hotmail.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “ l’ evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “ maggiore è la dimensione dell’ avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’ efficacia” . Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’ introduzione del cosiddetto “ pacchetto generico” , che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’ altro il “ plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’ unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’ accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’ Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l’ ipotesi di vietare l’ impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di “Burley”, in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto “American Blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D’ altra parte, come chiarito anche nell’ OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco “Virginia”) siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZANIRATO DANIELA

**email**

info@piandarca.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johannes Spatz

**email**

johannes\_spatz@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

67

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAPOCCIA MARCO

**email**

marco.capoccia@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent



proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eberhard Knust

**email**

u.e.knust@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marc Haves

**email**

marc.haves@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no problem as it is, you make it a problem

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Yes, leave cigar smokers alone, we are adult people.

**additional comments**

See above

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

see my earlier comments

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

see my earlier comments

**additional comments**

see my earlier comments

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see my earlier comments

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

see my earlier comments

**additional comments**

see my earlier comments

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see my earlier comments

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

see my earlier comments

**additional comments**

see my earlier comments

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see my earlier comments

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

see my earlier comments

**additional comments**

see my earlier comments

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see my earlier comments

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

see my earlier comments

**additional comments**

Why is this form only in English - bad joke? THAT is VERY discriminatory - don't my taxes pay for enough translators yet?

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sabrina Ventriglia

**email**

sabrinav@live.it

**country**

Italy

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell'OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PACIOSI MONIA

**email**

tabacchipaciosi@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AUGUSTO CICCARELLI

**email**

augusto.ciccarelli@poste.it

**country**

Italia

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello

stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ANTONIO PRATILLO

**email**

tony-08@libero.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Joseph Maria Leusen

**email**

hleusen@dannemann-sa.com.br

**country**

Brazil

**age**

73

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freedom above all

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No comments

**additional comments**

No comments

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No comments

**additional comments**

No comments

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

No comments

**additional comments**

No comments

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No comments

**additional comments**

No comments

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No comments

**additional comments**

No comments

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PISPOLA MICHELE

**email**

michelepispola@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Jürgensen

**email**

jan.juergensen88@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no, i don`t recommend any additional option.

**additional comments**

no, i don´t have any comments,

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

STELLA SARA

**email**

fit.perugia@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PRUDENTE GIUSEPPE

**email**

PRUDENTE.GIUSEPPE@LIBERO.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**  
47

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARINI CRISTINA

**email**

fit.perugia@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anne Bergmann

**email**

bergmannsanne@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolf-Dieter Schnerpel

**email**

wolf-dieter@schnerpel.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SANTOMAURO MONICA

**email**

MONIKK@ALICE.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

ANTONIO DI BENEDETTO

#### **email**

antonio5891@hotmail.it

#### **country**

ITALIA

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Meier

**email**

meier@uni-koeln.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RINALDI DIMITRI

**email**

fit.pisa@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Udo Ploder

**email**

ploder@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
jeder Mensch sollte kaufen und gebrauchen dürfen was ihm Schaden zufügen kann wenn er keinen Dritten gefährdet.

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Bevormundung der Konsumenten

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dirk Bernhardt

**email**

bernhardt\_dirk@t-online.de

**country**

GER

**age**

51

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rüdiger Demsky

**email**

ruedi@cityweb.de

**country**

germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MEROPINI ENZO

**email**

fit.pisa@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

75

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SARTORI VITO

**email**

emmachaneln5@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dieter Eichinger

**email**

d-eichinger@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

IDA TESSITORE

#### **email**

splendida-20@hotmail.it

#### **country**

ITALIA

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PRUDENTE MARTINA

**email**

ZUMA007@HOTMAIL.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

20

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che

rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare

l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

meyer

**email**

meyer.Tabakwaren@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach braucht es weder grössere Warnings noch Fotos oder weisse Packungen für Tabakwaren. Wir sind erwachsene Bürger und wissen über die Gefahren des Rauchens, doch wollen wir bei der richtigen Gelegenheit unsere Tabakprodukte genießen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die einzelnen EU Mitgliedstaaten können bereits heute entsprechende Gebühren und Maßnahmen erlassen.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus bezüglich Flavortests sollte darauf ausgerichtet sein, festzustellen ob die Flavors wirklich zu einer Erhöhung der toxischen Wirkung beim Tabakrauch führen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach führt ein totales Verbot dazu, dass die Vielfalt an verschiedenen Marken verschwindet.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MURDACA ANDREA

**email**

andreamurd@aliceposta.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dany Scheffler

**email**

plumplori.1975@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karl-Heinz Schaubel

**email**

karl-heinz.schaubel@web.de



**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GUIDUCCI SILVIA

**email**

markkualen69@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Michael Motyka

#### **email**

motyka@m2plus.de

#### **country**

Bundesrepublik Deutschland

#### **age**

48

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LEARDINI ROMEO

**email**

fit.rimini@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SERGIO BELLI

**email**

SERGIO.BELLI@TIN.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

40

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo



SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60. 000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DALIA GIOVANNI

**email**

giodjcaserta89@hotmail.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l’ipotesi di vietare l’impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di

sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di “Burley”, in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto “American Blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D’altra parte, come chiarito anche nell’ OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco “Virginia”) siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l’accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l’esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull’accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un’ ottima soluzione per limitare l’accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Meister, Christoph

**email**

chrismeister1980@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

30

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach braucht es weder grössere Warnings noch Fotos oder weisse Packungen für Cigars. Wir sind alle erwachsene Bürger und wissen über die Gefahren des Rauchens, doch wollen wir bei der richtigen Gelegenheit unsere Cigars geniessen

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jedes EU Mitglied kann bereits selber entscheiden welche Maßnahmen und Gebühren erlassen.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach führt ein totales Verbot dazu, dass die Vielfalt an verschiedenen Marken verschwindet. Das wäre schade.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Meiner Meinung nach führt ein totales Verbot dazu, dass die Vielfalt an verschiedenen Marken verschwindet. Das wäre schade.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SAVINI COLOMBO

**email**

fit.rimini@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

64

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Scheinflug

**email**

m.scheinflug@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MICALETTI PAOLO FELICE



**email**

pmicaletti@tele2.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Guenter J o e r n

**email**

guenter.joern@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wir sind erwachsene Menschen - da sollte jeder selbst entscheiden können

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comments

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

ich bin alt genug, um selbst ensscheiden zu können - ich brauche keine Verordnung oder gar ein Gesetz

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

njo comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GALLI DAVIDE

**email**

fit.rimini@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DELGADO GIUSEPPE

**email**

MEDIASOFTSRL@LIBERO.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

45

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LIA PRATILLO

**email**

ail83@hotmail.it



**country**  
ITALIA

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell'OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Schwierz

**email**

schwierzy@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Snus ist wahrscheinlich weder schlechter noch besser als Zigaretten, weshalb eine Sonderbehandlung vollkommen uneinsichtig ist. Man kann also nur alle Tabakwaren erlauben oder alle verbieten, aber nicht wahllos bestimmten Konsumarten eine Sonderstellung einräumen.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PICCINI PAOLO

**email**

antonella@piccini.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

74

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIOVENZANA ALESSIO

**email**

info@puccigiuseppe.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAONE LUCA

**email**

bimbo71@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No



**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DOMENICO D'ANGELO

**email**

domenek-angels-of-love@hotmail.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l’ipotesi di vietare l’impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di “Burley”, in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto “American Blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D’altra parte, come chiarito anche nell’OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco “Virginia”) siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Laeuter

**email**

marc.laeuter@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Hau

#### **email**

J-Hau@t-online.de

#### **country**

DEU

#### **age**

51

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALTANA GIOVANNA MARIA

**email**

fit.olbia@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

68

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this



statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAMPALONE FRANCESCA

**email**

michelemazzara@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Florian Schwarm

**email**

schwarme@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rüdiger Bohle

**email**

Ruediger.Bohle@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Yara Detert

**email**

YaraDetert@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FOUILLER

**email**

tanou54@orange.fr

**country**

FRANCE

**age**

56

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Il n'y a pas d'études scientifiques efficaces prouvant les risques concernant les produits du tabac sans fumée

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des paquets neutres. Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité



des photos chocs, ni même de plus grands avertissements sanitaires sur les paquets. La mise en place de paquets neutres risque d'entraîner une guerre des prix du tabac (puisque cela restera le seul moyen pour les fabricants de se différencier). La mise en place de photos chocs sur les 2 faces des paquets ou de paquets génériques va pousser les consommateurs français à aller s'approvisionner sur les marchés parallèles du tabac (achats à l'étranger ou de contrebande, ou sur internet. De telles mesures risquent de déstabiliser et pénaliser économiquement le réseau des 28 000 buralistes français organisés dans un réseau réglementé et sous tutelle de l'Etat

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cela risque d'entraîner une standardisation de la production avec comme conséquence une diminution de l'offre au fumeur adulte et responsable, et le risque de voire apparaitre une augmentation des produits du tabac contrefaits

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

**additional comments**

Il n'y a pas de corrélation prouvée entre la disparition des linéaires tabac et la lutte contre le tabagisme. La France dispose d'un réseau de 28 000 buralistes, préposés de l'administration responsables et professionnels, seuls habilités à vendre du tabac. Ce réseau est fortement réglementé par l'Etat ce qui permet déjà de contrôler efficacement l'accès au produit tabac.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

PERALTA PIETRO

#### **email**

fit.trapani@tabaccai.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

57

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Peter dilger

##### **email**

peter\_dilger@web.de

##### **country**

Germany

##### **age**

61

##### **gender**

male

##### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

ewre bady its free to yoes ob Smoking or not

##### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
GIUSEPPE MAESANO

**email**  
joseph-maesano@hotmail.it

**country**  
ITALIA

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l’ipotesi di vietare l’impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di “Burley”, in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto “American Blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D’altra parte, come chiarito anche nell’OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco “Virginia”) siano meno dannose

di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SIMONTE PAOLO

**email**

fit.trapani@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free



market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johannes Maier

**email**

johannes20maier@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schmolke

**email**

gernot.schmolke@web.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

we have enough regulations

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

we have enough regulations

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

we have enough regulations

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

we have enough regulations

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PETRIGNANO ROBERTO

**email**

fit.genova@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martina Gruenwald

**email**

m.gruenwald@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
In general the scope could be extended to other product categories but bans on product categories should not be done in general but based on scientific assessments.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
In my opinion bigger health warnings as well as plain packaging will not have an effect on public health understanding. Additionally the plain packaging will ease counterfeiting and therefore lead to an increase of illegal sales.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
As member states are allowed to impose sanctions this should remain in the responsibility of them and should not be regulated by the EU.

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ingredients should be analyzed if they have a negative influence on the toxicity of smoke and if yes regulations should be declared by the member states.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no proof that bans on vending machines, displays, etc. will have a positive influence on public health as well as that it will lead to lower "black market" activities.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ELVIO RUSSO

**email**

eblackjack91@hotmail.it

**country**

ITALY

**age**

20

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kristin Anders

**email**

wsf0498@yahoo.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist nicht nötig, Erwachsene derartig zu bevormunden. Jeder sollte und muss für sich alleine entscheiden dürfen.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Schlicht und ergreifend Aufklärung ab dem Kindergarten würde so manchen von alleine abhalten.

**additional comments**

keine weiteren Kommentare

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Es wurde bereits genug reglementiert. Es gibt Grenzen für jeden Reglementierungswahn.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Nein, kein weiterer Kommentar.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wie gesagt, jeder sollte das Recht haben, für sich allein entscheiden zu können. Wir reden hier über Erwachsene - nicht Kinder.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Nein. Es ist ok, wie es ist.

**additional comments**

Nein.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Wie schon zuvor gesagt, entscheiden Sie hier nicht für Kinder. Jeder Erwachsene ist sich

darüber im Klaren, was er tut. Und diese Entscheidungsfreiheit muss man ihm auch lassen.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Warum sollte der Zugang limitiert sein?

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARBARA VINCENZO

**email**

fit.trapani@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DELLA CORTE ANTONIO

**email**

antn1990@hotmail.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l’ipotesi di vietare l’impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di

sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di “Burley”, in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto “American Blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D’altra parte, come chiarito anche nell’ OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco “Virginia”) siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l’accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l’esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull’accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un’ ottima soluzione per limitare l’accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Micahael Geßler

**email**

michael.gessler@heidt-tabak.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

40



**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich bin der Meinung, dass die vorhandenen Regelungen absolut ausreichend. Es handelt sich um legale Produkte, deren Produktion, Vertrieb und Konsum bereits eingehend kontrolliert und geregelt werden. Oftmals wird seitens der EU-Kommissare offenbar vergessen, dass es sich beim Konsumenten um mündige, selbstbestimmte Menschen bzw. Bürger handelt, die sich über ihr Tun absolut im Klaren sind. Auch der Jugendschutz ist mehr und stringenter als jemals zuvor geregelt. Auch durch freiwillige Selbstbeschränkungen seitens der Industrie und des Handels. Für die Wirksamkeit der geplanten Richtlinien gibt es keinerlei Nachweise

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
see above

**additional comments**  
no

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
see question I.

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MINAUDO PIETRO

**email**

fit.trapani@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jan von Fircks

**email**  
j.v.fircks@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
40

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BERNARDI ANNA RITA

**email**

alfabox80@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DELLA CORTE GIUSEPPE

**email**

dellacortegp@virgilio.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le



avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell'OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANCINELLI RICCARDO

**email**

riccardo.m.81@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Razvan Stoian

**email**

razvan.events@gmail.com

**country**

Romania

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No Change

**additional comments**

Is strict enough

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No change

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrej Inkuletz

**email**

inkis@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf

ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen ?

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Weißer Packungen sind viel einfacher zu fälschen. Plain Packaging spielt also Kriminellen in die Hände

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind legale Produkte! Diese sollte der Kunde auch im Geschäft sehen können und sich seine Marke aussuchen dürfen.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NEGRI GIANCARLO

**email**

fit.verbania@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

64

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**



No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CORDIER

**email**

la.cordier@orange.fr

**country**

FRANCE

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Il n'y a pas d'études scientifiques efficaces prouvant les risques concernant les produits du tabac sans fumée.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des paquets neutres. Aucune étude ne prouve l'efficacité des photos chocs, ni même de plus grands avertissements sanitaires sur les paquets. La mise en place de paquets neutres risque d'entraîner une guerre des prix du tabac (puisque cela restera le seul moyen pour les fabricants de se différencier). La mise en place de photos chocs sur les 2 faces des paquets ou de paquets génériques va pousser les consommateurs français à aller s'approvisionner sur les marchés parallèles du tabac (achats à l'étranger ou de contrebande, ou sur internet. De telles mesures risquent de déstabiliser et pénaliser économiquement le réseau des 28 000 buralistes français organisés dans un réseau réglementé et sous tutelle de l'Etat.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Cela risque d'entraîner une standardisation de la production avec comme conséquence une diminution de l'offre au fumeur adulte et responsable, et le risque de voire apparaître une augmentation des produits du tabac contrefaits.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

**additional comments**

Il n'y a pas de corrélation prouvée entre la disparition des linéaires tabac et la lutte contre le

tabagisme. La France dispose d'un réseau de 28 000 buralistes, préposés de l'administration responsables et professionnels, seuls habilités à vendre du tabac. Ce réseau est fortement réglementé par l'Etat ce qui permet déjà de contrôler efficacement l'accès au produit tabac.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Schmidt

##### **email**

ruediger\_schmidt@alice.de

##### **country**

germany

##### **gender**

male

##### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird in meinem Sinne einen konkreten Kommentar abgeben.

##### **which option**

No change

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

##### **additional option**

Warum ist Snus nur in Schweden erlaubt? Es ist m.W. weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Zigaretten.

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird in meinem Sinne einen konkreten Kommentar abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird in meinem Sinne einen konkreten Kommentar abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZANARDI ANGELO

**email**

fit.mantova@tabaccai.iy

**country**

Italy

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SERENA TARTAGLIA

**email**

serenat90@alice.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**



Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASERTA RAFFAELE

**email**

caserta70@interfree.it

**country**

ITALY

**age**

40

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

PULPITO CARLO

#### **email**

trbtaranto@libero.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

34

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARBONE CRISTIAN

**email**

tabacchi.carbone2010@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZELLI RAFFAELE

**email**

bardaraffa@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SALVATORE MEZZARANO

**email**

sasimezz@virgilio.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette.

Sono anche totalmente contrario all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell'OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono

infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lindemann

**email**

diddi\_col@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'ELEUTERIO ANNA

**email**

fit.avezzano@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Antonin, Roman

**email**

Rom1961@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRANCHI MASSIMO

**email**

franchimax@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

ROMANO MICHELE

##### **email**

MROMANO@PROTABGROUP.IT

##### **country**

ITALY

##### **age**

46

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute

dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma

fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MATANO CLAUDIO

**email**

drughi-1@hotmail.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai

pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’ Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l’ipotesi di vietare l’impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di “Burley”, in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto “American Blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D’altra parte, come chiarito anche nell’ OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco “Virginia”) siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obbiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MONTALBANO ROSA MARINA

**email**

ROBERTO\_MONTALBANO@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

47

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PICCONE VINICIO

**email**

fit.avezzano@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralph Lindner

**email**

Ralph.Lindner@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I want freedom.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

I want freedom.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I want freedom.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I want freedom.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I want freedom.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I want freedom.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr Alison McCallum

**email**

alison.mccallum@nhslothian.scot.nhs.uk

**country**

United Kingdom

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

To include novel forms of oral tobacco, herbal cigarettes and electronic nicotine delivery systems, insofar as they are not already covered by other EU legislation (food, pharmaceutical).

**additional comments**

The scope of the Directive should include the regulation of any new smoked tobacco products, including herbal cigarettes.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**additional option**

The size of warnings should be increased to a minimum of 80% of the front and back of cigarette packs. There should be a uniform approach in all EU countries.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Tobacco companies must be forced to adopt a common reporting format and also pay a registration fee to cover the costs of the system.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

There should be a 'positive list' so that only registered products can be marketed within the EU.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional option**

I recommend an EU adoption of a ban on the display of tobacco products at the point of sale (as has been adopted in Ireland, Iceland, Norway and Finland).

**additional comments**

The amount of tobacco that can imported into an European country should be standardised

and reduced. Finland is an example, having set a strict limit on the amount of tobacco that can be imported to the country from countries where different labelling regulations apply.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COSTANZI MARIO

**email**

fit.laquila@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other



experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

DE SIMONE DAVIDE

##### **email**

davide8@alice.it

##### **country**

ITALIA

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie

che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è

vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COSTANZO SALVATORE

**email**

costasalva@hotmail.it

**country**

ITALIA

**age**

39

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai

pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’ Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l’ipotesi di vietare l’impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di “Burley”, in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto “American Blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D’altra parte, come chiarito anche nell’ OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco “Virginia”) siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obbiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Ilse

**email**

markusilse@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALESSANDRO PASSEROTTI

**email**

APASSEROTTI@ARBTRADE.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**  
 No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**  
 Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati

**which option**  
 No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FARINELLA ENZO



**email**

fit.palermo@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernhard Pohl

**email**

EssoStationBPohl@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christina Fries

**email**

christina.fries@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zu dem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip Jede Form der Regulierung von "Tabakerzeugnissen" muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder Zigaretten ähnliche Produkt(Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. ...oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der konsumrauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen

gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produktrechte fertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen über zeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit leichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt,

kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervor geht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und um zu setzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dies erfolgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/EC aus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufriedenstellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den

Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch minderjährige Personen. Weder ein Verbot des Verkaufs von Tabakwaren in Automaten, noch die Untersagung der Auslage bzw. Sichtbarkeit von Zigaretten in Verkaufsstellen weisen einen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Für Automaten ergibt sich das schon daraus, dass deren Einzugsgebiet auf die unmittelbare Nachbarschaft zum Automaten beschränkt ist. Selbst wenn von Mitgliedstaat zu Mitgliedstaat unterschiedliche Anforderungen an die Gestaltung der Automaten - z.B. aus Gründen des Jugendschutzes - den grenzüberschreitenden Handel mit den Automaten behindern, würde ein Verbot der Automatenaufstellung dem gemeinschaftsweiten Warenhandel mit Automaten die Grundlage entziehen, diesen also beeinträchtigen und ihn nicht erleichtern. Die EU kann nur tätig werden, wenn sie die Kompetenz dazu hat - diese Kompetenz ist in den EU-Verträgen festgelegt. Ein Verweis darauf, dass bisher weder die Frage der begrenzten Einzelmächtigung, der Subsidiarität oder der Verhältnismäßigkeit in Bezug auf die einzelnen Maßnahmen oder Optionen hin geprüft wurde, ist inakzeptabel. Die Problembeschreibung wird daher mangels fehlender juristischer Vorwertung der Kommission nicht mitgetragen. Dass die Existenz von Zigarettenautomaten einen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher hat, ist wissenschaftlich nicht nachgewiesen. In Ländern mit einem Automatenverbot fällt die Quote jugendlicher Raucher zum Teil deutlich höher aus als in den Ländern mit einer Automatenvertriebsstruktur. Pläne der Europäischen Kommission zur Abschaffung der Automaten schränken daher die Bezugsmöglichkeiten erwachsener Verbraucher ein, dienen dem Jugendschutz jedoch in keiner Weise.“ Organisierte Kriminalität wird das Vakuum füllen, das entsteht, wenn legale Verkaufs- bzw. Bezugsstellen verschwinden.

#### **which option**

No change

---

#### **Citizen submission**

##### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Gerhard Geers

##### **email**

gerd.geers@t-online.de

##### **country**

Deutschland

##### **age**

54

##### **gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme



in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IPPOLITO GIUSEPPA

**email**

pepppeg-97@live.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Burchard Bley

**email**

burchard.bleygooglemail.com

**country**

germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrea Weissmann

**email**

Firma-H.Kolde@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme

in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VALENTINO CROLLA

**email**

vale\_biancone@hotmail.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l’ipotesi di vietare l’impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di “Burley”, in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto “American Blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D’altra parte, come chiarito anche nell’OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco “Virginia”) siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CIAMPI GIANCARLO

**email**

fit.benevento@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dévényi Tibor

**email**

dtibcsi@t-online.hu

**country**

Hungary

**age**

38

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

no

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Geis

**email**

werbung@xns.de

**country**

Germany / Deutschland

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CERTO FRANCESCA

**email**

fit.enna@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uwe Igelbrink

**email**

uwe-igelbrink@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Dimmer

**email**

sdimmer@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Kockrow

**email**

jkockrow@yahoo.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RUSSO LAURA

**email**

LRUSSO@PROTABGROUP.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

46

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette.

Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono

infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Lunkwitz

**email**

Lunge78@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NEGOSANTI MIRKO

**email**

barvelarimini@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

32

**gender**



male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Yvonne Kronlob

**email**

uwe-igelbrink@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

23

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAMPO NICOLETTA

**email**

fit.trapani@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Justyna Kielbasa

**email**

justina@o2.pl

**country**

Poland

**age**

26

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

People should have right to choose whether they want to use snus or not. If UE would ban such products, some people could start smuggling them or producing and selling illegally. In consequence this ban would cause much bigger problem than living for adults consumer right to free choice if they want to buy legal products.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There was so many public campaigns regarding health problems caused by smoking that people are already aware of the risk of smoking. I think that warnings covering about 40% of a pack is completely enough to see it. I don't believe that bigger size of the warning would stop anyone from smoking or even make someone to spend more time to consider the risk. I am sure that adult smokers are aware of the risk already. I do not agree also with the Generic Packaging idea as well. I believe that packs looking exactly the same would make it easier to smuggle cigarettes, produce them illegally or produce imitation of well known brands. This would violate law protecting intellectual property and would be against economical freedom and free trade. I think that even taking into consideration risk of smoking, well known brands that represent high quality and years of experience should be protected by the law as intellectual property.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I think that it would be a good idea to establish a common compulsory reporting format for UE countries but only for the harmful (unhealthy) ingredients. On the other hand the compulsory reporting should not reveal know-how or any other secrets considered as intellectual property

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I do not agree with the idea to ban on additives or ingredients. I believe that adult consumer should have a free choice whether they would like to smoke menthol cigarretes or regular ones. If UE would ban cigarettes with special flavoures or ingredients it woul cause illegal production and smuggling such products from countries outside UE. Once again this idea in my opinion violates adult consumers' right to free choice.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I agree that sale via Internet should be more controlled e.g. by age verification of the buyers. But I do not agree with the idea to ban on display in points of sales. This restriction would be inconvinient mainly for the customers who would be not aware which cigarettes are available. It would cause also additional costs for the retailers to store the cigarettes not on the display. Moreover if the cigarettes are not visible for the customer how can he see and compare the prices?

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIOVANNI MARTUCCI

**email**

giovamartucci@live.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l’ipotesi di vietare l’impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di

sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di “Burley”, in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto “American Blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D’altra parte, come chiarito anche nell’ OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco “Virginia”) siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l’accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l’esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull’accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un’ ottima soluzione per limitare l’accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SERAFINI PAOLA

**email**

info@serafiniautotrasporti.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

58

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claudia Schnell

**email**

ClaudiaSchnell@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
27

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tanja Morsch

**email**

MNightwatch@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zu dem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von "Tabakerzeugnissen" muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder Zigaretten ähnliche Produkt(Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der

Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. ...oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der konsumrauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produktrechte fertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinationaltätigen Groß-

und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervor geht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und um zu setzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**



Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dies erfolgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettensautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettensautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/EC aus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufriedenstellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch minderjährige Personen. Weder ein Verbot des Verkaufs von Tabakwaren in Automaten, noch die Untersagung der Auslage bzw. Sichtbarkeit von Zigaretten in Verkaufsstellen weisen einen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Für Automaten ergibt sich das schon daraus, dass deren Einzugsgebiet auf die unmittelbare Nachbarschaft zum Automaten beschränkt ist. Selbst wenn von Mitgliedstaat zu Mitgliedstaat unterschiedliche Anforderungen an die Gestaltung der Automaten - z.B. aus Gründen des Jugendschutzes - den grenzüberschreitenden Handel mit den Automaten behindern, würde ein Verbot der Automatenaufstellung dem gemeinschaftsweiten Warenhandel mit Automaten die Grundlage entziehen, diesen also beeinträchtigen und ihn nicht erleichtern. Die EU kann nur tätig werden, wenn sie die Kompetenz dazu hat – diese Kompetenz ist in den EU-Verträgen festgelegt. Ein Verweis darauf, dass bisher weder die Frage der begrenzten Einzelermächtigung, der Subsidiarität oder der Verhältnismäßigkeit in Bezug auf die einzelnen Maßnahmen oder Optionen hin geprüft wurde, ist inakzeptabel. Die Problembeschreibung wird daher mangels fehlender juristischer Vorwertung der Kommission nicht mitgetragen. Dass die Existenz von Zigarettensautomaten einen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher hat, ist wissenschaftlich nicht nachgewiesen. In Ländern mit einem Automatenverbot fällt die Quote jugendlicher Raucher zum Teil deutlich höher aus als in den Ländern mit einer Automatenvertriebsstruktur. Pläne der Europäischen Kommission zur Abschaffung der Automaten schränken daher die Bezugsmöglichkeiten erwachsener Verbraucher ein, dienen dem Jugendschutz jedoch in keiner Weise.“ Organisierte Kriminalität wird das Vakuum füllen, das entsteht, wenn legale Verkaufs- bzw. Bezugsstellen verschwinden.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maik Eichler

**email**

maikeichler2@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen?

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Weißer Einheitspackungen sind viel einfacher zu fälschen. Plain Packaging spielt also Kriminellen in die Hände.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Zigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GALLETTI MASSIMILIANO

**email**

massimilianogallett@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carole Corthésy

**email**

carole.corthesy@bluewin.ch

**country**

Spain

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LAURIA ORNELLA

**email**

o.lauria1@virgilio.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla



coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SORICE CONCETTA

**email**

allevamento@dellageniaosca.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

62

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karin Pawlik

**email**

Habe keine E-Mail

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

62

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Illert

**email**

magic71@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zu rauchen ist fuer mich ein Recht auf Selbstgestaltung. Diese Freiheit wird ueberall auf der Welt angegriffen. Der Deutsche Staat hat im Jahre 1986 500 Billiarden Deutsche Mark an Steuereinnahmen von der Tabaksteuer eingenommen. Wie will er den Verlust ausgleichen???????????

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

ob zigarette, zigarre oder rauchfreier TabakGenuss ist gleich, also sollten auch gleiche Regeln gelten.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kann die "problem definition" nicht lesen. also -----> dagegen.

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kann die Fragestellung wieder nicht lesen also -----> dagegen

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wie gehabt. nicht lesen -----> dagegen

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Na, problem erkannt????? nee, gut. nicht lesen koennen. -----> dagegen

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHIARA ALLEGRETTA

**email**

chiaraallegretta@live.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le

avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell'OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SANTORO GIUSEPPE

**email**

GSANTORO@ARBTRADE.IT

**country**

ITALY

**age**

46

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Richard Pitscheneder

**email**

richard.pitscheneder@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BISACCHI ROBERTO

**email**

fit.rimini@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Brigit Hammer

**email**

Habe keine E-Mail Adresse.

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change



---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

DE FILIPPI FRANCESCO

#### **email**

frandf@teletu.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

43

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexandra Eble

**email**

alexandra.eble@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

27

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Meiner Meinung nach hat Plain Packaging nur Nachteile, hier ein paar Beispiele: 1. Es schadet der Industrie, denn alle Marken sehen gleich aus. Differenzierung ist nicht mehr möglich. Dadurch gehen Arbeitsplätze verloren. Das ist absolut nicht sinnvoll und schadet der Wirtschaft der einzelnen EU-Länder nachhaltig. 2. Weiße Packungen sind sehr leicht fälschbar. Dadurch wird der illegale Handel mit Zigaretten indirekt gefördert. Somit fehlen den einzelnen Staaten die Steuern. Wenig sinnvoll. 3. Menschen werden trotzdem rauchen, auch wenn die Packungen alle gleich aussehen. Das ist kein Weg jemandem das Nichtrauchen zu erleichtern.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CONTESTABILE AGOSTINA

**email**

nikdalex@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

54

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the

suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other

experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Breyvogel

**email**

Thomy.Breyvogel@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Snus ist eine sinnvolle Ergänzung zum Raucherangebot. Kann ohne größere Risiken konsumiert werden.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Einheitsverpackungen zerstören die Individualität des Rauchens, eine Gleichschaltung, egal wo auch immer, hat nie Nutzen gebracht.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**



No change

**additional comments**

Das Entfernen der Zigarettenprodukte aus dem Sichtfeld des Kunden hält ihn nicht davon ab, seiner Neigung nachzugehen.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Willholz Peter

**email**

peter.willholz@wk-zelte.de

**country**

germany

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Verpackung ändert nichts am Verhalten

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Geschmack soll bleiben

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Mann kann alles verbieten

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIERLEONI CINZIA

**email**

bar.fans@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIOVANNI DISTASIO

**email**

giovanni.distasio@tin.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell'OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matthias Kieser

**email**

Matthias.Kieser@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FERRI ALESSIO

**email**

fit.arezzo@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis



of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Schmid

**email**

schmiddi1973@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ricardo Cescon

**email**

ricardo.cescon@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

live and let live (democracy end where dictatorship begins)

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

this is not a problem! Is was'n never, it is only a trend

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Live and let live.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Live and let live.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Live and let live.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Live and let live.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gianfranca Palladino

**email**

palladinogianfranca@libero.it

**country**

Italia

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette.

Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare

l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Warrelmann, Torben

**email**

torben:warrelmann@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

23

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LENZINI IRMA

**email**

irma-lenzini@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SARTORELLO ANDREA

**email**

tabacs@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE LUCA MARIA STEFANIA

**email**

aufinium@inwind.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Hegerlik

**email**

Habe keine

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Ich als Raucher habe gute Erfahrungen damit gemacht, mit Snus meinen Zigarettenkonsum zu reduzieren.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich halte es für äußerst unwahrscheinlich, dass weniger Menschen rauchen, nur weil alle Schachteln gleich aussehen.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bernd hierl

**email**

bernd.hierl@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas T. Siemens

**email**

at.siemens@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARCO TARANTINO

**email**

marcotarantino1983@libero.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI COSMO MATTEO

**email**

tabacchedicoldicosmo@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

elpidio maccariello

**email**

e.maccariello@alice.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**



No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Kruse, Thomas

#### **email**

kruse.thomas@web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
PASQUALETTI PATRIZIA

**email**  
fit.firenze@tabaccai.it

**country**  
Italy

**age**  
47

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of

toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ilona Hegerlik

**email**

Habe keine

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

59

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen?

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich halte es für äußerst unwahrscheinlich, dass weniger Menschen rauchen, nur weil alle Schachteln gleich aussehen.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Olaf Hecker

**email**

olaf.hecker@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Prohibit the production, trade and use of cigarettes and any tobacco products generally!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

Prohibit!

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

Prohibit tobacco!

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

URBINI MARIO

**email**

urbini69@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SEKUNDA JÓZEF

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'ALOISIO MARIA LUISA

**email**

fit.laquila@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MUSARRA CONCETTA

**email**

dariofabio1990@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jutta Hintze

**email**

kleinesau60@gmail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
40

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**  
Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen?

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
Ich halte es für äußerst unwahrscheinlich, dass weniger Menschen rauchen, nur weil alle Schachteln gleich aussehen.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

KRUPSKA JADWIGA

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Steve Hillig

**email**

Stevehillig@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI GIORGIO CARMELO

**email**

carmelodigiorgio@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of



toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Steffen Gärtner

**email**

Freiheit30@gmx.de

**country**

thüringen ,deutschland

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Morsch

**email**

MichaelMorsch68@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zu dem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von "Tabakerzeugnissen" muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder Zigaretten ähnliche Produkt(Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. ...oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der konsumrauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche

Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produktrechte fertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Rauchverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervor geht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und umzusetzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder

gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dies erfolgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/EC aus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufriedenstellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch minderjährige Personen. Weder ein Verbot des Verkaufs von Tabakwaren in Automaten, noch die Untersagung der Auslage bzw. Sichtbarkeit von Zigaretten in Verkaufsstellen weisen einen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Für Automaten ergibt sich das schon daraus, dass deren Einzugsgebiet auf die unmittelbare Nachbarschaft zum Automaten beschränkt ist. Selbst wenn von Mitgliedstaat zu

Mitgliedstaat unterschiedliche Anforderungen an die Gestaltung der Automaten - z.B. aus Gründen des Jugendschutzes - den grenzüberschreitenden Handel mit den Automaten behindern, würde ein Verbot der Automatenaufstellung dem gemeinschaftsweiten Warenhandel mit Automaten die Grundlage entziehen, diesen also beeinträchtigen und ihn nicht erleichtern. Die EU kann nur tätig werden, wenn sie die Kompetenz dazu hat – diese Kompetenz ist in den EU-Verträgen festgelegt. Ein Verweis darauf, dass bisher weder die Frage der begrenzten Einzelermächtigung, der Subsidiarität oder der Verhältnismäßigkeit in Bezug auf die einzelnen Maßnahmen oder Optionen hin geprüft wurde, ist inakzeptabel. Die Problembeschreibung wird daher mangels fehlender juristischer Vorwertung der Kommission nicht mitgetragen. Dass die Existenz von Zigarettenautomaten einen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher hat, ist wissenschaftlich nicht nachgewiesen. In Ländern mit einem Automatenverbot fällt die Quote jugendlicher Raucher zum Teil deutlich höher aus als in den Ländern mit einer Automatenvertriebsstruktur. Pläne der Europäischen Kommission zur Abschaffung der Automaten schränken daher die Bezugsmöglichkeiten erwachsener Verbraucher ein, dienen dem Jugendschutz jedoch in keiner Weise.“ Organisierte Kriminalität wird das Vakuum füllen, das entsteht, wenn legale Verkaufs- bzw. Bezugsstellen verschwinden

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIOVANNA RICCA

**email**

ricca.tabeuropei@alice.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose

di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60. 000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RAVEGLIA FABIO

**email**

amministrazione@sogaris.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free

market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jonas Dudanski

**email**

jonas@dudanski.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

20

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Andreas Wagner

**email**

andywagner@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen?

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Weißer Einheitspackungen sind viel einfacher zu fälschen. Plain Packaging spielt also Kriminellen in die Hände.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich als Raucher möchte das komplette Sortiment sehen und mir meine Marke aussuchen können.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FANTAUZZI ENRICO

**email**

alicemonazzi@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bauer, Petra

**email**

petra.bauer64@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Regulierung muss immer auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse erfolgen und nicht auf Basis vager Einschätzungen. Nur weil Produkte neu sind, müssen sie nicht reguliert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse gefährden nicht die Gesundheit Dritter. Ein Verbot von Snus entmündigt die EU-Bürger.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich denke, dass ein Warnhinweis wirksamer ist, wenn er größer ist. Ausserdem besteht bereits ein Bewusstsein für die Risiken des Rauchens, ein Bildwarnhinweis ist überflüssig.

Einheitspackungen greifen in das freie Markenrecht ein. Einheitspackungen führen zu einer Preisspirale, dadurch werden Groß- und Einzelhändler und damit mein Arbeitsplatz gefährdet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**



No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch Zusatzstoffe werden Zigaretten nicht attraktiver. Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe geben dem illegalen Handel auftrieb.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In 15 von 27 Mitgliedsstaaten sind Zigarettenautomaten erlaubt und das sollte so bleiben. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen, z.B. Ausweisleser in Deutschland. Zigarettenautomaten fallen in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der einzelnen Staaten. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt lokal und weist keinen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Zigarettenautomaten haben meiner Meinung nach keinen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIÓRO TADEUSZ

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSSI ANTONELLA

**email**

sauro.carboni@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Karin Weger

#### **email**

karin@weweger.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

36

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lothar Cullmann

**email**

info@wodkawhiskymore.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zu dem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von "Tabakerzeugnissen" muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder Zigaretten ähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. ... oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der konsumrauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produktrecht fertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen über zeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je



größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Rauchverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervor geht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und um zu setzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die

Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dies erfolgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/EC aus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufriedenstellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch minderjährige Personen. Weder ein Verbot des Verkaufs von Tabakwaren in Automaten, noch die Untersagung der Auslage bzw. Sichtbarkeit von Zigaretten in Verkaufsstellen weisen einen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Für Automaten ergibt sich das schon daraus, dass deren Einzugsgebiet auf die unmittelbare Nachbarschaft zum Automaten beschränkt ist. Selbst wenn von Mitgliedstaat zu Mitgliedstaat unterschiedliche Anforderungen an die Gestaltung der Automaten - z.B. aus Gründen des Jugendschutzes - den grenzüberschreitenden Handel mit den Automaten behindern, würde ein Verbot der Automatenaufstellung dem gemeinschaftsweiten Warenhandel mit Automaten die Grundlage entziehen, diesen also beeinträchtigen und ihn nicht erleichtern. Die EU kann nur tätig werden, wenn sie die Kompetenz dazu hat – diese Kompetenz ist in den EU-Verträgen festgelegt. Ein Verweis darauf, dass bisher weder die Frage der begrenzten Einzelermächtigung, der Subsidiarität oder der Verhältnismäßigkeit in Bezug auf die einzelnen Maßnahmen oder Optionen hin geprüft wurde, ist inakzeptabel. Die Problembeschreibung wird daher mangels fehlender juristischer Vorwertung der Kommission nicht mitgetragen. Dass die Existenz von Zigarettenautomaten einen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher hat, ist wissenschaftlich nicht nachgewiesen. In Ländern mit einem Automatenverbot fällt die Quote jugendlicher Raucher zum Teil deutlich höher aus als in den Ländern mit einer Automatenvertriebsstruktur. Pläne der Europäischen Kommission zur Abschaffung der Automaten schränken daher die Bezugsmöglichkeiten erwachsener Verbraucher ein, dienen dem Jugendschutz jedoch in keiner Weise.“ Organisierte Kriminalität

wird das Vakuum füllen, das entsteht, wenn legale Verkaufs-bzw. Bezugsstellen verschwinden.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Annika Ruda

**email**

blika@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Erweiterung des Geltungsbereichs der Richtlinie

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Eine geeignete Verbraucherinformation wie zum Beispiel Gesundheitswarnhinweise, angabe der Inhaltsstoffe etc.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

OCCHIROSSI SILVIA

**email**

francescoercolanelli@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Koch

**email**

schlapphut04@online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ines Wagner

**email**

wagner\_wie\_richard@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen?

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Weißer Einheitspackungen sind viel einfacher zu fälschen. Plain Packaging spielt also Kriminellen in die Hände.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ARIGONI ROSINA

**email**

nino.angelini@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

63

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BUCKA BOGUSŁAWA

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nüßlein

**email**

nickelbaby@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

santioni vania

**email**

vania@barsantioni.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roman Görgen

**email**

romangoergen@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anne BAyart

**email**

anne.bayart@jcortes.com

**country**

belgium

**age**

27

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change is needed

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Voigt, Andreas

**email**

andreas.voigt@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
34

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Thomas Goltsch

**email**  
info@freizeit-shop.net

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
42

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme



in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DELLA VITTORIA CINZIA

**email**

CINZIA79\_4@LIBERO.IT

**country**

Italy

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Giuseppe Porto

**email**

giuseppeporto66@libero.it

**country**

Italia

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette.

Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono

infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ŁĘKAS ARKADIUSZ

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CIOCCA BRUNO

**email**

la\_fontanella@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gabriele Kutz

**email**

Habe keine E-Mail Adresse

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

60

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans-Wendelin Rammacher

**email**

info@gasthaus-rammacher.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zu dem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip Jede Form der Regulierung von "Tabakerzeugnissen" muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder Zigaretten ähnliche Produkt(Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27

treffen. ...oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der konsumrauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produktrecht fertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen über zeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird

Produktfälschern die Arbeiter leichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervor geht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und um zu setzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und

Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dies erfolgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettensautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettensautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/EC aus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufriedenstellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch minderjährige Personen. Weder ein Verbot des Verkaufs von Tabakwaren in Automaten, noch die Untersagung der Auslage bzw. Sichtbarkeit von Zigaretten in Verkaufsstellen weisen einen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Für Automaten ergibt sich das schon daraus, dass deren Einzugsgebiet auf die unmittelbare Nachbarschaft zum Automaten beschränkt ist. Selbst wenn von Mitgliedstaat zu Mitgliedstaat unterschiedliche Anforderungen an die Gestaltung der Automaten – z.B. aus Gründen des Jugendschutzes – den grenzüberschreitenden Handel mit den Automaten behindern, würde ein Verbot der Automatenaufstellung dem gemeinschaftsweiten Warenhandel mit Automaten die Grundlage entziehen, diesen also beeinträchtigen und ihn nicht erleichtern. Die EU kann nur tätig werden, wenn sie die Kompetenz dazu hat – diese Kompetenz ist in den EU-Verträgen festgelegt. Ein Verweis darauf, dass bisher weder die Frage der begrenzten Einzelermächtigung, der Subsidiarität oder der Verhältnismäßigkeit in Bezug auf die einzelnen Maßnahmen oder Optionen hin geprüft wurde, ist inakzeptabel. Die Problembeschreibung wird daher mangels fehlender juristischer Vorwertung der Kommission nicht mitgetragen. Dass die Existenz von Zigarettensautomaten einen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher hat, ist wissenschaftlich nicht nachgewiesen. In Ländern mit einem Automatenverbot fällt die Quote jugendlicher Raucher zum Teil deutlich höher aus als in den Ländern mit einer Automatenvertriebsstruktur. Pläne der Europäischen Kommission zur Abschaffung der Automaten schränken daher die Bezugsmöglichkeiten erwachsener Verbraucher ein, dienen dem Jugendschutz jedoch in keiner Weise.“ Organisierte Kriminalität wird das Vakuum füllen, das entsteht, wenn legale Verkaufs- bzw. Bezugstellen verschwinden.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VASTOLA ROSA SPERANZA

**email**

luca.cardella@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**



Denise Schnabl

**email**

anschna@gmx.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

16

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Der Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie sollte durchaus die angegebenen Produkte beinhalten. Ohne zuvorige wissenschaftliche Überprüfung der Produkte in Hinsicht auf ihre Schädlichkeit für den menschlichen Körper sollte jedoch kein Verbot ausgesprochen werden

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt meines Erachtens keine wissenschaftlichen Beweise dafür, dass größere Warnhinweise oder Bilder besser dazu geeignet sind, potenzielle Raucher vom Rauchen abzuhalten bzw. Raucher davon zu überzeugen, mit dem Rauchen aufzuhören. Auch bei Plain Packaging gibt es bis dato keine Beweise dafür, dass sie eine Auswirkung der Gesundheit der Bevölkerung haben. Plain Packaging würde vielmehr Fälschungen und den illegalen Handel mit Tabakprodukten forcieren, weil die Fälschungssicherheit der Produkte nicht gewährleistet werden kann.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Rechtsdurchsetzung ist Angelegenheit der Mitgliedsstaaten und nicht der EU. Auch wenn die EU dieses Prinzip einführt, würden die Beträge für Gebühren und Sanktionen zwischen den Mitgliedsstaaten unterschiedlich ausfallen. Dies deshalb, da es in die Kompetenz des jeweiligen Staates fällt. Eine Verbesserung des Funktionierens des Binnenmarktes ist somit auf diese Weise nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus bei der Evaluierung von Zusatzstoffen sollte ganz grundsätzlich darauf ausgerichtet sein, ob deren Einsatz die toxische Wirkung des Zigarettenrauches erhöht. Es sollte daher nicht von der Attraktivität der Stoffe ausgegangen werden, da diese nahezu ausschließlich auf subjektiven Kriterien fußen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die hier dargestellte Problemstellung zielt nicht auf gesundheitspolitische Probleme im Zusammenhang mit Tabakkonsum und die Verfügbarkeit der Produkte ab. Weiters werden keinerlei Beweise für die Annahme angeboten, dass derartige Verbote den Binnenmarkt stärken oder aber zu einer Reduktion des Tabakkonsums führen würden.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Internetkäufe könnten zB durch Altersbegrenzungen eingeschränkt werden.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Viola Kutz

**email**

habe keine E-Mail

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PECORARO MARIA

**email**

fit.campobasso@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Hiebenthal

**email**

HHHiebenthal@online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
59

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Eine Regulierung sollte immer auf Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse erfolgen

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse gefährden nicht die Gesundheit Dritter. Ein Verbot von Snus ist unakzeptabel.

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Es weiß jeder dass rauchen ungesund ist. Da nützen auch Bilder auf den Packungen nichts. Man ignoriert es wenn man nicht bereit ist um aufzuhören. Einheitspackungen führen zu einem Preiskrieg und bedrohen die Existenz von Groß- u. Einzelhändlern.

**which option**  
Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch Zusatzstoffe werden Zigaretten nicht besser. In England wird genauso viel geraucht wie in Deutschland.

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In über der Hälfte der Mitgliedstaaten sind Zigarettenautomaten erlaubt. Es finden strenge Kontrollen statt (Ausweisleser). Dies sollte jeder Staat selbst entscheiden dürfen. Die Automaten haben bestimmt keinen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten von Jugendlichen.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roland Kerler

**email**

RoKerl@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**



**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MANCINI SILVIA

#### **email**

tabaccheriamancini@alice.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

31

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

JANCZYK ANDRZEJ

#### **email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

#### **country**

POLAND

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **which option**

No change

### **recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Feridun Ceylan

**email**  
feridun77@gmx.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
33

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen?

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich halte es für äußerst unwahrscheinlich, dass weniger Menschen rauchen, nur weil alle Schachteln gleich aussehen.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heiliger,Alexander

**email**

aheiliger@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

70

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Leave practice as is now

**additional comments**

Leave as is

**questions smokeless**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eberhard Strele

**email**

strele@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRISENNA TOMMASO

**email**

tigrerossa.k@alice.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

A Geiling

**email**

ageiling@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Erweiterung des Anwendungsbereiches der Richtlinie ist nicht erforderlich. Die vorgesehene Erweiterung betrifft einerseits Produkte, die Betroffenen helfen sollen, eine Nikotinsucht zu überwinden bzw. die schädlichen Folgen des Rauchens zu vermindern ("Elektrozigaretten") oder Produkte, die keinen nennenswerten Marktanteil haben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Die Darstellung der Kommission beruht auf nicht ausreichend belegten Vermutungen. Es

wird offensichtlich versucht, durch markteinschränkende Maßnahmen Fakten zu schaffen.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die bisherigen Erfahrungen zeigen, dass die Verbraucher Warnhinweise jeder Art weitgehend unbeachtet lassen. Daher wäre mit einer Ausweitung oder Verschärfung von Warnhinweisen kein positiver Effekt verbunden, auf der anderen Seite aber die legale Geschäftstätigkeit der Hersteller in unangemessener und unverhältnismäßiger Art und Weise behindert. Zum Vertrieb legaler Produkte muss es zwingend dazugehören, diese ungehindert bewerben zu können.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ergänzend dazu ist festzustellen, dass auch bisherige Werbeverbote in keiner Weise zu einer Einschränkung der Popularität von Tabakerzeugnissen geführt hat. Statt die Werbemöglichkeiten (einschließlich der Verpackungsgestaltung) immer stärker zu behindern, wäre es sinnvoller, stärker präventiv über die Gefahren des Tabakkonsums aufzuklären.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional comments**

Die vorgesehene Einführung von Registrierungsgebühren und eines Registrierungszwanges ist ein unangemessener Eingriff in die freie Geschäftstätigkeit der Hersteller. Es muss ausreichend sein, dass Hersteller Zusatzstoffe deklarieren.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Bezeichnung "attraktive Zusatzstoffe" ist äußerst fragwürdig. Weder wird ausgeführt, um welche Stoffe es sich dabei handelt, noch, ob und in welcher Menge sie überhaupt verwendet werden. Schließlich fehlt jeglicher Beleg für eine angebliche "Attraktivität" von Zusatzstoffen, worin diese bestehen und wie sich auswirken soll. Hier wird mit unbewiesenen Unterstellungen gearbeitet, die in offiziellen Dokumenten keinen Platz haben.

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist gerade das Kennzeichen des gemeinsamen Marktes, dass Verbraucher die Möglichkeit haben, sich insbesondere auch über das Internet mit Produkten ihrer Wahl zu ihnen günstig erscheinenden Konditionen einzudecken. Wie bereits vorher ausgeführt, wird die Wirksamkeit von Warnhinweisen groteskt überschätzt: Raucher kaufen Zigaretten, egal in welcher Größe, Farbe oder Sprache Warnhinweise auf der Verpackung stehen. Diese Maßnahme hat bislang zu keiner Reduzierung geführt und wird es auch künftig nicht. Darüber hinaus kann man davon ausgehen, dass die Mehrzahl der Raucher die mit dem Tabakkonsum verbundenen Gefahren kennt, es aber vorzieht, sie zu ignorieren. Daran ändern auch keine Warnhinweise etwas.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Für Erschwerungen des Zuganges zu Tabakprodukten gilt, dass sie ebenso wenig wie Warnhinweise oder Werbeeinschränkungen Verbraucher vom Kauf von Tabakprodukten abhalten. Wenn ein Raucher nicht die Möglichkeit hat, sich zu einer bestimmten Zeit, an einem bestimmten Ort oder auf eine bestimmte Art und Weise mit Tabakprodukten einzudecken, wird er sein Einkaufsverhalten darauf einrichten. Damit werden sowohl für Hersteller und Händler als auch für Verbraucher Erschwernisse eingeführt, die auf der einen Seite nichts (positiv) bewirken, auf der anderen Seite alle Betroffenen erheblich und unverhältnismäßig behindern.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIERACCIOLI FABIO

**email**

fit.pisa@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No



**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Riotte

**email**

michael.riotte@antonriotte.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zu dem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von "Tabakerzeugnissen" muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder Zigaretten ähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. ... oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der konsumrauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produktrecht fertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen über zeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je

größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Rauchverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervor geht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und um zu setzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die

Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dies erfolgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/EC aus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufriedenstellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch minderjährige Personen. Weder ein Verbot des Verkaufs von Tabakwaren in Automaten, noch die Untersagung der Auslage bzw. Sichtbarkeit von Zigaretten in Verkaufsstellen weisen einen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Für Automaten ergibt sich das schon daraus, dass deren Einzugsgebiet auf die unmittelbare Nachbarschaft zum Automaten beschränkt ist. Selbst wenn von Mitgliedstaat zu Mitgliedstaat unterschiedliche Anforderungen an die Gestaltung der Automaten - z.B. aus Gründen des Jugendschutzes - den grenzüberschreitenden Handel mit den Automaten behindern, würde ein Verbot der Automatenaufstellung dem gemeinschaftsweiten Warenhandel mit Automaten die Grundlage entziehen, diesen also beeinträchtigen und ihn nicht erleichtern. Die EU kann nur tätig werden, wenn sie die Kompetenz dazu hat – diese Kompetenz ist in den EU-Verträgen festgelegt. Ein Verweis darauf, dass bisher weder die Frage der begrenzten Einzelermächtigung, der Subsidiarität oder der Verhältnismäßigkeit in Bezug auf die einzelnen Maßnahmen oder Optionen hin geprüft wurde, ist inakzeptabel. Die Problembeschreibung wird daher mangels fehlender juristischer Vorwertung der Kommission nicht mitgetragen. Dass die Existenz von Zigarettenautomaten einen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher hat, ist wissenschaftlich nicht nachgewiesen. In Ländern mit einem Automatenverbot fällt die Quote jugendlicher Raucher zum Teil deutlich höher aus als in den Ländern mit einer Automatenvertriebsstruktur. Pläne der Europäischen Kommission zur Abschaffung der Automaten schränken daher die Bezugsmöglichkeiten erwachsener Verbraucher ein, dienen dem Jugendschutz jedoch in keiner Weise.“ Organisierte Kriminalität

wird das Vakuum füllen, das entsteht, wenn legale Verkaufs-bzw. Bezugsstellen verschwinden.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Max F.

**email**

k1ngs@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder muss das selbst entscheiden.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Raucher haben in den letzten Jahren soviele abschnitte mitgemacht, ich denke es reicht langsam!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Giovanni

**email**

giocarusone@alice.it

**country**

Italia

**age**

64

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Siamo anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono contrario all'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sonja Reincke

**email**



sonjareincke@hotmail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PISPOLA MICHELE

**email**

michelepispola@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf-Dieter Plep

**email**

r.plep@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Derek Murphy

**email**

dmurphy489@t-online.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
74

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

WÓJCIK JERZY

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**



BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Roland Schmidt

**email**  
roromind@rorohome.de

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
I would qualify it as a restriction of freedom

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no further comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

not further comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pfeiler Werner

**email**

werner.Pfeiler@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Der Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie sollte durchaus die angegebenen Produkte beinhalten. Ohne zuvorige wissenschaftliche Überprüfung der Produkte in Hinsicht auf ihre Schädlichkeit für den menschlichen Körper sollte jedoch kein Verbot ausgesprochen werden.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt unseres Erachtens keine wissenschaftlichen Beweise dafür, dass größere Warnhinweise oder Bilder besser dazu geeignet sind, potenzielle Raucher vom Rauchen abzuhalten bzw. Raucher davon zu überzeugen, mit dem Rauchen aufzuhören. Auch bei Plain Packaging gibt es bis dato keine Beweise dafür, dass sie eine Auswirkung der Gesundheit der Bevölkerung haben. Plain Packaging würde vielmehr Fälschungen und den illegalen Handel mit Tabakprodukten forcieren, weil die Fälschungssicherheit der Produkte nicht gewährleistet

werden kann.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Zur besseren Kennzeichnung der Inhaltsstoffe könnte man z.B. Zahlen verwenden, die mit Hilfe eines anderen Messverfahrens (zB Health-Canada-Messverfahren) ermittelt wurden. Dadurch würden die Verbraucher präzisere Informationen über die Messwerte bekommen.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Rechtsdurchsetzung ist Angelegenheit der Mitgliedsstaaten und nicht der EU. Auch wenn die EU dieses Prinzip einführt, würden die Beträge für Gebühren und Sanktionen zwischen den Mitgliedsstaaten unterschiedlich ausfallen. Dies deshalb, da es in die Kompetenz des jeweiligen Staates fällt. Eine Verbesserung des Funktionierens des Binnenmarktes ist somit auf diese Weise nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus bei der Evaluierung von Zusatzstoffen sollte ganz grundsätzlich darauf ausgerichtet sein, ob deren Einsatz die toxische Wirkung des Zigarettenrauches erhöht. Es sollte daher nicht von der Attraktivität der Stoffe ausgegangen werden, da diese nahezu ausschließlich auf subjektiven Kriterien fußen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die hier dargestellte Problemstellung zielt nicht auf gesundheitspolitische Probleme im Zusammenhang mit Tabakkonsum und die Verfügbarkeit der Produkte ab. Weiters werden keinerlei Beweise für die Annahme angeboten, dass derartige Verbote den Binnenmarkt stärken oder aber zu einer Reduktion des Tabakkonsums führen würden.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Internetkäufe könnten zB durch Altersbegrenzungen eingeschränkt werden.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Maier

**email**

soundclash86@gmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on

harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BELVEDERE DAVIDE

**email**

fit.verbania@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Iman Hosseini

**email**



yaghy\_hh@yahoo.se

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANETTA NICOLETTA SANDRA

**email**

fit.enna@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

41

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Steenbock Axel

**email**

a.steenbock@t-online.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gennaro Zampelli

**email**

gennarozampelli@libero.it

**country**

Italia

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordiamo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Siamo anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Siamo d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordiamo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello

dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Siamo contrari all'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Riteniamo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Amir Zardosht

**email**

amiruuu@live.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

20

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALLEGRO GIOVANNI

**email**

luigi-eurobusiness@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dieter Klewitz

**email**

mklewitz@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltenen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zu dem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von "Tabakerzeugnissen" muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder Zigaretten ähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltenen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. ...oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der konsumrauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produktrecht fertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen über zeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervor geht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und um zu setzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete

Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

#### **which option**

No Change

#### **access to tobacco products**

##### **problem definition**

No

##### **explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dies erfolgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/EC aus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufriedenstellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch minderjährige Personen. Weder ein Verbot des Verkaufs von Tabakwaren in Automaten, noch die Untersagung der Auslage bzw. Sichtbarkeit von Zigaretten in Verkaufsstellen weisen einen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Für Automaten ergibt sich das schon daraus, dass deren Einzugsgebiet auf die unmittelbare Nachbarschaft zum Automaten beschränkt ist. Selbst wenn von Mitgliedstaat zu Mitgliedstaat unterschiedliche Anforderungen an die Gestaltung der Automaten – z.B. aus Gründen des Jugendschutzes – den grenzüberschreitenden Handel mit den Automaten behindern, würde ein Verbot der Automatenaufstellung dem gemeinschaftsweiten Warenhandel mit Automaten die Grundlage entziehen, diesen also beeinträchtigen und ihn nicht erleichtern. Die EU kann nur tätig werden, wenn sie die Kompetenz dazu hat – diese Kompetenz ist in den EU-Verträgen festgelegt. Ein Verweis darauf, dass bisher weder die Frage der begrenzten Einzelmächtigung, der Subsidiarität oder der Verhältnismäßigkeit in Bezug auf die einzelnen Maßnahmen oder Optionen hin geprüft wurde, ist inakzeptabel. Die Problembeschreibung wird daher mangels fehlender juristischer Vorwertung der Kommission nicht mitgetragen. Dass die Existenz von Zigarettenautomaten einen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher hat, ist wissenschaftlich nicht nachgewiesen. In Ländern mit einem Automatenverbot fällt die Quote jugendlicher Raucher zum Teil deutlich höher aus als in den Ländern mit einer Automatenvertriebsstruktur. Pläne der Europäischen Kommission

zur Abschaffung der Automaten schränken daher die Bezugsmöglichkeiten erwachsener Verbraucher ein, dienen dem Jugendschutz jedoch in keiner Weise.“ Organisierte Kriminalität wird das Vakuum füllen, das entsteht, wenn legale Verkaufs-bzw. Bezugsstellen verschwinden.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POLENTEK PAWEŁ

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**



BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Cliff Schwanemann

**email**  
cliff.s@gmx.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

-unter Berücksichtigung, dass es nicht frei erhältlich ist, sondern verschreibungspflichtig ist

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-keine Änderungen in der aufgeführten Form -der Inhalt (Nikotingehalt etc.) könnte größer gedruckt sein

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SOVOCA MARIA PINA

**email**

mariasavoca@hotmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Horst L. Schmitz

**email**

Horst-L.Schmitz@arco.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

68

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't see any reason in this project

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

leave it like it is.

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nadine Baumann

**email**

buffelo@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
32

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
wäre ich heute 16, würde ich auch eine zigarette probieren. da hilft weder ein höherer preis,  
noch nicht mehr vorhandene zigarettenautomaten.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BONALDI MARIA PIA

**email**

fit.avezzano@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

73

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marion Lindenberger

**email**

tenoretta@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

63

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Mocker

#### **email**

j.mocker@arcor.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

33

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

höfener heinrich

**email**

hoefener-h@versanet.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BOBEK MARCIN

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**



BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ARDAGNA GIACOMO

**email**

luana.ardagna@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eberhard Bienge

**email**

eberhard.bienge@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

69

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manfred Müller

**email**

dajmueller@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Ich stimme NICHT zu.

**additional comments**

s.o.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

s.o.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Ich stimme NICHT zu.

**additional comments**

s.o.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

s.o.

**additional comments**

s.o.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

s.o.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

s.o.

**additional comments**

s.o

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich stimme NICHT zu

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

s.o.

**additional comments**

s.o.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

neidl nadine

**email**

jakobneidl@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Vernichtung von Firmenexistenzen, Arbeitsplätzen und Steuereinnahmen

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nina Wolke

**email**

ninawolke@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

21

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
DI BEO EMANUELE

**email**  
emu83@libero.it

**country**  
Italy

**age**  
27

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Grossmann

**email**

glensburg@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MAGLIA MARILENA

#### **email**

fit.verbania@tabaccai.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

63

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

#### **which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Michael Morawetz

#### **email**

morawetm@aol.com

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zu dem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip Jede Form der Regulierung von "Tabakerzeugnissen" muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder Zigaretten ähnliche Produkt(Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. ...oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Der konsumrauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss

daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produktrechte fertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen überzeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit leichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervor geht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit



einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und um zu setzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dies erfolgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/EC aus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufriedenstellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch minderjährige Personen. Weder ein Verbot des Verkaufs von Tabakwaren in Automaten, noch die Untersagung der Auslage bzw.

Sichtbarkeit von Zigaretten in Verkaufsstellen weisen einen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Für Automaten ergibt sich das schon daraus, dass deren Einzugsgebiet auf die unmittelbare Nachbarschaft zum Automaten beschränkt ist. Selbst wenn von Mitgliedstaat zu Mitgliedstaat unterschiedliche Anforderungen an die Gestaltung der Automaten - z.B. aus Gründen des Jugendschutzes - den grenzüberschreitenden Handel mit den Automaten behindern, würde ein Verbot der Automatenaufstellung dem gemeinschaftsweiten Warenhandel mit Automaten die Grundlage entziehen, diesen also beeinträchtigen und ihn nicht erleichtern. Die EU kann nur tätig werden, wenn sie die Kompetenz dazu hat – diese Kompetenz ist in den EU-Verträgen festgelegt. Ein Verweis darauf, dass bisher weder die Frage der begrenzten Einzelermächtigung, der Subsidiarität oder der Verhältnismäßigkeit in Bezug auf die einzelnen Maßnahmen oder Optionen hin geprüft wurde, ist inakzeptabel. Die Problembeschreibung wird daher mangels fehlender juristischer Vorwertung der Kommission nicht mitgetragen. Dass die Existenz von Zigarettenautomaten einen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher hat, ist wissenschaftlich nicht nachgewiesen. In Ländern mit einem Automatenverbot fällt die Quote jugendlicher Raucher zum Teil deutlich höher aus als in den Ländern mit einer Automatenvertriebsstruktur. Pläne der Europäischen Kommission zur Abschaffung der Automaten schränken daher die Bezugsmöglichkeiten erwachsener Verbraucher ein, dienen dem Jugendschutz jedoch in keiner Weise.“ Organisierte Kriminalität wird das Vakuum füllen, das entsteht, wenn legale Verkaufs- bzw. Bezugsstellen verschwinden.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIĄTEK HENRYKA

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Gaetano Riccio

**email**  
gaetani.riccio@libero.it

**country**

Italia

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARDINALI SAMANTHA

**email**

samantha.cardinali@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

CHIAVETTA FRANCESCO

**email**

fit.palermo@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging

because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI CARLO OTELLO

**email**

fit.laquila@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BUCZEK DAMIAN

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PELOSI DANIELE

**email**

dpelosi@inwind.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le

avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRANCACCIO IMMACOLATA BRUNA

**email**

atbrancaccios.twelve@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products

consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karl Kimberger

**email**

karlkimberger@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Hößle

**email**

bphoessle@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

44

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SETTE DANILO

**email**

dani洛ette@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manu Nanu

**email**

Lausi67@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter W. Schulte

**email**

pws@spschulte.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

71

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Micael Stillenmunkes

**email**

michams4@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zu dem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip Jede Form der Regulierung von "Tabakerzeugnissen" muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder Zigaretten ähnliche Produkt(Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. ...oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der konsumrauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemendarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produktrecht fertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemendarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen über zeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervor geht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und um zu setzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dies erfolgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/EC

aus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufriedenstellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch minderjährige Personen. Weder ein Verbot des Verkaufs von Tabakwaren in Automaten, noch die Untersagung der Auslage bzw. Sichtbarkeit von Zigaretten in Verkaufsstellen weisen einen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Für Automaten ergibt sich das schon daraus, dass deren Einzugsgebiet auf die unmittelbare Nachbarschaft zum Automaten beschränkt ist. Selbst wenn von Mitgliedstaat zu Mitgliedstaat unterschiedliche Anforderungen an die Gestaltung der Automaten – z.B. aus Gründen des Jugendschutzes – den grenzüberschreitenden Handel mit den Automaten behindern, würde ein Verbot der Automatenaufstellung dem gemeinschaftsweiten Warenhandel mit Automaten die Grundlage entziehen, diesen also beeinträchtigen und ihn nicht erleichtern. Die EU kann nur tätig werden, wenn sie die Kompetenz dazu hat – diese Kompetenz ist in den EU-Verträgen festgelegt. Ein Verweis darauf, dass bisher weder die Frage der begrenzten Einzelermächtigung, der Subsidiarität oder der Verhältnismäßigkeit in Bezug auf die einzelnen Maßnahmen oder Optionen hin geprüft wurde, ist inakzeptabel. Die Problembeschreibung wird daher mangels fehlender juristischer Vorwertung der Kommission nicht mitgetragen. Dass die Existenz von Zigarettenautomaten einen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher hat, ist wissenschaftlich nicht nachgewiesen. In Ländern mit einem Automatenverbot fällt die Quote jugendlicher Raucher zum Teil deutlich höher aus als in den Ländern mit einer Automatenvertriebsstruktur. Pläne der Europäischen Kommission zur Abschaffung der Automaten schränken daher die Bezugsmöglichkeiten erwachsener Verbraucher ein, dienen dem Jugendschutz jedoch in keiner Weise.“ Organisierte Kriminalität wird das Vakuum füllen, das entsteht, wenn legale Verkaufs- bzw. Bezugsstellen verschwinden.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BREKSA WOJCIECH

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

LI CALZI ANTONIO

**email**

antoniocalzi@tim.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent

proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TAZZA GIAMPAOLO

**email**

giampaolotazza@tiscali.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on

the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Darya

**email**

dashainpl@gmail.com

**country**

Poland

**age**

29

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

don't agree

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no reporting format

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Don't agree

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

don't agree

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Agata Siudak

**email**

maziutekk@interia.pl

**country**

Polska

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No comment

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

NO comment

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LESTI EMILIANO

**email**

lesti.el71@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No



**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SOLIŃSKI ADAM

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Irene Zager-Stanojevic

**email**  
jue-zager@t-online.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AGUZZI ROBERTO

**email**

tabaccheria\_aguzzi@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

COLELLA PASQUALE

#### **email**

colellapasquale@virgilio.it

#### **country**

ITALIA

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti,



sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ANTOS STANISŁAW

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Edith Schnellbacher

**email**

eschnellbacher@tabakweber.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es liegen keine wissenschaftlichen Erkenntnisse vor.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Das geniessen rauchloser Tabakprodukte stellt keine Gefährdung Dritter dar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Allgemeinheit ist sich bewusst über die Risiken des Tabakgenusses. Ferner steht der Tabakgenuss erst an 9. Stelle der Auflistung aller Genussmittel.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Verbreitung des Rauchen in Ländern, in denen kaum Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen verkauft werden, ist nicht geringer als in anderen Ländern. Die Attraktivität ist nur ein subjektes Kriterium.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das Verkaufen von Tabakprodukten über Automaten steht unter strenger Kontrolle. Dass in Mitgliedstaaten der Automatenverkauf verboten ist, stellt keine Problembeschreibung dar. Ferner zweifele ich daran, dass der Automatenverkauf Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher hat.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BARRESI VINCENZO

#### **email**

v.barresi@alice.it

#### **country**

Italy

#### **age**

37

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Andreas Fiebiger

#### **email**

andreas-fiebiger@t-online.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

58

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Juan J. de Miguel

**email**

demigueljj@gmail.com

**country**

Spain

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCORDIO KATIA

**email**

fit.milano@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis

of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
PABIŚ JÓZEF

**email**  
ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**  
POLAND

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARDELLA SANTO

**email**

fit.enna@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults



**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christoph Leist

**email**

cleist@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es handelt sich meiner Meinung nach im Allgemeinen bereits jetzt schon um eine Bevormundung sowie um einen Eingriff in die Privatspäre von Erwachsenen

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Monika Teran

**email**

monika.teran@si.imptob.com

**country**

Slovenia

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem definition is incorrect, vague and unclear. The consultation does not identify a problem in any of the areas it mentions in this section.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

It is vague and the consultation document has failed to define a problem in this area.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No, we disagree with the problem definition. It is based on a series of assumptions and assertions that are wrong.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No, we disagree with the problem definition. It is vague and incomplete.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No, we disagree with the problem definition. Manufacturers do not add ingredients to attract non-smokers or influence existing smokers away from quitting.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is vague and incomplete. The Consultation document does not identify a problem in any of the areas mentioned in this section.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marzena Siudak

**email**

maziutek@interia.pl

**country**

Polska

**age**

19

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

No comment

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No coment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No coment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Friedrich Karl Hohmann

**email**

fritz.hohmann@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

73

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Makes no sense.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No.

**additional comments**

No.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

See no reason for change.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No.

**additional comments**

No.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I see no problem.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No.

**additional comments**

No.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

No.

**additional comments**

No.

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No.

**additional comments**

No.

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access



**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**additional option**

No.

**additional comments**

No.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LO IACONO GIOVANNI

**email**

highlander.10@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

20

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BELSKI MARIUSZ

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAPATI ANTONIA

**email**

caffeprenestina@hotmail.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

68

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SEKUNDA DARIUSZ

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change



**additional options**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Goralski, Gunnar

**email**

gun99go@yahoo.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SIMEONE GIOVANNA

**email**

fit.milano@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI BARTOLOMEO MILENA

**email**

fit.campobasso@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

INFANTE ANIELLO

**email**

nelloinfante@cheapnet.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza



ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60. 000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FILIPPONE GIANFRANCO

**email**

gianfranco.fili@ibero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this

statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Müller

**email**

michael@daskleebblatt.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manfred Paus

**email**

malopa@aol.com

**country**

germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es geht hier auch um arbeitsplätze

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

meine meinung

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

zusatzstoffe sind mir egal

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kurt Friedrich

**email**

kufrie.007@online.de

**country**

DE

**age**

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Es kann nicht sein, dass sich die Politik auch noch in die Freiheit der Raucher hängt.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zu jedem Produkt gehört sein eigenes Logo, denn eine Kartoffel sieht nicht aus wie ein Apfel.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Frage: Wann kommt dann die Uniformierung aller Menschen. Nordkora als gutes Beispiel

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Soll so bleiben wie es ist

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional comments**

Tabak ist ein Genussmittel und sollte es bleiben. Und nicht durch irgendwelche Vorschriften von Idioten eingeschränkt werden.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAOLONE ERSILIA

**email**

antonio.mazzocco1@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

30

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Schmid

**email**

smi27gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sven Grimm

**email**

FallenAngel6.6.6@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sandra Marchand

**email**

dr\_butterweck@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

....

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RAO SONIA

**email**

fit.imperia@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).



---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Jürgen Zager

#### **email**

jue-zager@t-online.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Michael Neuhäuser

**email**  
m.n1@gmx.net

**country**  
Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

- great alternative to smoking. - very less harmful (no snus related cancers have been diagnosed yet!!!) - it's cheaper

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

"legalize" snus all over europe to give smokers a change to quit

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

JEZIORSKI ALEKSY

#### **email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

#### **country**

POLAND

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **which option**

No change

### **recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
RAHO GIANLUCA

**email**  
gianluca.raho@libero.it

**country**  
Italy

**age**  
35

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robert Jastrzebski

**email**

robertjastrzebski@vp.pl

**country**

Poland

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales would be restricted - this I disagree. I do not understand why legal products are treated as illegal? Canadian extreme approach is the breaking any right of consumers. The consumers should have possibility to select which brands are available and which to buy!

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

The option would be a obligatory licence for selling the cigarettes and any smoking tobacco and snus and the point of sales should have no possibility to enter buy young people, it will be easier to control even and limit smuggled cigarettes to be sold in the market!

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Dr.Torregroza Michael

#### **email**

DrR.Torregroza@t-online.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **gender**

male

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

### **regulation of ingredients**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHUCHMACZ WOJCIECH

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
PATERNOSTER PASQUALE

**email**  
paternosterpasquale@gmail.com

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Schmid

**email**

jeschmid@t-online.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
52

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NATALINI VALENTINA

**email**

fit.perugia@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

20

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RAINONE ROMINA

**email**

rominarainone@virgilio.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Stassen

**email**

kstassen@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Komm.

**additional comments**  
kein Komm.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

christiane klüver

**email**

christiane.kluever@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen Kommentar. die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme n meinem Sinne abgeben

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommenar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

eckstein



**email**

eckstein@cantor.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

als freier Bürger benötige ich keine Administration, die durch ständiges Regeln meine Freiheit begrenzt. Ich kann und will selbst entscheiden und nicht ständig gegängelt werden.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Bitte kümmern Sie sich um wichtige Sachen und nicht um wichtiguerischen Aktionismus

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die Bürger benötigen keine Administration in feldern, die Sie für sich selbst entscheiden können. Hören Sie endlich auf , auf Steuerkosten uns zu belehren, wie wir uns zu verhalten haben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wie zuvor !

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wie zuvor !

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kuhn, Maik

**email**

maik\_kuhn@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SZCZĘSNA JANINA

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Starlinger Michaela

**email**

tabak.starlinger@vcpoe.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Europa braucht keine weiteren Vorschriften Tabakprodukte betreffend. Dies sollte Sache jedes einzelnen Mitgliedstaates bleiben

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Über die Gesetzgebung von Tabak- und Nikotinerzeugnissen soll jeder EU-Mitgliedsstaat weiterhin frei entscheiden. Es besteht überhaupt kein Grund bzw. irgendeine Notwendigkeit, die Bevormundung durch die EU voranzutreiben, deren Regelungskompetenz auszuweiten und die Befugnisse der Mitgliedstaaten einzuschränken. Erinnerungen an Faschismus und Kommunismus werden wach. Diese Systeme haben nicht überlebt.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Sofern geeignete Verbraucherinformationen wie Gesundheitshinweise aufgedruckt sind, sollen diese rauchlosen Tabakerzeugnisse in der EU angeboten werden können. Gründe für ein Verbot sind nicht nachvollziehbar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Sofern geeignete Verbraucherinformationen wie Gesundheitshinweise aufgedruckt sind, sollen diese rauchlosen Tabakerzeugnisse in der EU angeboten werden können. Gründe für ein Verbot sind nicht nachvollziehbar.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Für alle Tabakprodukte soll es auch in Zukunft keine EU-Verpackungsvorschrift geben. Dies ist ein erster unverständlicher Eingriff in freien Marktwirtschaft und eine Bevormundung der Wirtschaft durch die EU bzw. der EU-Abgeordneten. Es soll der Gesetzgebung der Mitgliedsstaaten überlassen bleiben, wie die Warnhinweise auszusehen haben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Informationen über die Zusatzstoffe sollen von der Tabakindustrie weiterhin den einzelnen Mitgliedsstaaten vorgelegt werden. Über die Beigabe von Zusatzstoffen sollen die Staaten selbst entscheiden. Genmanipulierte Lebensmittel, von denen niemand weiß, wie sie sich auf Menschen auswirken, werden erlaubt, natürliche Zusatzstoffe bei Tabakwaren hingegen sollen verboten werden.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Informationen über die Zusatzstoffe sollen von der Tabakindustrie weiterhin den einzelnen Mitgliedsstaaten vorgelegt werden. Über die Beigabe von Zusatzstoffen sollen die Staaten selbst entscheiden. Genmanipulierte Lebensmittel, von denen niemand weiß, wie sie sich auf Menschen auswirken, werden erlaubt, natürliche Zusatzstoffe bei Tabakwaren hingegen sollen verboten werden.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Option 1 keine Änderung. Jeder Staat soll weiterhin darüber entscheiden, welche Zusatzstoffe verboten werden sollen. Nur so kann eine freie Marktwirtschaft und Wettbewerb erhalten und gewährleistet werden. Bei welchen Produkten wollen die EU-Abgeordneten, falls diese Entmündigung der EU-Bürger durch eine kleine Minderheit ermöglicht wird, als Nächstes ihren Einfluss geltend machen. Genmanipuliertes Gemüse, von dem niemand weiß wie es sich auf Menschen auswirkt, wird erlaubt, während natürliche Zusatzstoffe bei Zigaretten verboten werden sollen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weiterhin muss es dem Zuständigkeitsbereich der einzelnen Mitgliedsstaaten unterliegen, welche Maßnahmen zur Begrenzung des Zugangs zu Tabakprodukten ergriffen werden. In Österreich haben wir bereits sinnvolle Jugendschutzbestimmungen für den beschränkten Zugang zu Tabakprodukten. In Österreich dürfen Tabakerzeugnisse nur in bestimmten Geschäften verkauft werden. Daher befinden sich diese nicht im Kassbereich neben Süßigkeiten und Alkohol. Dies ist auch der Grund, warum ein Werbeverbot von Tabakerzeugnissen nicht erforderlich ist. Durch eine

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Cornelia Klein Alstädde

**email**

cornelia.kleinalstaedde@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

56

**gender**

female



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZAPART JAN

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

heinrich höfener

**email**

hoefener-h@versanet.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine änderung

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar"

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Martina Krull

#### **email**

tini\_kiel@yahoo.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Patrizia Amore

**email**  
patrizya78@gmail.com

**country**  
Italia

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordiamo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Siamo anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Siamo d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordiamo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**



Siamo contrari all'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Riteniamo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Axl Schmidt

**email**

axl.schmidt@gmx.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**additional comments**

Keep evrything as it is in the moment. There is no need for pictures of canzer or something else. Where is the difference to alcohol? The government want to control everything? This make no sence. There are much more and more important problems in Europe! If you want change anything the people should do an medical check up, if they are smoking and exzessive drinking, if yes they should pay an extra fee for their health insurance.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kirchner

**email**

franz.kirchner@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Why implementing "White Packs" if major companies even invest Billions of Euro/Dollars to establish their own corporate identity!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

more information.

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**additional option**

More information e.g. in schools to minors; to forbid or restrict smoking does not solve the problem. Confrontation and discussion/information is better.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michaela Schwind

**email**

michaela.schwind@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZAPART JAN

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michalis Christodoulou

**email**

gloryri@yahoo.gr

**country**

Cyprus

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comments



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Banning the packs is not fair to the smokers. Smokers need to see the pack to decide what to buy.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comments

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

consumers have the right of access to tobacco products.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

KOŁODZIEJ MAREK

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Grotz Céline

**email**

celine.grotz@gmx.ch

**country**

Switzerland

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Der Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann erweitert werden und solche Produkte beinhalten. Dies sollte jedoch nicht zu einem automatischen Verbot einer Produktkategorie führen. Sämtliche Verbote müssen auf wissenschaftlicher Grundlage beruhen.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Ich bin gegen ein Verbot von rauchlosen Tabakerzeugnissen, weil es keine wissenschaftliche Grundlage dafür gibt. Ein solches Verbot wäre nur dann gerechtfertigt, wenn nachgewiesen werden könnte, dass sämtliche rauchlosen Tabakprodukte schädlicher als andere Formen von Tabakerzeugnissen sind. Es gibt keine Anhaltspunkte, die darauf hindeuten.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen wissenschaftlichen Nachweis, dass grössere Gesundheitswarnungen mehr Wirkung erzeugen. Es gibt keine Nachweise, dass neutrale Verpackungen von Tabakprodukten, dass Funktionieren des Binnenmarktes verbessern oder positive Auswirkungen auf die öffentliche Gesundheit haben würden. Eine solche Massnahme würde dem illegalen Handel entgegen kommen, da die Fälschung von Tabakmarken einfach gemacht würde. Folge wäre eine Zunahme des illegalen Handels mit Zigaretten.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

EU-Mitgliedsstaaten können heute bereits Gebühren und Sanktionen einführen. Die Durchsetzung von Bestimmungen der Richtlinie sollte Aufgabe der EU-Mitgliedstaaten bleiben.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional comments**

Sämtliche Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen in Tabakprodukten sollte auf wissenschaftlicher Grundlage beruhen.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das Testen von Zusatzstoffen sollte darauf ausgerichtet sein, ob Zusatzstoffe die Toxizität von Tabakrauch erhöhen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bezüglich Tabakautomaten und Auslage von Tabakprodukten am Verkaufspunkt wird kein klares Problem aufgezeigt. Es gibt keine Nachweise, dass Verbote das Funktionieren des Binnenmarktes verbessern würden oder Zielen des Gesundheitsschutzes dienen könnten.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Henryka Rudecka

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLSKA

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

NO COMMENTS

**additional comments**

NO COMMENTS

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

NO COMMENTS

**additional comments**

NO COMMENTS

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

NO COMMENTS

**additional comments**

NO COMMENTS

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

NO COMMENTS

**additional comments**

NO COMMENTS

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
NO COMMENTS

**additional comments**  
NO COMMENTS

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
NO COMMENTS

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
NO COMMENTS

**additional comments**  
NO COMMENTS

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Reuß

**email**  
reuss-rennerla@t-online.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
46

**gender**  
male



**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Horst Boettcher

**email**

hebconsult@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

72

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Smoking in the EU has already been regulated in different ways. There is no need for any further action

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No

**additional comments**

Regulation with regard to tobacco is already tremendously infringing the citizens's rights. There should be easing rather than any more regulation.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

There is no problem at all. Just the EU authorities create a problem around smoking.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

Stop regulations on tobacco consumption

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Consumers are already choked with information on the dangers of smoking. Stop all further actions.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

There is no need for further action.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

No

**additional comments**

No

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

As I am against any further regulations.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Access, except for minors, should not be further regulated

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

Stop all this discussion about tobacco!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

JUREK MATEUSZ

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Melisi Gianna

**email**

giannamelisi@hotmail.it

**country**

ITALIA

**age**

35

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**



Raimond Kubitschke

**email**

kubitschke\_service\_fuer\_h\_h\_g@web.de

**country**

BRD

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jaroslaw Bieniek

**email**

bieniekj@wp.pl

**country**

Poland

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

n/a

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

n/a

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

n/a

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

n/a

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Capo

**email**

capoloni@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

its donky

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

have no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

nono

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MALGORZATA GRZYB

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

Polska (POLAND)

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

NO COMMENTS

**additional comments**

NO COMMENTS

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

NO COMMENTS

**additional comments**

NO COMMENTS

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

NO COMMENTS

**additional comments**

NO COMMENTS

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

NO COMMENTS

**additional comments**

NO COMMENTS

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

NO COMMENTS

**additional comments**

NO COMMENTS

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENTS

**which option**

No change

**additional option**  
NO COMMENTS

**additional comments**  
NO COMMENTS

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jörg Fink

**email**  
joerg.fink@gmx.net

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
51

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change



**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
NOWIŃSKI CZESŁAW

**email**  
ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**  
POLAND

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heyde Farrysia, Nadja

**email**

lezo@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Regulierung muss immer auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse erfolgen und nicht auf Basis vager Einschätzungen. Nur weil Produkte neu sind, müssen sie nicht reguliert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse gefährden nicht die Gesundheit Dritter. Ein Verbot von Snus entmündigt die EU-Bürger.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich denke, dass ein Warnhinweis wirksamer ist, wenn er größer ist. Ausserdem besteht bereits ein Bewusstsein für die Risiken des Rauchens, ein Bildwarnhinweis ist überflüssig. Einheitspackungen greifen in das freie Markenrecht ein. Einheitspackungen führen zu einer Preisspirale, dadurch werden Groß- und Einzelhändler und damit mein Arbeitsplatz gefährdet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch Zusatzstoffe werden Zigaretten nicht attraktiver. Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe geben dem illegalen Handel auftrieb.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In 15 von 27 Mitgliedsstaaten sind Zigarettenautomaten erlaubt und das sollte so bleiben. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen, z.B. Ausweisleser in Deutschland. Zigarettenautomaten fallen in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der einzelnen Staaten. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt lokal und weist keinen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Zigarettenautomaten haben meiner Meinung nach keinen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tadeusz Wieciech

**email**

wieciech@poczta.onet.pl

**country**

Poland

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

n/a

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

na

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

na

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

na

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

De Lucida Rosa

#### **email**

delucida.rosa@hotmail.it

#### **country**

Italia

#### **age**

45

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che

rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare



l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Arne Vanhuylenbrouck

**email**

arne.vanhuylenbrouck@jcortes.com

**country**

Belgium

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

\*\*\*\*

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

NO

**additional comments**

NO

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

als sigarenrookster onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

als sigarenrookster onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

als sigarenrookster onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de commissie is verstrekt

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

als sigarenrookster onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de commissie is verstrekt

**additional comments**

\*\*\*

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

als sigarenrookster onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de commissie is verstrekt

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

als sigarenrookster onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de commissie is verstrekt

**additional comments**

//

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

als sigarenrookster onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de commissie is verstrekt

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

als sigarenrookster onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de commissie is verstrekt

**additional comments**

als sigarenrookster onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de commissie is verstrekt

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reinhold Knoll

**email**

reinholdknoll@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Joerg Marktanner

**email**

marktanner@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Kutzler

**email**

A.Kutzler@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
50

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Warum gibt es diese Befragung nur in English, wenn alle Länder innerhalb der EU betroffen sind, ich empfinde die als Diskriminierung. Ich bin dafür, das Tabakwaren wie bisher ausschließlich zum rauchen angeboten werden. Die Angabe der Konzentration der Inhaltsstoffe (Teer, Nicotin usw.) gehört auf jede Packung, nach meiner Auffassung auch auf die von Zigarillos und Zigarren. Wie die Packungen aussehen sollen, sollten unsere Politiker aber der Wirtschaft überlassen, die Herren Politiker sollten sich um die wirklich WICHTIGEN Sachen kümmern

**additional comments**  
Warum gibt es diese Befragung nur in English, wenn alle Länder innerhalb der EU betroffen sind, ich empfinde die als Diskriminierung. Ich bin dafür, das Tabakwaren wie bisher ausschließlich zum rauchen angeboten werden. Die Angabe der Konzentration der Inhaltsstoffe (Teer, Nicotin usw.) gehört auf jede Packung, nach meiner Auffassung auch auf die von Zigarillos und Zigarren. Wie die Packungen aussehen sollen, sollten unsere Politiker aber der Wirtschaft überlassen, die Herren Politiker sollten sich um die wirklich WICHTIGEN Sachen kümmern

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Warum gibt es diese Befragung nur in English, wenn alle Länder innerhalb der EU betroffen sind, ich empfinde die als Diskriminierung. Ich bin dafür, das Tabakwaren wie bisher ausschließlich zum rauchen angeboten werden. Die Angabe der Konzentration der Inhaltsstoffe (Teer, Nicotin usw.) gehört auf jede Packung, nach meiner Auffassung auch auf die von Zigarillos und Zigarren. Wie die Packungen aussehen sollen, sollten unsere Politiker aber der Wirtschaft überlassen, die Herren Politiker sollten sich um die wirklich WICHTIGEN Sachen kümmern

**additional comments**  
Warum gibt es diese Befragung nur in English, wenn alle Länder innerhalb der EU betroffen sind, ich empfinde die als Diskriminierung. Ich bin dafür, das Tabakwaren wie bisher ausschließlich zum rauchen angeboten werden. Die Angabe der Konzentration der Inhaltsstoffe (Teer, Nicotin usw.) gehört auf jede Packung, nach meiner Auffassung auch auf die von Zigarillos und Zigarren. Wie die Packungen aussehen sollen, sollten unsere Politiker

aber der Wirtschaft überlassen, die Herren Politiker sollten sich um die wirklich WICHTIGEN Sachen kümmern

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Warum gibt es diese Befragung nur in English, wenn alle Länder innerhalb der EU betroffen sind, ich empfinde die als Diskriminierung. Ich bin dafür, das Tabakwaren wie bisher ausschließlich zum rauchen angeboten werden. Die Angabe der Konzentration der Inhaltsstoffe (Teer, Nicotin usw.) gehört auf jede Packung, nach meiner Auffassung auch auf die von Zigarillos und Zigarren. Wie die Packungen aussehen sollen, sollten unsere Politiker aber der Wirtschaft überlassen, die Herren Politiker sollten sich um die wirklich WICHTIGEN Sachen kümmern

**additional comments**

Warum gibt es diese Befragung nur in English, wenn alle Länder innerhalb der EU betroffen sind, ich empfinde die als Diskriminierung. Ich bin dafür, das Tabakwaren wie bisher ausschließlich zum rauchen angeboten werden. Die Angabe der Konzentration der Inhaltsstoffe (Teer, Nicotin usw.) gehört auf jede Packung, nach meiner Auffassung auch auf die von Zigarillos und Zigarren. Wie die Packungen aussehen sollen, sollten unsere Politiker aber der Wirtschaft überlassen, die Herren Politiker sollten sich um die wirklich WICHTIGEN Sachen kümmern

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Warum gibt es diese Befragung nur in English, wenn alle Länder innerhalb der EU betroffen sind, ich empfinde die als Diskriminierung. Ich bin dafür, das Tabakwaren wie bisher ausschließlich zum rauchen angeboten werden. Die Angabe der Konzentration der Inhaltsstoffe (Teer, Nicotin usw.) gehört auf jede Packung, nach meiner Auffassung auch auf die von Zigarillos und Zigarren. Wie die Packungen aussehen sollen, sollten unsere Politiker aber der Wirtschaft überlassen, die Herren Politiker sollten sich um die wirklich WICHTIGEN Sachen kümmern

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change



**additional option**

Warum gibt es diese Befragung nur in English, wenn alle Länder innerhalb der EU betroffen sind, ich empfinde die als Diskriminierung. Ich bin dafür, das Tabakwaren wie bisher ausschließlich zum rauchen angeboten werden. Die Angabe der Konzentration der Inhaltsstoffe (Teer, Nicotin usw.) gehört auf jede Packung, nach meiner Auffassung auch auf die von Zigarillos und Zigarren. Wie die Packungen aussehen sollen, sollten unsere Politiker aber der Wirtschaft überlassen, die Herren Politiker sollten sich um die wirklich WICHTIGEN Sachen kümmern

**additional comments**

Warum gibt es diese Befragung nur in English, wenn alle Länder innerhalb der EU betroffen sind, ich empfinde die als Diskriminierung. Ich bin dafür, das Tabakwaren wie bisher ausschließlich zum rauchen angeboten werden. Die Angabe der Konzentration der Inhaltsstoffe (Teer, Nicotin usw.) gehört auf jede Packung, nach meiner Auffassung auch auf die von Zigarillos und Zigarren. Wie die Packungen aussehen sollen, sollten unsere Politiker aber der Wirtschaft überlassen, die Herren Politiker sollten sich um die wirklich WICHTIGEN Sachen kümmern

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Warum gibt es diese Befragung nur in English, wenn alle Länder innerhalb der EU betroffen sind, ich empfinde die als Diskriminierung. Ich bin dafür, das Tabakwaren wie bisher ausschließlich zum rauchen angeboten werden. Die Angabe der Konzentration der Inhaltsstoffe (Teer, Nicotin usw.) gehört auf jede Packung, nach meiner Auffassung auch auf die von Zigarillos und Zigarren. Wie die Packungen aussehen sollen, sollten unsere Politiker aber der Wirtschaft überlassen, die Herren Politiker sollten sich um die wirklich WICHTIGEN Sachen kümmern

**additional comments**

Warum gibt es diese Befragung nur in English, wenn alle Länder innerhalb der EU betroffen sind, ich empfinde die als Diskriminierung. Ich bin dafür, das Tabakwaren wie bisher ausschließlich zum rauchen angeboten werden. Die Angabe der Konzentration der Inhaltsstoffe (Teer, Nicotin usw.) gehört auf jede Packung, nach meiner Auffassung auch auf die von Zigarillos und Zigarren. Wie die Packungen aussehen sollen, sollten unsere Politiker aber der Wirtschaft überlassen, die Herren Politiker sollten sich um die wirklich WICHTIGEN Sachen kümmern

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrew Wiecech

**email**

underrtow@gmail.com

**country**

Poland

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zoltán Szabó

**email**

Bator001@citromail.hu

**country**

Hungary

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nem hiszem, hogy ezen termékek betiltása bárminek is megoldása lehet, hiszen aki szeretné vagy így vagy úgy de hozzá fog jutni.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Nincs megjegyzésem.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Nincs megjegyzésem.

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Klaus Bondzio

**email**  
KBond@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
57

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**  
Nichts löst das Problem, wenn man keine Raucher mehr will, als derartige Produkte gänzlich vom Markt zu nehmen. Solange aber noch Steuereinnahmen zu erzielen sind, wird kein Staat der Erde dies wirklich wollen. Also ist das ein "Wasch mir den Pelz, aber mach mich nicht naß" - Gehabe; einfach nur lächerlich ! - Rauchen oder Nichtrauchen entscheidet der einzelne, mündige Bürger. Sind wir überhaupt mündig ? - Rauchen finde ich störend im Speiserestaurant; jeder kommt zu unterschiedlichen Zeiten dort an, auch ich. Dann möchte ich keinen rauchgeschwängerten Essensaal vorfinden. Zeige mich also durchaus kompromißbereit !

**additional comments**  
no

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
PABIAŃCZYK GRZEGORZ

**email**  
ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**  
POLAND

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Birck,Karola

**email**

karolabirck@hotmail.com

**country**

Deutschland



**age**  
31

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Harald Bühler

**email**

harald\_buehler@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joachim K. Knollmann

**email**

j.knollmann@jkmarken.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

63

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No Change.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrizia Esposito

**email**

eallorasi@tiscali.it

**country**

italia

**age**

33

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di

sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di “Burley”, in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto “American blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marc Siebert, Zinnstr. 4, 41564 Kaarst, Germany

**email**

m.siebert@allianz.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Als eigenständig denkendes Individuum will ich für mich selbst entscheiden und nicht ständig auf mir rumhacken lassen müssen, weil eine Behörde für mich entschieden hat, das ich als Raucher ein schlechter Mensch bin, dem man Zwänge auferlegen muß.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar.

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar.



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rita Voith

**email**

rita.voith@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Klinger

**email**

michiklinger@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar, es ist lächerlich, damit etwas bewirken zu wollen

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roswitha Knoll

**email**

rosi.knoll@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schadt, Carlo

**email**

autohaus-schadt@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Regulierung muss immer auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse erfolgen und nicht auf Basis vager Einschätzungen. Nur weil Produkte neu sind, müssen sie nicht reguliert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse gefährden nicht die Gesundheit Dritter. Ein Verbot von Snus entmündigt die EU-Bürger.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich denke, dass ein Warnhinweis wirksamer ist, wenn er größer ist. Ausserdem besteht bereits ein Bewusstsein für die Risiken des Rauchens, ein Bildwarnhinweis ist überflüssig.

Einheitspackungen greifen in das freie Markenrecht ein. Einheitspackungen führen zu einer Preisspirale, dadurch werden Groß- und Einzelhändler und damit mein Arbeitsplatz gefährdet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch Zusatzstoffe werden Zigaretten nicht attraktiver. Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe geben dem illegalen Handel auftrieb.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In 15 von 27 Mitgliedsstaaten sind Zigarettenautomaten erlaubt und das sollte so bleiben. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen, z.B. Ausweisleser in Deutschland. Zigarettenautomaten fallen in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der einzelnen Staaten. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt lokal und weist keinen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Zigarettenautomaten haben meiner Meinung nach keinen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Heinz Nauheimer

#### **email**

heinz@naquheimer.eu

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

68

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

die jetzige Regelung reicht aus

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **additional comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**



kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerhard Sprissler

**email**

info@gsmarkom.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

64

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder hat das Recht auf freie Entscheidung ohne Bevormundung. Die Würde des Menschen ist unverletzbar.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

nein, nicht erforderlich

**additional comments**

Nein. Es gibt wichtigere Dinge zu entscheiden in Zeiten der ausufernden Finanzspekulation und Länderüberschuldungen.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Es gibt überhaupt kein Problem, die Politik schafft es gerade.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt kein Problem.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es gibt kein Porblem.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es gibt kein Problem

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder hat das Recht auf Selbstbestimmung. Nächster möglicher Schritt wäre die Wiedereinführung der Hexenverbrennung.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

mücke Inka

**email**

inka-muecke@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar...

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe dazu kein Kommentar....Finde es nur eine sauerrei was man mit den bürgern macht.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Siehe die andere Seite ...kein Kommentar mehr dazu.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar mehr dazu..

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe kein Kommentar mehr dazu...

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es soll so bleiben wie es ist. jeder Bürger zahlt auch kräftig die Tabaksteuern..was ist wenn das mal wegfällt,dann müssen warscheinlich die Nichtraucher mit dran glauben.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulf Bauer

**email**

cultbauer@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Humme

**email**

shumme@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I think a general banning of tobacco advertisement and ban of selling machines is no solution. Controlling the age of people buying the product and punishing sellers who sell to minors or of people who help minors buying/smoking would be better

**which option**

No change



---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Weller Michael

#### **email**

michael-weller@onlinehome.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

51

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Health warnings to be put on water pipes

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Bubfen

**email**

Hans-Bubfen@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MANIAK ANNA

#### **email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

#### **country**

POLAND

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **which option**

No change

### **recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Fabian Nötzel

**email**  
fabian\_noetzel@yahoo.com

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
21

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nick Hoffmann

**email**

nick.hoffmann@tankstelle.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen?

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Weißer Einheitspackungen sind viel einfacher zu fälschen. Plain Packaging spielt also Kriminellen in die Hände.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**



No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Luckow

**email**

Siegbert

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
71

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Gibt wichtigere Probleme

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Lieber gleiches Recht schaffen

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No commend

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ries, Uwe

**email**

tabakries@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Regulierung muss immer auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse erfolgen und nicht auf Basis vager Einschätzungen. Nur weil Produkte neu sind, müssen sie nicht reguliert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse gefährden nicht die Gesundheit Dritter. Ein Verbot von Snus entmündigt die EU-Bürger.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich denke, dass ein Warnhinweis wirksamer ist, wenn er größer ist. Ausserdem besteht bereits ein Bewusstsein für die Risiken des Rauchens, ein Bildwarnhinweis ist überflüssig.

Einheitspackungen greifen in das freie Markenrecht ein. Einheitspackungen führen zu einer Preisspirale, dadurch werden Groß- und Einzelhändler und damit mein Arbeitsplatz gefährdet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch Zusatzstoffe werden Zigaretten nicht attraktiver. Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe geben dem illegalen Handel auftrieb.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In 15 von 27 Mitgliedsstaaten sind Zigarettenautomaten erlaubt und das sollte so bleiben. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen, z.B. Ausweisleser in Deutschland. Zigarettenautomaten fallen in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der einzelnen Staaten. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt lokal und weist keinen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Zigarettenautomaten haben meiner Meinung nach keinen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Schmidt

**email**

aschmidtmsv@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Nothing

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernd Zavelberg

**email**

Bernd.Zavelberg@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

unnötige Bevormundung der Bürger

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Rückzug der Richtlinie

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Rückzug der Richtlinie

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Rückzug der Richtlinie

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BANACH ANDRZEJ

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zeiner Thomas

**email**

zeinerthomas@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pedro Barão

**email**

pedro.barao@leoburnett.pt

**country**

Portugal

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Antonio Silva

**email**

antonio.silva@arcww.pt

**country**

Portugal

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Riotte

**email**

markus.riotte@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen im Hinblick auf eine mögliche Binnenmarktverzerrung oder ein mögliches Versagen bei der Sicherstellung eines hohen Gesundheitsschutzniveaus aufgrund fehlender einheitlicher Bestimmungen für die Regulierung von nikotinhaltigen oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Mitgliedstaaten. Zu dem vermeidet die Kommission jede Form der Wertung in Bezug auf das Subsidiaritäts- und Verhältnismäßigkeitsprinzip. Jede Form der Regulierung von "Tabakerzeugnissen" muss auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse sowie der zweifelsfrei gegebenen Rechtssetzungskompetenz der EU basieren. Dies gilt auch für mögliche Regulierungen oder gar Verbote für nikotinhaltige Produkte, die keinen Tabak enthalten oder Zigaretten ähnliche Produkt (Kräuterzigaretten). Eine Einbeziehung von nikotinhaltigen Produkten oder zigarettenähnlichen Produkten in den Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie kann nicht allein aus der Begründung heraus erfolgen, dass diese Produkte neu sind und nach der Auffassung der Kommission auch auf eine entsprechende Nachfrage in den einzelnen Märkten der EU 27 treffen. ...oder aus der Begründung heraus, dass diese Produkte bisher keiner wissenschaftlichen Bewertung (Unbedenklichkeitsprüfung) unterzogen wurden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der konsumrauchloser Tabakerzeugnisse durch erwachsene und aufgeklärte Personen gefährdet weder die Gesundheit Dritter noch schränkt der Konsum dieser Produkte andere Personen in ihren Lebensgewohnheiten ein. Der Konsum dieser Produkte liegt in der Eigenverantwortung des Einzelnen. Das Bewerben und Verkaufen dieser Produkte muss daher möglich sein. Das EU-weite Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) stellt eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger dar. Denn die erwachsenen Verbraucher haben das Recht, auch bei Genussmitteln mit Gesundheitsrisiken die Wahl zu haben und eigenverantwortliche Entscheidungen zu treffen. Aus der Problemdarstellung der Kommission lässt sich keine wissenschaftlich fundierte Grundlage ableiten, die ein EU-weites Verbot von Snus (Ausnahme Schweden) oder anderer rauchloser Produktrechte fertigen könnte. Beispielsweise vermissen wir in der Problemdarstellung wissenschaftlich fundierte Wirkungsanalysen aus Ländern, in denen Snus oder andere rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse konsumiert werden.

**which option**



Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt keinen über zeugenden Beleg dafür, dass ein Warnhinweis umso wirksamer ist, je größer sein Format. Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht—die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür werden allerdings keine Nachweise aus den Ländern zugeliefert, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden. Eine Einheitspackung würde den Wesensgehalt des Markenrechts und damit auch die Interessen der Verbraucher verletzen. Eine Marke dient nämlich auch als Herkunftshinweis und ist somit ein unverzichtbares Element zur Wahrung der Entscheidungs- und Wahlfreiheit der Bürger. Die Einführung von Einheitspackungen für Zigaretten wäre eine massive Entmündigung der Bürger. Stichhaltige wissenschaftliche Nachweise, dass Tabakverpackungen und Produktmerkmale von Tabakerzeugnissen das Raucherverhalten von Verbrauchern beeinflussen/fördern, existieren nicht. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv und bedroht die Existenz des reinnationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein nationaltätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Seiten der zuständigen nationalen Behörden erfordern, wie von der Kommission dargestellt, kann nicht beurteilt werden. Wenn dies so wäre, verwundert es sehr, dass der Anstoß in Richtung einer Vereinheitlichung und Vereinfachung nicht aus den Mitgliedstaaten selbst hervor geht, sondern von der Kommission vorgebracht wird und dann im Zusammenhang mit einer Option, die der Wirtschaft zusätzliche dauerhafte Kosten (jährliche Gebühr) auferlegen würde. Die Mitgliedstaaten selbst sind gefordert, zusammen mit der Wirtschaft einen pragmatischen Weg zu diskutieren und um zu setzen. Ein notwendiger Handlungsbedarf oder gar ein Mandat der Kommission zum Eingriff in bestehende nationale Abläufe wird nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Der Begriff „attraktiv“ ist ein subjektives Kriterium für ein Verbot oder eine Regulierung von Zusatzstoffen und entbehrt jeglicher wissenschaftlicher Grundlage. Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern wie Großbritannien und Irland, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe verkauft werden (Produkte des Virginia-Typs), ist nicht geringer als die Verbreitung in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) verkauft werden. Wettbewerbsrechtliche Auswirkungen einer einseitigen Regulierung von Produkten mit Zusatzstoffen werden nicht thematisiert. Die Frage nach der Wirkung auf das Preisgefüge in den EU27 Märkten sowie Effekte auf den illegalen Handel mit Tabakerzeugnissen werden nicht thematisiert.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Problemdarstellung ist keine sachgerechte, an wissenschaftlichen Prüfstrategien und Standards orientierte und am Maßstab der Verhältnismäßigkeit ausgerichtete Diskussionsgrundlage. Die Darstellung, dass in einer Vielzahl von Mitgliedstaaten der Vertrieb von Tabakautomaten verboten ist, ist keine Problembeschreibung. Die Problembeschreibung trifft nicht zu. In 15 Mitgliedstaaten der EU27 dürfen Tabakwaren über Automaten abgegeben werden. Dies erfolgt i.d.R. unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen der nationalen Regierungen. Der Problembeschreibung stimme ich nicht zu. Die Bereiche „Verkauf von Tabakwaren über Zigarettenautomaten“ und „Mindestaltersgrenzen für den Bezug von Tabakwaren“ sind Themen, die eindeutig in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der Mitgliedstaaten fallen. Die Problembeschreibung „Zigarettenautomat“ ist überflüssig, da die Kommission selbst bereits bei ihrem Bericht zur Umsetzung der Ratsempfehlung 2003/54/EC aus November 2009 festgehalten hat: –Insgesamt ist die Umsetzung der Empfehlung zufriedenstellend. –Dies betrifft insbesondere die Verpflichtung, das Mindestalter für den Kauf von Tabakwaren zu überprüfen sowie die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Beschränkung der Verwendung von Automaten durch minderjährige Personen. Weder ein Verbot des Verkaufs von Tabakwaren in Automaten, noch die Untersagung der Auslage bzw. Sichtbarkeit von Zigaretten in Verkaufsstellen weisen einen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Für Automaten ergibt sich das schon daraus, dass deren Einzugsgebiet auf die unmittelbare Nachbarschaft zum Automaten beschränkt ist. Selbst wenn von Mitgliedstaat zu Mitgliedstaat unterschiedliche Anforderungen an die Gestaltung der Automaten - z.B. aus Gründen des Jugendschutzes - den grenzüberschreitenden Handel mit den Automaten behindern, würde ein Verbot der Automatenaufstellung dem gemeinschaftsweiten Warenhandel mit Automaten die Grundlage entziehen, diesen also beeinträchtigen und ihn nicht erleichtern. Die EU kann nur tätig werden, wenn sie die Kompetenz dazu hat – diese Kompetenz ist in den EU-Verträgen festgelegt. Ein Verweis darauf, dass bisher weder die Frage der begrenzten Einzelermächtigung, der Subsidiarität oder der Verhältnismäßigkeit in

Bezug auf die einzelnen Maßnahmen oder Optionen hin geprüft wurde, ist inakzeptabel. Die Problembeschreibung wird daher mangels fehlender juristischer Vorwertung der Kommission nicht mitgetragen. Dass die Existenz von Zigarettenautomaten einen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher hat, ist wissenschaftlich nicht nachgewiesen. In Ländern mit einem Automatenverbot fällt die Quote jugendlicher Raucher zum Teil deutlich höher aus als in den Ländern mit einer Automatenvertriebsstruktur. Pläne der Europäischen Kommission zur Abschaffung der Automaten schränken daher die Bezugsmöglichkeiten erwachsener Verbraucher ein, dienen dem Jugendschutz jedoch in keiner Weise.“ Organisierte Kriminalität wird das Vakuum füllen, das entsteht, wenn legale Verkaufs- bzw. Bezugsstellen verschwinden.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Björn Thoese

**email**

b.thoese@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich halte es für äußerst unwahrscheinlich, dass weniger Menschen rauchen, nur weil alle Schachteln gleich aussehen.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

eugenio cozzolino

**email**

a1962@inwind.it

**country**

italia

**age**

48

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le

avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rudi Laubinger

**email**

rudi.laubinger@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

63

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Bergs

**email**

bergs.thomas@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**



48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Okay Cintemur

#### **email**

Kantkiosk@t-online.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **additional comments**

Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen?

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Weißer Einheitspackungen sind viel einfacher zu fälschen. Plain Packaging spielt also Kriminellen in die Hände.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexander Ebadi

**email**

017929424091@o2online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen?

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich halte es für äußerst unwahrscheinlich, dass weniger Menschen rauchen, nur weil alle Schachteln gleich aussehen.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kraft-Sandte Helga

**email**

Kraft-Sandte@web.de

**country**

Switzerland

**age**

53

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

i don't recommend any additional option

**additional comments**

everybody should be responsible for his attitude oneself

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sven Polster-Aheimer

**email**

svn.polster-aheimer@tankstelle.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**



No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen?

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Weißer Einheitspackungen sind viel einfacher zu fälschen. Plain Packaging spielt also Kriminellen in die Hände.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Drazenka Schöfbeck

**email**

mario.schoefbeck@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Norbert Wolf

**email**

luppolino@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRZYWA HALINA

**email**

ozpt.krakow#wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Per Ludwig Issel

**email**

PerIssel@gmail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich denke nicht, dass eine Änderung nötig ist. Die Gesetze sind strikt genug, ein wenig Mündigkeit sollte man dem Bürger lassen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No



**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Edelhoff, Dirk

**email**

edelhoff.salem@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Iffland

**email**

Hans.Iffland@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sabine Buhne

**email**

sprinttank@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen?

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich halte es für äußerst unwahrscheinlich, dass weniger Menschen rauchen, nur weil alle Schachteln gleich aussehen.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

KACZMARCZYK TERESA

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Christine Fischer

**email**  
tini-fischer@t-online.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
51

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**



The EU should leave it to the people and not try to regulate every inch

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

The EU should leave it to the people and not try to regulate every inch

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

The EU should leave it to the people and not try to regulate every inch

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

The EU should leave it to the people and not try to regulate every inch

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The EU should leave it to the people and not try to regulate every inch

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

The EU should leave it to the people and not try to regulate every inch

**additional comments**

The EU should recognise who pays their paycheque - it's the citizen and they get fed up

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The EU should leave it to the people and not try to regulate every inch

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

The EU should leave it to the people and not try to regulate every inch

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The EU should leave it to the people and not try to regulate every inch

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

The EU should leave it to the people and not try to regulate every inch

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The EU should leave it to the people and not try to regulate every inch

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

The EU should leave it to the people and not try to regulate every inch

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniela Alizo

**email**

danielaalizo@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

59

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen?

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Weißer Einheitspackungen sind viel einfacher zu fälschen. Plain Packaging spielt also Kriminellen in die Hände.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Luis J. Vasquez R

**email**

asqchiq@hotmail.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comments

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comments

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Kratz

**email**

kratzandy@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Not necessary and too much regulation.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Let the people decide for their own!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Free trade within the EU is one of the basic elements of the european idea!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hartmut Köhlmann

**email**

hartmut@koehmann-stade.de

**country**

Deutschlanf

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**



No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dirk Kröling

**email**

dkroeling@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mätzold

**email**

maetzold-ib@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Langnickel, Eckart

**email**

Eckart.Langnickel@alice.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerd Fischer

**email**

Gerd.fischer@hp.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

you should leave it to the people and not try to regulate every inch

**additional comments**

you should leave it to the people and not try to regulate every inch

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

you should leave it to the people and not try to regulate every inch

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

you should leave it to the people and not try to regulate every inch

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

you should leave it to the people and not try to regulate every inch

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

you should leave it to the people and not try to regulate every inch

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

you should leave it to the people and not try to regulate every inch

**additional comments**

you should leave it to the people and not try to regulate every inch

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

you should leave it to the people and not try to regulate every inch

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

you should leave it to the people and not try to regulate every inch

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin J. Theobald

**email**

martinato888@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Georg Harting

**email**

georg.harting@bossmail.de



**country**

Germany/Deutschland

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mario Schöpfbeck

**email**

mario.schoefbeck@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mustafe Karaca

**email**

mustafa55karace@hotmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Meines Wissens ist Snus erheblich weniger Gesundheitsschädlich als Cigaretten. Warum darf ich Snus in Deutschland nicht legal kaufen?

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Weißer Einheitspackungen sind viel einfacher zu fälschen. Plain Packaging spielt also Kriminellen in die Hände.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind immer noch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde im Geschäft auch sehen können.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Mattus

**email**

wolfgang\_mattus@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sören Hartmann

**email**

soeren.hartmann@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Müller

**email**



trevor-lord@gmx.net

**country**  
Germany

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
nur ein Problem von überbezahlten und unnützen Politikern

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
gibt es nicht wichtigere Probleme?

**additional comments**  
Haltet euch aus unserem Leben raus

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Die Bürger können über die Nichtbenutzung oder Nutzung dieser Produkte selbst entscheiden, weg mit der Bevormundung durch die "Politik"

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Das Tabakkonsum nicht gesundheitsfördernd ist bekannt

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

Wie bei allen Genuss und Lebensmittel sollten ALLE !!! Inhaltsstoffe angegeben werden!!!!

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TWARDOWSKA BORKOWSKA WIOLETTA

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Dieter Schneitler

**email**  
Dieter\_Schneitler@Takasago.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

tobacco as legal product should not be restricted.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Very good alternative for all smoke free places.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

As tobacco is a legal product this kind of limitation should not be applied.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Existing levels of control are enough.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Addiction to cigarettes is not related to any added flavouring material.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Again, tobacco products are legal products and therefore any limitation should be minimized.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

E. Hänle

**email**

e.haenle@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no explanation

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gräf, Eberhard

**email**

e.graef@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen



**name**

NOWINSKI CZESŁAW

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Liebers

**email**

jayel2004@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Slonina

**email**

pslonina@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
52

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Barbara Nowicka

**email**

ban@neostrada.pl

**country**

Poland

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hasan Aydemir

**email**

pamutshop@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme

in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich halte es für äußerst unwahrscheinlich, dass weniger Menschen rauchen, nur weil alle Schachteln gleich aussehen.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Cigaretten sind immer nnoch legale Produkte. Die sollte der Kunde auch sehen dürfen.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mirco Hartmann

**email**

ernie9910@aolcom.

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

WŁUSEK JANUSZ

#### **email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

#### **country**

POLAND

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **which option**

No change

### **recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

### **questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Joachim Ebernickel

**email**  
joachim.ebernickel@googlemail.com

**country**  
Garbsen/ Germany

**age**  
64

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Smith, Sven

**email**

tecks@mysc.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
i love smoking

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
i love smoking

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
i love smoking

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
i love smoking

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
i love smoking

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

i love smoking

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Norbert Wieler

**email**

nwieler@gmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

STĘPIEN MAREK

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jana Halsinger

**email**

janchen\_83@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Der Geltungsbereich der Richtlinie sollte durchaus die angegebenen Produkte beinhalten. Ohne zuvorige wissenschaftliche Überprüfung der Produkte in Hinsicht auf ihre Schädlichkeit für den menschlichen Körper sollte jedoch kein Verbot ausgesprochen werden.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es gibt meines Erachtens keine wissenschaftlichen Beweise dafür, dass größere Warnhinweise oder Bilder besser dazu geeignet sind, potenzielle Raucher vom Rauchen abzuhalten bzw. Raucher davon zu überzeugen, mit dem Rauchen aufzuhören. Auch bei Plain Packaging gibt es bis dato keine Beweise dafür, dass sie eine Auswirkung der Gesundheit der Bevölkerung haben. Plain Packaging würde vielmehr Fälschungen und den illegalen Handel mit Tabakprodukten forcieren, weil die Fälschungssicherheit der Produkte nicht gewährleistet werden kann.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Zur besseren Kennzeichnung der Inhaltsstoffe könnte man z.B. Zahlen verwenden, die mit Hilfe eines anderen Messverfahrens (zB Health-Canada-Messverfahren) ermittelt wurden. Dadurch würden die Verbraucher präzisere Informationen über die Messwerte bekommen.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Rechtsdurchsetzung ist Angelegenheit der Mitgliedsstaaten und nicht der EU. Auch wenn die EU dieses Prinzip einführt, würden die Beträge für Gebühren und Sanktionen zwischen den Mitgliedsstaaten unterschiedlich ausfallen. Dies deshalb, da es in die Kompetenz des jeweiligen Staates fällt. Eine Verbesserung des Funktionierens des Binnenmarktes ist somit auf diese Weise nicht ersichtlich.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus bei der Evaluierung von Zusatzstoffen sollte ganz grundsätzlich darauf ausgerichtet sein, ob deren Einsatz die toxische Wirkung des Zigarettenrauches erhöht. Es sollte daher nicht von der Attraktivität der Stoffe ausgegangen werden, da diese nahezu ausschließlich auf subjektiven Kriterien fußen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die hier dargestellte Problemstellung zielt nicht auf gesundheitspolitische Probleme im Zusammenhang mit Tabakkonsum und die Verfügbarkeit der Produkte ab. Weiters werden keinerlei Beweise für die Annahme angeboten, dass derartige Verbote den Binnenmarkt stärken oder aber zu einer Reduktion des Tabakkonsums führen würden.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Internetkäufe könnten zB durch Altersbegrenzungen eingeschränkt werden.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rainer Kreutterer

**email**

rainer@kreutterer.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Adults should have the chance to choose what to do as long they are not hurting other people. On the other hand: It seems you are not taking all themes with the same seriousness but supporting strong lobbies! You are praying you wanna keep our health by changing the laws depending on smoking. But what about other branches bringing billions of tax euros to our member states? Alcohol, car smog pollution etc. Just by alcohol problems there billions of people comming to death in a direct and indirect way! Millions (also young people and children) have serious problems with it. But did i ever hear you talk about it, at least to forbid advertisement for alcohol?? NO! So please stay out of our lives and take your time (and our money!) to resolve serious problems!!!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

-

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

-

**additional comments**

-

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrik Engel

**email**

steelman82qweb.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

KALISZ WOJCIECH

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

JUREK RYSZARD

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Herbert Hartmann

**email**

angelika-hartmann@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

KLASA MAREK

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**  
POLAND

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA



**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

A Scherzer

#### **email**

rauchkultur@gmx.at

#### **country**

Austria

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Hasn't been a problem until now. TPD1 settles it all right.

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **additional option**

Snus is proven to cause less lung cancer, while cancer risk for cancer types caused by Snus are less likely than those caused by smoking tobacco or motorized street traffic (also by E-Vehicles (dust,rubber from tyres).

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

With a legal product/brand there should be no limitation on packaging. I don't want to be alarmed when I put on a running shoe, "You could break your ankle", when I buy a football " Severe Nose injuries" and so on. I've got it tobacco is dangerous, but then my grandparents and my parents have survived it and btw much worse (for example:WWI and WWII).

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Falko Lichtenfeld

**email**

falkol@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Werner Guth

**email**

Werner.Guth@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Bühring

**email**

thomas-buehring@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich bin ein freier Bürger eines freien Landes, unch weiß was ich tu , wenn ich rauche.

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZAPART KRZYSZTOF

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope**

**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

günther

**email**

guenni-eb@web.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dominik Gerauer

**email**

altemannen@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Harmel

**email**

andreas.harmel@t-online.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
44

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
no

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
leave it as it is

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
i think that nothing will change

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heike Cencarka

**email**

hei-ce@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

weil ich anderer Meinung bin

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**



Antonio Cicero

**email**

antonio.cicero@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Herbert Stelzl

**email**

stelzl@mnet-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Krolle

**email**

daniel\_krolle@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kay Britt Edwards

**email**

kaybritt@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

37

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In my opinion, there is no such problem. Smokers are old enough to be aware of consequences.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The information is sufficient. Everybody is aware of the risks.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Brigitte Jaitner

**email**

brigittejaitner@web.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional comments**

It should be especially made sure that an ingredient neither makes it more probable for young people to become addicted (e.g. by suppressing cough, making taste sweeter or causing higher nicotine absorption) nor is harmful in itself.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional comments**

Neither sales over the internet nor vending machines offer a secure age verification, so this is a very important measure. As tobacco products kill around 650,000 people per year in the EU it should be obvious that no promotion should be allowed for these products.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PERCIK RYSZARD

**email**

ozpt.krakow@wp.pl

**country**

POLAND

**questions scope**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**

BEZ KOMENTARZA

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

**additional comments**  
BEZ KOMENTARZA

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Meyer

**email**

meyer@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bianca Knicker

**email**

bianca.knicker@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Krzysztof Leonarcik

**email**

uglwsb@tlen.pl

**country**

Poland

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem definition is incorrect, vague and unclear. It fails to provide any scientific basis or evidence for the different options considered in this section.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem is defined overly narrowly, and so fails to identify relevant policy options.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No evidence provided for the different options discussed in this section.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem definition incorrectly defines the use of ingredients.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

corinna wenzelewski

**email**

jordan09de@yahoo.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

auf den verpackungen wird ausreichend vor schäden durch rauchen gewarnt und ich denke die bilder auf den verpackungen würden vom rauchen nicht abhalten aber wenn kinder solche bilder auf den verpackungen ihrer eltern sehen könnte sie das zu sehr erschrecken deshalb bin ich absolut dagegen erwachsene werden ihre zigaretten einfach umverpacken oder föllig abstumpfen

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wenn jugentliche rauchen wollen finden sie immer einen weg man macht es nur aufragender wenn man den zugang erschwert

**which option**

No change

---



## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Josef Komorowski

#### **email**

komorowski@arnold-andre.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Goebel

**email**

k.goebel@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dirk Strohner

**email**

dirkimweb.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

wir haben ausreichenden schutz für die verbraucher und die verbraucher sind mündig genug auf basis der vorliegenden gesetze die für sie richtige entscheidung zu treffen.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Heinrichs

**email**

Heinrichs-Christian@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reiner Grunwald

**email**

fewo-bb@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Bredehöft

**email**

peter.bredehoeft@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

67

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No



**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Friedrich Wilhelm Kruse

**email**

FriedrichKruse@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Märkl Ulrich

**email**

uli-maerkl@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Saskia Hansmann

**email**

info@speditionhansmann.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernd Stahl

**email**

diefalle@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rolf Becker

**email**

Rolf\_Becker1.0@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
not useful

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
not helpful

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
XX

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
XX

**additional comments**  
XX



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

????????????????????????????????

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carsten Offers

**email**

offers@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rolf Reiner

**email**

rolf.reiner@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Sturm

**email**

thomas.sturm@drei.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jacek Turczyński

**email**

turjac@poczta.onet.pl

**country**

Poland

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Rottschalk

**email**

daniel.rottschalk@gmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SAFAR ILDIKO

**email**

iasafar@gmail.com

**country**



Hungary

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Semmilyen tudományos bizonyíték nincs arra, hogy a nagyobb méretű, esetleg képes figyelmeztetések hatásosabbak lennének. Ám nagy a kockázata a feketepiac elszabadulásának.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Úgy tudom, ez tagállami szinten jól működik, értelmetlen és semmi nem indokolja ennek a döntési jogkörnek a megszüntetését.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nem ismerek olyan tanulmányt, ami azt igazolná, hogy az összetevők vonzósága fokozza a rászokás veszélyét.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nem ismerek olyan hatástanulmányokat, amelyek bizonyítékkal szolgálnának, hogy ennek az intézkedésnek társadalmi, egészségügyi haszna lehetne.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ewald Gerlach

**email**

ewald\_gerlach@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

55

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nils Genda

**email**

Nils@Grenda.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Erwiesenermaßen ist Snus weniger gesundheitsschädlich als Zigaretten. Snus bietet die Möglichkeit der Reduzierung oder des Ausstiegs von Zigarettenkonsum. Schon aus diesem Grunde sollte Snus auch in den anderen europäischen Ländern legal erworben werden können.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Weiße Einheitspackungen sind leichter zu fälschen und werden somit dem Zigarettenschmuggel und der Steuerhinterziehung Vorschub leisten. Es wird auch nicht weniger geraucht, wenn alle Schachteln gleich aussehen.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich möchte als Käufer das komplette Sortiment sehen und nach geringstmöglichen Schadstoffwerten wählen können.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jacek POwezka

**email**

jacek.powezka@gmail.com

**country**

Poland

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No comments

**additional comments**

No comments

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No choice for consumers, directive against free trade.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Is formula of Coca-cola well known and published via internet?

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

Turn tobacco products into illegal or stop stupid questionnaire. Następnym razem proszę o ankietę w języku polskim. Używamy angielskiego pomimo że UK jest najbardziej unio-sceptyczna, to jakieś kuriozum.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Antonina Masko

**email**

antonina.masko@gmail.com

**country**

Poland

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

In my opinion, every product which consist of tobacco or/and nicotine should be treated the same way.

**additional comments**

No comment

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

There should not be any discrimination between Member States in EU, all products should be treated equally in every country. Snuses, the same way as cigarettes, should be allowed in every country. In my opinion, snuses are even better than cigarettes, as they are smokeless tobacco, which is not harmless for non-smokers.

**additional comments**

No comment.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This would be against freedom of choice and trade.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

If all packages would be the same, people would not know which brand they would smoke. This would also hit the law covering the intellectual properties. Also, the information regarding the risks of smoking tobacco are generally known, adding picture warnings would not help to prevent people from smoking.

**additional comments**

No comment.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Every Member State should report in the same way - this would facilitate the comparisons between countries.

**additional comments**

No comment.

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This would prevent from producing several brands of cigarettes, e.g. all using American Blend (it consists Burley tobacco which has to be treated with some casings and aromas before putting in the cigarettes).

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

The regulations should stay unchanged.

**additional comments**

No comment.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I disagree with bans on sales. This is against freedom of trade. Cigarettes are legal, so how come the sales should be treated almost as illegal? Also, this would potentially increase the illicit trade and contraband of cigarettes.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Schupp

**email**

dtschupp@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Trost

**email**

doc-markus@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**additional comments**

Bei der Überarbeitung dieser Richtlinie sollte eine europaweit Einheitliche Regelung verfaßt werden, die jedoch die Auswahlmöglichkeit des Konsumenten nicht einschränkt. Weiterhin sollte die Richtlinie einfach strukturiert werden, um bürokratische Spitzfindigkeiten von vorn herein zu vermeiden.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Ausführung der Verpackung hat keinen Einfluss auf die Menge der gerauchten Tabakmenge, sondern lediglich auf die Auswahl der Marke. Da in Europa noch ein freier Wettbewerb als Grundordnung des Wirtschaftsystems besteht, sind diesbezügliche Einschnitte in einer einzelnen Sparte nicht verfassungskonform. Ebenso könnte man andere Produkte, die Gesundheit oder Umwelt schädigen könnten mit Einschränkungen der Ausführung belegen, jedoch ist ein Abgrenzung schwierig: Alkohol nur noch in standardisierten Flaschen? Autos nur noch in einer Form und Farbe?

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Beimengung von Geschmacksstoffen bietet eine Vielfalt der Produktpalette. Der Verbraucher kann selbst entscheiden, ob er ein erhöhtes Krankheitsrisiko zugunsten eines Geschmackerlebnisses eingehen will. Die Eigenverantwortlichkeit des Bürgers darf nicht weiter eingeschränkt werden.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schoder Robert

**email**

robby7665@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Michael Fessler

**email**  
michael.fessler@chiemsee-schiffahrt.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
43

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen



**name**

ΘΑΝΑΣΗΣ ΚΛΑΜΠΙΑΝΑΣ

**email**

nasos77@hotmail.com

**country**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FABIO PELLEGRINO

**email**

fabiopellegrino1@hotmail.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l’ipotesi di vietare l’impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di “Burley”, in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto

“American Blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D’altra parte, come chiarito anche nell’ OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco “Virginia”) siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l’accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l’esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull’accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un’ ottima soluzione per limitare l’accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Friedrich Wilhelm Tölle

**email**

familie-toelle@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Arens

**email**

Thomas.Arens66@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No coment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

k. k.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

k. k.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

k. k.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Keil

**email**

pitt\_k@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
dont like it

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
dont like it

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
dont like it

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
dont like it

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
dont like it

**which option**  
No Change



**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

dont like it

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vandamme Pieter

**email**

vandamme\_pieter@telenet.be

**country**

Belgium

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Dit is geen objectieve definitie van het probleem!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.”

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Trzcinski

**email**

cinias@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anre Steven Winter

**email**

winterhund@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Regulierung muss immer auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse erfolgen und nicht auf Basis vager Einschätzungen. Nur weil Produkte neu sind, müssen sie nicht reguliert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse gefährden nicht die Gesundheit Dritter. Ein Verbot von Snus entmündigt die EU-Bürger.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich denke, dass ein Warnhinweis wirksamer ist, wenn er größer ist. Ausserdem besteht bereits ein Bewusstsein für die Risiken des Rauchens, ein Bildwarnhinweis ist überflüssig. Einheitspackungen greifen in das freie Markenrecht ein. Einheitspackungen führen zu einer Preisspirale, dadurch werden Groß- und Einzelhändler und damit mein Arbeitsplatz gefährdet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch Zusatzstoffe werden Zigaretten nicht attraktiver. Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe geben dem illegalen Handel auftrieb.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In 15 von 27 Mitgliedsstaaten sind Zigarettenautomaten erlaubt und das sollte so bleiben. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen, z.B. Ausweisleser in Deutschland. Zigarettenautomaten fallen in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der einzelnen Staaten. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt lokal und weist keinen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Zigarettenautomaten haben meiner Meinung nach keinen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Denny Tarnick

**email**

denny.tarnick@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
GAGLIARDI FILOMENA

**email**  
gagliardifilomena79@live.it

**country**  
ITALIA

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che



rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare

l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mark-Uwe Falkenhain

**email**

muf@onlinehome.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uwe Kalkowski

**email**

Kalli2208@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

man muss den Bürger selber entscheiden lassen wir sind doch alle mündige Bürger

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

es ist schon zuviel aus der Eu als Gesetze gekommen die uns Bürger eine eigene Entscheidung abnimmt Die Eu erinnert mich an vorherigen Zeiten wo wir aus Deutschland gesagt bekommen haben was wir zu tun haben

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Annick Vernimmen

**email**

ninickske@hotmail.com

**country**

Belgium

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freedom of choice!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Freedom of choice

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

As cigarmoker I support the reply that ECMA provided to the European Commission on 14 december 2010.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

As a cigarsmoker a support the reply that ECMA provided to the European Commission on 14 december 2010

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

As a cigarsmoker I support the reply of ECMA to the European Commission on 14 december 2010

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Grazyna Janik

**email**

grazyna.janik@pmintl.com

**country**

Poland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comments

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Spormann



**email**

Lpanda321@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar“

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar“

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar“

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar“

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar“

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Bönisch

**email**

frankboenisch@alice-dsl.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

Es sollte alles so bleiben wie es ist, es wurde nun schon viel zu viel durch die EU in des Rauchers Recht eingegriffen. Wo sind wir noch freie Bürger?

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Im Grunde gibt es gar keine Probleme. Das Problem ist die EU!!!

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karl Voitenleitner

**email**

kvoitie@web.de

**country**

Germany / Bavaria

**age**

70

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freiheit und Selbstbestimmung darf von Behörden nicht beschnitten werden

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freiheit und Selbstbestimmung der Bürger darf von Behördenseite nicht beschnitten werden

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Marcus Scholz

**email**

marcusangel@hotmail.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the restrictions for the smokers are enough

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vignjevic Nikola

**email**

bullymali@hotmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

21

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No



**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heiko Holland-Moritz

**email**

heikoholland@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich möchte meine Zigarre genießen. Größere Warnings, Fotos oder weiße Packungen braucht es nicht. Wir sind alle erwachsene Bürger, die über die Gefahren des Rauchens bescheid wissen. Dennoch ist mir der Genuß sehr wichtig.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Alle Staaten der EU sind in der Lage bereits heute schon, entsprechende Gebühren und Maßnahmen zu erlassen.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Flavors Test sollten nur ausgerichtet sein, um festzustellen ob es zu einer Erhöhung der toxischen Wirkung kommt.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Totales Rauchverbot vernichtet Arbeitsplätze und führt zum Aussterben der verschiedenen Marken und das wäre sehr schade.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIUSEPPE VOLPE

**email**

giuseppe.volpe@gmail.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le

avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell'OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anna-Lena Hoffmann

**email**

Anna-LenaHoffmann@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

25

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Preiß

**email**

mi\_preiss@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Die Entscheidung etwas zu tun muss jeder selbst treffen.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Geno De Angeli

**email**

R. DeAngeli@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Regulierung muss immer auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse erfolgen und nicht auf Basis vager Einschätzungen. Nur weil Produkte neu sind, müssen sie nicht reguliert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse gefährden nicht die Gesundheit Dritter. Ein Verbot von Snus entmündigt die EU-Bürger.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich denke, dass ein Warnhinweis wirksamer ist, wenn er größer ist. Ausserdem besteht bereits ein Bewusstsein für die Risiken des Rauchens, ein Bildwarnhinweis ist überflüssig.

Einheitspackungen greifen in das freie Markenrecht ein. Einheitspackungen führen zu einer Preisspirale, dadurch werden Groß- und Einzelhändler und damit mein Arbeitsplatz gefährdet.

**which option**

No change



**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch Zusatzstoffe werden Zigaretten nicht attraktiver. Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe geben dem illegalen Handel auftrieb.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In 15 von 27 Mitgliedsstaaten sind Zigarettenautomaten erlaubt und das sollte so bleiben. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen, z.B. Ausweisleser in Deutschland. Zigarettenautomaten fallen in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der einzelnen Staaten. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt lokal und weist keinen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Zigarettenautomaten haben meiner Meinung nach keinen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maxi Dietzsch

**email**

maxi.dietzsch@live.de

**country**  
germany

**age**  
26

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
everybody can choose what he wants, if he wants to smoke he#s allowed to smoke. don't make it illegal, thats not fair for everybody. we live in 2010...

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
freedom

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

freedom

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peters

**email**

detlef@peters-flensburg.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Volker König

**email**

volkerkoenig56@yahoo.de

**country**

Bautzen

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

A. Hoppe

**email**

hoppe.anja@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kay Schwenkow

**email**

Der@zendeKay.de

**country**

Germany

**age**



53

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marc-Alexander Säger

**email**

marc.alexander.saeger@googlemail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Susanne Kreßin

**email**

susannekressin@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

einschränkung der wirtschaft

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Leissner, Andreas

**email**

an.leissner@gmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

oral Tabakkonsum schädigt keine Dritten. Das Verbot ist eine Bevormundung erwachsener Konsumenten. Es sollten statt Verbote von Produkten Anreizmechanismen geschaffen werden, die einen freiwilligen Konsumverzicht befördern.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Warum sich unterschiedliche Verpackungsdesigns auf die Funktionstüchtigkeit des Binnenmarkt auswirken sollen, erschließt sich mir nicht. Wenn sich dies tatsächlich verifizieren lassen sollte und dadurch der Untergang der europäischen Idee heraufbesprochen wird, so muss dies freilich zwingend für alle in der EU vertriebenen Produkte gelten. Dann fordere ich: Gleiche Verpackung für gleiche Produkte: Europaweit!

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARCELLO DE LUCA

**email**

delucamarcello@libero.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte

per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose



di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Justine Fabian

**email**

Justinefabian@gmx.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

27

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Mensch muss für sich selber entscheiden können, was für ihn das richtige ist, es kann doch nicht angehen, dass man sich als Raucher demnächst verstecken muss. Ich selber bin Nichtraucher und trotzdem finde ich, dass keine Regierung oder irgendwelche Politiker das Recht haben einem das Recht nehmen frei zu entscheiden wo, wie, was und wann man eine Zigarette rauchen möchte und ob überhaupt. Demnächst heißt es, dass Weißbrot gefährlich und gesundheitsschädlich ist und es wird auch nur noch unter der Ladentheke verkauft oder was?! Man sollte auch mal an die Kiosk und Lottoannahmestellenbesitzer denken, deren Existenz von heute auf Morgen zerstört wird. Das heißt wieder mehr Arbeitslose und die Verschuldung der Bürger wächst noch mehr. Das ist eine nicht akzeptable Lösung.

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Meines Erachtens wäre es ratsam, Zigaretten und Raucherzubehör nur noch von

Fachgeschäften verkaufen zu lassen, wie von Lottoannahmestellen. Es kann doch nicht sein, dass man bei jedem beliebigen Discounter an der Kasse Zigaretten und Tabak bekommt und die Verkäuferinnen gar keine Zeit haben nach dem Ausweis zu fragen. Zigaretten gehören nicht dort hin, das verharmlost diese, denn was ist denn schon schlimm daran, wenn mn sie überall bekommt? Man sollte sie nicht verstecken, das fördert nur den Schwarzmarkt, aber die damalige Einführung in Discountern ist das allerletzte.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Siehe Fragen zuvor

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

siehe Fragen zuvor

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommenatr

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Georg Kraus

**email**

gk@marken-patente.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

xxx

**email**

xxx

**country**

xxx

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

xxxxx

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

xxx

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

xxx

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

xxxxx

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

x

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Mönchhalfen Arne

#### **email**

arne.moenchhalfen@gmail.com

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

25

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Girbig, Julta

**email**

pegasus007@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Regulierung muss immer auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse erfolgen und nicht auf Basis vager Einschätzungen. Nur weil Produkte neu sind, müssen sie nicht reguliert werden.

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse gefährden nicht die Gesundheit Dritter. Ein Verbot von Snus entmündigt die EU-Bürger.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich denke, dass ein Warnhinweis wirksamer ist, wenn er größer ist. Ausserdem besteht bereits ein Bewusstsein für die Risiken des Rauchens, ein Bildwarnhinweis ist überflüssig.

Einheitspackungen greifen in das freie Markenrecht ein. Einheitspackungen führen zu einer Preisspirale, dadurch werden Groß- und Einzelhändler und damit mein Arbeitsplatz gefährdet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch Zusatzstoffe werden Zigaretten nicht attraktiver. Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe geben dem illegalen Handel auftrieb.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In 15 von 27 Mitgliedsstaaten sind Zigarettenautomaten erlaubt und das sollte so bleiben. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen, z.B. Ausweisleser in Deutschland. Zigarettenautomaten fallen in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der einzelnen Staaten. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt lokal und weist keinen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Zigarettenautomaten haben meiner Meinung nach keinen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Jungkunz

**email**

Susanne.Jungkunz@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

thorsten güttler

**email**

totty09@live.de

**country**  
deutschland

**age**  
47

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Roubal

**email**

bsemykolohn@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder Mensch kann selbst entscheiden, was man sich mit dem Rauch antut weiss wirklich jeder.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Welches Problem? Die Fragen sind unzureichend Formuliert.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Gerade rachfreier Tabak ist für nicht konsumenten auch passiv nicht bemerkbar. Daher völlig unbedenklich, jeder muss selber wissen, ob er/sie das braucht oder nicht. Die Produkte

werden nicht verharmlost und sind sehr teuer. Das spricht schon allein gegen den Gebrauch.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Gleiches recht für alle; dann muss auf Schokolade Karies und auf Alkohol entsprechendes. Und alle Produkte vom Waschmittel bis zum Videospiel brauchen einheitliche Verpackungen. Das Prinzip wäre das gleiche und nicht praktikabel.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es wird doch alles angegeben. Diese Frage ist eine politische Propaganda gegen die Tabakindustrie.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

In Deutschland wird permanent aufgeklärt, was in Tabakwaren enthalten ist. Jeder weiss was darin ist, oder kann es sofort herausfinden.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es gibt andere Dinge die EU weit geregelt werden müssten. Diese bleiben auch nicht einheitlich.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich halte die Problem für überzogen und nicht realistisch .

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Wer kauft schon Tabak über das Internet? Nur der das Produkt schon konsumiert. Werbung existiert in der realen Welt nicht und im Internet sieht man davon auch nichts. Wer tabak konsumiert macht das aus freiem Willen und eigenem Antrieb.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

WALTER SAVASTANO

**email**

waltersavastano@hotmail.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie

che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell'OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è



vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marcin Budny

**email**

m.budny2@upcpoczta.pl

**country**

Poland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tobias Egger

**email**

t.egger@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Wenn erwachsene Raucher über Jahre hinweg die Möglichkeit ignorieren, daß ihr Konsum schädliche Folgen haben könnte, werden auch schockierende und einfach ekalhafte Bilder sie nicht davon abhalten, ihr Wunschprodukt zu kaufen. Es wird eher Ärger auf die Regierung fördern, die den Raucher jetzt zwingt, sich so etwas ansehen zu müssen, bevor man dann die Zigaretten oder vergleichbare Produkte in ein persönliches Etui umfüllt. Weiterhin kenne ich keinen Raucher, der sich ernsthaft mit den Angaben der Schadstoffe auf den Packungen beschäftigt hat, und selbst die Warnhinweise, die zur Zeit auf die Packungen gedruckt werden, lösen maximal wegen ihrer teils schlechten Formulierungen Belustigung aus.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional comments**

Alleine der Versuch, ein subjektives Empfinden in Skalen einzuordnen, um anhand der Attraktivität von Zusatzstoffen diese erlauben oder verbieten zu können, sollte im Keim erstickt werden. Eine Verordnung sollte auf Fakten beruhen, nicht auf Vermutungen und Wahrscheinlichkeiten.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Weitere Einschränkungen beim Erwerb von Tabakprodukten sorgen lediglich für einen größeren Absatzmarkt bei Schmuggelware, die wegen ihres Preises ohnehin bereits sehr beliebt ist.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Laurent Vonmoos

**email**

laurent@florence-laurent.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The new tobacco directive should consider changing habits and the preferences of consumers rather than just continuously increasing restriction and creating a new area of "prohibition".

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

There is no scientific evidence that consumption of snus is more harmful than conventional cigarettes (if not even the contrary looking at epidemiological studies in Sweden). It can be a valid alternative for cigarette smokers that are more and more banned from smoking in public places.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The current legislation does already today give sufficient information to consumers that smoking kills. Further extending it will not help increase this awareness, neither make smokers quit smoking. Moreover, the proposals are seriously limiting the ability to differentiate one brand from another and therefore the industry to market their brands to smokers. Plain packaging would make it impossible to differentiate one brand from the other and would be of no help to reduce consumption - it would on the contrary promote counterfeit products and therefore reduce tax revenues for governments and potentially further enhance the health risk for consumers.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional comments**

Reporting of ingredients as such make sense, though the absolute confidentiality of those should be guaranteed by the governments and penalties for breaching this confidentiality laid out and defined in proportion of the potential damage caused, not in the country only but on international brands for instance calculated on a world wide basis. It should also not be requested to provide the proportions of these ingredients to maintain trade secrets. Finally, financing of any governmental control should not be born by the industry but by the government itself; so definitely not fees or mandatory registration of brands!

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ingredients are key for the differentiation of brands and to offer consumers choices to match their preference. Furthermore, the ban of ingredients would be potentially based on subjective criteria of "attractiveness" and not on scientific basis.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Implementation of a display ban would put require significant financial investments to comply and subsequently put in danger numerous businesses and. Already today there are measures in place to protect minors from accessing tobacco products through vending machines (age control) and banning those would not help any further. It would only mean further closing of businesses and unemployment. Finally, it's not because you see a cigarette pack that you want to smoke...

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Valentin Pricop

**email**

valentin.pricop@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**additional comments**

ohne Bildhinweise

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joerg Michel

**email**

michel\_joerg@hotmail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

65



**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eduard Bouten

**email**

eduardbouten@gmail.com

**country**

Belgium

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Georg Kraus

**email**

gk@marken-patente.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

62

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anja Netzel

**email**

anja.netzel@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Verschärfung der Richtlinie wie aktuell vorgesehen, kriminalisiert den Tabakkonsum und stellt ihn auf die gleiche Stufe wie harte Drogen. Wohin eine solche Kriminalisierung von Tabak und Alkohol führt, sollten wir seit den Zeiten der Prohibition wissen und es besser machen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ohne einheitliches Meldeformat unterstützt durch elektronische Erhebung ist eine solche Vorgabe nicht umsetzbar. Anstatt immer neue Regularien zu entwerfen, sollte man sich eher damit beschäftigen, wie diese nachher in der Praxis für alle Beteiligten einfach und kostengünstig umzusetzen sind.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als mündiger Verbraucher habe ich immer noch die freie Entscheidung ob ich ein Produkt kaufe oder nicht. Wenn mir die hygienischen Bedingungen oder die Verwendung von Zusatzstoffen nicht passen, werde ich ein Produkt auch nicht erwerben. Als selbstbestimmte Person brauche ich keine einheitlichen Richtlinien.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Auch hier soll wieder eine Kriminalisierung des Produktes stattfinden, was die Attraktivität des Produktes gerade bei Jugendlichen eher erhöht, als vermindert. Zudem sind mit den derzeitigen Alterskontrollen bereits genügend Restriktionen gegeben, die den Erwerb von Tabakerzeugnissen erschweren.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vrionis Demetriou

**email**

vrionismarlboro@hotmail.com

**country**

Cyprus

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrea Mücke

**email**

a.muecke@conceptbau-premier.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marzena Budny

**email**

m.budny2@upcpoczta.pl

**country**

Poland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ENRIQUE BELLO

**email**

enrique.bello@es.imptob.com

**country**

Spain

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The problem definition is incorrect, vague and unclear. The consultation does not identify a problem in any of the areas it mentions in this section.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Given the concerns over the problem definition, Option 1, no change.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

It is vague and the consultation document has failed to define a problem in this area.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No, I disagree with the problem definition. It is based on a series of assumptions and assertions that are wrong.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No, I disagree with the problem definition. It is vague and incomplete.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No, I disagree with the problem definition. Manufacturers do not add ingredients to attract non-smokers or influence existing smokers away from quitting.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No, I disagree with the problem definition. It is vague and incomplete. The Consultation document does not identify a problem in any of the areas mentioned in this section.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephan Arnold

**email**

s.arnold@bistum-limburg.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FABIO RAZZINO

**email**

fabiorazzino@libero.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello

stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Brigitte Schneider

**email**

brianda@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marcus Epple

**email**

epple.marcus@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommantar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommantar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Horneff, Uwe

**email**

uwe.horneff@tankstelle.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Regulierung muss immer auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse erfolgen und nicht auf Basis vager Einschätzungen. Nur weil Produkte neu sind, müssen sie nicht reguliert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse gefährden nicht die Gesundheit Dritter. Ein Verbot von Snus entmündigt die EU-Bürger.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich denke, dass ein Warnhinweis wirksamer ist, wenn er größer ist. Ausserdem besteht bereits ein Bewusstsein für die Risiken des Rauchens, ein Bildwarnhinweis ist überflüssig.

Einheitspackungen greifen in das freie Markenrecht ein. Einheitspackungen führen zu einer Preisspirale, dadurch werden Groß- und Einzelhändler und damit mein Arbeitsplatz gefährdet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch Zusatzstoffe werden Zigaretten nicht attraktiver. Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe geben dem illegalen Handel auftrieb.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In 15 von 27 Mitgliedsstaaten sind Zigarettenautomaten erlaubt und das sollte so bleiben. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen, z.B. Ausweisleser in Deutschland. Zigarettenautomaten fallen in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der einzelnen Staaten. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt lokal und weist keinen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Zigarettenautomaten haben meiner Meinung nach keinen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Axel Jochem

**email**

axel.jochem@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

christian gilgenreiner

**email**

cgilgenreiner@gmx.com

**country**

germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

none

**additional comments**

the current directive is adequate. further extension could have negative effects like the US alcohol prohibition had caused.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MICHEL

**email**

jeanpierre.michel.orange.fr@gmail.com

**country**

france

**age**

43

**gender**

female



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
rien ne nous indique que les risques concernant les produits du tabac sans fumée soient évidents et nous risquons de tomber de Charrybe en scylla

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
cela r'isque d'entraîner l'uniformisation de la production avec comme corollaires une diminution de l'offre au fumeur adulte et responsable et une augmentation des produits du tabac contrefaits

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dieter Neumann

**email**

dn@consulity.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Raoul Sultan

**email**

Raoul.sultan@gmail.com

**country**

sweden

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Nahr

**email**

thomas@nahr.name

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

36

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar. Die Gewerkschaft NGG wird eine ausführliche Stellungnahme in meinem Sinne abgeben.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ADELE DI BENEDETTO

**email**

adeledibenedetto@gmail.com

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell'OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pamperin, Jürgen



**email**

JJPamperin@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thiel Hans-Jürgen

**email**

thiel.tann@online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Horaczek, Helmut

**email**

helmut.horaczek@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Regulierung muss immer auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse erfolgen und nicht auf Basis vager Einschätzungen. Nur weil Produkte neu sind, müssen sie nicht reguliert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse gefährden nicht die Gesundheit Dritter. Ein Verbot von Snus entmündigt die EU-Bürger.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich denke, dass ein Warnhinweis wirksamer ist, wenn er größer ist. Ausserdem besteht bereits ein Bewusstsein für die Risiken des Rauchens, ein Bildwarnhinweis ist überflüssig.

Einheitspackungen greifen in das freie Markenrecht ein. Einheitspackungen führen zu einer Preisspirale, dadurch werden Groß- und Einzelhändler und damit mein Arbeitsplatz gefährdet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch Zusatzstoffe werden Zigaretten nicht attraktiver. Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe geben dem illegalen Handel auftrieb.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In 15 von 27 Mitgliedsstaaten sind Zigarettenautomaten erlaubt und das sollte so bleiben. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen, z.B. Ausweisleser in Deutschland. Zigarettenautomaten fallen in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der einzelnen Staaten. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt lokal und weist keinen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug

auf. Zigarettenautomaten haben meiner Meinung nach keinen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robert Mühlbauer

**email**

robert.muehlbauer@ppc-music.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Bogusław Budny

**email**  
m.budny2@upcpoczta.pl

**country**  
Poland

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
No comment

**additional comments**  
No comment

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**regulation of ingredients**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No comment

**additional comments**

No comment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uwe Palten

**email**

uwepalten@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

45

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matthias Hupf

**email**

malossi211@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no coment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Lutz Kramarczyk

#### **email**

lutzkramarczyk@msn.com

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

1958

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

It is unacceptable that one is incapacitated in this way.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

A. Junker

**email**

anju@mac.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Daniela

**email**

daniela\_lochmann@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
38

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes



**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matthias Ziener

**email**

mziener@gmx.net

**country**

deutschland

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
ALESSANDRO PRATILLO

**email**  
alessandr.pratill@alice.it

**country**  
ITALIA

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’ Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell'OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Julius Tanzer

**email**

zarzura@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Carsten Wolfes

#### **email**

carsten.wolfes@gmx.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

44

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thorsten Warnstedt

**email**

mailto.tw@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change



---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Andrea Potrafke

#### **email**

potrafke@steubax.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

49

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Regina Linhardt

**email**

maps@hobby-technik-wulsdorf.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Marken müssen weiterhin unterscheidbar sein. Das betrifft Aussehen und Geschmack. Ich will keinen weiteren "EU-Einheitsbrei"!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse sind in meinen Augen kein Tabak

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der (EU-) Bürger ist mündig und braucht keine staatliche Vordenke. Er kann selber entscheiden.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es sollte dem Einzelnen die Entscheidung überlassen werden, welche Tabaksorten bzw. -marken er gerne rauchen würde. Wenn es die nur in einem anderen EU-Land gibt, muß die Möglichkeit bestehen, sie weiterhin bestellen und sich liefern lassen zu können.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LANNA DOMENICO

**email**

lannad@libero.it

**country**

ITALIA

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione,

che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60. 000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Myrianthis Katsouris

**email**

myrianthisk@gmail.com

**country**

cyprus

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Röth Silvia

**email**

sivia@uwe roeth.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Regulierung muss immer auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse erfolgen und nicht auf Basis vager Einschätzungen. Nur weil Produkte neu sind, müssen sie nicht reguliert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse gefährden nicht die Gesundheit Dritter. Ein Verbot von Snus entmündigt die EU-Bürger.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich denke, dass ein Warnhinweis wirksamer ist, wenn er größer ist. Ausserdem besteht bereits



ein Bewusstsein für die Risiken des Rauchens, ein Bildwarnhinweis ist überflüssig. Einheitspackungen greifen in das freie Markenrecht ein. Einheitspackungen führen zu einer Preisspirale, dadurch werden Groß- und Einzelhändler und damit mein Arbeitsplatz gefährdet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch Zusatzstoffe werden Zigaretten nicht attraktiver. Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe geben dem illegalen Handel auftrieb.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In 15 von 27 Mitgliedsstaaten sind Zigarettenautomaten erlaubt und das sollte so bleiben. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen, z.B. Ausweisleser in Deutschland. Zigarettenautomaten fallen in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der einzelnen Staaten. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt lokal und weist keinen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Zigarettenautomaten haben meiner Meinung nach keinen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Husar

**email**

tony.husar@bredband.net

**country**

Sweden

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In my view neither bigger warnings nor picture warnings or plain packs are required in case of cigars. Cigar smokers are adults, enjoying a cigar on an occasional basis

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

EU member states can already introduce fees and sanctions

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The focus of ingredients testing should be on whether the ingredients result in increased toxicity of tobacco smoke

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In my view a display ban would effectively mean the end of most small (cigar) brands

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dietmar Setzock

**email**

info@servicedienst-filderstadt.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

38

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NICOLINA MARTUCCI

**email**

nicolinamartucci@live.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie

che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è

vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Friedrich - W. Bruch

**email**

williweltweit@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Warum muß es immer welche geben, die sich in die Privatsphäre anderer einmischen

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eike Hanebuth

**email**

esh@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Edeltraud Ega

#### **email**

edeltra@hotmail.com

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

49

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no command

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

no command

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no command

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no command

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no command

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no command

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Scheibl Rudolf

**email**  
rscheibl@gmx.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
45

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPAGNUOLO MARIA

**email**

spagnuolomaria@hotmail.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l’ipotesi di vietare l’impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di “Burley”, in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto “American Blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D’altra parte, come chiarito anche nell’OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza

ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco “Virginia”) siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l’accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l’esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull’accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un’ ottima soluzione per limitare l’accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Schilling

**email**

mayort@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Regelungen beibehalten und den Bürger nicht noch weiter entmündigen!!!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Die EU muss wirklich nicht ALLES regeln und immer mehr Steuergelder zum Fenster rauswerfen. Es gibt wirklich wichtigeres!!! Hört endlich auf, die Bürger zu entmündigen!!

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change



**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frederik Vandermarliere

**email**

fred@vandermarliere.be

**country**

Belgium

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de

Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fritz Zweifel

**email**

fritz.zweifel@idosec.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Regelung ist derzeit ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Regelung ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Regelung ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Regelung ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Regelung ausreichend

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Regelung ausreichend

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Walter Kopp

**email**

hawakopp@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Müller

**email**

steste20@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Keon Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**



Thiele, Reimund

**email**

reimundthiele@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Regulierung muss immer auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse erfolgen und nicht auf Basis vager Einschätzungen. Nur weil Produkte neu sind, müssen sie nicht reguliert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse gefährden nicht die Gesundheit Dritter. Ein Verbot von Snus entmündigt die EU-Bürger.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich denke, dass ein Warnhinweis wirksamer ist, wenn er größer ist. Ausserdem besteht bereits ein Bewusstsein für die Risiken des Rauchens, ein Bildwarnhinweis ist überflüssig.

Einheitspackungen greifen in das freie Markenrecht ein. Einheitspackungen führen zu einer Preisspirale, dadurch werden Groß- und Einzelhändler und damit mein Arbeitsplatz gefährdet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch Zusatzstoffe werden Zigaretten nicht attraktiver. Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe geben dem illegalen Handel auftrieb.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In 15 von 27 Mitgliedsstaaten sind Zigarettenautomaten erlaubt und das sollte so bleiben. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen, z.B. Ausweisleser in Deutschland. Zigarettenautomaten fallen in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der einzelnen Staaten. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt lokal und weist keinen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Zigarettenautomaten haben meiner Meinung nach keinen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Teigeler

**email**

juteigeler@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

74

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Michael Dicke

#### **email**

michael@dicke-vdi.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

44

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Es darf keine Regulierung seitens der EU geben. Die Entscheidungsfreiheit des einzelnen ist das höchste Gut. Deshalb gibt es Europa !

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

Es gibt kein Problem, das Problem wird erst durch die massiven Eingriffe der EU erzeugt.

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Die Entscheidungsfreiheit des Einzelnen ist wichtig. Keine Regulierung seitens der legislativen

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Änderung der Verordnung

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar, siehe vorherige Aussagen

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hennicke, Daniel

**email**

danielhennicke@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Torsten Falkenroth

**email**

redrat1979@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

i think this is not a solution of this problem

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

i think its more important to facilitate the peoples with other programmms to help them to be a non smoker. don't make more difficult the smoking. make easier the none smoking. There are so many people witch like to be a none smoker and can't stop it. This is the true Problem

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

everyone must make his own decision. help the people who decidet to stop smoking.or take none smoking Tobacco and don´t make it more dificult to them who make an other decition

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**additional option**

i think its not a goog way to make this informations on tabacco produkts. the information must come before the People buy them. public advertising of the problems is more effective

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

a public Report of all Brands. then can the peoples compare the products and take tabacco with the lowest proportion of the worst ingredients

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

other drugs are forbidden and there are still people who take them tobacco is only for people from 18 up and people in this age should make an own decision

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

André Uwe Dürner

**email**

Andropowski@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die EU kann nicht immer über unsere Köpfe hinweg entscheiden, wo bleibt da die Demokratie?

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

De EU kommission ist ein "Wasserkopf" geworden, Parlamentarier denken sich irgendseinen unfug aus um sagen zu könne ich habe ja auch etwas getan.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROLANDO MATANO

**email**

rolandomatano@ymail.com

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del

valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di

monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mirko Tartsch-Schlimper

**email**

mirko.tartsch-schlimper@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bertram Birster

**email**

bertram.birster@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zu starker Eingriff in die Persönlichkeitsrechte

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

verpackung hat keinen einfluss auf konsumentenverhalten

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

konsument soll selbst entscheiden,ob und wo er seine bedarfsartikel erwirbt.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gnad

**email**

info@josef-gnad.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christoph Löwe

**email**

christoph.loewe@directbox.com

**country**

Germany

**age**  
19

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Ich stimme der Problemdarstellung in vollstem Umfang nicht zu, da hier in erster Linie die Existenz vieler kleiner Läden betroffen ist. Der Industrie wird das denke ich nicht so viel schaden, da es immer Raucher geben wird. Aber es ist so schon alles schwierig genug und warum wollen sie das alles noch komplizierter machen? Für mich also unverständlich!

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Die Einführung von bildlichen Warnhinweisen bleibt in den Mitgliedsstaaten optional. Unterschiedliche Etikettierungsmuster in den Mitgliedsstaaten existieren auch weiterhin. Wasserpfeifen bleiben ohne Gesundheitswarnhinweise.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vladimir Sommer

**email**

flatzke@gmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerd Kastens

**email**

spkastens@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland/Niedersachsen

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentae

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roldan, Manuel

**email**

wncasa1@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Regulierung muss immer auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse erfolgen und nicht auf Basis vager Einschätzungen. Nur weil Produkte neu sind, müssen sie nicht reguliert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse gefährden nicht die Gesundheit Dritter. Ein Verbot von Snus entmündigt die EU-Bürger.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich denke, dass ein Warnhinweis wirksamer ist, wenn er größer ist. Ausserdem besteht bereits ein Bewusstsein für die Risiken des Rauchens, ein Bildwarnhinweis ist überflüssig.

Einheitspackungen greifen in das freie Markenrecht ein. Einheitspackungen führen zu einer Preisspirale, dadurch werden Groß- und Einzelhändler und damit mein Arbeitsplatz gefährdet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch Zusatzstoffe werden Zigaretten nicht attraktiver. Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe geben dem illegalen Handel auftrieb.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In 15 von 27 Mitgliedsstaaten sind Zigarettenautomaten erlaubt und das sollte so bleiben. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen, z.B. Ausweisleser in Deutschland. Zigarettenautomaten fallen in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der einzelnen Staaten. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt lokal und weist keinen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Zigarettenautomaten haben meiner Meinung nach keinen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Detlef Kubusch

**email**

kubusch@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products



**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Knips, André

**email**

andre.knips@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

1. Das Rauchen ist legal und somit ist eine Überarbeitung der Tabakrichtlinie absolut unnötig und kostet meine/unsere Steuergelder. 2. Wird hiermit der Wettbewerbsgedanke eingeschränkt. Das Plakatwerbeverbot etc. ist absolut ausreichend. 3. Ist der Erwerb von Tabakwaren an Jugendliche gesichert (An Zigarettenautomaten durch Altersabfrage)

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Die bisher getroffenen Maßnahmen sind ausreichend.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Siehe oben

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich möchte keine Änderung der Verpackungsgestaltung.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich möchte keine Veränderung der bisherigen Regelung

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich meine, dass den Mitgliedsstaaten es weiterhin frei stehen sollte, die Zusatzstoffe in Tabakerzeugnissen zu regulieren.

**which option**

No Change

**additional comments**

Die vorgeschlagene Optionen (2 und 3) zielen auf die Einführung von Verbotskriterien, die auf NICHT wissenschaftlichen und subjektiven Einschätzungen von "Attraktivität" beruhen.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thorben Lange

**email**

thorbenlangeweb@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
26

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RAFFAELE DELLE DONNE

**email**

raffaele.delle.donne@alice.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del

valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di

monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anna Gillervik

**email**

gillervik@yahoo.com

**country**

Vienna

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lars Steinweg

**email**

lars.steinweg@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**



not necessary

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

not needed

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

not needed

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

armin dick

**email**

arnindick@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

privacy

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

privacy

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Grab Richard

**email**

richard.grab@diehl-bgt-defence.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kropat Gmbh, Langenselbold

**email**

kropatgmbh@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Eine Regulierung muss immer auf der Grundlage wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse erfolgen und nicht auf Basis vager Einschätzungen. Nur weil Produkte neu sind, müssen sie nicht reguliert werden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse gefährden nicht die Gesundheit Dritter. Ein Verbot von Snus entmündigt die EU-Bürger.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich denke, dass ein Warnhinweis wirksamer ist, wenn er größer ist. Ausserdem besteht bereits ein Bewusstsein für die Risiken des Rauchens, ein Bildwarnhinweis ist überflüssig. Einheitspackungen greifen in das freie Markenrecht ein. Einheitspackungen führen zu einer Preisspirale, dadurch werden Groß- und Einzelhändler und damit mein Arbeitsplatz gefährdet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Durch Zusatzstoffe werden Zigaretten nicht attraktiver. Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe geben dem illegalen Handel auftrieb.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

In 15 von 27 Mitgliedsstaaten sind Zigarettenautomaten erlaubt und das sollte so bleiben. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt unter strengen gesetzlichen Auflagen, z.B. Ausweisleser in Deutschland. Zigarettenautomaten fallen in den Zuständigkeitsbereich der einzelnen Staaten. Der Verkauf über Automaten erfolgt lokal und weist keinen grenzüberschreitenden Bezug auf. Zigarettenautomaten haben meiner Meinung nach keinen Einfluss auf das Rauchverhalten Jugendlicher.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NICOLA PRATILLO

**email**

nic.pratillo@hotmail.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---



## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Thomas Rohner

#### **email**

t.rohner@aikido-duisburg.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

59

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Bedarf für weitergehende Regelungen

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

No

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **additional option**

No

#### **additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

No

**additional comments**

No

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Thonemann

**email**

michael@michael-thonemann.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich denke, die Richtlinien sind umfassend genug.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Müller Werner

#### **email**

w.mueller@mnet-mail.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Unnötig!

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar da Unsinn!

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bernhard gieselmann

**email**

bernhard.gieselmann@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

sabine pape

**email**

pape\_sabine@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging



**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

weiss, peter

**email**

info@wasserkaskaden.de

**country**

germany

**age**

54

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

weil es einfach albern ist

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es geht so nicht, wiso dauern bevormunden, was ist mit skifahren

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

dto

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

dto

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marco Brenneisen

**email**

kinsakocha@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

28

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jeball, Winfried

**email**

winfried.jeball@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maik Weiss

**email**

maikweiss@systemhaus-weiss.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Knut Rodat

**email**

knu\_rod@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**



Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

ADOLFO IOVINE

#### **email**

adolfo.iovine@virgilio.it

#### **country**

ITALIA

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del

valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell'OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di

monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heinz Ullischberger

**email**

HeinzUllischberger@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

55

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

keine Empfehlungen, alle so lassen- Privatleben geht den Staat nichts an

**additional comments**

nein

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sven Gauer

**email**

sven.gauer@freenet.de

**country**

germany

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Reinhard Farsky

**email**  
r.farsky@bockholdt.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
58

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Klavora

**email**

mihalakota@ymail.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Ich finde, weder die Mitgliedsstaaten noch die EU, sollten ihre volljährigen Bürger bevormunden und ihnen die Freiheit beim Konsum jedweden Genussmittels lassen. Das bedeutet unter anderem eine Aufhebung der Snus-Prohibition.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Aufhebung des Verbots sämtlicher verbotener Genussmittel.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jochen Glöckner

**email**

j.gloeckner@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**  
Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Lutz

**email**

lutz.manfred@gmx.at

**country**

austria

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Miguel Angel Benjumea López

#### **email**

benjulopez@wanadoo.es

#### **country**

España

#### **age**

42

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

#### **which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

#### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nontas Sofokleous

**email**

hd.nontas@cytanet.com.cy

**country**

Cyprus

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

P. Günter Rüwald

**email**

pg.ruewald@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Puchta

**email**

peter.puchta@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Everybody should have the possibility to smoke as long as other people don't feel nerved. In the same way tobacco producers should have the right to offer tobaccos in their own style.



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Access to alcohol is much easier. Alcohol ruins many more lives than tobacco. But nobody says something against alcohol but only against tobacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heiko Schröter

**email**

ammels36@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Lehmeyer

**email**

m.lehmeyer@vest-netz.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Rubach

**email**

markus.rubach@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marcus, Forster

**email**

marcusforster@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Werner

**email**

tw@berliner-anwaelte.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**



no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alex Peters

**email**

alex.peters@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ban on tobacco products should be lifted

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerome Scholz

**email**

g.scholz@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I think it should be free to the people to make their own decision regarding this matter. By only accepting english and the internet to vote and with only a little attention in the public, this appears to be against EU citizens.

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Torsten Linke

**email**

dj-torti@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

borski, jens

**email**

info@brandy.de

**country**

germany

**age**

44

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manuel Güll

**email**

manuelgull@yahoo.com

**country**

Austria

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Coment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**



Martin Werner

**email**

martin.werner@vecada.biz

**country**

Germany

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Here one tries again to incapacitate humans

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Let people decide themselves

**additional comments**

An example of redundant and unreasonable bureaucracy

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

the action is nonsens

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PORFIDIO GIUSEPPE

**email**

peppeporfidio@libero.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l’ipotesi di vietare l’impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di “Burley”, in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto “American Blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D’altra parte, come chiarito anche nell’OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco “Virginia”) siano meno dannose

di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Welzbacher Dr.

**email**

dr.welzbacher@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

67

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Ole Fielitz

#### **email**

fielitz@web.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

36

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sebastian Pfeifer

**email**

sebastian-pfeifer@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Knorz Volker

**email**

vk111@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**



43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael van den Hirtz

**email**

versmakler.vdhirtz@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freiheit

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Freiheit

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Freiheit

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Freiheit

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freiheit

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Freiheit

**additional comments**

Freiheit

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freiheit

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Freiheit

**additional comments**

Freiheit

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freiheit

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Freiheit

**additional comments**

Freiheit

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Freiheit

**additional comments**

Freiheit

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karsten Reinke

**email**

karsten.reinke@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carl-Heinz Nefzer

**email**

chnefzer@dannemann.com

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die einzelnen EU Mitgliedsstaaten können bereits heute entsprechende Gebühren und Maßnahmen erlassen.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Aufbau einer normalen gesetzlichen Berichterstattung

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus bezüglich Flavors-Tests sollte darauf ausgerichtet sein, festzustellen, ob die Flavors wirklich zu einer Erhöhung der toxischen Wirkung beim Tabakrauch führen.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ein totales Verbot führt dazu, daß die vorhandene Vielfalt der verschiedenen Marken verschwindet.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vogel

**email**

jochen.vogel@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option****additional comments**

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**

**additional comments**

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**  
Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---



**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kropp

**email**

kropp@gc-sys.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anuth, Jörg

**email**

joerganuth@yahoo.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Simon Korn

**email**

mrsimon@online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no with problems

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no answer

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nikolas Nikolaou

**email**

nikolas.nikolaou8@gmail.com

**country**

cyprus

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Breitfeld

**email**

iphone@jensbreitfeld.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Change

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Georg Metzen

**email**

m.metzen@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional comments**

Since there are other products that are no less harmful to health (especially in the food industry), the warning should be limited to only one side of the box. Otherwise other threatening material in relation to tobacco are played down.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Vending machines to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fernando Lope

**email**

nando.lg@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen König

**email**

koenig.wustrow@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Volker Moeller

**email**

v.moeller@online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach braucht es weder grössere Warnings noch Fotos oder weisse Packungen für Cigars. Wir sind alle erwachsene Bürger und wissen über die Gefahren des Rauchens, doch wollen wir bei der richtigen Gelegenheit unsere Cigars geniessen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die einzelnen EU Mitgliedsstaaten können bereits heute entsprechende Gebühren und Massnahmen erlassen.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Fokus bezüglich Flavor-Tests sollte darauf ausgerichtet sein, festzustellen ob Flavors wirklich zu einer Erhöhung der toxischen Wirkung beim Tabakrauch führen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach führt ein totales Verbot dazu, dass die Vielfalt an verschiedenen Marken verschwindet. Das wäre schade.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hillemann

**email**

roelfsche@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The tobacco manufacturers should have the same rights than others.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

All risks of tobacco use are known, no further rules needed.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't think that other ingredients than tobacco cause other risks while smoking.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MARGHERITA GIORDANO

#### **email**

margiordano@virgilio.it

#### **country**

italia

#### **age**

35

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che

rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare



l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Siegfried Nikolaus

**email**

Si-Nikolaus@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexandra Postl

**email**

barbie811@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

ich habe keinen kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich habe keinen kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gernot Marks

**email**

gernot.marks@daycoeurope.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Pohl

**email**

l.mail@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Nein, weil das unabhängige Experten machen sollten.

**additional comments**

Nein

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

Ein abgestimmtes System der Meldung solcher Angaben sollte für alle Mitgliedstaaten eingerichtet werden.

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Imke Fischer

**email**

fischer-imke@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

britta plagemann

**email**

britta.plagemann@geniesserinsel.de

**country**

germany

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment no change

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Lehmann Axel Uwe

#### **email**

axellehmann@online.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

50

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

Prävention

#### **additional comments**

Verbote in dieser Richtung bewirken das Gegenteil und fördern zudem den Schwarzhandel.

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fritz Punkt

**email**

punktfritz@googlemail.com

**country**

GER

**age**  
56

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pasquale Nuzzo

**email**

pasquale.nuzzo@email.it

**country**

ITALIA

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è

l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Woelke

**email**

md017ritter@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**



No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

SARA SIMEONE

#### **email**

sarasimeone@yahoo.it

#### **country**

ITALIA

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jessica Klagge

**email**

jessi080586@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

24

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Lohse

**email**

christian@c-lohse.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Volker Schmidt

**email**

vo.schmidt@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

33

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Burkhard Roschewski

**email**

burkhard.roschewski@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joe Romanowski

**email**

rom@schwaben.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kurt Sistig

**email**

businfo@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

64

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Seemann

**email**

wolfgang.seemann@bnw4u.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keep it as it is

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Every citizen may decide himself

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Enrico Stenzel

**email**

mein\_spam@t-online.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
25

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**  
Picture warnings to become mandatory; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hartmut Meiler

**email**

hartmut.meiler@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine weitere Einmsichung in mein Leben ! Ich kann selbst entscheiden.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**



Ich kann selbst entscheiden !

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine weiteren Eingriffe der EU !

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich wiederhole mich : Ich kann selbst entscheiden !

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Teer und Nikotin reichen wie bisher

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich wir meine Zigaretten weiterhin dort kaufen können, wo ich sie jetzt kaufe.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alois Bevc

**email**

Virusdeaf@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Janine Martin

**email**

j.m.mail@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roberto Rödel

**email**

vogel-@t-online.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
52

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
keine

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Dietmar Kümme

**email**  
dietmar.kuemmel@t-online.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
48

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
GINA LANNA

**email**

ginalanna86@gmail.com

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello



stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Siegesmund

**email**

christian.siegesmund@t-systems.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es ist schon genügend reguliert

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

abschreckende hinweise auf verpackungen würden auch nicht helfen

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

dominik günder

**email**

lorazz@live.com

**country**

germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

none

**additional comments**

none

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

none

**additional comments**

none

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

-

**additional comments**

-

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

-

**additional comments**

-

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hardy Kuerbihs

**email**

naturheim@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maria Bevc

**email**

maria11544@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Dirk Ebermayer

**email**  
dirk.ebermayer@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
46

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Everone has the right to vote by himself

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Everyone votes by himself

**additional comments**  
no

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**

no commend, look by the other questions

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commend

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no commend

**additional comments**

no commend

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commend

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no commend

**additional comments**

no commend

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commend

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no commend

**additional comments**

no commend

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commend

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no commend

**additional comments**

no commend

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sven Jacobs

**email**

lemuria64@yahoo.de

**country**

germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Till Berger

#### **email**

till\_berger@gmx.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **gender**

male

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **recommend option**

Mehr Aufklärung, besonders in Schulen!!

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information

### **which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Mader

**email**

wolfgang.h.mader@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

zu viele Verordnungen führen zu Verzerrungen im Wettbewerb und verhindern liberale

Selbstverantwortung

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

hat nichts mehr mit Freiheit und Liberalität zu tun

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wir brauchen mehr Liberalität

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wir haben schon zuviel Regulierung

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

there are already too much regulations !

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uwe Stoessel

**email**

sound-service1@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I want to decide by myself !!!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I want to decide by myself !!



**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I say NO !

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Tobacco is not illegal !!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

my meaning

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRANCESCO ZONA

**email**

zona.f@alice.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell'OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tobias David

**email**

CertainDeath\_DrumMaster@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
25

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
the colours of cigarettes don't force somebody to buy them.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Every Company has its own taste, so they should produce their tobacco as usual

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Harder controls, so that childs and teenagers can't buy tobacco

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Albrecht Raffelsieper

**email**

Albrecht@Raffelsieper.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

very tentative

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

very tentative

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

very tentative

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

very tentatvie

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

very tentative

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

very tentative

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Iadevaia Carmine

**email**

carmine.iadevaia@yahoo.it

**country**

ITALIA

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è

l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Guski

**email**

frank.guski@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Norbert Neukirchen

**email**

neukirno@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No commend

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No commend

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No commend

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No commend

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No commend

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No commend

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Klemm

**email**

Peter--Klemm@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Kerd

**email**

mkerd@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hildegard Schuler-Kempfle

**email**

hilde@wannomat.de

**country**

Ichenhausen

**age**

43

**gender**

female

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Nein

**additional comments**

Nein

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

KK

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KK

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KK

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

KK

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KK

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Dedekind

**email**

wolfgang.dedekind@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

a change does not bring an improvement

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Werner Mueller

**email**

giram@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sebastian Hempel

**email**

hempel.sebastian@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schmitt, Burkhard

**email**

burkhard-schmitt@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Frank Reiss

#### **email**

triplefrank@arcor.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **additional option**

kein Kommentar

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Henrik Humann

**email**

scmuckgalerie@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

Ich bin dagegen!

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pietro Massaro

**email**

pietro.massaro@yahoo.it

**country**

ITALIA

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte

per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PARISI NELLO

**email**

parisinello@libero.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le

avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l’ipotesi di vietare l’impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di “Burley”, in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto “American Blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D’altra parte, come chiarito anche nell’OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco “Virginia”) siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Diekmann

**email**

thodie82@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lehmann Michael

**email**

lehmannmich@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access



**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Philipp Eich

**email**

philipp11@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland (Germany)

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommetar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Lehmann

**email**

wolfgang.lehmann@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

56

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Philaretou Philaretos

**email**

compcy@hotmail.com

**country**

Cyprus

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

H. Schieder

**email**

hschieder@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Thomas Zeidler

**email**

opium16@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

42

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kämper

**email**

heedfeld@email.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

./.

**which option**



No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

./.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

./.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

./.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

./.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

./.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Günther Basmann

**email**

guenther@rockmusik-hamlar.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
KeinKommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Ben Wolf

**email**  
b.wolf@luehmann-stahlbau.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
21

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Bodo Röhrs

**email**  
boros@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
45

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VINCENZO LANNA

**email**

vincenzo.lanna@libero.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è

l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

martina herzog

**email**

martina\_herzog@web.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**



No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Irene Panagiotou

**email**

compcy@hotmail.com

**country**

Cyprus

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerhard Bültemeier

**email**

GuHBueltemeier@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein K

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein K

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mario Velebil

**email**

mv211@friweika.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
44

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Can decide for myself.

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
?????

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
xxx

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
xxx

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

xxx

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

xxx

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Wurst

**email**

hans.wurst@wurst.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lederer Ingo

**email**

LedererI@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Seitz

**email**

gms2001@freenet.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ANTONELLA NATALE

**email**

antonella.natale80@libero.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Haas Thomas

**email**

drolli423@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tobias Föllmer

**email**

foellmer@extratip-goettingen.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

34

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maluche Andreas

**email**

ama02@gmx.de

**country**

German

**age**

43

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**



No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

wolf harms

**email**

theglimmertwin@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es ist kompletter schwachsinn

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

nein

**additional comments**

nein

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

weil es total dämlich ist

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

weil bevormundung der bürger mist ist

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es reicht mit den vorschriften, löst die politiker ab

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

siehe vorhergehende antwort

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es ist überflüssig

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ulrich Führer

**email**

ersterbester@aol.com

**country**

deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich denke alles sollte bleiben wie es ist

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

keine änderungen

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine änderungen

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine änderungen

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine änderungen

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine änderungen

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

U. Dingler

**email**

udingler@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland / Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

bisherige Regelungen ausreichend, Länderregelungen bevorzugt.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

bisherige Kompetenz der EU u bestehende Regelungen ausreichend (s.v.)

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

vorh. bestimmungen ausreichend (s.v.)

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

zu aufwändig, zu kleinteilig. Keine ABM für EU-Bürokraten. (s.o.)

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine weiteren Regulierungen nötig ... s.o. (wie oft noch?)

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Goesswein

**email**

wolfgang.goesswein@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Hönicke, Andreas

#### **email**

d-snoopy@gmx.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

39

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Natasa Tsaugari

**email**

compcy@hotmail.com

**country**

Cyprus

**age**

31

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stev Holzweissig

**email**

stevholzweissig@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
33

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
--

**additional comments**  
--

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
--

**additional comments**  
--

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
--

**additional comments**  
--

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

--

**additional comments**

--

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

--

**additional comments**

--

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

--

**additional comments**

--

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ARIANNA SILVESTRI

**email**

ariannasilv@hotmail.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell'OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

britta plagemasnn

**email**

nando240867@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Josef Schmücker

**email**

josefschmuecker@unitybox.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

56

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ernst Peter Rövenstrunk

**email**

epr.mail@online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Munzlinger

**email**

tempi74@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Alexander Lindert

**email**

alexanderlindert@web.de

**country**

Nürnberg, Germany

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pöschl Ernst

**email**

ernst.poeschl@landshut.org

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**



citizen

**name**

FRANCESCO SIMEONE

**email**

cicciozeta@live.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Klaus Dollinger

#### **email**

sardor@web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **gender**

male

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

### **regulation of ingredients**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Horst Staroske

**email**

Horst.staroske@unitybox.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

62

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein komentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein komentar

**additional comments**

krin komentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kyriakh Apostolou

**email**

compcy@hotmail.com

**country**

Cyprus

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Baadsch, Christa

**email**

christa.baadsch@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

56

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LORENA SIMEONE



**email**

lorena.simeone@libero.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la

creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eric Farmer

**email**

ericfarmer1970@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

eu just the cost is only money, the only solution is to close the EU The sacked politicians in the EU Parliament should personally held accountable.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

eu just the cost is only money, the only solution is to close the EU The sacked politicians in the EU Parliament should personally held accountable.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

eu just the cost is only money, the only solution is to close the EU The sacked politicians in the EU Parliament should personally held accountable.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

eu just the cost is only money, the only solution is to close the EU The sacked politicians in the EU Parliament should personally held accountable.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**additional option**

eu just the cost is only money, the only solution is to close the EU The sacked politicians in the EU Parliament should personally held accountable.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Veikko Förster

**email**

veikkofoerster@hotmail.com

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
34

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hunner Josef

**email**

josef.hunner@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Grossklos

**email**

michael\_grossklos@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
21

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
If not, please provide explanations There is no problem as it is, you make it a problem !

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Yes, leave cigar smokers alone, we are adult people.

**additional comments**  
See above

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
see my earlier comments

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
see my earlier comments

**additional comments**  
see my earlier comments

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
see my earlier comments

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**



see my earlier comments

**additional comments**

see my earlier comments

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see my earlier comments

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

see my earlier comments

**additional comments**

see my earlier comments

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see my earlier comments

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

see my earlier comments

**additional comments**

see my earlier comments

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

see my earlier comments

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

see my earlier comments

**additional comments**

Why is this form only in English - bad joke ?? That is VERY discriminatory - don't my taxes pay for enough translators yet ??

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kriz Emil

**email**

jessykriz@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Axel Gerlach

**email**

zollstockaxel@hotmail.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
53

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
it is okay

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommendar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommendar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernd Kallrath

**email**

Bernd\_Kallrath@takasago.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matthias Haschke

**email**

haschke.m@gmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no commend

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Prof. Dr. Michael Tilly

**email**

tilly@uni-landau.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christoforos Koulountes

**email**

compcy@hotmail.com

**country**

Cyprus

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Felgner

**email**

R-Felgner@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dieter Nagel

**email**

dieter0647@me.com

**country**

germany

**age**

63

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paul Senghaus

**email**

wuzzibibbi@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ewald Klose

**email**

ewaldklose@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
+

**which option**  
Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

**which option**  
Ban

**which one2**  
Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DAVIDE CERBO

**email**

cerbodavide@libero.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Joerg Kruse

#### **email**

joerg\_kruse@freenet.de

#### **country**

germany

#### **age**

57

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Brähmig

**email**

uwe.braehmig@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ron Hoffmann

**email**

ro\_ho\_EF@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Rost

**email**

P-Rossi@online.de

**country**

Niedersachsem

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marko Graf

**email**

mgraf@peli-gmbh.de

**country**

Germany

**age**



41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es reicht halt

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Guenter Oexler

**email**

GuenterOexler@Aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The definition of the problem addresses only the symptoms and the overuse of the stimulant and does not differ between addicted smokers and connoisseur smoker.

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Of course - extention is needed intensively: The directive must address the cause of addiction to smoke and clearing up the mind of people - just see the result of prohibition of Alcohol in the US beginning of the last century - it created the counterwise action and made it illegal and therefore much more interesting to do it for humans!

**additional comments**

Of course - extention is needed intensively: The directive must address the cause of addiction to smoke and clearing up the mind of people - just see the result of prohibition of Alcohol in the US beginning of the last century - it created the counterwise action and made it illegal and therefore much more interesting to do it for humans!

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Of course - extention is needed intensively: The directive must address the cause of addiction to smoke and clearing up the mind of people - just see the result of prohibition of Alcohol in the US beginning of the last century - it created the counterwise action and made it illegal and therefore much more interesting to do it for humans!

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

and no ban on any kind of tobacco products - see my previous comments!

**additional comments**

Of course - extention is needed intensively: The directive must address the cause of addiction to smoke and clearing up the mind of people - just see the result of prohibition of Alcohol in the US beginning of the last century - it created the counterwise action and made it illegal and therefore much more interesting to do it for humans!

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Of course - extention is needed intensively: The directive must address the cause of addiction to smoke and clearing up the mind of people - just see the result of prohibition of Alcohol in the US beginning of the last century - it created the counterwise action and made it illegal and therefore much more interesting to do it for humans!

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**additional option**

Of course - extention is needed intensively: The directive must address the cause of addiction to smoke and clearing up the mind of people - just see the result of prohibition of Alcohol in the US beginning of the last century - it created the counterwise action and made it illegal and therefore much more interesting to do it for humans!

**additional comments**

Of course - extention is needed intensively: The directive must address the cause of addiction to smoke and clearing up the mind of people - just see the result of prohibition of Alcohol in the US beginning of the last century - it created the counterwise action and made it illegal and therefore much more interesting to do it for humans!

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Of course - extention is needed intensively: The directive must address the cause of addiction to smoke and clearing up the mind of people - just see the result of prohibition of Alcohol in the US beginning of the last century - it created the counterwise action and made it illegal and therefore much more interesting to do it for humans!

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Of course - extention is needed intensively: The directive must address the cause of addiction to smoke and clearing up the mind of people - just see the result of prohibition of Alcohol in the US beginning of the last century - it created the counterwise action and made it illegal and therefore much more interesting to do it for humans!

**additional comments**

Of course - extention is needed intensively: The directive must address the cause of addiction to smoke and clearing up the mind of people - just see the result of prohibition of Alcohol in the US beginning of the last century - it created the counterwise action and made it illegal and therefore much more interesting to do it for humans!

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Of course - extention is needed intensively: The directive must address the cause of addiction to smoke and clearing up the mind of people - just see the result of prohibition of Alcohol in the US beginning of the last century - it created the counterwise action and made it illegal and therefore much more interesting to do it for humans!

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

Of course - extention is needed intensively: The directive must address the cause of addiction to smoke and clearing up the mind of people - just see the result of prohibition of Alcohol in the US beginning of the last century - it created the counterwise action and made it illegal and therefore much more interesting to do it for humans!

**additional comments**

Of course - extention is needed intensively: The directive must address the cause of addiction

to smoke and clearing up the mind of people - just see the result of prohibition of Alcohol in the US beginning of the last century - it created the counterwise action and made it illegal and therefore much more interesting to do it for humans!

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Of course - extention is needed intensively: The directive must address the cause of addiction to smoke and clearing up the mind of people - just see the result of prohibition of Alcohol in the US beginning of the last century - it created the counterwise action and made it illegal and therefore much more interesting to do it for humans!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Of course - extention is needed intensively: The directive must address the cause of addiction to smoke and clearing up the mind of people - just see the result of prohibition of Alcohol in the US beginning of the last century - it created the counterwise action and made it illegal and therefore much more interesting to do it for humans!

**additional comments**

Of course - extention is needed intensively: The directive must address the cause of addiction to smoke and clearing up the mind of people - just see the result of prohibition of Alcohol in the US beginning of the last century - it created the counterwise action and made it illegal and therefore much more interesting to do it for humans!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kypros Kypri

**email**

compcy@hotmail.com

**country**

Cyprus

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Markowski

**email**

beatrix241965@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

angie wischer

**email**

wischer.angie@googlemail.com

**country**

germany

**age**

26

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans-Peter Grasekamp

**email**

gpitz54@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

57

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Korten

**email**

juergen.korten@bezreg-arnsberg.nrw.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment!

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
No comment!

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comment!

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Thomas Fahrenwaldt

**email**  
tfahrenwaldt@yahoo.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
27

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gary Bayer

**email**

gary\_wb52@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dirk Hein

**email**

dirk.hein@cis-cargo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich möchte selbst entscheiden dürfen!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich möchte nicht, dass in dieser Frage jemand für mich entscheidet!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich möchte nicht, dass jemand für mich entscheidet!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich möchte nicht, dass jemand für mich entscheidet!

**which option**



No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich möchte nicht, dass jemand für mich entscheidet!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIOVANNI GIUGLIANO

**email**

ggiugliano@hotmail.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai

pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’ Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l’ipotesi di vietare l’impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di “Burley”, in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto “American Blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D’altra parte, come chiarito anche nell’ OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco “Virginia”) siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obbiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robby Fritsche

**email**

Robbson66@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lynn Hoffer

**email**

lynn.hoffer@gmx.d

**country**

Germany

**age**

29

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
There is no Problem with tobacco. All informations are available. There are a lot of other topice, where informations are much more required concerning i.e. ingrediens.

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
Smalles classes in schools -> less stress -> more integrated children -> less search for status symbols like cigaretts

**additional comments**  
no comment

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
i.e. alcohol has a much bigger problem concerning abuse of teenagers. Smokeless tobacco products are not in focus, uncool and only interesting for a very small group of people.

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
The public is already very well informed about nicotin and the connection to cancer. The money for this campaign is wasted.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

A reporting format is only useful, when you exactly define, what and how is to report.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

When you indicate the ingredients, there is no need to limitation. Everybody can choose on his own.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The limitation means cutting of the citizens choice. You blame them not to choose well for themselves. You want to control them. Whats next? Controlling the amount of chocolate you eat per week?

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Steffen Platzeck

**email**

steffen.platzeck@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Spryros Neophytou

**email**

compcy@hotmail.com

**country**

Cyprus

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PASSANTE GIUSEPPE

**email**

PAGIU1960@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the

profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Varpu Kerilahti

**email**

carpu.kerilahti@colorant-chromatics.com

**country**

Switzerland

**age**

50

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**additional option**

price increase

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Vending machines to be banned

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BOARETTI SALVINO

**email**

fit.padova@tabaccai.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

76

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frommberger

**email**

frommi1@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Lass die Packungen bunt

**additional comments**

keine

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GALLELLI AGAZIO

**email**

gl\_market@alice.it

**country**

Italy



**age**  
68

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Costas Mavrokordatos

**email**

compcy@hotmail.com

**country**

Cyprus

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Kahmel

**email**

dl1df@hotmail.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FIORASO PAOLA ANNA

**email**

tobaccosfioraso@libero.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DANILO COSTANO

**email**

danilo-costanzo@live.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l’ipotesi di vietare l’impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di “Burley”, in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto “American Blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D’altra parte, come chiarito anche nell’OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco “Virginia”) siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ernst Noll

**email**

e.noll@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Irgendwo muss man den EU-Bürgern noch einen Bereich für eigene Entscheidungen lassen!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Holger Murkisch

**email**

hmurkisch@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Christian Trippner

**email**  
christian@trippner.com

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
50

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Landsrath

**email**

a.landsrath@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

es sollte jeden erwachsenen Menschen frei gestellt sein was er tut.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

besser aufklärung für kinder in der schule zum beispiel

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Rupprecht

**email**

Klaus.Rupprecht@onlinemed.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schmidke

**email**

mrادgood@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Antonio De Lucia

**email**

antdelu1971@libero.it

**country**

ITALIA

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per le produzioni di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Löer, Rüdiger

**email**

loer@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder sollte frei entscheiden können. Entscheidungen der EU werden als Bevormundung angesehen.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

siehe vor

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wie vor

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wie vor

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Axel Sieveke

**email**

sievekeundsohn@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rainer Sauer

**email**

rainer.sauer@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**



no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Michael

**email**

compcy@hotmail.com

**country**

Cyprus

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulf Zimmermann

**email**

ulfzimmermann@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

robert saller

**email**

rob.saller@arcor.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bornhöft, Bernd

**email**

bernd@bornhoeft.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maria Schulz

**email**

arminlazarus@onlinehome.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Werner Bumiller

**email**  
werner.bumiller@tto.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Komentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar



**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Christian Trippner

**email**

schrottig@trippner.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sandra Kastl

**email**

Sandra.Zoefel@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

28

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kristine Küspert

**email**

kristine\_kuespert@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thea Eleftheriou

**email**

compcy@hotmail.com

**country**

Cyprus

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nadine Back

**email**

dine.back@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change



---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Matthias Kastl

#### **email**

matthiaskastl@gmx.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

32

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

KLaus Schaefer

**email**

klaus.schaefer@email.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
48

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

René Dreger

#### **email**

r.dreger@freenet.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

31

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein KOMmentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

John Maschewsky

**email**

exiljohn@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**  
27

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**  
Banning the sale of tobacco products completely.

**additional comments**  
Banning the sale of tobacco products completely is in the best interest of every EU citizen. I state this as a smoker.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**  
Banning the sale of tobacco products completely.

**additional comments**  
Bann the sale of tobacco products completely.

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce generic or plain packaging

**additional option**  
Banning the sale of tobacco products completely.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Parpas

**email**

compcy@hotmail.com

**country**

Cyprus

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIETRO MASSARO

**email**

pietromassaro85@live.it



**country**  
ITALIA

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell'OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reiner Bindschädel

**email**

reiner-thilo@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Bitter

**email**

wolfgang.bitter@herding.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die Einschnitte gehen entschieden zu weit und entbehren jeder Grundlage

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

nein

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mike Haschke

**email**

Luzifer19712@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Angela Salidou

**email**  
compcy@hotmail.com

**country**  
Cyprus

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Dirk Giesen

**email**

Hans-Dirk.Giesen@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Herr Reinhardt

**email**

artdemur@googlemail.com

**country**

Dortmund

**age**  
35

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change; Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

erika

**email**

edrecher2002@yahoo.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Poledniczek Dieter

**email**

indeco@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Hi

**additional comments**

Hi

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Giulia Ferrero

**email**

giuliaferrero60@yahoo.it

**country**

Italy

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell' Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell' OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Jasmin Kreitlein

#### **email**

jassy\_196@gmx.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

28

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**



No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Horst Kalchschmid

**email**

katharina.pelzhause@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TERESA MOZZACHIODI

**email**

teresamozzachiodi@live.it

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai

pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’ Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l’ipotesi di vietare l’impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di “Burley”, in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto “American Blend”, rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D’altra parte, come chiarito anche nell’ OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco “Virginia”) siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obbiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un' ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marco Klinkhammer

**email**

marco.klinkhammer@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

prohibition of cigarette machines limit sales to people having reached 18 years

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Guido Rötzer

**email**

guido.roetzler@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerhard Maurer

**email**

das-ist@cool.ms

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

no



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf Bantel

**email**

ralf.bantel@vr-web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Veigel

**email**

michael.veigel@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
35

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**  
I am no friend of governmental forced patronizing the citizens. Warnings are required but every adult citizen should be able to make his / her own decisions.

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Every adult smoker pretending not to know about the health risks of smoking and other tobacco products is a lyer. I am no friend of governmental forced patronizing the citizens. Warnings are required but every adult citizen should be able to make his / her own decisions. Rise the taxes!

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Picture warnings to become mandatory

**additional comments**  
I am no friend of governmental forced patronizing the citizens. Warnings are required but every adult citizen should be able to make his / her own decisions.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephan Höltig

**email**

stephan.hoeltig@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Nein

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

cyron

**email**

pccyr@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

69

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DOMENICO VENTIGLIA

**email**

domenico.ventriglia90@gmail.com

**country**

ITALIA

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Non concordo che “l’evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo” e che “maggiore è la dimensione dell’avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l’efficacia”. Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrario all’introduzione del cosiddetto “pacchetto generico”, che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l’altro il “plain packaging” spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l’unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono d’accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un novo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell’Unione.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Sono in totale disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere serie conseguenze per la produzione di "Burley", in Italia e in tutta Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cosiddetto "American Blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito anche nell'OMS e dallo SHENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano la varietà di tabacco "Virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare significativamente gli oltre 60.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in tutta Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono infatti elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo che non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema Italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso, se applicato con determinazione e con le giuste risorse a disposizione degli organismi di controllo, costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

B. Kalaminos

**email**

Kalaminos@vollbio.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban

**which one2**  
Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Sylvia Müller

#### **email**

caipi3@gmx.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

41

#### **gender**

female

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Improve consumer information

##### **which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kevin Jaschinski

**email**

kevin-jaschinski@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

19

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

because I think that nobody stops smoking when the boxes for cigarettes are white or there are no more tobacco shops.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Because its for maybe 1 year not allowed to smoke in bars or restaurants. thats ok, i think. but i think thatas enough.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

i think there are more other problems at the moment. the eu should solve other, bigger problems from wich we have enough in the eu at the moment.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

m

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Peter Gänß

#### **email**

pgaenss@aol.com

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

52

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Cyron

**email**

pccyr@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

69

**gender**



male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marinos Kaloxoritis

**email**

compcy@hotmail.com

**country**

Cyprus

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrick Sievert

**email**

patrick.sievert@arnold-andre.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fischer

**email**

T.S.Fischer@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nur, weil die Packungen weiss sind, wird nicht weniger gekauft...

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gisela Asmussen

**email**

gisela@asmussen07.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

73

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Werner Fend

**email**

wernerfend@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexandra Reiche

**email**

alexkmaus@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

29

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change necessary

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no change necessary

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change necessary

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change necessary

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change necessary

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change necessary

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

hayri Ögüt

**email**

hayri@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manuel Colling

**email**

manuelcolling@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carsten Bohn

**email**

carsten.bohn@arnold-andre.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Meissner, Peter

#### **email**

privat@peter-meissner.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

53

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Richard Haase

**email**

richardhaase@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no komment

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

no komment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no komment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no komment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no komment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Harald Funck

**email**

hfunck@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

mueller josef

**email**

josmue@gmx.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tobias Oehler

**email**

byfops@trash-mail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sven Simon

**email**

simon@sgb-it.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karim Jaouadi

**email**

k\_jaouadi@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

THomas Poppitz

**email**

thomas.poppitz@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Kapp

**email**

michael-kapp@faerber-kapp-schwab.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No

**additional comments**

Bisherige Regelungen ausreichend

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Beyer

**email**

michael.beyer@gmx.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Lanio

**email**

andreas.lanio@tetrapak.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mario Thamm

**email**

mario.thamm@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Glindmeyer, Helge

**email**

Helge.Glindmeyer@hanse.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das wirkliche Problem sind nicht die Raucher und die Folgekosten, sondern das wirkliche Problem ist das Gesundheitswesen, die Pharma-Lobby und ihre Handlanger, die Politiker!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Die Pharma-Industrie muß stärker reglementiert und überwacht werden. Pharmakonzerne haben bereits eine solche Macht erreicht, dass ihre politische Einflussnahme eine der schlimmsten Gefahren für die Demokratie und die ganze Gesellschaft ist.

**additional comments**

Der aktuelle Weltgesundheitsbericht zeigt auf, dass einige Länder für Arzneimittel das bis zu 67-fache des internationalen Durchschnittspreises bezahlen müssen. Hinzu komme, dass mehr als die Hälfte aller Medikamente unsachgemäß verordnet werden.



**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Liebtraut, Manfred

#### **email**

ManfredLiebentraut@t-online.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

63

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

kein Kommentar

#### **additional comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hahn

**email**

hhmhahn@aol.com

**country**

Deutschland

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Darius Graumann

**email**

dgig@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Hans-Georg Pabst

#### **email**

hansgeorg.pabst@freenet.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Achim.Birgels

**email**

achim.birgels@email.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

42

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Mirko Moritz

**email**  
fuppel@gmx.de



**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jean-Marc Philippe Montag

**email**

jmp2907@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

21

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Although uniform regulations are useful in my opinion, I do not support further restrictions in the tobacco sector. I perceive sale at the age of 18 as sufficient enough.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

In my opinion there is no problem at all. Without tobacco and substitutional products whole countries would collapse, lacking in collected taxes.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

I do not perceive this as a problem.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Already answered before.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Yes, return to normal packaging without any recommendations or else. I think that people are aware enough that smoking harms. As we are living not in the US I do not believe that the people are so stupid to think smoking has no bad side effects at all. I support that people should get more practical advice by their doctors instead of banners etc on the packaging.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Wehner

#### **email**

atl.wehner@t-online.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

No change

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

is not the problem alone of the industry, of course a problem of our citizens

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

### **questions on reporting**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrea Bürig

**email**

ebuerig@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrea Schoening

**email**

AS-Maus@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
43

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Irmgard Manig



**email**

manig9@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hartmut Klinge

**email**

hartklinge@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Doerflinger

**email**

Frank.Doerflinger@Gmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der EU steht kein Recht zu, Dinge zu regeln, die sie nichts angehen (Subsidiaritätsprinzip!)

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Der EU steht kein Recht zu, Dinge zu regeln, die sie nichts angehen (Subsidiaritätsprinzip!)

**additional comments**

Der EU steht kein Recht zu, Dinge zu regeln, die sie nichts angehen (Subsidiaritätsprinzip!)

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Der EU steht kein Recht zu, Dinge zu regeln, die sie nichts angehen (Subsidiaritätsprinzip!)

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Der EU steht kein Recht zu, Dinge zu regeln, die sie nichts angehen (Subsidiaritätsprinzip!)

**additional comments**

Der EU steht kein Recht zu, Dinge zu regeln, die sie nichts angehen (Subsidiaritätsprinzip!)

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der EU steht kein Recht zu, Dinge zu regeln, die sie nichts angehen (Subsidiaritätsprinzip!)

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Der EU steht kein Recht zu, Dinge zu regeln, die sie nichts angehen (Subsidiaritätsprinzip!)

**additional comments**

Der EU steht kein Recht zu, Dinge zu regeln, die sie nichts angehen (Subsidiaritätsprinzip!)

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der EU steht kein Recht zu, Dinge zu regeln, die sie nichts angehen (Subsidiaritätsprinzip!)

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Der EU steht kein Recht zu, Dinge zu regeln, die sie nichts angehen (Subsidiaritätsprinzip!)

**additional comments**

Der EU steht kein Recht zu, Dinge zu regeln, die sie nichts angehen (Subsidiaritätsprinzip!)

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der EU steht kein Recht zu, Dinge zu regeln, die sie nichts angehen (Subsidiaritätsprinzip!)

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Der EU steht kein Recht zu, Dinge zu regeln, die sie nichts angehen (Subsidiaritätsprinzip!)

**additional comments**

Der EU steht kein Recht zu, Dinge zu regeln, die sie nichts angehen (Subsidiaritätsprinzip!)

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der EU steht kein Recht zu, Dinge zu regeln, die sie nichts angehen (Subsidiaritätsprinzip!)

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Der EU steht kein Recht zu, Dinge zu regeln, die sie nichts angehen (Subsidiaritätsprinzip!)

**additional comments**

Der EU steht kein Recht zu, Dinge zu regeln, die sie nichts angehen (Subsidiaritätsprinzip!)

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karl-Horst Hirschoff

**email**

khirschoff@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Die EU lässt dem Bürger keine Freiheiten und Rechte mehr

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
es ist doch schon genug geregelt, lässt die Menschen einfach selbst entscheiden!

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
lasst die Menschen selbst entscheiden!

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die Menschen sollen selbst entscheiden

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fabian Pusch

**email**

fabian.pusch@gmail.com

**country**

Switzerland

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Demetris Loukianou

**email**

d.loukianou@cytanet.com.cy

**country**

cyprus

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Volker Liebich

**email**

liebich@arnold-andre.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Rossell

**email**

rossell@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anja Nickstadt

**email**

anjanickstadt@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

36

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

M. Springer

**email**

martinaspringer2001@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

34

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Erwachsene sollten berechtigt sein, für ihr Leben die Eigenverantwortung zu übernehmen.  
Dies ist meiner Meinung nach keine EU-Angelegenheit!

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heukeroth, Werner Mike

**email**

smwe68@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Drückler Niels

**email**

nielsausathen@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Auch hier fehlen wissenschaftliche Nachweise. Geschmäcker sind nun mal verschieden: Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe (Virginia-Tabak-Produkte) verkauft werden, ist nicht geringer als in Ländern, in denen erwachsene Konsumenten vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) rauchen. Zigaretten mit und ohne Zusatzstoffe sind schädlich. Raucher sollten nicht in die Irre geführt werden durch diskriminierende Regulierungsvorschläge, denen die wissenschaftliche Grundlage fehlt.

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Auch hier fehlen wissenschaftliche Nachweise. Geschmäcker sind nun mal verschieden: Die Verbreitung des Rauchens in Ländern, in denen vorwiegend Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe (Virginia-Tabak-Produkte) verkauft werden, ist nicht geringer als in Ländern, in denen erwachsene Konsumenten vorwiegend Zigaretten mit Zusatzstoffen (American-Blend-Produkte) rauchen. Zigaretten mit und ohne Zusatzstoffe sind schädlich. Raucher sollten nicht in die Irre geführt werden durch diskriminierende Regulierungsvorschläge, denen die wissenschaftliche Grundlage fehlt.

**which option**  
No change; Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

Nein! Rauchen gefährdet die Gesundheit. Aber wenn Tabakprodukte „unter den Ladentisch“ wandern, über Automaten grundsätzlich nicht mehr angeboten werden dürfen und alle Packungen gleich aussehen, geht das zu Lasten von Sortimentsvielfalt, Warenpräsentation und Beratung. Die Ware sucht sich ihren Weg: Das und Einheitspackungen öffnen Tür und Tor für Produktfälscher und Schmuggler - also der organisierten Kriminalität. Der Konsument bleibt auf der Strecke, denn er kann nicht mehr beurteilen, welches Produkt er in Händen hält.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nein! Rauchen gefährdet die Gesundheit. Aber wenn Tabakprodukte „unter den Ladentisch“ wandern, über Automaten grundsätzlich nicht mehr angeboten werden dürfen und alle Packungen gleich aussehen, geht das zu Lasten von Sortimentsvielfalt, Warenpräsentation und Beratung. Die Ware sucht sich ihren Weg: Das und Einheitspackungen öffnen Tür und Tor für Produktfälscher und Schmuggler - also der organisierten Kriminalität. Der Konsument bleibt auf der Strecke, denn er kann nicht mehr beurteilen, welches Produkt er in Händen hält.

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Vending machines to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Travis Fain

**email**

goldent@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Faust Pio

**email**

pio.faust@helios-kliniken.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Mundhenk

**email**

cm-dummy@t-online.de

**country**  
Germany

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**



Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Wilfried Paul

**email**

doc.paul@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Smoking should be allowed for all adults without any regulation.

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernd Hansen

**email**

lion\_spirit@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heiko Sieker

**email**

h.Sieker@sieker-rolladen.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Franziska

**email**

webwoman@ymail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heribert

**email**

heri@freenet.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
44

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Florian Heiligensetzer

**email**

Florian.Heiligensetzer@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rauch Günter

**email**

guenni64@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Farwerck

**email**

jens@farwerck.de

**country**

germany

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Langer, Herbert

**email**

LangerHerbert@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

rise taxes!

**additional comments**

no, sorry!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban

**which one2**  
Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Hudasch

**email**  
visa-buy@gmx.de

**country**  
Germany

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf-D. Bahlke

**email**

rdbahlke@swschwedt.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

55

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Schwarz

**email**

michael-schwarz@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pöllmann, Ludwig

**email**

ludwig.poellmann@wunsiedel.de

**country**

Bundesrepublik Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Wir haben kein Problem

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gennadiy Fedotov

**email**

fgs969@yahoo.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**  
41

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of

tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Korff

**email**

reinhardkorff@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

56

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Steffen Höhne

**email**

s\_hoehne@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

Weder Verbote, Warnhinweise noch Erhöhungen der Tabaksteuer haben jemals Menschen davon abgehalten, Tabakprodukte zu konsumieren. Was hier gemacht werden soll, ist praktisch eine Quasi-Illegalisierung, die keinen ernsthaften Raucher zum Umdenken bewegen wird – ganz im Gegenteil. Daran werden auch Einheitsverpackungen und Horrorbilder nichts ändern. Leider werden mit solchen Aktionen auch die sog. "Genussraucher" entmündigt, die gelegentlich Zigarren oder Pfeife rauchen, ohne dass hierbei Suchtcharakter vorliegt.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meines Erachtens sinnlos, da eine Gewöhnung an die Bilder stattfinden wird und diese Bilder keinen ernsthaften Raucher vom Konsum abhalten werden. Weiterhin finde ich bedenklich, dass das Veröffentlichen solcher Bilder in Printmedien nicht gestattet ist, sie aber auf Verpackungen von Tabakprodukten für Jedermann sichtbar gemacht werden dürfen. Im Gegenzug stellt sich dann die Frage, ob demnächst auch Bilder von Alkoholgeschädigten auf Weinflaschen oder Bilder von Unfallopfern auf Kraftfahrzeugen durch die EU vorgeschrieben werden.

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Steckmann Sally

**email**

sally.steckmann@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHRistian Lang

**email**

lang.chris@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matthias Hagemann

**email**

upendra@rheinneckarweb.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no koment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no koment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

not a big Problem

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tabea Kaltofen

**email**

TabeaKaltofen@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

27

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dieter Franz

**email**

di.franz@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Ludwig

**email**

frank.ludwig@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reinhard Klein

**email**

r.klein@msrk.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no coment

**additional comments**

no coment

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no coment

**additional comments**

no coment

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no coment

**additional comments**

no coment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no coment

**additional comments**

no coment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no coment

**additional comments**

no coment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no coment

**additional comments**

no coment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Diethelm Stubbmann

**email**

DiethelmStubbmann@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

52

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Benjamin Gebhardt

**email**

ben.gebhardt@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die derzeitigen Warnhinweise sind groß und auffällig genug und werden in jedem Falle wahrgenommen.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uve Mittmann

**email**

uve.mittmann@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Padberg

**email**

martin.padberg@udo.edu

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Werner Lang

**email**

lang-donaueschingen@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

No Comment

**additional comments**

No Comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Darf man in Europa nicht mehr Deutsch sprechen

#### **email**

Word man in Europa diskriminiert, weil man Deutsch spricht?

#### **country**

dffd

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

dsdsfsdf

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **recommend option**

asdfafasdf

#### **additional comments**

sdfsdasdfsd

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

verstehe ich nicht!!!

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

verstehe ich nicht

#### **additional comments**

verstehe ich nicht

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

**explanations**

verstehe ich nicht

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

Ich spreche deutsch

**additional comments**

Ich spreche deutsch

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich spreche deutsch

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

ich spreche deutsch

**additional comments**

ich spreche deutsch

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

ich bin Deutscher und spreche Deutsch. Europa grenzt hier aus

**additional comments**

Europa grenzt aus

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Europ diskriminiert nicht Englisch sprechende Menschen. Das Geld wird aber dennoch abgezockt.

**additional comments**

Zockt weiter für die Politikerkasten ab

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ruwwe Sabine

**email**

s.ruwwe@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Fackert

**email**

mfackert@fh-koblenz.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

23

**gender**

male



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich denke, die bisherigen Regelung sind vollkommen ausreichend.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich denke, dass kein großer Unterschied zwischen dem Konsum dieser Art von Tabak und gewöhnlichen Zigaretten besteht, und daher jeder über deren Konsum für sich selber entscheiden sollte. Zudem gibt es hierbei keine Effekte wie das Passivrauchen, was noch für diese Art von Tabak spricht.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach, ist den Menschen, die rauchen, die gesundheitsschädliche Wirkung des Rauchens durchaus bekannt, und daher halte ich einen solchen Schritt (z.B. die Auflistung der schädlichen Stoffe, besonders, weil die meisten Menschen diese garnicht kennen und sich deshalb nicht dafür interessieren) für sinnlos. Vor allem innerhalb der Packungen solche Warnhinweise anzubringen bringt meiner Meinung nach überhaupt nichts, einzig die abschreckende Wirkung von Bildern auf den Packungen könnte einige "Neueinsteiger" vom Rauchen abhalten, denke ich.

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional comments**

Ich habe bei Frage 1 nur mit "Yes" in Kombination mit Frage 2 abgestimmt, also das von der EU ein einheitliches Meldeformat entwickelt wird. Andernfalls würde ich hier mit "No" stimmen. Ich bin gegen die Einführung von weiteren Gebühren für die Tabakindustrie, denn ich denke, dass Tabakwaren bereits teuer genug sind, und ein Ende der Preiserhöhungen durch nationale Steuern bisher auch noch nicht abzusehen ist.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Für welche Marke (und damit für welche Zusatzstoffe) sich die Menschen entscheiden, sollte ihnen selbst überlassen werden.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Meiner Meinung nach kommt jeder, der möchte, auch an Zigaretten heran. Weitere Verbote und Einschränkungen machen den Konsum (gerade für Jugendliche) deutlich attraktiver!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexander Ludwig

**email**

Alxndrldwg@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Thomas Sternberg

#### **email**

jpzsterni@t-online.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

32

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **additional comments**

Lassen Sie bitte alles so wie es ist.

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

### **additional comments**

Lassen Sie bitte alles so wie es ist.

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information

### **which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerhard Vögele

**email**

g-voegele@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hansjörg Sompek

**email**

hansjoerg.sompek@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

63

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

not in accordance with human rights

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

x

**additional comments**

x

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lißner, Hans-Joachim

**email**

lissner@fleigeno.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

52

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**



No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Riecken

**email**

ritchie282@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder soll selber entscheiden, wir sind mündige Bürger.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Auch Raucher sind freie Bürger der EU

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Axel von Kortzfleisch

**email**

avkortzfleisch@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Susanne

**email**  
sannie\_girl@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**  
Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health

warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ehnert, David

**email**

ehnie\_87@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**  
Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Werner Ziburts

**email**

werner.ziburts@mdf.brandenburg.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

53

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Koch

**email**

koch.michael@vdi.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No



**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine Gängelung durch weltfremde Berufspolitiker erwünscht

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freie Wahl des Individuums

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Freie Entscheidung des Einzelnen

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sven Oksas

**email**

svenoksas@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ronny Schaefer

**email**

phi3912@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Cherif Reske

#### **email**

reske@kabelbw.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

54

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Erbsmehl

**email**

uerbsmehl@googlemail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andre Rode

**email**

rode.andre@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Silvia Koch

**email**  
madonna40@hotmail.de

**country**  
Gelsenkirchen

**age**  
44

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Liliane Förtsch

**email**

schaulico@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dieter Praeger

**email**

PragerPraeger@aol.com

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
58

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

C. Jahn

**email**

claudio.jahn@tnt.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Perhaps the revealing of e.g. children from ages 4-12 working in tobacco plantages to have

the owners make even more profit would help people see, that they really do threat even to the health of children they did not know about, just because they want a spicy cigar.

**additional comments**

It is absolutely mandatory for the government, to make the public clear, that smoking is seriously endangering ALL the peoples health and not only those of smokers. Furthermore I would appreciate programs for those who believe they cannot get rid of the smoking addiction, because this is just something within their minds and not a biological dependence.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

wagenknecht

**email**

m.wagen@t-online.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**



no coment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Huxdorff

**email**

frankhuxdorff@hotmail.de

**country**

germany

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Vending machines to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Follmann

**email**

follmann87@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

-

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Walter Neher

**email**

walterneher@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommemntar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Linnenbrink; Ingo

**email**

il@litw.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

We want to know, what we will buy, and we are old enough to make our own decision.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Why should the public be patronized more and more?

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Why should the public be patronized more and more?

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Why should the public be patronized more and more?

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Why should the public be patronized more and more?

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Why should the public be patronized more and more?

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reinke Thorsten

**email**

selters72@yahoo.de



**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
38

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
Wer rauchen möchte sollte rauchen dürfen, solange er niemanden damit belästigt.

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

langer

**email**

mathias.langer@uniklinik-freiburg.de

**country**

germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

s

**additional comments**

s

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hendrik Streich

**email**

Hendrik.Streich@axa.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Helmut Heinrich Janssen

**email**

helmut.janssenfrankfurt@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

No

**which option**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Massey. Patrick

#### **email**

gpmassey@hotmail.com

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

45

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Why

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Why

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Why

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Why

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Why

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Minor

**email**

wolfgang1950\_minor@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Zwack

**email**

wzwack@altenstadt-waldnaab.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vera Vogler

**email**

vera311@alice.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

everybody knows the risk of smoking!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no

**additional comments**

no

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

wer zigaretten habe möchte, bekommt sie auch. Das Problem besteht ja z. B. auch beim Alkohol. Ältere Freunde oder sogar Verwandte besorgen Minderjährigen die Zigaretten. Das ist verboten, aber trotzdem wird es getan. Vielleicht kann man es durch härte Strafen verhindern?.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

gabriele ernst

**email**

gabrieleernst @googlemail.com

**country**

deutschland

**age**

63

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**additional comments**  
ich bin nichtraucher, aber man kann doch nicht alles vorgeschrieben bekommen, und es hängen ja auch arbeitsplätze daran

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans-Joachim Fink

**email**

achim.fink@lrz.tum.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Müller

**email**

moonshadow65@hotmail.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar“

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**



Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Weidinger

**email**

p.weidinger@pw-con.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

You cut the rights of every citizen in Europe. It will not help to get people healthier. Take care of the industrial smoke!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mathias Mostertz

**email**

mmostertz@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Better education in school.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joerg Wolters

**email**

michaelawolters@yahoo.de

**country**

germany

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Past

**email**

Harrypast1965@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Grodzicki

**email**

architektura@online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Shit EU

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

My Life

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

klaus lorenz

**email**

dickelippe@t-online.de

**country**

germany

**age**

48



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

do not change a running system smokers aren't stupid

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

selfmade cproblems for what?

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

SAME AS WELL

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

SAME AS WELL

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
ONCE ASGAIN

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
K. Secker

**email**  
Honey.Filofax@web.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
35

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Volker Schneider

**email**

vsvertrieb@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

65

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Albrecht

**email**

Andreas@Albrecht-hh.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Grötsch Georg

**email**

michldamer@gmx.de

**country**

D

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

k u n a t h, jens

**email**

shop3000@topprofi.de

**country**

germany

**age**  
43

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---



## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Stefan Schoenung

#### **email**

gtm35@hotmail.com

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

37

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Horst Kaiser

**email**

horst.kaiser@mahle.com

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
60

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Seifert, Heiko

**email**

heikoseifert@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Berens

**email**

markus.berens@onlinehome.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Heise

**email**

PHeise2003@unitybox.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es geht mir gewaltig auf den Keks das irgendwelche Leute über etwas entscheiden wollen was ganz alleine mich selbst angeht, wenn ich rauchen will rauche ich. Wir sind als freie Menschen geboren worden und können frei und alleine entscheiden. Noch schlimmer ist es das ein Fragebogen der in Deutschland ausgefüllt wird in Englischer Sprache ist.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Um diese Fragen hier beantworten zu können müssten sie in Deutsch geschrieben sein nicht jeder spricht perfekt Englisch.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ihr in der Europäischen Union lebt doch sehr gut von unserrem Geld das zum größten teil aus der Tabaksteuer kommt also solltet Ihr doch mal ganz schön den Ball flach halten und nicht

versuchen über Menschen zu bestimmen.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Schreibt bitte erst einmal diese Fragen in den Landessprachen damit man vernünftig antworten kann.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Petersen Holger

**email**

holger.petersen@pp.inet.fi

**country**

Finland

**age**

67

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

Extrem intolerant, gegen persönliche Entscheidungsfreiheit (menschenwürde)

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Extremela intolerant

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Geese

**email**

j.geese@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

nicole irmer

**email**

pinknicky2007@hotmail.de

**country**

72622 Nürtingen

**age**

40

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

T. Riske

#### **email**

hero8990@web.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robert Brehm

**email**

rbrehmitalien@aol.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Brandl Stefan

**email**

Intschlumpf@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

freedom

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein komendar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommendar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

Kein Kommendar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommendar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

uwe keller

**email**

macis@genion.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Siekiera

**email**

siefra@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Helmer

**email**

u\_helmer@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

geraut wird so oder so

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar Eu hin oder her wieso immer alles auf englisch es gibt doch vielmehr spanisch sprechende menschen

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

nur weil usa spinnt muß in europa auch gesponnen werden, alkohol ist ok, für usa darum auch für europa, oder wie sieht das aus muß ich demnächst auch noch meine unterwäschefarbe nach den usa ansichten ausrichten genug ist genug wo bleibt die freiheit alles wird regelmentiert es ist zum kotzen

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

mir reicht es mit den regeln

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dieter Wiede

**email**

d-wiede@r-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

HANS - JÜRGEN ABRAHAM

**email**

ABRAHAMHJ@AOL.COM

**country**

DEUTSCHLAND

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

KEIN KOMMENTAR

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Michael Wagner

**email**

michaelwagner1978@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale; Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Catlen Jahn

**email**

jcatlen@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

maria silva

**email**

maria.topete@hotmail.com

**country**

portugal

**age**  
61

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
the law is fine, dont complicate please!!!

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Denis Blank

**email**

denis12134@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

22

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dana Fischer

**email**

jimmyeatworld18m@yahoo.de

**country**

Austria

**age**

67

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

All tobacco products should be included, also cigarette like products such as herbal cigarettes.

**additional comments**

There shouldn't be any differences among the Member States. There is an urgent need to have the same price for cigarettes in all Member States to avoid people from going to other countries to get cheaper cigarettes, as it happens at the moment. The price for one cigarette package should be at least between 5 and 10 Euro.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Snus can be allowed, as they harm just people who consume them and not others as it happens with cigarettes or cigarette-like products which lead to second hand smoke. Nevertheless the consumption of snus should be restricted as well (not under 18, no sugar or other substances which make them more attractive for young people).

**additional comments**

It would be more effective to ban smoking from all restaurants, pubs, bars and clubs. Nobody should be forced to inhale second hand smoke. Therefore there is a need to ban smoking from all public indoor areas.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

In general there should be a plain packaging, to prevent young people from getting excited by the coloured cardboard as it is the case in the moment. But also picture warning on the otherwise plain cardboard should become mandatory.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

All Member States should have the same regulation.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional option**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco over the Internet should be banned, otherwise people always will order cigarettes from countries where they are cheaper. Vending machines should be banned completely as it has shown that also restricted access to adults didn't work very well. Promotion and displays in retail stores should be banned to prevent young people from getting excited about tobacco products.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Quang Tram

**email**

qt001qarcor.de

**country**

Germany



**age**  
45

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change; Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christa Langmann

**email**

h-langmann@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

55

**gender**

female

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ivo Buchhalter

**email**

urpferd@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eckardt

**email**

eckizulu@yahoo.de

**country**

germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

it is my desition, if i wanna smoke or not

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Petra Esser

**email**

petra.esser1@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

55

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Krzysztof

**email**

Rusty\_rye@op.pl

**country**

Poland

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Dlaczego chce się wprowadzić dwukolorowe opakowania dla papierosów skoro nawet samochody są kolorowe? Każdy jest wolnym człowiekiem i ma prawo wyboru! Dlaczego próbuje się narzucić styl życia, którego nie chce? Szaro i buro już u Nas było za czasów komunizmu! Wygląda na to, że UE chce bawić się w czasy stalinowskie i narzucać reżim. Big Brother tylko w telewizji a nie w życiu prywatnym! Samochody nie są oklejane zdjęciami ofiar wypadków to dlaczego papierosy mają wyglądać jak "Noc żywych trupów"? Produkty GMO też nie pokazują człowieka z dwoma głowami.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Jeśli tajemnica produkcji nie ma nią pozostać to po co są patenty? Nie każdy chce zdradzić swoją recepturę produkcji. Konkurencja ze strony różnych podmiotów gospodarczych tylko czeka na ujawnienie tajemnic produkcyjnych.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional comments**

To tak jakby nakazać stosowanie jednego rodzaju mąki do wypiekania różnych rodzajów chleba. Zawsze smakowałyby jednakowo. To jakaś bzdura! Nie można aż tak głęboko ingerować w produkcję czegokolwiek. Jeśli ma to smakować różnorodnie, musi być złożone z różnych składników.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Obecne regulacje są wystarczające i nie widzę konieczności modyfikacji. Wystarczy przestrzeganie obowiązującego prawa i problem sam się rozwiąże. Papierosy od zawsze towarzyszyły człowiekowi i są częścią kultury. Podczas stanu wojennego były jedną z rzeczy o które warto było się mocno starać! Teraz chce się je odebrać w jakiś dziwny sposób! To co stara się zrobić UE nie przyszło do głowy nawet Stalinowi i Hitlerowi! Jak można tak bardzo chcieć decydować o naszym życiu!!!!!! Nie zgadzam się na tak głęboką ingerencję w moje



życie prywatne i moją wolność wyboru!!! Jeśli zakazecie produkowania "normalnych" papierosów ich miejsce zastąpią jakieś skażone podróby z Chin a budżet UE straci miliony Euro!!! Ale dla Was to chyba nie problem, bo Wy macie spore wypłaty. Gorzej jest z biednymi krajami.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Andreas Knöll

#### **email**

knoell.andreas@web.de

#### **country**

Germany, 52249 Eschweiler

#### **age**

42

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tim Gruebbel

**email**

boss3@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rolf Esser

**email**

rolf.esser@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
56

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kei Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Dallmann Guido

**email**  
chatgeier@yahoo.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein komentar

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
kein komentar

**additional comments**  
kein komentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

stimme ich zu wie es ist

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Controlled supply and access; Ban

**which supply and access**

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

**which one2**

Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Bandoly

**email**

mrbandoly62@msn.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**additional comments**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Boin

**email**

jan.boin@gmx.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**additional comments**

Ich wuerde es grundsatzlich begruessen, wenn alle suechtig machende, dem Koerper schadende Substanzen (sog. Genussmittel) nicht beworben und nur an volljaehrige Personen abgegeben werden duerften. Das sollte alle Sorten/Darreichungsformen von Tabak und Alkohol betreffen. Die Steuern auf diese Produkte sollten drastisch sein. Die illegale Einfuhr streng bestraft werden.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**additional option**

Je abschreckender die Packungen gestaltet werden können, desto besser. Macht sie unattraktiv, abstoßend häßlich, uncool, ekelig und vor allem: TEUER!

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

Je teurer, desto höher die Einnahmen fuer die Mitgliedsstaaten, wenn weiter konsumiert wird. Respektive: Je teurer, desto weniger koennen sich das Produkt leisten und weniger werden abhaengig / krank. Je mehr die Firmen ueber die Inhalte der produkte preisgeben muessen, desto besser!

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

Eine EU einheitliche Liste ist sinnvoll. Kurzfristig, nicht mit ewig langen Uebergangsfristen. Eine Positiv-Liste ist sicherlich besser, da nicht irgendwelcher "Dreck" beigemischt werden darf, der nicht negativ gelistet ist. Ausgeburten wie: "Baumrinde als naturidentischer Aromastoff fuer Erdbeerjoghurt" (Sagt mal: geht´s noch???) gehoeren verboten!

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional option**

Wenn Verbot, dann richtig. Ein Verbot zieht aber nur, wenn ein Kontrolle etabliert wird und

ein Folgeverstoß (einmal irren kann jeder) drastisch bestraft wird. Dabei sollte demjenigen der gegen diese Regeln mehr als einmal verstößt direkt ein (bedingter) Vorsatz unterstellt werden. Aufgrund hoher Gewinnspannen bei irregulären Handel, müssen die Strafen so drastisch bemessen werden, dass der Verstoß nicht lohnend erscheint.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oliver Gerl

**email**

o.gerl@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Raucher bleiben Raucher, da ändert die Initiative nichts. Gruppenzwang unter Jugendlichen führt zum Einstieg. Daran ändert weder die Einheitsverpackung, noch Einheitsgeschmack oder versteckter Verkauf unter der Ladentheke etwas!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Verstärkter Aufklärungsunterricht in der Schule, ansonsten Mündigkeit des Individuums akzeptieren

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Jeder ist jederzeit in der Lage, sich rauchenden Mitmenschen zu entziehen, da braucht es

keinen erneuten EU-Irrsinn a la Glühlampenverbot

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Es gibt kein Problem, alles ist künstlich konstruiert, als hätte die EU keine wichtigeren Belange, als die eigenen Bürger zu gängeln

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder weiß dank Schulaufklärung, daß Zigaretten schädlich sind und Alkohol süchtig macht. Trotzdem wird beides verkauft, der Kunde entscheidet. Daher Bedarf es keiner weiteren Aufklärung auf Zigarettenpackungen

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Verstärkte Aufklärung Jugendlicher im Biologie-Unterricht

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

An sich richtig, wobei die meisten Raucher mit der Bedeutung von Inhaltsstoffen wenig anfangen können. Aber auch dadurch werden Raucher nicht zu Nichtrauchern und Nichtraucher nicht davon abgehaltenm Zigaretten auszuprobieren.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Jeder Hersteller sollte sich geschmacklich von der Konkurrenz absetzen dürfen. Und da Zigaretten eh schädlich sind, ist es auch egal, mit welchen giftigen Zusatzstoffen dies erreicht wird. Und die schadstofflose Zigarette ist eh eine Utopie

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Solange der Staat massiv an der Tabaksteuer verdient, ist es scheinheilig, den Zugang regulieren zu wollen. Außerdem ist es schon heute nur 18-jährigen möglich, Zigaretten zu kaufen, sowohl im Geschäft als auch am Automaten

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Mueller

**email**

shootingduck@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Strucksberg

**email**

jstrux@gmail.com

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Irsigler, Helmut

**email**

Helmut.Irsigler@GMX.de

**country**

germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Steinke

**email**

m.steinke63@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Seht mal zu, das unsere Währung stabil bleibt, das wär doch mal ne Aufgabe, Gruß aus Berlin, Markus Steinke

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Littmann

**email**

oerbster70@yahoo.de

**country**

Garding Deutschland

**age**  
40

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**recommend option**  
no

**additional comments**  
no

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gregor Heinrichs

**email**

germanfantasy@yahoo.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mario Goege

**email**

Mario.Goege@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Georg Schmitz

**email**

georg@schmitz-familie.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients



**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexander Hey

**email**

alexander\_hey@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

31

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Gympel

**email**

jangympel@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Definitely not. There is already enough regulation.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Es gibt bereits genug Regulierung und Information. Jeder weiß, welche Gefahren Tabakkonsum bergen kann. Die EU sollte davon absehen, mündige Bürger und Konsumenten als dumme Kinder zu behandeln und sich permanent neue Vorschriften auszudenken.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

horst müller

**email**

hm-music@t-online.de

**country**

brd

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Legier

**email**

MalisKL1@gmx.de

**country**

Limeshain - Germany

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Was soll der Scheiss in english

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Was soll der Scheiss in english

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Was soll der Scheiss in english

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Was soll der Scheiss in english

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Was soll der Scheiss in english

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Was soll der Scheiss in english

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ronald Kawczynski

**email**

1109RK@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

62

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I am a free citizen and do not let by the pointlessly EU patronise laws!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Because I am a free citizen of the EU and not of the USA, I cannot be patronised!!!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I cannot be patronised!!!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I cannot be patronised!!!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I cannot be patronised!!!

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

I cannot be patronised!!!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Legier Michel

**email**

Bankmen@gmx.de

**country**

Limeshain - Germany

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Was soll der Scheiss wenn maqn kein english kann

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Was soll der Scheiss in english

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Was soll der Scheiss in english

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Was soll der Scheiss in english

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Was soll der Scheiss in english

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Swolinski

**email**

marian.swolinski@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kenny Aloé

**email**

KennyAloe@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

31

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Albrecht Mario

**email**

Albrecht.Mario@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein KommentaR

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Die Gesetzgebung sollte sich um ihre Aufgabe kümmern und nicht die Bürger in ihrer Freiheit beschneiden

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Mommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Holger M. Wagner

#### **email**

hmwdoc@genion.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

51

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

#### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jörg Kiefer

**email**

kimobil@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

66

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No



**explanations**

no command

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no command

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no command

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no command

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change; Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Radtke´, Burkhard

**email**

eigentum-frank@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marc Neuhaus

**email**

nashneuhaus@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I simply don't agree. Placing more/combined warnings on packagings won't, in my opinion, prevent anyone from smoking.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marc Riese

**email**

freissler@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

NO COMMENT

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Iain Metzger

**email**

rabobie13@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ana Lorente Zozaya

**email**

analorente53@hotmail.com

**country**

Spain

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**



Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alfred Schulz

**email**

Alfred.J.R.Schulz@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

64

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Benjamin Götz

**email**

bego@muemmes.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It doesn't change anything.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

N.C.

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

N.C.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

N.C.

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

N.C.

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Jörn Friedrich

#### **email**

joern.friedrich@web.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

42

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Sommer

**email**

somsomd@aol.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
24

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Frisch

**email**

frima@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment. I am an adult and take care for myself. I don't need "help" from the EU!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**



**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Geltinger

**email**

K.G.Geltinger@berlin.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

HESS

**email**

th.sandre.attend@orange.fr

**country**

france

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

KKKKKKKKKKKKKK

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

BB

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

B

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

LLLLLLLLL

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heike Fuhr

**email**

heike.fuhr@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

.-

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

.-

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

.-

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

.-

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

.-

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gebhard

**email**

bert@zakx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vladimir von Kendjelic-Gorcey

**email**

ludi\_purger@gmx.net

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

36

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It's not possible to cover everything with directives.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No



**comments**

Why people can't decide on his own? Everyone has the freedom to decide if he want to consume or not.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This means the oposite of free market and marketing.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

On the base of free decisions, the companies should offer a product without any additional attractive things. This will give everyone the possibility to decide what he wants.

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

There are more important problems than the marketing of the tobacco industry.

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Benjamin Fröhlich

#### **email**

benni.froehlich@gmx.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

### **recommend option**

"Neuartige Formen von Tabak zum oralen Gebrauch würden verboten - so wie Snus."  
streichen

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information

### **which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tomas Franke

**email**

tomas.franke@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uli Langwieder

**email**

uli\_langwieder@hotmail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

25

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Ibe

**email**

andreas.ibe@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

42

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

anteregnum

**email**

antjesiemund@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

46

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No



**explanations**

No more restrictions.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Axel Burgbacher

**email**

lordxl@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Give the people a fair chance for their own decision. For example, print on the box "I am adult - I know what I am doing" or "I am allowed to vote - I can decide".

**additional comments**

My personal proposal: Develop a "smoker's passport" which you receive from your doctor (!) after a brief consultation about the danger of smoking. This passport card could be the card

for the cigarett automat. Could be valid for 3 years and renewed after the next consultation of the doctor. That would solve all problems of all parties: smokers, non-smokers, government and the cigarette industry. Payed by the community. What do you think? This idea is protected. Don't hesitate to contact me.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

My personal proposal: Develop a "smoker's passport" which you receive from your doctor (!) after a brief consultation about the danger of smoking. This passport card could be the card for the cigarette automat. Could be valid for 3 years and renewed after the next consultation of the doctor. That would solve all problems of all parties: smokers, non-smokers, government and the cigarette industry. Payed by the community. What do you think? This idea is protected. Don't hesitate to contact me.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johannes Simbeck

**email**

johannes.simbeck@mnet-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

37

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kaiser

**email**

derkaiser@kabelmail.de

**country**

deutschland

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claus Werner Fleischhauer

**email**

cw.fleischhauer@online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Peters,Christoph

**email**  
sniper1966@gmx.net

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
44

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein komentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Jochen Knobel

#### **email**

JochenKnobel@web.de

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

38

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

##### **which option**

Improve consumer information

#### **which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

#### **questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at  
points of sales to be restricted

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maik Jens Linnebrüg

**email**

Maik.Linnebrueg@T-Online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hartmut Schäfer

**email**

haschfer@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ramiro Petrosch

**email**

r.petrosch@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

20

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Wischnewski

**email**

benduck@arcor.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**



No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dr. Ernst Goldberg

**email**

ErnstGold@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Volker Kieseletter

**email**

volker@cryptolexicon.org

**country**

Germany

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

it's against freedom of choice. you can't force people to live healthful. it's their choice!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

don't spend more useless money to act as a guardian for people who want to kill themselves

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

why do you have a problem with freedom? if somebody want's to kill himself - why not?

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

do you really think an addict changes his mind, after watching a scary picture?

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

i can't get the point, why anybody must know all ingredients. its wellknown, that there are toxic substances which can cause cancer for example...

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

i am a friend of free market economy. there is no need for a country or the eu to regulate that.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

raphael,peter

**email**

peter.raphael@t-online.de

**country**

germany

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no change

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

every by himselve

**additional comments**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Björn Reissland

**email**

b.reissland@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

35

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
uneffectable

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jürgen Pesch

**email**  
pesch@directbox.com

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
47

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maik Thiel

**email**

tfd1969@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**



No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

von Kummer, Hermine

**email**

hvk@gmx.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

62

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment, only in german language

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment, only in german language

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Krankenbergn,Fritz

**email**

danke-dir@online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Bevormundung der Bürger unterlassen

**additional comments**

Mensch= selbst denkend + Eigenverantwortung

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Die EU nimmt dem Bürger das Recht und die Pflicht mitzubestimmen! "Volksvertreter" sind Firmen/Regierungsvertreter. Dieser Fragebogen schliesst alle nicht englisch sprechenden Bürger von einer korrekten Beantwortung aus- ist das Demokratie?

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Mehr auf die Herstellerfirmen achten, dass reine und saubere Produkte hergestellt werden und den Bürger entscheiden lassen was er konsumiert (ausgenommen Drogen usw.)

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uwe Lindemann

**email**

ulndmnn@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I do not agree

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

I do not agree

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I do not agree

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I do not agree

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I do not agree

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sven Fischer

**email**

svfi@live.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**



Klaus Clemens

**email**

clemens-kaiserslautern@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

52

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bin ein mündiger Bürger und kann selbst entscheiden

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Kruse

**email**

mailstosk@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin für Konsumfreiheit für Erwachsene!

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich bin gegen gesetzliche Konsumbeschränkungen für Erwachsene!

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nicht die Packung verführt zum Rauchen, Raucher wählen anhand der Packung nur Ihr Raucherimage. Aufklärung ist die einzig richtige Prävebtion.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Elke Waitschull

**email**

dolphin0908@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**  
50

**gender**  
female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
absolut unnötig! wirklich große Probleme wie Umweltverschmutzung durch die Industrie, Armut u.v.m. werden so an den Rand gedrängt

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
ich bin ein mündiger Bürger und kann für mich selber entscheiden

**which option**  
No change

**additional comments**  
mündige Bürger zu bevormunden erinnert eher an Diktatur als an Demokratie ICH entscheide ob ich rauchen möchte oder nicht und ICH entscheide, ob ich in ein Raucher- oder Nichtraucherlokal gehe und/oder dort arbeite

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
siehe vorhergehende Seiten, wiederhole mich ungern

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
s.o.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

s.o.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

was 'verboten' ist, wird erst recht gekauft - es gab Alkoholverbot und Flüsterkneipen, der Playboy wurde unter dem Ladentisch verkauft etc. etc. - die Geschichte gibt genügend Beispiele

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Kullbach

**email**

Martin.kullbach@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Mark Schwunk

#### **email**

Felisha.Moreena@gmx.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

27

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no komment

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

there is no problem

#### **additional comments**

no

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

no komment

#### **which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

again, there is no problem



**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no komment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

würden sie das bild eines erstochenen mannes auf jeder messerpackung sehen wollen die sie kaufen, nur weil ein messer durchaus dazu benutzt werden könnte?

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no komment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no komment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no komment

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Benjamin Rapp

**email**

Bennirav@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Angeler

**email**

christian.angeler@stud.uni-due.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

28

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The european commision has other problems than tobacco products

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

that is uninteresting!

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Every man knows what they do, when they smoke

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no way

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no way

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephan Knabe

**email**

Stephan.Knabe@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus

**email**

Datapfeil@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hugo Miguel Matos

**email**

novomatos@hotmail.com

**country**

Portugal

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Bans should only happen in result of scientific bases.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

With the increase of illegal sales of cigarettes that have been happening, affecting profits of producers, salers (this includes many people), and the taxes of governments, without mentioning the health of smokers, with plain packaging is obvious it would be much easier to copy the different kind tobacco labels. This would make the illegal sale of cigarettes even more profitable, and it would increase.

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I believe this responsibility should belong to the member States

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The focus should go to the toxicity of the product



**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Regina

**email**

markusarnold3@web.de

**country**

nrw

**age**

44

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

finde ich nicht i.o

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Bartel

**email**

Bartelstefan@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Udo Hehl

**email**

u.hehl@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Was wird als nächstes reglementiert? Alkohol, Autos, Wiener Schnitzel? Zum Leben gehört Freiheit und somit auch Entscheidungsfreiheit zu rauchen. Und wieviel Arbeitsplätze gehen damit verloren? Irgendwann ist es mal genug!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Man kann aus Dingen Probleme machen, die an sich keine sind!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Gesundheitsschutz um jeden Preis - nein danke!

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Aufklärung ist ok, alles andere zu viel!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schneider

**email**

ksd112@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

19

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Rauchlose Tabakerzeugnisse sind nicht in einem gefährdenden Masse etabliert, daher freie Entscheidung erwachsener Menschen wahrscheinlich

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**additional comments**

Die "Packung" per se stellt kein Medium und keine Projektion des Konsums an sich dar, daher sind weitere Hinweise hinfällig. Bezüglich der Packung sehe ich als denkender Mensch lediglich eine öffentlichkeitswirksame Alibi-Lösung zur Vorbeugung von Rauchern

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Torben Fischer

**email**

torben.fischer@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

die bisherigen Regelungen sind vollkommen ausreichend

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

In einer Gesellschaft mündiger Bürger sollte der politische Regelungswahn möglichst gering gehalten werden

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Der Konsum von rauchlosen Tabakerzeugnissen schädigt nicht die Gesundheit der Mitbürger, insofern sind die Argumentationen des Nichtraucherschutzes nicht zu treffend.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

die Regelungen in Deutschland sind ausreichend

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

smoking guy

**email**

smoking-guy@trash-mail.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

complete prohibition of tobacco consumption

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Those who want to smoke do, others are (in general) somehow aware of the facts and the risks. Many other culturally accepted habituates or products are also health risks and are not that discriminated than smoking

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Ban

**which one2**

Vending machines to be banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Stelzer

**email**

mi.-re.-st@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

kein kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Armin Kotterheidt

**email**

armin.kotterheidt@email.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich bin dagegewn, dass die Freiheit der Bürger durch immer mehr EU Richtlinien weiter eingeschrenkt wird

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johann BETZ

**email**

johannbetz@arcor.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

55

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Edmund Goebel

**email**

Edmund.Goebel@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

50

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Es reicht mit der einseitigen Verteufelung des Tabakkonsums durch die EU!! Andere ebenso gefährliche sogenannte Genußmittel, wie Alkohol, tierische Fette, Zucker- und Zuckerersatzstoffe werden einer vergleichbaren Reglementierungswut durch die (für mich unzuständige) EU glücklicherweise nicht unterworfen. Die Eurokraten gängeln den gern als mündig bezeichneten EU-Bürger in inakzeptabler Art, anstatt sich um die wichtigen Dinge (einheitliches Sozial-, Steuer-, Straf-u.Ordnungswidrigkeiten- und Straßenverkehrsrecht) zu kümmern. Die allseits beklagte Politikfeindlichkeit, auch gegenüber der EU-Politik, hat ihren einzigen Ursprung in dem weltfremden und einseitig verzerrten (weil subjektivem) Handeln der Politiker. Kehren Sie endlich zu einer die Entwicklung der EU positiv gestaltenden Politik zurück!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Kasperowicz

**email**

kojote\_3@yahoo.de

**country**

Duisburg

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reither

**email**

breith4@googlemail.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

female

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Drescher.Erich

**email**

erich.drescher@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

47

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

markus rauhut

**email**

markus.rauhut@gmx.net

**country**

germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Baum

**email**

baumptr@aol.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

U. Rühl

**email**

ulli@ulliruehl.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zachmann Andreas

**email**

zwiezorro@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

49

**gender**

male



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mathias Buhle

**email**

dok.pepper@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

24

**gender**

male

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

hans findling

**email**

hansundjutta@t-online.de

**country**

germany

**age**  
50

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Gilch Guido

#### **email**

auto-gilch@gmx.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

41

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

No comment

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

no comment

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar kein Kommentarkein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rainer Gober

**email**

rainer@gober.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

58

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Zieringer

**email**

juergen.zieringer@gmx.de

**country**

germany

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Urvat

**email**

spiel@urbat.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

40

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no coment

**which option**

No change



**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No coment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

we have got enough restrictions. This should be a free country, but it's not!

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This should be a free Union!

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This should be a free Union without restrictions.

**which option**

No change

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Kuhne Thomas

#### **email**

kuhnethomas@web.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

39

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Kein Kommentar

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Warum schafft man es nicht so ein Formular in allen Sprachen an zu bieten?

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marc Becker

**email**

m.a.becker@netcologne.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

issy Welker

**email**

welkerl@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

57

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FUGE DIETMAR

**email**

dietmar.fuge@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

61

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

KeinKommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommenter

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Valentin

**email**

Fear2000@gmx.Net

**country**

Germany



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change; Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Drescher, Maria

**email**

maria.drescher@arcor.de

**country**

deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Lehmann

**email**

Thomatsch-eu@yahoo.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es soll dem Bürger bitte selbst überlassen werden. Es ist ein Genussmittel, es ist ein Luxusgut, es darf erhalten bleiben. Rauchverbot in öffentlichen Gebäuden, die jeder nutzen MUSS, sehe ich ein, jedoch in privaten Einrichtungen ist es doch wohl Humbug. Und die Whitelabels oder abschreckende Bilder sind genauso wirkungslos, wie die dummen Sprüche, die jetzt auf den Verpackungen stehen.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Aufklärung und Appell an den Verstand, aber Bevormundung hilft definitiv nicht.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das ist der größte Blödsinn überhaupt! Das hält nun auch keinem vom Rauchen ab.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weil es nichts bringt.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Weil ich den Wortlaut nicht kenne.

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Seybold

**email**

Gadding@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

26

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Holzapfel

**email**

nextgeneration1@live.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar.

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Kein Kommentar.

**additional comments**

Kein Kommentar.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no comment

**additional comments**

no comment

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no comment



**additional comments**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Haemmerl Rainer

**email**

rainer@haemmerl.eu

**country**

Germany

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**additional comments**  
kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Krah,Peter

**email**

peterkrah@web.de

**country**

Deutschland/Germany

**age**

47

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar/No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar/No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar/No Comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar/No Comment

**which option**

No change; Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar/No Comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar/No Comment

**which option**

No change; Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Adrian Schaefer

**email**

adrian.schaefer@me.com

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Stark

**email**

michael.stark.eu@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

60

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Only products made from and with tobacco should be included in the scope of the Directive. Other products needs different regulations.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

The health risks from different tobacco products and their uses varies very much. Also the SCENIHR report mentions that SNUS has much lower risks

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Quality requirement for the tobacco used in smokeless products might be introduced

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- A uniform labels for all member states is not justified for reasons of common market - these labales have to be printed anyway in the national languages. Therefore this should not be regulated on EU level. - Product differentiation is needed (colour is a way which was already established before the ban of the descriptors - consumers are aware that the dosis makes the risks of smoking - therefore the delisting of tar and nicotine values is not justified for reasons of false information of the consumers - generic packaging would make the production of counterfeiti products easier

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The request for leaflets in the packaging might distort the market, as only the big companies will have the access to such packaging technolgies.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I miss in the introduction that the Practical Guide and EMTOC is not mentioned , which is agood initial step. I

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional comments**

The current Guidance documents contains still some shortcomings and errors (therefore the industry and suppliers should be integrated in the process)

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

- Chapter 1: The mentioned additives might influence the selection of a specific brand, but these ingredients will not have an effect on the toxic profile of the product - Chapter 2: this is correct; many member states have different positive and negative lists for ingredients of tobacco products; but in different member states also different tobacco products are consumed (UK: Virginia cigarettes / Germany: American Blend digarettes)

**which option**

No Change

**additional comments**

A common positive list of ingredients is not required for the functioning of the common market, as the tobacco products needs anyway to be produced for each member states. (Maybe a common negative list, as we have here in Germany might be an option).

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

EU should fious more on the illicit trade (smugglking and counterfeiting) and the discussed restriction (vending machines / display ) is the opposite.

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Guenther Lange

**email**

g.lange@ctm-germany.com



**country**  
Germany

**age**  
53

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tamara Giese

**email**

tami-@foni.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

23

**gender**

female

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich sehe nicht, was warum man diese snus verbieten sollte

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Gegenwärtigen Informationen sind ausreichend, die verbraucher kennen die Risiken des Rauchens.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Unnötige Burokratie, von der es schon genug gibt

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Der Markt bietet bereits Zigaretten ohne Zusatzstoffe an, wer mag kann diese rauchen.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich finde die Kriminalisierung von Tabakhandel und Rauchern eine Ungeheuerlichkeit! Bei Zigarettenautomaten ist eine Alterskontrolle kein Problem.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Zigarettschmuggel unterbinden!!!!!!

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Dirk Benninghoff

#### **email**

dirk@barmingholten.com

#### **country**

Deutschland

#### **age**

44

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Die Eu sollte nicht in alle Lebensbereiche Ihrer Bürger eingreifen, jeder Staat muß und sollte autark entscheiden dürfen wie er in Sachen Zigarrettenkonsum und Alkohol z.B. entscheidet! Wenn die EU sich weiter mit so Lächerlichkeiten abgibt, statt die wirklichen wirtschaftlichen und politischen Probleme unseres Staatenbündnisses anzugehen verliert die Institution an Glaubwürdigkeit! Die Ignoranz den Bürgern gegenüber zeigt sich schon an diesem, nur in Englisch und ziemlich unverständlich, angebotenen Fragebogen!

#### **which option**

No change

#### **recommend option**

Kümmert Euch um Atommüll, Weltfrieden und Hunger in der EU, gebt allen Mitgliedern ein Recht und die Möglichkeit der Bildung und versteckt Euch nicht hinter "Scheinentscheidungen" und Volksbefragungen die euch sowieso nicht interessieren sondern bringt Eure Energie lieber da ein wo sie benötigt wird und sich mehren kann! Lasst Euren Bürgern etwas mehr Freiheit im Privatbereich und sogt dafür, das unsere Kinder sicherer aufwachsen und nicht ständig als Opfer freigelassener Straftäter enden! Lasst das "Raucherdiskriminierungsgesetz" endlich ruhen und kümmert Euch um Eure Finanzen!

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Informationen zur Suchtgefährdung und zu den möglichen Schäden sind vollkommen ausreichend, etwas "freie Entscheidung" sollte jedem denkenden Menschen gewährt sein!

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Wer immer noch nicht weiß, wie schädlich Rauchen ist wird diese Gewohnheit nicht ablegen, besser ist es das "Hilfsprogramm für freiwillige Entsager" auszubauen!

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Von mir aus beschriftet die Packungen von der Rückseite mit allen Zutaten, Veränderungen durch Verbrennung und möglichen Krankheitsgefährdungen, mit Arztrechnungen und Krankenkassenklagen, aber gönnt und auf der Vorderseite etwas Farbe, bevor Ihr die ganze Welt in trauriges Grau versetzt!

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Ich komme mir inzwischen eigentlich eher ENTMÜNDIGT vor, da Ihr alles und jedes durch Gesetze und Paragraphen festlegt! Wenn über die Inhaltsstoffe informiert wird sollte das jedem "MÜNDIGEN BÜRGER" reichen um selbst zu entscheiden ob er die Risiken in Kauf nimmt.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Kümmert Euch bitte um die großen Probleme, gebt den Bürgern ein kleines bisschen Freiheit in der Entscheidung und lasst und die Farbenfrohen Reklamen und Werbefilmchen, wenn Ihr DA anfängt uns einzugrenzen, wo wollt ihr aufhören, hatte Georg Orwell recht, wollt Ihr wirklich dahin? Ich hoffe alle Menschen in der EU sind intellegent und informiert genug um Euch dann in richtigen Moment auszubremsen! Wir sind weder Kinder, denen man alles vorschreiben muß, noch naive und dumme Weltfremde, die keine Entscheidungen treffen können!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Höfer

**email**

alithanar@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die größten Verwerfungen des Binnenmarktes entstehen durch die unterschiedliche Besteuerung von Tabakprodukten in den Mitgliedsstaaten der Union. Bei den genannten Produkten handelt es sich um ein unbedeutend, verschwindend geringes Segment. Eine Regulierung ist nicht erforderlich.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das Werbung über die Verpackung von Zigaretten betrieben wird erscheint mir mehr als zweifelhaft; die optische Gestaltung einer Verpackung wird wohl kaum einen Nichtraucher dazu animieren, sich Zigaretten zu kaufen, weil ihm die Farben der Schachtel so sehr gefallen; das ein Nichtraucher wegen des Designs der Zigaretenschachteln zu rauchen beginnt erscheint mir nicht nur abwegig; bei Lichte betrachtet stellt sie sogar eine Beleidigung der Intelligenz eines jeden Nichtrauchers dar. Der Union würde es gut zu Gesicht stehen, wenn sie den Bürgern ihrer Mitgliedsstaaten etwas mehr Selbstbestimmung und Selbstverantwortung und die Fähigkeit hierzu zubilligen würde und Regulierung dort betriebe, wo sie erforderlich wäre, etwa auf den Finanzmärkten. Auch die Annahme, dass die Designs der Schachteln von den vorgeschriebenen Warnhinweisen ablenken kann ich nicht bestätigen. Ich bin vielmehr der Auffassung, dass die unterschiedliche Gestaltung, wie auch unterschiedliche Geschmacksrichtungen Ausübung der unternehmerischen Freiheit der Zigarettenhersteller darstellen. Es muss in einer marktwirtschaftlichen Gesellschaft möglich sein, sich durch sein Produkt von seinen Mitbewerbern abzusetzen. Auch kann ich keine Notwendigkeit für eine "bessere Aufklärung" der verbraucher erkennen. Die Verbraucher wissen, dass das Rauchen die Gesundheit nicht fördert; sie rauchen aber dennoch, genauso wie sie wissen, dass Süßigkeiten dick machen und zu Diabetis führen, oder das Alkohol hochgradig abhängig macht, die Gesundheit zerrstört, im Gegensatz zum Rauchen dumm und gewalttätig macht und in aller Regel zum Verlust der Arbeit und der sozialen und wirtschaftlichen Existenz führt. Was soll ein mehr an Information noch bewirken?

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Jeder Mensch geht tagtäglich viele Risiken für seine Gesundheit ein, manche haben noch einen mehr oder weniger ausgeprägten sozialen Nutzen (etwa das Autofahren oder der Verzehr von Salami oder Weisbrot, den der sättigt wenigstens noch), andere Risiken weisen einen solchen Nutzen nicht auf (Genuss von Alkohol oder Bungeejumping). Will man das den Menschen alles verbieten? Wer befindet, ob der Verzehr eines bestimmten Lebensmittels noch vertretbar ist oder nicht; wer entscheidet über die Zulässigkeit von einzelnen Sportarten? Die Regulierungswut muss endlich auf das notwendige zurückgefahren werden und die Union muss das Selbstbestimmungsrecht und die Selbstverantwortung ihrer Bürger fördern, anstatt diese zu bevormunden.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Ich würde diesem Ansinnen wohl zustimmen, wenn die Kommission dieselben Anforderungen an die Hersteller von Lebensmitteln, Textilien, Parfüms, Deodorants, Hygieneartikeln, Seifen, Waschmitteln, Kinderspielzeug, Elektroartikeln, Kunststoffen, Wandfarben und so weiter, kurz an die Hersteller alljener Stoffe stellen würde, mit denen wir Verbraucher tagtäglich in Kontakt kommen und wenn die EU die bereits bekanntermaßen krebserregenden Stoffe oder auch nur die Stoffe, die im Verdacht stehen Krebs zu verursachen, in gleichem Maße bekämpfen oder verbieten würde, wie es bei Tabakerzeugnissen der Fall ist. Außerdem sollten die Kontrollen an den Außengrenzen verbessert und mehr gegen den ungeheuren Schmuggel von Zigaretten aus Asien unternommen werden, denn was in diesen enthalten ist, dass wird niemand melden.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Zunächst kann jeder Raucher auf Zigaretten zurückgreifen, die frei von Zusatzstoffen sind. Aber nicht jeder Raucher möchte das! Wieder einmal wäre es indiziert das Selbstbestimmungsrecht zu akzeptieren und sich auf die Eigenverantwortung der Menschen zu verlassen. Im Übrigen ist es eine Diskriminierung der Tabakindustrie und der Menschen die dort ihre Arbeit und somit ihra Auskommen finden, dass dererlei nur für Tabakprodukte erwogen wird. Was ist mit den hunderten von lebensmittelzusatzstoffen, die Krebs, Allergien oder Hyperaktivität auslösen können? Mit dem Östrogen, Antibiotika und Psychopharmaka im Fleisch? Mit dem Quecksilber im Fisch? Mit Stoffen in Farben und Kunststoffen, die Krebs oder Allergien, Unfruchtbarkeit oder Scädigungen des Erbguts hervorrufen. Mit den Zuckern und Fetten in Lebensmitteln, die die Menschen dick, herz- und diabetiskrank machen? Was mit Elektrosmog und Strahlenbelastung durch Mobilfunk, WLAN-Netze und so weiter! Warum trifft es nur Tabakprodukte?

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist Aufgabe der nationalen Behörden die Einhaltung der Geltenden Vorschriften einzuhalten, etwa, dass nur Produkte mit Warnhinweisen vertrieben werden. Bei Zigarettenautomaten ist eine wirksame Alterskontrolle möglich (vgl. BRD). Weitere Gründe als der Jugendschutz, die ein Verbot rechtfertigen könnten, sind meines Erachtens nach nicht ersichtlich. Tabakprodukte "unter die Ladentheke" zu verbannen ist eine Maßnahme, deren Sinn ich nicht erkennen kann. Was ich aber absehen kann ist, dass auf die Ladengeschäfte erhebliche Kosten von vielen tausend oder gar zehntausenden Euro zukommen werden, die viele Ladenbetreiber nicht werden aufbringen können. Dies bedeutet das Sterben gerade kleiner Geschäfte (verbunden mit der Zerrstörung vieler Existenzen) und die verlagerung der entsprechenden marktanteile zu den großen Marktteilnehmern, etwa Supermärkten hin. Ein Schelm, wer Böses dabei denkt!



**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Ich kann nur dringend vor den beabsichtigten Maßnahmen warnen; der Zigarettenkonsum wird nicht wesentlich sinken, dafür wird der Zigarettschmuggel noch größere Ausmaße annehmen, wohingegen in den Mitgliedsländern legale, sozialversicherungspflichtige und Steuern erwirtschaftende Arbeitsplätze vernichtet werden und den Mitgliedsstaaten Steuereinnahmen wegbrechen!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Eichhorn

**email**

wolfgangeichhorn@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional comments**

Unfreiwilliges Passivrauchen ist für mich die häufigste und stärkste Belästigung in meinem Leben. Da ich einen guten Geruchssinn habe, stört mich der Geruch von Zigarettenrauch schon bei relativ geringen Rauch-Konzentrationen. Je mehr Menschen mit mir auf engem Raum arbeiten und leben, und je mehr Menschen ich treffe, desto häufiger bin ich heutzutage dem Gestank von Zigaretten ausgesetzt. Um mich vor dem Passivrauchen zu schützen, müßte ich als Einsiedler wohnen und müßte auf berufliche Karriere, Bekanntschaften, Partys und gesellschaftliches Leben weitgehend verzichten. Ein ausnahmsloses Rauchverbot an allen Arbeitsplätzen in der EU wäre zweifellos ein großer Fortschritt, würde aber das Problem des

Passivrauchens zum großen Teil nur an andere Orte verlagern. Angenommen, die meisten Europa-Politiker wären mutig und unabhängig von den Lobbyisten der mächtigen Zigarettenindustrie, vielleicht würde dann ein großer Wunsch von mir, von Kindern in tabakrauchverseuchten Elternhäusern und von vielen anderen Passivrauch-Geplagten in Erfüllung gehen: Von heute auf morgen gäbe es keine Zigaretten mehr zu kaufen! Und das Rauchen wäre überall verboten, wo sich Nichtraucher vom Rauch belästigt fühlen! Wenn Eltern oder Erziehungsberechtigte es zuließen, dass Minderjährige regelmäßig Tabakrauch einatmen müssen, könnte das dann als schwere Körperverletzung bestraft werden - ebenso das Rauchen in der Schwangerschaft. Das Leben wäre traumhaft! Ich hätte ab sofort mehr Bewegungsfreiheit und sehr viel mehr Freude am Leben!!! Mit dem Rauch wäre nach Jahrhunderten endlich das größte gesellschaftliche Ärgernis des Rauchens beseitigt! Tabak-Konsumenten würden niemanden mehr belästigen. Auch die bisherigen Raucher könnten sich nun viel leichter von ihrer Sucht befreien, würden gesünder leben und hätten mehr Geld für nützliche Dinge zur Verfügung. Vielleicht gäbe es dann ein Problem: Da Nikotinsüchtige ähnlich stark abhängig sind wie Heroinsüchtige, würden wohl nicht alle Raucher bereitwillig die Entwöhnungsangebote annehmen. Vielleicht würden einige auf der Straße demonstrieren für ihr Recht auf Sucht oder für ihr vermeintliches Recht, andere zu schädigen und zu belästigen. Vielleicht würden einige Schmuggel-Zigaretten kaufen oder selbst Tabak anbauen. So schlimm wie bei der Alkoholprohibition in den USA (1919 bis 1933) würde es wohl nicht werden, da sich Rauchen viel schlechter verheimlichen läßt als Trinken. Und es gäbe vielleicht eine Lösung des Problems: Würden mit Einführung eines totalen Rauchverbotes gleichzeitig rauchfreie Tabakprodukte erlaubt, hätten Nikotinsüchtige wohl weniger Grund zu protestieren oder kriminell zu werden. Aber der Ersatz hätte auch Nachteile: Das Problem der Nikotinsucht wäre nicht gelöst sondern nur auf ein anderes Produkt verlagert. Tabakkonsum wäre für die Konsumenten vermutlich weniger schädlich aber noch immer ungesund. Und es wäre schwer, ein einmal freigegebenes Produkt später wieder zu verbieten, da die Leute, die mit dem neuen Produkt Geld verdienen, bald versuchen würden, ihre neuen wirtschaftlichen Interessen mit Lobbyisten in der Politik durchzusetzen. Also rauchlose Tabakprodukte gar nicht erst zulassen? Außerhalb von Schweden sind sie ohnehin fast unbekannt. Und was man nicht kennt, vermißt man auch nicht.

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

#### **which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

#### **additional comments**

Es sollte jedem Menschen klar werden, dass Rauchen jede Körperzelle des Rauchers schädigt und sein Risiko für fast alle Krankheiten erhöht, und dass Nikotinsucht ähnlich stark abhängig macht wie Heroinsucht. Aber für die, die bereits süchtig sind, ist wohl die folgende die wichtigste Information auf der Verpackung: Eine Internet-Adresse oder eine Telefonnummer, die Rauchern nachweisbar erfolgreich bei der Suchtentwöhnung hilft.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

Alle Tabak-Zusatzstoffe sollten verboten werden. Wenn sich Nikotin aus Tabak entfernen läßt, sollte nur noch nikotinfreier Tabak verkauft werden dürfen. Auch das Zigarettenpapier sollte so schadstoffarm wie möglich verbrennen und keine suchtfördernden oder konsumerleichternden Zusatzstoffe enthalten.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

Alle Tabak-Zusatzstoffe sollten verboten werden. Wenn sich Nikotin aus Tabak entfernen läßt, sollte nur noch nikotinfreier Tabak verkauft werden dürfen. Auch das Zigarettenpapier sollte so schadstoffarm wie möglich verbrennen und keine suchtfördernden oder konsumerleichternden Zusatzstoffe enthalten.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional option**

Um Kindern und Jugendlichen den Zugang zu Tabak zu erschweren, sollte es in der EU verboten sein, Tabakprodukte zu verschenken oder für weniger als 100 Euro pro Packung zu verkaufen.

**additional comments**

---

## Citizen submission

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Oliver Mendl

#### **email**

oliver.mendl@gmail.com

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

24

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **comments**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

no comment

#### **which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D. Schröter

**email**

master.500@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Prof. Ludger Schiffler

**email**

schiffl@zedat.fu-berlin.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Brigitte Müller

**email**

brigitte\_mueller@aon.at

**country**

Austria

**age**

53

**gender**

female



**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Die Schädlichkeit der Produkte auf den menschlichen Körper sollte wissenschaftlich überprüft werden, bevor ein Verbot ausgesprochen wird.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Es ist nicht erwiesen, dass die angestrebten Maßnahmen potentielle Raucher vom Rauchen abhalten werden, und schon gar nicht werden Raucher dadurch mit dem Rauchen aufhören. Durch Plain Packaging würde Fälschungen und illegalen Handel Vorschub geleistet.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Nicht die EU, sondern die Mitgliedsstaaten sind für die Rechtsdurchsetzung zuständig. Einheitliche Gebühren und Sanktionen sind daher eher unwahrscheinlich. Von einer Verbesserung des Funktionierens des Binnenmarktes kann daher nicht ausgegangen werden.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
diana wölfer

**email**  
dwoelfer@web.de

**country**  
deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paul Rosenberg

**email**

sqpr@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

44

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich möchte keine ÜBERREGULIERUNG

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Die Welt hat andere Sorgen!

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Keine weiteren Kommentare

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Xavier Elberg

**email**

choucas99@hotmail.com

**country**

Belgium

**age**

30

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dieter Knoblauch

**email**

dieter.knoblauch@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wiede

**email**

wiede.andreas@vdi.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change



**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephan Welker

**email**

step1968@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

adults should decide bythemselve

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Klaus Kirsch

**email**  
klausKirsch@feu-ki.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
64

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
no comment

**additional comments**  
no comment

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

M.Linsmaier

**email**

linsmair@yahoo.de

**country**

germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

ich denke,dass mit den gegenwärtigen länderlösung (rauchverbote etc.) schon sehr gute effekte erzielen lassen. ein mehr ist meiner meinung nach nicht mit einer freiheitliche demokratischen grundordnung vereinbar.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

no

**additional comments**

vielleicht ein blick auf die stoffwechselkrankheiten. was ist mit alkohol, fett, zucker? aber soll das alles staatlich verordnet werden? ich denke nein.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

hier besteht meiner meinung nach kein problem, dass staatlich geregelt werden müsste.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

keine auslage von tabakwaren z.b. in schaufenstern zu erlauebn finde ich grob übertrieben.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

je mehr information umso besser.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

tabak darf nicht an minderjährige verkauft werden!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reichenbach

**email**

alexandra.reichenbach70@googlemail.com

**country**

germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wilhelm Jansen

**email**

JansenTeltow@vodafone.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Es sind keine weiteren Regelungen erforderlich. Insbesondere Einheitsverpackungen sind nicht in Einklang mit einem legalen Produkt.

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
Es sind keine weiteren Regelungen erforderlich. Insbesondere die Beschränkung auf Einheitsverpackungen ist nicht in Einklang mit einem legal angebotenen Produkt.

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**  
Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes



**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Einheitliche Gesetzgebung in der EU basierend auf gegenwärtigen Regelungen in Deutschland

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Laas

**email**

gunnarlaas@gmail.com

**country**

germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Santiago Díaz González

**email**

psiweapon@gmail.com

**country**

Spain

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

This is not protectiong health.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Reduce the scope of the directive.

**additional comments**

Second-hand smokers only suffer from tobacco that is actually smoked, smokers are in their rightful choice to consume tobacco so additional legislation for non-smoked tobacco is out of the question. This is over-regulation with its sights set on benefitting corporations and saving governmental public face while discriminating around 40% of the population. You're getting us angry.

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional comments**

Plastics, radioactivity (both ionizing and non-ionizing), petrochemical smokes, and a host of other substances are carcinogenic, and you aren't banning them. Perhaps because there's no fashionable political trend.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Package illustrations of lesions are offensive, there's no question of showing an actual picture of a chemical burn lesion on common household corrosive products. Text warnings are enough, even though the effectiveness of both kinds of health warnings is overestimated. Picture warnings only damage brand image and product sales.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Include a Consumer Information Leaflet inside the packet, modeled after the Patient Information Leaflet in prescription drugs.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional comments**

I wholeheartedly agree with this one. We should know what we're smoking and have it comply with some standards.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I think that questioning the use of flavors is regulating way over your head.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roland Splitt

**email**

roland.splitt@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

R. Wolf

**email**

r.wolf@arbeitgeber.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Überflüssige Regulierung

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Fehleinschätzung

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Widerspricht dem Grundsatz von better regulation

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marc Van Herreweghe

**email**

marcvanherreweghe@hotmail.com

**country**

Germany

**age**

49

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

it doesn't make sense

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hartmut Werner

**email**

werner@scherfenbrand.de

**country**

spain

**age**

70

**gender**



male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**which improvement**  
Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Katia M<sup>a</sup> Aragón Rodríguez

**email**

irvy19@hotmail.com

**country**

España

**age**

26

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No estoy de acuerdo con la definición, que inventa problemas donde no los hay

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No hay necesidad de pohibición

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No estoy de acuerdo con la definición, que inventa problemas donde no los hay

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No estoy de acuerdo con la definición, que inventa problemas donde no los hay

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No estoy de acuerdo con la definición, que inventa problemas donde no los hay

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No estoy de acuerdo con la definición, que inventa problemas donde no los hay

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Doris Rita Bergfeld

**email**

bergfeld@kvrf.de

**country**

Spain

**age**

70

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Weber

**email**

christianw@t-online.de

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
45

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No Comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
No Comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No Comment

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
No Comment

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
No Comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No Comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sven Rüger

**email**

ischgl76@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

34

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Das Problem des Rauchens wird nicht durch beeinflusst, dass alle Verpackungen gleich und Farblos sind. Es könnte auch das Gegenteil eintreten da die Farbe weiss sehr gefragt ist - ausserdem schaffen Sie so mehr raum für anonymität.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

there is no problem

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein problem vorhanden

**which option**

No change; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

wer rauche will der wird rauchen, egal welche farbe die packung hat.

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list



**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change; Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Löwe, Andreas

**email**

werbung@lions-web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

48

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**  
Seliger

**email**  
schnurzel79@hotmail.com

**country**  
Deutschland

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Diese ständigen Bevormundungen werden immer nerviger.

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Improve consumer information

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Schmidt

**email**

balduindasnachtgespenst@ewetel.net

**country**

germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kümmert euch lieber um den Euro und ander wichtige Dinge als um so einen Firlefanzt!

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Option 1

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder sollte das Recht haben seine Produkte in Farbe und Form frei zu Vermarkten . Dei Warnhinweise reichen föllig aus.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

Für Zigarren sollten die Warnhinweise sogar entfernt werden da die schönen Kartons verschandelt werden.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jeder sollte rauchen können was er will Die Eu braucht nicht bestimmen ob Mentol oder so etwas enthalten ist oder nicht.

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Man sollte seine Ware immer noch frei vermarkten dürfen wir leben nicht in einem Polizeistaat der alles überwachen muss

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniela Hollenberg

**email**

DanielaHollenberg@t-online.de

**country**

BRD

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Csaba Juhász

**email**

csaba.juhasz@alice-dsl.net

**country**

Germany

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The way as the problem is described is not correct and misleading. There are issues mentioned which are no problem for me.

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

The definition is not exact. There are problems mentioned which are no problems for me.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

I am a snus user in Germany. Quit smoking more than a year ago with help of snus. Now I have to buy my snus in Sweden. Do you go to buy milk to the next village from the farmer, or can you buy milk in the closest shop? You see what I mean!

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

The definition is based on non-factual assumptions and opinions.

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

leave us in peace mummy state

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't think this is a complete description of the problem.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

No



**additional comments**

No

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

I don't think producer add anything to my product which makes me more depended, or make it harder to quit. I could quite just with help of some snus.

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

No

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Not correct and fals definition. Some of the "facts" listed are not a real problem

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

No

**additional comments**

I have a word which I would like to drwn your attention: De-regulation! We do not need more regulations!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heiner Brinkmann

**email**

Hbrink3263@aol.com

**country**  
Germany

**age**  
53

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

stefan bergermann

**email**

barchi68@gmx.net

**country**

germany

**age**

42

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

barbara luppino

**email**

barbaraluppino@gmail.com

**country**

italia

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

non concordo che "l'evidenza mostra che, se adeguatamente dimensionate e ben collocate, le avvertenze illustrate costituiscono una misura efficace per informare il pubblico in merito ai pericoli del fumo" e che "maggiore è la dimensione dell'avvertenza illustrata, maggiore ne è l'efficacia". Talvolta le immagini illustrate introdotte in alcuni paesi per sostituire le avvertenze sanitarie scritte, più che informare il consumatore sembrano essere state introdotte per suscitare stupore e shock. Non sembra questa la strada migliore per proteggere la salute dei consumatori, che già sono molto consapevoli dei pericoli e della dannosità delle sigarette. Sono anche totalmente contrari all'introduzione del cosiddetto "pacchetto generico", che rischia di favorire il business delle organizzazioni criminali e di danneggiare le aziende serie che tradizionalmente forniscono solo società manifatturiere di altrettanta serietà ed operanti solo sul mercato legale, che si vedrebbero gravemente danneggiate per la distruzione del valore dei marchi. Tra l'altro il "plain packaging" spingerebbe ad una concorrenza basata sul prezzo e non sulla qualità, così danneggiando il tabacco europeo che della qualità superiore ha l'unica arma possibile, ora che i sostegni diretti ai produttori, per compensarne i costi più alti, sono stati smantellati.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sono d'accordo sulla creazione di un formato comune di reporting ma non concordo con la creazione di un nuovo livello di imposizione fiscale che andrebbe a sovrapporsi a quello dello stato membro, creando confusione e andando contro i principi di uniformità dell'Unione

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

sono in disaccordo con l'ipotesi di vietare l'impiego di ingredienti nella produzione di sigarette. Questa ipotesi finirebbe per avere conseguenze per le produzioni di burley in Italia ed Europa. Questa qualità di tabacco, utilizzata principalmente per il cd "american blend", rispetto ad altre varietà di tabacco, necessita di un certo numero di ingredienti in fase di lavorazione. D'altra parte, come chiarito dall'OMS e dallo SCENIHR non esiste alcuna prova scientifica del fatto che le sigarette realizzate senza ingredienti (come quelle che utilizzano

la varietà di tabacco "virginia") siano meno dannose di quelle che necessitano di ingredienti. Questa, pertanto, appare una chiara discriminazione, che avrebbe il solo effetto di pregiudicare gli oltre 600.000 addetti alla coltivazione di tabacco in Italia e circa 400.000 in Europa.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Le enunciazioni del documento di consultazione, in realtà non definiscono il problema, ma fotografano unicamente il quadro normativo di riferimento, seppure in modo parziale. Sono elencati nel documento solo i paesi in cui esistono misure estreme per regolamentare l'accesso ai prodotti: paesi, ad esempio, in cui i distributori automatici sono vietati o dove è vietata l'esposizione dei prodotti nei punti vendita. Ritengo non si debba arrivare tanto lontano per ottenere gli obiettivi prefissati di salvaguardia dei minori. Il sistema italiano di monopolio e di controlli sull'accesso costituisce un'ottima soluzione per limitare l'accesso dei minori al tabacco,

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Harald Papenburg

**email**

harald.papenburg@webmail.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

51

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Quadflieg

**email**

peter.quadflieg@rwth-aachen.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

27

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Verena Wunsch

**email**

wuensch.verena@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

30

**gender**



female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

No

**comments**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Knierim

**email**

knierim@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schumann, Rene

**email**

grohmannschumann@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

No comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

J. Heninger

**email**

kongo91@hotmail.com

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Jahrzehntelang haben sich Raucher und Nichtraucher Angagiert. Erst Brüssel muß sich hier Aufspielen. Gibt es denn nichts wichtigeres für diese DAMEN und HERREN???

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Wie Gesagt: Die Bürokraten halten sich raus. Ein Gastwirt kann selber Entscheiden was seine Gäste und Angestellten wollen. Brüssel geht es nichts an, was ich in meinem Eigentum mache!

**additional comments**

Ja, schaft Brüssel und die EU ab!!!

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eva Hagel

**email**

eva.hagel@web.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karl-Heinz Hagel

**email**

Karlheinz.hagel@web.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes



**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claudia Hessel-Hagel

**email**

CLAUDIA.HESSEL-HAGEL@WEB.DE

**country**

Germany

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Keilholz

**email**

hondabar@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Prof. Dr. Peter Friedl

**email**

peterfriedl@bluehash.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

59

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Without effect. I stopped pipe smoking because I wanted to stop it and not because of these silly warnings. Furthermore I feel domineered. I am a free citizen !

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Meier

**email**

hans.meier@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Säuberlich

**email**

mail@jsaeuberlich.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

41

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**



**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reiner Bengel

**email**

reiner.bengel@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uwe Winter

**email**

blueone56@online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

54

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hünselar, Lydia

**email**

Sebastian.huenselar@hs-bochum.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional options**  
kein Kommentar

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**additional option**  
kein Kommentar

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Lutz Nießen

#### **email**

lutz.niessen@gmx.de

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

43

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Die Regularien sind absolut ausreichend. Ein freier Bürger in einem freien Land sollte etwas Freiheit haben selbst Entscheidungen zu treffen. Sollte die EU weiterhin irrsinnige und überregulierende Entscheidungen umsetzen, verliert sie ihre Glaubwürdigkeit!

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Die Darstellung ist zu hart formuliert und kann auf diverse weitere Produktfelder ausgedehnt werden. Auch hier halte ich die Entscheidungsfreiheit des Bürgers für wesentlich und unverzichtbar.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**

Die Steuereinnahmen durch Tabakprodukte sind bereits eine tragende Säule der Regierungen. Die Unfähigkeit derselben durch weitere Gebühren zu stärken halte ich für bedenklich.

**additional comments**

Steuern und Gebühren sind kein Mittel um den Bürger sinnlos abzukassieren. Diese Gelder müssen sinnvoll und zielgerichtet den Interessen der Bürger wieder zur Verfügung stehen und nicht verheizt werden.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients; Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Auch hier gilt: Der Bürger ist selbständig und darf selbst entscheiden!

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hagen Herrmann

**email**

plasticfox@web.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

42

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Rudnik

**email**

rudnik.crew@yahoo.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

43

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Elvira Treiber

**email**

Ingo\_Treiber@gmx.de

**country**

Germany

**gender**

female

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Winfried Becker

**email**

winniebecker@gmx.de

**country**

essen

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

no

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

no

**additional comments**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jörg Kleinsteuber

**email**

klejoe@web.de

**country**

BRD

**age**  
34

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Die EU, wie überhaupt alle Staaten, sollte so wenig wie möglich und nur so viel als gerade notwendig regulieren!

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Kein Kommentar

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Unterschiede sind vorteilhaft! Der Bürger ist mündig und will und kann selbst entscheiden!

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

die bestehenden Gesetze sind ausreichend! Der EU-Bürger ist nicht von Haus aus "böse"!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Grauf Werner

**email**

grauf.werner@freenet.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

51

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
No

**comments**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Kein Kommentar

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
No



**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Varheust Hein

**email**

hein.varheust@jcortes.com

**country**

belgië

**age**

39

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**which option**

No change

**additional options**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de

Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**which option**

No Change

**additional option**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

**additional comments**

Als sigarenroker onderschrijf ik het antwoord dat op 14 december 2010 door ECMA aan de Europese Commissie is verstrekt

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernd Gall

**email**

Bennyg1@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

47

**questions scope****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maiterth

**email**

erich.maiterth@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

no comment

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

torsten kunert-simmonds

**email**

torsten\_melanie@yahoo.de

**country**

germany

**age**

45

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information

**which improvement**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Richter, Uwe

**email**

ewu64@t-online.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

46

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

Kein Kommentar

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No



**comments**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

kein Kommentar

**additional comments**

kein Kommentar

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

müller

**email**

macom66@freenet.de

**country**

germany

**age**

46

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

that way ist not the way to deploy the prob

**which option**

No change; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Thomas Kroboth

**email**  
thomas\_kroboth@web.de

**country**  
Deutschland

**age**  
24

**gender**  
male

**questions scope  
problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Da es ja sogar Marken gibt die aus Marketinggründen Weißverpackungen einsetzen, erwarte

ich keinen Rückgang des Konsums. abschreckende Bilder sind vielleicht öffentlichkeitswirksam um ein Reines Geweissen der Verantwortlichen durch einen Aktionismuss zu erreichen, aber jeder Bürger, der ein Feuerzeug bedienen kann, weiß auch, dass Rauchen schädlich ist. Im übrigen werden illegale Suchtmittel auch in neutralen Verpackungen verkauft und erfreuen sich dennoch einer steigenden Nachfrage.

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

...

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;

Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults; Tobacco display and promotion at points of sales to be restricted

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Werner Schuster

#### **email**

windsteig5@googlemail.com

#### **country**

Germany

#### **age**

33

#### **gender**

male

#### **questions scope**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **explanations**

Der Staat will 90% Steuern kassieren, aber Schockbilder auf Tabakprodukte drucken?! Warum nicht auf Fleisch- und Wurstprodukte mit Bildern von Massentierschlachtungen und unwürdiger Tierhaltung, oder auf Naschwerk Bilder von extrem übergewichtigen Personen, oder Bilder im Arbeitsamt von Arbeitsunfällen mit der Überschrift "Arbeit kann Ihnen und den Menschen in Ihrer Umgebung Schaden zufügen!". Diese Hetzkampagnen sind eine Beleidigung gegenüber uns Rauchern, in denen uns unterstellt wird, wird wüssten nicht was wir tun! Es gibt viele wichtige Bereiche in denen was geschehen muss, aber da die Obrigkeit zu dumm ist, diese auch nur ansatzweise zu lösen, wird mit solchen Themen einfach abgelenkt und Ziet vergeudet. Verachtungsvoll Werner Schuster

#### **which option**

No change

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

No

#### **which option**

No change

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products  
problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kein Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dieter Turbanisch

**email**

DieTu@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kommentar, stehe zur Stellungnahme der NGG

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Kommentar hierzu lt Stellungnahme der NGG

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kommentar hierzu lt Stellungnahme der NGG

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kommentar hierzu lt Stellungnahme der NGG

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kommentar hierzu lt Stellungnahme der NGG

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Kommentar hierzu lt Stellungnahme der NGG

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ingrid Wagner

**email**

ebayzocken@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional comments**



Rauchlose Tabakprodukte könnten für eine Übergangszeit in der gesamten EU erlaubt werden, wenn in dieser Übergangszeit gleichzeitig das Rauchen fast überall verboten wird und der Verkauf von Tabak (zum Verbrennen) völlig verboten wird. Ich stelle mir das so vor: Phase 1: Das Rauchen ist in allen privaten und öffentlichen Verkehrsmitteln, in allen Gebäuden und an allen überdachten Orten verboten. Außerdem ist das Rauchen überall dort im Freien verboten, wo sich mindestens ein Mensch mindestens einmal davon belästigt fühlte, dass Rauch von außerhalb in einen überdachten Bereich eindrang. Wenn Eltern oder Erziehungsberechtigte es zulassen, dass Minderjährige regelmäßig Tabakrauch einatmen müssen, soll das als besonders schwere Körperverletzung bestraft werden können - ebenso das Rauchen in der Schwangerschaft. Phase 2: Das Rauchen ist auf allen öffentlichen Straßen und Plätzen verboten - auch im Freien. Auf privaten Grundstücken ist das Rauchen verboten, wenn sich Bewohner oder Nachbarn vom Rauch belästigt fühlen. Phase 3: Der Verkauf von Tabak (zum Verbrennen) ist verboten. In den Phasen 1 und 2 und in den ersten Monaten von Phase 3 werden rauchlose Tabakprodukte erlaubt, danach werden auch die rauchlosen Tabakprodukte verboten. Die rauchlosen Tabakprodukte sollen als Ausweichmöglichkeit für solche Raucher dienen, die nicht von Ihrer Nikotinsucht befreit werden können. Rauchlose Tabakprodukte sind das kleinere Übel, weil damit keine Nichtraucher unmittelbar durch Passivrauch geschädigt werden.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional option**

**additional comments**

Es sollte jedem Menschen klar werden, dass Rauchen jede Körperzelle des Rauchers schädigt und das Risiko für fast alle Krankheiten erhöht, und dass Nikotinsucht ähnlich stark abhängig macht wie Heroinsucht. Aber für die, die bereits süchtig sind, ist wohl die folgende die wichtigste Information auf der Verpackung: Eine Internet-Adresse oder eine Telefonnummer, die Rauchern nachweisbar erfolgreich bei der Suchtentwöhnung hilft.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

Alle Tabak-Zusatzstoffe sollten verboten werden. Wenn sich Nikotin aus Tabak entfernen läßt, sollte nur noch nikotinfreier Tabak verkauft werden dürfen. Auch das Zigarettenpapier sollte so schadstoffarm wie möglich verbrennen und keine suchtfördernden oder konsumerleichternden Zusatzstoffe enthalten.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

Alle Tabak-Zusatzstoffe sollten verboten werden. Wenn sich Nikotin aus Tabak entfernen läßt, sollte nur noch nikotinfreier Tabak verkauft werden dürfen. Auch das Zigarettenpapier sollte so schadstoffarm wie möglich verbrennen und keine suchtfördernden oder konsumerleichternden Zusatzstoffe enthalten.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional comments**

Um Kindern und Jugendlichen den Zugang zu Tabak zu erschweren, sollte es in der EU verboten sein, Tabakprodukte zu verschenken oder für weniger als 100 Euro pro Packung zu verkaufen.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Klemm

**email**

chatform@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional comments**

Rauchlose Tabakprodukte könnten für eine Übergangszeit in der gesamten EU erlaubt werden, wenn in dieser Übergangszeit gleichzeitig das Rauchen fast überall verboten wird und der Verkauf von Tabak (zum Verbrennen) völlig verboten wird. Ich stelle mir das so vor: Phase 1: Das Rauchen ist in allen privaten und öffentlichen Verkehrsmitteln, in allen Gebäuden und an allen überdachten Orten verboten. Außerdem ist das Rauchen überall dort im Freien verboten, wo sich mindestens ein Mensch mindestens einmal davon belästigt fühlte, dass Rauch von außerhalb in einen überdachten Bereich eindrang. Wenn Eltern oder Erziehungsberechtigte es zulassen, dass Minderjährige regelmäßig Tabakrauch einatmen müssen, soll das als besonders schwere Körperverletzung bestraft werden können - ebenso das Rauchen in der Schwangerschaft. Phase 2: Das Rauchen ist auf allen öffentlichen Straßen und Plätzen verboten - auch im Freien. Auf privaten Grundstücken ist das Rauchen verboten, wenn sich Bewohner oder Nachbarn vom Rauch belästigt fühlen. Phase 3: Der Verkauf von Tabak (zum Verbrennen) ist verboten. In den Phasen 1 und 2 und in den ersten Monaten von Phase 3 werden rauchlose Tabakprodukte erlaubt, danach werden auch die rauchlosen Tabakprodukte verboten. Die rauchlosen Tabakprodukte sollen als Ausweichmöglichkeit für solche Raucher dienen, die nicht von Ihrer Nikotinsucht befreit werden können. Rauchlose Tabakprodukte sind das kleinere Übel, weil damit keine Nichtraucher unmittelbar durch Passivrauch geschädigt werden.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional comments**

Wichtigste Information auf der Verpackung: Eine Internet-Adresse und eine Telefonnummer, die Rauchern nachweisbar erfolgreich bei der Suchtentwöhnung helfen.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

Alle Tabak-Zusatzstoffe sollten verboten werden. Wenn sich Nikotin aus Tabak entfernen läßt, sollte nur noch nikotinfreier Tabak verkauft werden dürfen. Auch das Zigarettenpapier sollte so schadstoffarm wie möglich verbrennen und keine suchtfördernden oder konsumerleichternden Zusatzstoffe enthalten.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

Alle Tabak-Zusatzstoffe sollten verboten werden. Wenn sich Nikotin aus Tabak entfernen läßt, sollte nur noch nikotinfreier Tabak verkauft werden dürfen. Auch das Zigarettenpapier sollte so schadstoffarm wie möglich verbrennen und keine suchtfördernden oder konsumerleichternden Zusatzstoffe enthalten.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional comments**

Um Kindern und Jugendlichen den Zugang zu Tabak zu erschweren, sollte es in der EU verboten sein, Tabakprodukte zu verschenken oder für weniger als 100 Euro pro Packung zu verkaufen.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Sarah Cischek

#### **email**

suche-liebe@web.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

### **additional comments**

Rauchlose Tabakprodukte könnten für eine Übergangszeit in der gesamten EU erlaubt werden, wenn in dieser Übergangszeit gleichzeitig das Rauchen fast überall verboten wird und der Verkauf von Tabak (zum Verbrennen) völlig verboten wird. Ich stelle mir das so vor: Phase 1: Das Rauchen ist in allen privaten und öffentlichen Verkehrsmitteln, in allen Gebäuden und an allen überdachten Orten verboten. Außerdem ist das Rauchen überall dort im Freien verboten, wo sich mindestens ein Mensch mindestens einmal davon belästigt fühlte, dass Rauch von außerhalb in einen überdachten Bereich eindrang. Wenn Eltern oder Erziehungsberechtigte es zulassen, dass Minderjährige regelmäßig Tabakrauch einatmen müssen, soll das als besonders schwere Körperverletzung bestraft werden - ebenso das Rauchen in der Schwangerschaft. Phase 2: Das Rauchen ist auf allen öffentlichen Straßen und Plätzen verboten - auch im Freien. Auf privaten Grundstücken ist das Rauchen verboten, wenn sich Bewohner oder Nachbarn vom Rauch belästigt fühlen. Phase 3: Der Verkauf von Tabak (zum Verbrennen) ist verboten. In den Phasen 1 und 2 und in den ersten Monaten von Phase 3 werden rauchlose Tabakprodukte erlaubt, danach werden auch die rauchlosen Tabakprodukte verboten. Die rauchlosen Tabakprodukte sollen als Ausweichmöglichkeit für solche Raucher dienen, die nicht von Ihrer Nikotinsucht befreit werden können. Rauchlose Tabakprodukte sind das kleinere Übel, weil damit keine Nichtraucher unmittelbar durch Passivrauch geschädigt werden.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional comments**

Wichtigste Information auf der Verpackung: Eine Internet-Adresse und eine Telefonnummer, die Rauchern nachweisbar erfolgreich bei der Suchtentwöhnung helfen.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

Alle Tabak-Zusatzstoffe sollten verboten werden. Wenn sich Nikotin aus Tabak entfernen läßt, sollte nur noch nikotinfreier Tabak verkauft werden dürfen. Auch das Zigarettenpapier sollte so schadstoffarm wie möglich verbrennen und keine suchtfördernden oder konsumerleichternden Zusatzstoffe enthalten.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

Alle Tabak-Zusatzstoffe sollten verboten werden. Wenn sich Nikotin aus Tabak entfernen läßt, sollte nur noch nikotinfreier Tabak verkauft werden dürfen. Auch das Zigarettenpapier sollte so schadstoffarm wie möglich verbrennen und keine suchtfördernden oder konsumerleichternden Zusatzstoffe enthalten.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional comments**

Um Kindern und Jugendlichen den Zugang zu Tabak zu erschweren, sollte es in der EU verboten sein, Tabakprodukte zu verschenken oder für weniger als 100 Euro pro Packung zu verkaufen.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tiago Carvalho

**email**

tiagomc87@gmail.com

**country**

Portugal

**age**

23

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**recommend option**

The legislation can cover all tabaco products without excluding any products. Should not be possible to ban any tipe of product. Industries must be able to get their products on the market an consumer must be ale to get the products they wan.

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Bigger warnings are not proved to be effective and bigger not as well. If brands cannot make their brands visible would be more hard to consumers understand if the pack their bying is original or criminal.

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Contries are already free to create sanctions so they are the ones that should introduce or take off any kind of sanction.

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ingredients should be tested to check if make the smoking more toxic to health.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniela Tenelsen

**email**

danielatenelsen@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional comments**

Rauchlose Tabakprodukte könnten für eine Übergangszeit in der gesamten EU erlaubt werden, wenn in dieser Übergangszeit gleichzeitig das Rauchen fast überall verboten wird und der Verkauf von Tabak (zum Verbrennen) völlig verboten wird. Ich stelle mir das so vor: Phase 1: Das Rauchen ist in allen privaten und öffentlichen Verkehrsmitteln, in allen Gebäuden und an allen überdachten Orten verboten. Außerdem ist das Rauchen überall dort im Freien verboten, wo sich mindestens ein Mensch mindestens einmal davon belästigt fühlte, dass Rauch von außerhalb in einen überdachten Bereich eindrang. Phase 2: Das Rauchen ist auf allen öffentlichen Straßen und Plätzen verboten - auch im Freien. Auf privaten Grundstücken ist das Rauchen verboten, wenn sich Bewohner oder Nachbarn vom Rauch belästigt fühlen. Phase 3: Der Verkauf von Tabak (zum Verbrennen) ist verboten. In den Phasen 1 und 2 und in den ersten Monaten von Phase 3 werden rauchlose Tabakprodukte erlaubt, danach werden auch die rauchlosen Tabakprodukte verboten. Die rauchlosen Tabakprodukte sollen als Ausweichmöglichkeit für solche Raucher dienen, die nicht von Ihrer Nikotinsucht befreit werden können. Rauchlose Tabakprodukte sind das kleinere Übel, weil damit keine Nichtraucher unmittelbar durch Passivrauch geschädigt werden.

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional comments**

Wichtigste Information auf der Verpackung: Eine Internet-Adresse und eine Telefon-Nummer, die Rauchern nachweisbar erfolgreich bei der Suchtentwöhnung helfen.

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

Alle Tabak-Zusatzstoffe sollten verboten werden. Wenn sich Nikotin aus Tabak entfernen läßt, sollte nur noch nikotinfreier Tabak verkauft werden dürfen. Auch das Zigarettenpapier sollte so schadstoffarm wie möglich verbrennen und keine suchtfördernden oder konsumerleichternden Zusatzstoffe enthalten.

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

Alle Tabak-Zusatzstoffe sollten verboten werden. Wenn sich Nikotin aus Tabak entfernen läßt, sollte nur noch nikotinfreier Tabak verkauft werden dürfen. Auch das Zigarettenpapier sollte so schadstoffarm wie möglich verbrennen und keine suchtfördernden oder konsumerleichternden Zusatzstoffe enthalten.

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional option**

Um Kindern und Jugendlichen den Zugang zu Tabak zu erschweren, sollte es in der EU verboten sein, Tabakprodukte zu verschenken oder für weniger als 100 Euro pro Packung zu verkaufen.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Elke Sommerfeld

**email**

lvzinsrate@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional comments**

Rauchlose Tabakprodukte könnten für eine Übergangszeit in der gesamten EU erlaubt werden, wenn in dieser Übergangszeit gleichzeitig das Rauchen fast überall verboten wird und der Verkauf von Tabak (zum Verbrennen) völlig verboten wird. Ich stelle mir das so vor: Phase 1: Das Rauchen ist in allen privaten und öffentlichen Verkehrsmitteln, in allen Gebäuden und an allen überdachten Orten verboten. Außerdem ist das Rauchen überall dort im Freien verboten, wo sich mindestens ein Mensch mindestens einmal davon belästigt fühlte, dass Rauch von außerhalb in einen überdachten Bereich eindrang. Phase 2: Das Rauchen ist auf allen öffentlichen Straßen und Plätzen verboten - auch im Freien. Auf privaten Grundstücken ist das Rauchen verboten, wenn sich Bewohner oder Nachbarn vom Rauch belästigt fühlen. Phase 3: Der Verkauf von Tabak (zum Verbrennen) ist verboten. In den Phasen 1 und 2 und in den ersten Monaten von Phase 3 werden rauchlose Tabakprodukte erlaubt, danach werden auch die rauchlosen Tabakprodukte verboten. Die rauchlosen Tabakprodukte sollen als Ausweichmöglichkeit für solche Raucher dienen, die nicht von Ihrer

Nikotinsucht befreit werden können. Rauchlose Tabakprodukte sind das kleinere Übel, weil damit keine Nichtraucher unmittelbar durch Passivrauch geschädigt werden.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional comments**

Alle Tabak-Zusatzstoffe sollten verboten werden. Wenn sich Nikotin aus Tabak entfernen läßt, sollte nur noch nikotinfreier Tabak verkauft werden dürfen. Auch das Zigarettenpapier sollte so schadstoffarm wie möglich verbrennen und keine suchtfördernden oder konsumerleichternden Zusatzstoffe enthalten.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

Alle Tabak-Zusatzstoffe sollten verboten werden. Wenn sich Nikotin aus Tabak entfernen läßt, sollte nur noch nikotinfreier Tabak verkauft werden dürfen. Auch das Zigarettenpapier sollte so schadstoffarm wie möglich verbrennen und keine suchtfördernden oder konsumerleichternden Zusatzstoffe enthalten.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

**additional option**

Um Kindern und Jugendlichen den Zugang zu Tabak zu erschweren, sollte es in der EU verboten sein, Tabakprodukte zu verschenken oder für weniger als 100 Euro pro Packung zu verkaufen.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anne Spindler

**email**

leipzigerkunst@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional comments**

Rauchlose Tabakprodukte könnten für eine Übergangszeit in der gesamten EU erlaubt werden, wenn in dieser Übergangszeit gleichzeitig das Rauchen fast überall verboten wird und der Verkauf von Tabak (zum Verbrennen) völlig verboten wird. Ich stelle mir das so vor: Phase 1: Das Rauchen ist in allen privaten und öffentlichen Verkehrsmitteln, in allen Gebäuden und an allen überdachten Orten verboten. Außerdem ist das Rauchen überall dort im Freien verboten, wo sich mindestens ein Mensch mindestens einmal davon belästigt fühlte, dass Rauch von außerhalb in einen überdachten Bereich eindrang. Phase 2: Das Rauchen ist

auf allen öffentlichen Straßen und Plätzen verboten - auch im Freien. Auf privaten Grundstücken ist das Rauchen verboten, wenn sich Bewohner oder Nachbarn vom Rauch belästigt fühlen. Phase 3: Der Verkauf von Tabak (zum Verbrennen) ist verboten. In den Phasen 1 und 2 und in den ersten Monaten von Phase 3 werden rauchlose Tabakprodukte erlaubt, danach werden auch die rauchlosen Tabakprodukte verboten. Die rauchlosen Tabakprodukte sollen als Ausweichmöglichkeit für solche Raucher dienen, die nicht von Ihrer Nikotinsucht befreit werden können. Rauchlose Tabakprodukte sind das kleinere Übel, weil damit keine Nichtraucher unmittelbar durch Passivrauch geschädigt werden.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**additional options**

Alle Tabak-Zusatzstoffe sollten verboten werden. Wenn sich Nikotin aus Tabak entfernen läßt, sollte nur noch nikotinfreier Tabak verkauft werden dürfen. Auch das Zigarettenpapier sollte so schadstoffarm wie möglich verbrennen und keine suchtfördernden oder konsumerleichternden Zusatzstoffe enthalten.

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a negative common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

Alle Tabak-Zusatzstoffe sollten verboten werden. Wenn sich Nikotin aus Tabak entfernen läßt, sollte nur noch nikotinfreier Tabak verkauft werden dürfen. Auch das Zigarettenpapier sollte so schadstoffarm wie möglich verbrennen und keine suchtfördernden oder konsumerleichternden Zusatzstoffe enthalten.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kloß, Nico

**email**

nic978@t-online.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

32

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

A little more self-determination would not harm EU citizens!

**additional comments**

When deciding on the minds of the majority, which will be avenged one day. And believe me, the smokers are just the beginning!

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Whether or not smoked is up to the rules of the host!

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**additional option**

Whether or not smoked is up to the rules of the host!

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Flemming Kattrup



**email**

kattrup1@yahoo.dk

**country**

DK

**age**

72

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heinrich Beyrich

**email**

renatebeyrich@web.de

**country**

Deutschland (Bayern)

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional comments**

Rauchlose Tabakprodukte könnten für eine Übergangszeit in der gesamten EU erlaubt werden, wenn in dieser Übergangszeit gleichzeitig das Rauchen fast überall verboten wird und der Verkauf von Tabak (zum Verbrennen) völlig verboten wird. Ich stelle mir das so vor: Phase 1: Das Rauchen ist in allen privaten und öffentlichen Verkehrsmitteln, in allen Gebäuden und an allen überdachten Orten verboten. Außerdem ist das Rauchen überall dort im Freien verboten, wo sich mindestens ein Mensch mindestens einmal davon belästigt fühlte, dass Rauch von außerhalb in einen überdachten Bereich eindrang. Phase 2: Das Rauchen ist auf allen öffentlichen Straßen und Plätzen verboten - auch im Freien. Auf privaten Grundstücken ist das Rauchen verboten, wenn sich Bewohner oder Nachbarn vom Rauch belästigt fühlen. Phase 3: Der Verkauf von Tabak (zum Verbrennen) ist verboten. In den Phasen 1 und 2 und in den ersten Monaten von Phase 3 werden rauchlose Tabakprodukte erlaubt, danach werden auch die rauchlosen Tabakprodukte verboten. Die rauchlosen Tabakprodukte sollen als Ausweichmöglichkeit für solche Raucher dienen, die nicht von Ihrer

Nikotinsucht befreit werden können. Rauchlose Tabakprodukte sind das kleinere Übel, weil damit keine Nichtraucher unmittelbar durch Passivrauch geschädigt werden.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional comments**

Es sollte in der EU verboten sein, Tabakprodukte zu verschenken oder für weniger als 100 Euro pro Packung zu verkaufen.

**questions on reporting  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional comments**

Eine Positivliste sollte aber nicht so interpretiert werden können, dass Rauchen etwas Positives ist.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Brigitte Weibrecht

#### **email**

naguggemal@googlemail.com

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

### **additional comments**

Rauchlose Tabakprodukte könnten für eine Übergangszeit in der gesamten EU erlaubt werden, wenn in dieser Übergangszeit gleichzeitig das Rauchen fast überall verboten wird und der Verkauf von Tabak (zum Verbrennen) völlig verboten wird. Ich stelle mir das so vor: Phase 1: Das Rauchen ist in allen privaten und öffentlichen Verkehrsmitteln, in allen Gebäuden und an allen überdachten Orten verboten. Außerdem ist das Rauchen überall dort im Freien verboten, wo sich mindestens ein Mensch mindestens einmal davon belästigt fühlte, dass Rauch von außerhalb in einen überdachten Bereich eindrang. Phase 2: Das Rauchen ist auf allen öffentlichen Straßen und Plätzen verboten - auch im Freien. Auf privaten Grundstücken ist das Rauchen verboten, wenn sich Bewohner oder Nachbarn vom Rauch belästigt fühlen. Phase 3: Der Verkauf von Tabak (zum Verbrennen) ist verboten. In den Phasen 1 und 2 und in den ersten Monaten von Phase 3 werden rauchlose Tabakprodukte erlaubt, danach werden auch die rauchlosen Tabakprodukte verboten. Die rauchlosen Tabakprodukte sollen als Ausweichmöglichkeit für solche Raucher dienen, die nicht von Ihrer Nikotinsucht befreit werden können. Rauchlose Tabakprodukte sind das kleinere Übel, weil damit keine Nichtraucher unmittelbar durch Passivrauch geschädigt werden.

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional comments**

Es sollte in der EU verboten sein, Tabakprodukte zu verschenken oder für weniger als 100 Euro pro Packung zu verkaufen.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional comments**

Eine Positivliste sollte aber nicht so interpretiert werden können, dass Rauchen etwas Positives ist.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Elsbeth Noth

#### **email**

n.love@web.de

#### **country**

Germany

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

#### **which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

### **additional comments**

Rauchlose Tabakprodukte könnten für eine Übergangszeit in der gesamten EU erlaubt werden, wenn in dieser Übergangszeit gleichzeitig das Rauchen fast überall verboten wird und der Verkauf von Tabak (zum Verbrennen) völlig verboten wird. Ich stelle mir das so vor: Phase 1: Das Rauchen ist in allen geschlossenen Räumen und an allen überdachten Orten verboten. Außerdem ist das Rauchen überall dort im Freien verboten, wo sich mindestens ein Mensch mindestens einmal davon belästigt fühlte, dass Rauch von außerhalb in einen überdachten Bereich eindrang. Phase 2: Das Rauchen ist auf allen öffentlichen Straßen und Plätzen verboten - auch im Freien. Auf privaten Grundstücken ist das Rauchen verboten, wenn sich Bewohner oder Nachbarn vom Rauch belästigt fühlen. Phase 3: Der Verkauf von Tabak (zum Verbrennen) ist verboten. In den Phasen 1 und 2 und in den ersten Monaten von Phase 3 werden rauchlose Tabakprodukte erlaubt, danach werden auch die rauchlosen Tabakprodukte verboten. Die rauchlosen Tabakprodukte sollen als Ausweichmöglichkeit für solche Raucher dienen, die nicht von Ihrer Nikotinsucht befreit werden können. Rauchlose Tabakprodukte sind das kleinere Übel, weil damit keine Nichtraucher unmittelbar durch Passivrauch geschädigt werden.

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**additional comments**

Es sollte in der EU verboten sein, Tabakprodukte zu verschenken oder für weniger als 100 Euro pro Packung zu verkaufen.

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional comments**

Eine Positivliste sollte aber nicht so interpretiert werden können, dass Rauchen etwas Positives ist.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

René Castro Borrego

**email**

renegade-rc@hotmail.de

**country**

Germany

**age**

24

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**



Yes

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nguyen, Canh

**email**

canh.nguyen@web.de

**country**

germany

**age**

29

**gender**

male

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

we got enough directives

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

many people will lose their jobs

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schuster, René

**email**

schuster.rene@freenet.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
37

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
I don't understand.

**which option**  
Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**additional options**  
sorry, i'm wirred. speak german please.

**additional comments**  
???

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
???

**which option**

Introducing the basic criteria on the EU level without a common list

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

??

**which option**

No change; Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Irmhild Matthäus

**email**

sagsmit@web.de

**country**

Germany

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional comments**

Außerdem ist das Rauchen überall dort im Freien verboten, wo sich mindestens ein Mensch

mindestens einmal davon belästigt fühlte, dass Rauch von außerhalb in einen überdachten Bereich eindrang. Phase 2: Das Rauchen ist auf allen öffentlichen Straßen und Plätzen verboten - auch im Freien. Auf privaten Grundstücken ist das Rauchen verboten, wenn sich Bewohner oder Nachbarn vom Rauch belästigt fühlen. Phase 3: Der Verkauf von Tabak (zum Verbrennen) ist verboten. In Phase 1 bis 2 und in den ersten Monaten von Phase 3 werden rauchlose Tabakprodukte erlaubt, danach werden auch die rauchlosen Tabakprodukte verboten. Die rauchlosen Tabakprodukte sollen als Ausweichmöglichkeit für solche Raucher dienen, die nicht von Ihrer Nikotinsucht befreit werden können. Rauchlose Tabakprodukte sind das kleinere Übel, weil damit keine Nichtraucher unmittelbar durch Passivrauch geschädigt werden.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional comments**

Eine Positivliste sollte aber nicht so interpretiert werden können, dass Rauchen etwas Positives ist.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anneke Müller

**email**

Anneke.Mue@gmx.de

**country**

Deutschland

**age**

19

**gender**

female

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No

**comments**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

No

**explanations**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARRONCELLI ROBERTO

**email**

veronica.martinicchio@tin.it

**country**

Italy

**age**

38

**gender**

male

**questions scope****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No

**comments**

No scientific evidence concerning the risks related to smokeless tobacco products consumption.

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

No scientific evidence proving that bigger warnings determine a better knowledge of the suggested messages; no scientific evidence on the relation between the use of a particular graphic and the impact on consumers.

**which option**

No change

**additional comments**

The knowledge of how harmful tobacco would be is already of a high level, also on the basis of the existing warnings; no value added by the increase of information; negative impact on the fulfilments of sector's operators and of tobacco retailers; full aversion to plain packaging because of the confusion on manufacturers identification brands, with a consequent proliferation of illegal trade of counterfeited as well as smuggled products.

**questions on reporting**



**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format

**regulation of ingredients****problem definition**

No

**explanations**

It is not possible to prove in advance which is the possible impact of an ingredient in terms of toxicity; targeting lists in advance, positively or negatively, would be in contrast with this statement; risk of product standardisation with a consequent reduction of the principle of free market and the risk of increase of counterfeited products.

**which option**

No Change

**access to tobacco products****problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Controlled supply and access

**which supply and access**

Age verification of buyers and other legal conditions to be set for cross-border retail sale;  
Access to vending machines to be restricted to adults

**additional option**

Sale to be carried out only within a network of specialized shops, provided with an appropriate license, which main activity is tobacco sale.

**additional comments**

There is no evidence of the correlation between display ban and consumption bent. In other experiences – see Canada – important loss have been recorded for the tobacco retailers: structural reorganization of the shop, higher management costs and important reduction of the profitability with the consequent risk of giving up the business. Also increasing of illegal products sale (counterfeited and smuggled).

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Helmut Kollecker

**email**

helmutkollecker@web.de

**country**

Deutschland

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

Rauchlose Tabakprodukte könnten für eine Übergangszeit in der gesamten EU erlaubt werden, wenn in dieser Übergangszeit gleichzeitig das Rauchen fast überall verboten wird und der Verkauf von Tabak (zum Verbrennen) völlig verboten wird. Ich stelle mir das so vor: Phase 1: Das Rauchen ist in allen geschlossenen Räumen und an allen überdachten Orten verboten. Außerdem ist das Rauchen überall dort im Freien verboten, wo sich mindestens ein Mensch mindestens einmal davon belästigt fühlte, dass Rauch von außerhalb in einen überdachten Bereich eindrang. Phase 2: Das Rauchen ist auf allen öffentlichen Straßen und Plätzen verboten - auch im Freien. Auf privaten Grundstücken ist das Rauchen verboten, wenn sich Bewohner oder Nachbarn vom Rauch belästigt fühlen. Phase 3: Der Verkauf von Tabak (zum Verbrennen) ist verboten. In Phase 1 bis 2 und in den ersten Monaten von Phase 3 werden rauchlose Tabakprodukte erlaubt, danach werden auch die rauchlosen Tabakprodukte verboten. Die rauchlosen Tabakprodukte sollen als Ausweichmöglichkeit für solche Raucher dienen, die nicht von Ihrer Nikotinsucht befreit werden können. Wenigstens werden durch rauchlose Tabakprodukte keine Nichtraucher unmittelbar durch Passivrauch geschädigt.

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed

inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**questions on reporting**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common compulsory reporting format; Introduce fees and sanctions

**regulation of ingredients**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Establish a common list of tobacco ingredients

**which one**

Establish a positive common list of tobacco ingredients

**additional option**

Eine Positivliste sollte aber nicht so interpretiert werden können, dass Rauchen etwas Positives ist.

**access to tobacco products**

**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

Ban

**which one2**

Cross-border retail sales of tobacco to be banned over the Internet; Vending machines to be banned; Promotion and displays in retail stores to be banned

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ramiz Kelmendi

**email**

ograjat@hotmail.de

**country**

Germany

**age**  
49

**gender**  
male

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Das ist völlig unmöglich sowas. Das kann ich garnicht nachvollziehen.

**which option**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No

**which option**  
No change

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No

**explanations**  
Was soll das bringen?

**which option**  
No change

**questions on reporting**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No change

**regulation of ingredients**  
**problem definition**  
Yes

**which option**  
No Change

**access to tobacco products**  
**problem definition**

Yes

**which option**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

jose paulo carvalho

**email**

portugal

**country**

36

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Não há evidencia de que a embalagem genérica diminua o consumo,

**explanations**

No change

**which option**

O problema vai aumentar com esta proposta pois a falsificação será mais facil o produto será pior e a saude dos fumadores

**recommend option**

As máquinas de tabaco é que deviam gerir o tabaco pois temos a certeza do controle de que os mnores não fumam e o produto lá colocado é mais facil de ser fiscalizado e a fuga aos impostos é menor.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Geert Claerhout

**email**

Belgium

**country**

48

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Theodosis Chiotis

**email**

Greece

**country**

40

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

shirley fannin

**email**

Northern Ireland

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Piotr Pierscionek

**email**

Poland

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

I think the present law is sufficient for a control of tobacco products

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jacob Preisler

**email**

Danish

**country**

43

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**which option**

Lift ban on oral tobacco and snus. There is no reason to ban Snus as it can be an alternative tobacco product for smokers. I would establish a framework increasing incentives for manufacturers to develop less harmful products and allowing consumers to be informed to opt for such products.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pierre Deraedt

**email**

Belgium

**country**

31

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Francesco Matranga

**email**

Italy

**country**

40

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No Change

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

YOUNES

**email**

BELGIUM

**country**

26

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

No, I disagree with the problem definition. The problem definition provided by the Consultation document is vague, confused and inaccurate. There is no analysis within the Consultation document of Union competence to adopt the proposed options and no discussion of the internal market issues that would be required in order for the Union to proceed on the proposed option. Furthermore, the problem definition does not contain any evidence to demonstrate the justification for the various options provided in this section

**explanations**

No change

**which option**

NO

**recommend option**

NO

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Francisco Manuel Patrão Moço

**email**

Portugal

**country**

56

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**which option**

Extend the scope of the Directive but don't ban anything if not based on evidence

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Tom Janssens

#### **email**

Belgium

#### **gender**

Yes

### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

KATRIEN DE BUSSCHERE

#### **email**

BELGIUM

#### **country**

38

#### **age**

male

#### **gender**

No

### **questions scope**

#### **problem definition**

I disagree with the problem definition. The problem definition provided by the Consultation document is vague, confused and inaccurate. There is no analysis within the Consultation document of Union competence to adopt the proposed options and no discussion of the internal market issues that would be required in order for the Union to proceed on the proposed option. Furthermore, the problem definition does not contain any evidence to demonstrate the justification for the various options provided in this section

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Raquel Puente Iñigo

**email**

Spain

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Raquel Puente Iñigo

**email**

Spain

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paweł Badoń

**email**

Polska

**country**

20

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**which option**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Urszula Siudak

**email**

Polska

**country**

20

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**which option**

No comment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nathan Sahuleka

**email**

Netherlands

**country**

28

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alex Kamaras

**email**

Greece

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No comments

**explanations**

No change

**which option**

No

**recommend option**

No

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Katrin Nasner

**email**

Germany

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'ALESSANDRO VALTER

**email**

ITALY

**country**

58

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai

prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

FELICI SIMONA

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

43

##### **age**

female

##### **gender**

Yes

#### **explanations**

No change

#### **recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

MONTINI ARMANDO

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**



64

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
HESS

**email**  
france

**country**  
42

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
BUSNOUF

**email**  
france

**country**  
38

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Nöll Günter

**email**  
Austria

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Joe Püringer

**email**  
Austria

**country**

56

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

The scope of the directive is too narrow. The directive must provide for a general ban of smoking in public environments, including in particular restaurants, bars, and similar places.

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**which option**

The scope of the directive is too narrow. The directive must provide for a general ban of smoking in public environments, including in particular restaurants, bars, and similar places.

**recommend option**

The scope of the directive is too narrow. The directive must provide for a general ban of smoking in public environments, including in particular restaurants, bars, and similar places.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schigutt Brigitte

**email**

Austria

**country**

54

**age**

female

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Scope should cover compulsory smoke free public areas (all restaurants, bars etc.) including legislation which allows punishment

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**which option**

see above

**recommend option**

the scope covers only minor issues

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marek Przybylo

**email**

Poland

**country**

28

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Mc Donald's was also proved to be unhealthy, but somehow EU doesn't put any bans on it! Ok, I agree: cigarettes are lethal, but stop treating adult smokers like children, that doesn't have free will to not smoke or quit. Why everything has to be "regulated" and "stick" approach is being used instead of "carrot" one?

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Kleinblatt

**email**

Belgium

**country**

33

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

No, I disagree with the problem definition. The problem definition provided by the Consultation document is vague, confused and inaccurate. There is no analysis within the Consultation document of Union competence to adopt the proposed options and no discussion of the internal market issues that would be required in order for the Union to proceed on the proposed option. Furthermore, the problem definition does not contain any evidence to demonstrate the justification for the various options provided in this section

**explanations**

No change

**which option**

no

**recommend option**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Baeyens Sabine

**email**

Belgium

**country**

42

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Alex Regh

**email**  
Germany

**country**  
43

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

### **which option**

Es besteht meiner Meinung nach kein Zweifel, daß nikotinhaltige Produkte in irgendeiner Form reguliert werden müssen. Jedoch wäre es meines Erachtens nach sinnvoll, für jene Produkte, die nicht auf dem Verbrennen von Tabak oder anderem Pflanzenmaterial beruhen, eine eigene Richtlinie zu schaffen, und die jetzige Richtlinie nur auf solche zur Verbrennung gedachten Produkte zu beziehen. Denn es ist offensichtlich, daß die allergrößte Gefahr beim Tabakkonsum von den Verbrennungsprodukten wie Feinstaub, Teer und Kohlenmonoxyd ausgeht. Bei jenen Produkten, die ohne Verbrennung auskommen, wird im Allgemeinen ein Risiko von maximal 1-2% des Risikos von Verbrennungsprodukten geschätzt. Dies wird durch die Zusammenfassung beider völlig unterschiedlicher Produktgruppen gerne übersehen. Die EU sollte dies jedoch betonen, und die Nutzung von Produkten mit wesentlich geringerem Risiko daher unterstützen. Auch durch getrennte Richtlinien für tödliche Produkte und solche, die eventuell einmal Gesundheitsschäden verursachen können, jedoch nicht mehr, als es viele völlig legitime Genuß- und Lebensmittel auf dem Markt tun.

### **recommend option**

Ich selbst bin vor einem Jahr auf elektronische Rauchgeräte umgestiegen. Ursprünglich hoffte ich lediglich, meinen Zigarettenkonsum von etwa 40 Zigaretten täglich auf vielleicht 10-15 reduzieren zu können. Binnen 2 Tagen war ich rauchfrei. Nach 29 Jahren Rauchen. Und das problemlos. Versuche, mit Nikotinkaugummies, Entzug nach Narkose, sowie diversen rauchfreien Tabakerzeugnissen, auch nur meinen Konsum zu reduzieren, scheiterten jedesmal. Meine Gesundheit hat sich seit dem Umstieg, wenig überraschend, sehr verbessert. Kein Husten mehr, keine Atemnot bei der kleinsten Anstrengung, keine Migräne, mein Blutdruck fiel um gewaltige 40 Punkte. Nebenbei stinke ich nicht mehr wie die Beulenpest, und meine Wohnung wird auch nicht mehr zugeteert. Neben dem Dampfen konsumiere ich noch – wenig – Snus, denn Tabak enthält eben noch mehr psychoaktive Stoffe als Nikotin. Würden mir diese Alternativen genommen, bliebe mir nur, zur stinkenden und gefährlichen Zigarette zurückzukehren, denn ohne geht gar nicht. Und wie mir etwa Antidepressiva (die auch nicht grade preiswert sind, nur so nebenbei) helfen würden, ist absehbar – gar nicht. Grundsätzlich wäre es völlig inakzeptabel, und ein tausendfacher Mord via Gesetz, wenn wesentlich weniger gefährliche Alternativen zu Verbrennungsprodukten verboten würden oder so reguliert, daß es einem Verbot gleichkommt. Denn die Leute würden nicht aufhören, ihr Nikotin und andere Wirkstoffe zu konsumieren – sie würden es nur auf eine bekannt tödliche Weise tun. Nichtsdestotrotz besteht ein gewisser Regulierungsbedarf. Es ist schon schwierig, überhaupt Liquids für Dampfer zu bekommen, die etwa dem Lebensmittelrecht entsprechen, was in so manchem chinesisches Billig-Liquid ist, möchte man vermutlich so genau gar nicht wissen. Liquid, welches einer vernünftigen (!) Richtlinie entsprechen würde, würde weithin begrüßt werden. Ähnliches gilt für die Technik der Geräte. Zwar sind mir keine größeren Unfälle o.ä. bekannt, jedoch wäre auch da – wie bei vielen Waren grade aus China – eine gewisse Sicherheit äußerst begrüßenswert.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Henrique Augusto Ribeiro Cunha Pimenta Neto

##### **email**

Portugal

##### **country**

34

##### **age**

male

##### **gender**

Yes

##### **explanations**

No change

**which option**

As I don't agree with prohibition of all oral tobacco products, unless a scientific justification shows that it shall be treated differently than other tobacco products. Even though, I still believe that it's important to open the scope of the EU directive.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ramiro Torres

**email**

Germany

**country**

31

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anne Francoise Balleys

**email**

italy

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**



it is perfectly acceptable that different member states apply different regulations.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jose Bernal Gilar

**email**

España

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VIVIANI MARIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

47

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TORTORA ANTONIO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

50

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPINELLI GUARINO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
45

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
SILVESTRE IMMACOLATA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
31

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai

prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

RUGGIERO ARTURO

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

35

##### **age**

male

##### **gender**

Yes

##### **explanations**

No change

##### **recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

PINNA ROSALBA

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

34

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
ROMAGNOLI PASQUALE

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
31

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

RISPOLI VINCENZO

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

53

#### **age**

male

#### **gender**

Yes

### **explanations**

No change

### **recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

RICCARDO LUIGI

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

29

#### **age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POLIGHEDDU ANTONELLO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

39

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PORCARO GENNARO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

52

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POLITO ANGELINA

**email**

ITALY

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**



Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIACENTE PIETRO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

48

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PARRELLA GENNARO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

41

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MIRANDA ANGELA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

51

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MIGNANO MARTINA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

21

#### **age**

female

#### **gender**

Yes

### **explanations**

No change

### **recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MIGNANI MANUELA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

55

#### **age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MATTU MICHELE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

42

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MASTUCCINO ASSUNTA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

45

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANNA MASSIMO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

34

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAFFI CINZIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

41

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MACELLARO SILVANA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
43

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IADICOLA CIRO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

41

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina,

sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GRANATO ANTONIO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

34

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GALANTE NICOLA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

35



**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
FUSCO VINCENZO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
53

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ELIA ANTONIETTA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

45

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DONNARUMMA MARIA ROSARIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

79

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DONATELLIS FRANCESCO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

44

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHIACCHIO FRANCESCA PAOLA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

46

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Pelegrie

**email**

Belgium

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

I do not agree

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CATAPANO LUIGI

**email**

ITALY

**country**

33

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARFORA FRANCESCO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

30

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARDAMONE COSIMO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

49

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHIANCONE MICHELE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

30

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHIANCONE ALFONSO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

31

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASCIO GIOVANNI

**email**

ITALY

**country**

25

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARDAROPOLI RAFFAELE



**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
47

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
CAIAZZO FABRIZIO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
38

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai

prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

BUONAIUTO FRANCESCO

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

35

##### **age**

male

##### **gender**

Yes

##### **explanations**

No change

##### **recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

BIONDO ANTONIO

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

35

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
BIANCHI CIRO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
24

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BALDI GELSOMINO

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

70

#### **age**

male

#### **gender**

Yes

### **explanations**

No change

### **recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

ANGIOLINI ANTONELLA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

48

#### **age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ABAGNALE FRANCESCO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

52

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ricardo Jose Baltasar Pereira

**email**

Portugal

**country**

36

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**which option**

Não faz sentido banir à partida produtos que até poderam ser menos nocivos! O Ambito da directiva poderá ser alargado mas não me parece bem que o seja tendo como base elementos não científicos ou preconceitos

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pollak Andreas

**email**

Austria

**country**

44

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pollak Andreas

**email**

Austria

**country**

44

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kristine Labud

**email**

Germany

**country**

45

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kai Dearmer

**email**

UK

**country**

32

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

Excessive legislation has to date not proved fruitful. All it has managed to do is alienate a certain portion of the population and force society to treat that portion with contempt and disregard. As opposed to an adult being allowed to make an informed decision, the union dictates to the population on right and wrong. Yes, cessation amongst youth must be pursued at all cost. But what is currently in place and what is being pursued is complete disregard for an individuals right to decide.

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**which option**

Evidence based legislation.

**recommend option**

Provide a common set of standards / laws that apply across the region whilst bearing in mind the need to make an individual responsible for his/her own actions. Provide education on topic and then let adult consumers decide as to their preference.

---

**Citizen submission****identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerhard Berberich

**email**

Germany

**country**

46

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TINA RUIZ VISIEDO

**email**

ESPAÑA

**country**

54

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TINA RUIZ VISIEDO

**email**

ESPAÑA

**country**

54

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TINA RUIZ VISIEDO

**email**

ESPAÑA

**country**

54

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

van Hoof-Swinkels b.v.

**email**

nederland

**country**

37

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

Any form of regulation of tobacco products must be based on scientific findings as well as on unequivocally given legislative competence of the EU. This also applies to any possible regulations or even prohibitions on nicotine-containing products that do not contain tobacco or cigarette-like products (herbal cigarettes).

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

kleri

**email**

cyprus

**country**

28

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

danae

**email**

cyprus

**country**

27

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

marilia

**email**

cyprus

**country**

25

**age**

female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
MARGARITA

**email**  
cyprus

**country**  
35

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
stavroula

**email**  
cyprus

**country**  
50

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christos Harpantidis

**email**

`greece

**country**

40

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sabine König

**email**

Germany

**country**

37

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
maria jose gomez rayon

**email**  
españa

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jose Miguel Garrido Lobato

**email**  
espana

**country**  
40

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michail Georgiadis

**email**

Greece

**country**

24

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kristina Appel

**email**

Germany

**country**

29

**age**

female

**gender**

No

**questions scope**



**problem definition**

There is no problem definition stated, it is only mentioned what is covered by the current directive and what is not.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Samuel rodriguez moncunill

**email**

Spain

**country**

25

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rafael Martinez Bauluz

**email**

Spain

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

Es una revision absolutamente restrictiva que limitara la libertad de los ciudadanos.

**explanations**  
No change

**which option**

Posibilitar la venta de otros productos en los estancos españoles Dejar que cada ciudadano pueda decidir sobre su salud. Dejar de estigmatizar al tabaco y volver a dejarlo en igualdad de condiciones de competencia, etc. con el resto de los productos

**recommend option**

Creo que esta directiva va a llevar a la ruina a los estancos españoles que cumplen la legalidad sin que tengan otra opcion

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

marta sanz

**email**

spain

**country**

28

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jesus Rubio

**email**

spain

**country**

47

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lourdes

**email**

spain

**country**

52

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nikitopoulos Evangelos

**email**

greece

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

JOSE GARCÍA GINÉS

**email**

SPAIN

**country**

35

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**  
DBN

**email**  
Belgium

**country**  
50

**age**  
female

**gender**  
No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

I disagree with the problem definition. The problem definition provided by the Consultation document is vague, confused and inaccurate. There is no analysis within the Consultation document of Union competence to adopt the proposed options and no discussion of the internal market issues that would be required in order for the Union to proceed on the proposed option. Furthermore, the problem definition does not contain any evidence to demonstrate the justification for the various options provided in this section

**explanations**  
No change

**which option**  
No

**recommend option**  
No

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
APH

**email**  
Belgium

**country**  
42

**age**  
female

**gender**  
No

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No, I disagree with the problem definition. The problem definition provided by the Consultation document is vague, confused and inaccurate. There is no analysis within the Consultation document of Union competence to adopt the proposed options and no discussion of the internal market issues that would be required in order for the Union to proceed on the proposed option. Furthermore, the problem definition does not contain any evidence to demonstrate the justification for the various options provided in this section

**explanations**  
No change

**which option**  
no

**recommend option**  
no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
HARA SALIAGOPOULOU

**email**  
GREECE

**country**  
40

**age**  
female

**gender**  
No

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
NO COMMENTS

**explanations**

No change

**which option**

NO COMMENTS

**recommend option**

NO COMMENTS

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

APH

**email**

Belgium

**country**

42

**age**

female

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

No, I disagree with the problem definition. The problem definition provided by the Consultation document is vague, confused and inaccurate. There is no analysis within the Consultation document of Union competence to adopt the proposed options and no discussion of the internal market issues that would be required in order for the Union to proceed on the proposed option. Furthermore, the problem definition does not contain any evidence to demonstrate the justification for the various options provided in this section

**explanations**

No change

**which option**

no

**recommend option**

no

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BASSETTI ARIALDO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

66

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FEDUCCI OMAR

**email**

ITALY

**country**

29

**age**



male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mónica Regales Saiz

**email**

Spain

**country**

30

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SGUERRI DANIELA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
58

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARCHISIELLO ANTONIA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
54

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina,

sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAPANGELO ANGELO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

38

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paweł Grzyb

**email**

Poland

**country**

38

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
MINNE

**email**  
Belgique

**gender**  
No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

I disagree with the problem definition. The problem definition provided by the Consultation document is vague, confused and inaccurate. There is no analysis within the Consultation document of Union competence to adopt the proposed options and no discussion of the internal market issues that would be required in order for the Union to proceed on the proposed option. Furthermore, the problem definition does not contain any evidence to demonstrate the justification for the various options provided in this section

**explanations**  
No change

**which option**  
no

**recommend option**  
no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PANAGIOTIS SOTIROPOULOS

**email**

GREECE

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

vasilakis nikos

**email**

greece

**country**

47

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SIBILIA COSIMINO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
42

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Julia Neumaier

**email**  
United Kingdom

**country**  
29

**age**  
female

**gender**  
No

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

I do not see any problem and therefore no necessity of this act.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GAIA GIANLUCA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

31

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAVESE GABRIELLA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

38

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Meik

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

60

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

hierzu kein Kommentar von mir

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Cornelia Nasch

**email**

Luxembourg

**country**

51

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VITALE GIANLUCA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

21

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VISPARELLI DE GIROLAMO EMILIO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

41

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VILLANI ANTONIO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

29

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VICENTINI PAOLO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

45

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VESSIO RAFFAELE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

47

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VERONESI ALBERTO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

37

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VALLERANI LUCA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

33

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VAGNONI ENRICO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
44

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
TROVATO TERESA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
64

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai

prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

TRIGGIANI ANTONELLA

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

21

##### **age**

female

##### **gender**

Yes

##### **explanations**

No change

##### **recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

TEMPESTA LUCA FEDERICO

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

38

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
SPORTOLETTI STEFANIA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
33

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

SIMONE GIUSEPPE

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

37

#### **age**

male

#### **gender**

Yes

### **explanations**

No change

### **recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

SGARBANTI FRANCO

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

49

#### **age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SERAFINO CESARE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

44

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCUTI SILVIO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

44

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCIMIA CARMELA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

52

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCIANNA DANIELA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

39

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCARONI MARCO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
32

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCALIA ARMANDO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

46

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina,

sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCALI ANNAMARIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

54

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SAPORI ROSANNA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

52

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
SAPONE MARIA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
63

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SANTORO GENNARO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

49

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROSSETTI AMERIGO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

48

**age**

male

**gender**



Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROMOLI NICOLETTA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

48

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RODINO' FRANCESCO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

50

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RIEFOLO ANTONIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

62

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RAGOZZINO VINCENZO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

44

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RAGONESI MAURA

**email**

ITALY

**country**  
58

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
QUADRELLO DANIELA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
38

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POPAIZ ANTONIO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

59

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POLI PAOLO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

52

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PITINGA ANDREA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

35

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIRANI ANDREA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

42

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PINTUS GIULIANA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

31

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PETRASSI SABRINA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

33

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PETRARA VINCENZA



**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
65

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
PERRINI DOMENICO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
54

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai

prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

PEPE ALESSANDRO

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

44

##### **age**

male

##### **gender**

Yes

##### **explanations**

No change

##### **recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

PASTORESSA GIUSEPPE

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

54

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
PASIN SONIA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
39

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

PAROLA ANDREA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

40

#### **age**

male

#### **gender**

Yes

### **explanations**

No change

### **recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

PAPA TERESA MARIA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

64

#### **age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAPA FABRIZIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

37

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAOLUCCI RICCARDO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

41

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PANTI SERGIO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

66

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PALMA ROBERTO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

46

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAGLIONICO BARTOLOMEO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
42

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAGLIARI ALBERTO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
45

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina,



sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAGANELLI GIUSEPPE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

47

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PACI STEFANIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

54

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
OTTAVIANI DANIELA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
53

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ORIOLO GIOVANNI

**email**

ITALY

**country**

55

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

OLIVA FRANCESCO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

44

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NOTARPIETRO GIUSEPPE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

66

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**  
NESCI EMMA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
48

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
BALOIRE CELESTINA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
59

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MEZZADRI VALTER

**email**

ITALY

**country**

45

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MEDROS GIANLUCA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

38

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MATTA ROBERTO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

59

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARAN STEFANO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

43

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANGANO SILVIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

28

**age**



female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANEGLIA ARMANDO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

39

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GUGLIELMETTO MUGION MONICA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

37

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIORDANI MARGHERITA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

79

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GARBERO STEFANO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

30

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FRANCHINETTI MARINA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
47

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
FABBRI GIANLUCA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
50

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai

prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

DI FEO FILOMENA

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

49

##### **age**

female

##### **gender**

Yes

#### **explanations**

No change

#### **recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

CUCCHIARA FRANCESCA

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

38

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
CRISTALLI MICHELE

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
48

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

CICCHIELLO ANNA MARIA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

56

#### **age**

female

#### **gender**

Yes

### **explanations**

No change

### **recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BRAIDA MARINA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

48

#### **age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BAZZOCCHI LUIGI

**email**

ITALY

**country**

49

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**



citizen

**name**

BARATELLA PAOLA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

43

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ARDENGO ALESSANDRO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

35

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

al porter

**email**

UK

**country**

60

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Poor definition

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rui David Minhos

**email**

Portugal

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**which option**

Extend the scope of the directive in a way that includes all tobacco and cigarette products available in MS markets. Electronic cigarettes such as other devices for nicotine delivery should be regulated as medical devices or medicinal products for human use. Beverages and other food products with nicotine should be regulated accordingly to food safety EU regulations.

**recommend option**

It does not make any sense that an option for extending the scope of the directive includes an automatic prohibition of novel tobacco products without any justifiable cause.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

jose luis duo goldarazena

**email**

spain

**country**

56

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MUOIO GIANLUCA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

43

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MUNARI MARINA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

52

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MORRA ROSANNA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

42

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MORETTI STEFANO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

43

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MORETTI NICOLA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

28

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina,

sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MORANDINI CLEMENTE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

46

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MONTANARI CELSO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

58

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
MENCARELLI MARCO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
44

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MELOTTI GABRIELE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

36

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAZZUCHELLI NATASCIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

32

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARRA ANTONIO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

33

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARCONI PATRIZIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

51

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANTOVANI GIORGIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

37

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANENTI MATTEO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

26

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANENTI MATTEO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

26

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

dolores.salvadori@alice.it

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANCINI TANIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

37

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAGRINELLO ORIETTA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

43

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAGGIO ANTONINO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

43

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAFFESSOLI ANNA BRUNA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

45

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LUISI MAGNO SOLDANI DONATELLA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

45

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LUCHERINI GABRIELE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

49

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change



**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LOMUSCIO VINCENZO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

52

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LEGGERI TERESA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

64

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LAVEDER VALENTINA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

30

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LAINI RENATA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

48

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IPPOLITO MASSIMO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

36

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

INSELVINI ADRIANO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

43

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GUIDIERI MERY

**email**

ITALY

**country**

44

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BUCCI VIOLA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

26

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRUNI EMILIANO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

37

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BIZZARRI ROSANNA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
58

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
ANGIOLINI ANTONELLA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
47

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai

prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

GUATTA MARISA

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

44

##### **age**

female

##### **gender**

Yes

##### **explanations**

No change

##### **recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

GROSSI BARBARA

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**



40

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
GRANATO ANTONIO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
34

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

GONNELLA GIUSEPPE

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

46

#### **age**

male

#### **gender**

Yes

### **explanations**

No change

### **recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

GIACOMELLI PIER PAOLO

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

48

#### **age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GALANTINO CHIARA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

48

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FUSCONI FABIO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

30

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FORESTI ALESSANDRO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

46

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FOCHESATO MARIA DANIELA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

55

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FARALLO PASQUALE

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
53

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FACCANI PAOLA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
40

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina,

sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kern

**email**

germany

**country**

25

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Ich habe kein kommentar

**explanations**

No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kern

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

25

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DRUDI MARCO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

29

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DRUDI FEDERICO



**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
50

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI SIRO ANGELO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

59

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina,

sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI PINTO MARCO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

59

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DESENZANI NICOLA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

25

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
DEL TUFO LORETTA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
45

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE SIENA RENATO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

44

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE FILIPPIS NICOLA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

64

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DAOLIO DANIELE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

44

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'ERRICO ANNAMARIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

48

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'ALOISI FRANCO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

82

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'ALESSANDRO FRANCESCO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

39

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

G.Randsdorp

**email**

Nederland

**country**

60

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CORBARA FLORIANA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

60

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**



citizen

**name**

CONTI CARMEN

**email**

ITALY

**country**

65

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COLUCCI FIORA DOMENICA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

49

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHERSONI ROSANNA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

46

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BOTTI MIRKO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
41

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BASSI FRANCA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

55

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina,

sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARAGLI LUCIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

34

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

H.Randsdorp

**email**

Nederland

**country**

60

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Verschueren Tim

**email**  
Belgium

**gender**  
No

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**

No, I disagree with the problem definition. The problem definition provided by the Consultation document is vague, confused and inaccurate. There is no analysis within the Consultation document of Union competence to adopt the proposed options and no discussion of the internal market issues that would be required in order for the Union to proceed on the proposed option. Furthermore, the problem definition does not contain any evidence to demonstrate the justification for the various options provided in this section

**explanations**  
No change

**which option**  
No

**recommend option**  
No

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dietmar Lemmens

**email**

The Netherlands

**country**

41

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CREMONESI ROBERTA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

34

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina,

sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CONTI ALICE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

26

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COMITE RAFFAELE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

36

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
CHIARINI GIUSEPPINA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
55

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CEOLONI VITTORIO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

52

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAVALLERO GIANFRANCO ALBERTO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

49

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CATINELLI TOMMASO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

40

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASTIGNOLA LILIANA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

49

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASALI GABRIELE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

42

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAROLI SILVIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

50

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARLUCCI RENATA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

38

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARIULO LEONARDO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

50

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARELLA ANGELA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

34

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAFIERO SABRINA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

42

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BUZZI SANDRO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

29

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRAGA ROBERTA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

39

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BOTTONI PATRIZIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

51

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes



**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BOSSINI ORNELLA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

47

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BONFA' DANIELE

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
55

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
BICCHERI ILIANA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
47

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai

prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

BIANCO FRANCESCA

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

54

##### **age**

female

##### **gender**

Yes

#### **explanations**

No change

#### **recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

BERTONI FILIPPO

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

29

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
BERTERA LAURA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
50

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BELOTTI DANIELA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

45

#### **age**

female

#### **gender**

Yes

### **explanations**

No change

### **recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BELLONI MARIA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

62

#### **age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BELLINO ANTONIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

39

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARBIERI CATERINA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

26

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BACCELLI MIRELLA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

72

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AVEROLDI ADRIANA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

55

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karin Hochgesang



**email**  
Germany

**country**  
43

**age**  
female

**gender**  
No

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**explanations**  
No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
KARADIMAS LAMPROS

**email**  
GREECE

**country**  
35

**age**  
male

**gender**  
No

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
ΔΕΝ ΕΧΩ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

ANTONINI GIULIANO

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

36

#### **age**

male

#### **gender**

Yes

### **explanations**

No change

### **recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

ANDOLFATTO LUCIO

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

50

#### **age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AMBROGIO MARIA ROSA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

67

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALBANI EZIO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

45

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALBANESE MASSIMILIANO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

44

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AIARDI FRANCESCA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

34

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ABRIOLA DANIELA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
44

**age**  
female

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**recommend option**

Un'eventuale estensione dell'ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexander Zöchling

**email**

Austria

**country**

28

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

The current tobacco law is more than enough and excessively strictly. It is undemocratic and some politicians want to force with it upon all EU citizens her will.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

jähmig,sven-roy

**email**

deutschland

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ERNESTO CASTILLO AGOTE

**email**

ESPAÑA

**country**

40

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

NO CREO QUE SE ADAPTA A LA REALIDAD Y NO SOLUCIONA LA PROBLEMATICA.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ERNESTO CASTILLO AGOTE

**email**

ESPAÑA

**country**

40

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

NO CREO QUE SE ADAPTA A LA REALIDAD Y NO SOLUCIONA LA PROBLEMATICA.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AGELOS TSOUTSANIS

**email**

greece

**country**

31



**age**  
male

**gender**  
No

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
ΔΕΝ ΕΧΩ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**  
No change

**which option**  
NO

**recommend option**  
NO

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
KATERINA POTHOU

**email**  
GREECE

**country**  
32

**age**  
female

**gender**  
No

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
NO COMMENT

**explanations**  
No change

**which option**

NO

**recommend option**

NO COMMENT

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rudi van Kleeff

**email**

The Netherlands

**country**

37

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hanna Fedorova

**email**

Germany

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DIMITRIS POULOPOULOS

**email**

GRECCE

**country**

40

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marta Tévar Beunza

**email**

spain

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Los productos sustitutivos del tabaco no pueden regularse en una directiva sobre productos de tabaco Debería hacerse una directiva aparte en todo caso

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oxana Fiebrig

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

39

**age**

female

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

kein Kommentar

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dominik Malinowski

**email**

polska

**country**

24

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**which option**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexandra E. White

**email**

Sweden

**country**

25

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mateo Areopagita

**email**  
Spain

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Manolo Mateo Areopagita

**email**  
España

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Manuel Mateo Areopagita

**email**  
España

**country**  
47

**age**  
male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NIKOLAOS PALIOGIANNHS

**email**

GREECE

**country**

43

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marian Nakonieczny

**email**

Polska

**country**

33

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**which option**

No comment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LUIS JOVEN CAPDEVILA

**email**

SPAIN

**country**

47

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

Tobacco Shop retailers interests have never been taken into account

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Dear Sirs, I am the owner of a tobacco shop in Zaragoza (Spain) since 13 years ago, so it is my way of earning life. There are 15.000 tobacco shops in Spain. My shop has been continuously working since 1937 by my grandmother, my mother, my aunt and finally by myself, so my family has been giving a service to the Spanish community during 73 interrupted years. So, I have a very easy question to make you. When are politics take into account our rights as honest Tobacco shop professionals which pay our taxes and contribute to my country developing? All new directives promotes different interests but never takes into accounts tobacco retail dealers interests. Our business is being reduced every year and all new directives leads strongly in such line. Can anybody help us to rescue our bussines. Nowadays, in Spain, tobacco shops receive 8.5% benefit commission over sales. This is fixed by Spanish Government and has not changed since more than 40 years. If EU Directives reduce tobacco sales, why don't fix an increased % benefit in our shops to compensate sales reduction? Why don't think about what other products can we sell in our shops to save 15.000 families which



live from that? I really love what you do to improve public health but,...What can you do to protect tobacco shop retailers way of life?

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oliver Hauner

**email**

Germany

**country**

35

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

It seems that European adults are increasingly treated like children.

**explanations**

No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kinsinger Petra

**email**

deutschland

**country**

42

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kinsinger Petra

**email**

Deutschland

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kinsinger Petra

**email**

deutschland

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Toine Noordhuizen

**email**

Netherlands

**country**

59

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

I do not agree

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PELERIEAU SEBASTIEN

**email**

BELGIUM

**country**

37

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
I DON'T AGREE

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
CARMEN CESPEDES LEON

**email**  
spain

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
PEDRO GONZALEZ GONZALEZ

**email**  
SPAIN

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

engels johan

**email**

belgie

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schroeder

**email**

Germany

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexander Goidinger

**email**

Austria

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maria Tzenerali

**email**

Greece

**country**

42

**age**

female

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

no comment

**explanations**

No change

**which option**

no

**recommend option**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

aitor urrutia pagola

**email**  
spain

**country**  
37

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Stephen Harrison

**email**  
UK

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jan Paulo

**email**  
Germany

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Achim Buschhueter

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

38

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrick Reynders

**email**

Belgium

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

dimnieuwland

**email**

nederland

**country**

53

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

ik ga niet accoord met de voorstellen tot verscherpen van de tabaksreclame.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ursula Hescher

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

52

**age**

female

**gender**

No

**questions scope  
problem definition**

No comment

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Mit der "Gleichschaltung" der Zigarettenmarken und dem Verkauf "unter dem Ladentisch" werden nicht nur etliche Arbeitsplätze und die Existenz von Geschäftsleuten zerstört, sondern wir erwachsenen Bürger werden entmündigt. Die EU-Politiker beschäftigen sich damit, Raucher zum Feind Nr. 1 zu erklären. Trauen die Herrschaften den Bürgern nicht zu, dass wir Nichtraucher uns mit Rauchern selbst auseinander setzen können? Die bestehenden Gesetze und Richtlinien zum Jugend- und Nichtraucherschutz sind durchaus ausreichend. Vielleicht sollten die Politiker sich endlich einmal mit Alkohol und den daraus resultierenden Problemen beschäftigen.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maaïke van den Brandhof

**email**

Belgium

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΑΛΕΞΑΝΔΡΟΣ ΘΕΟΔΩΡΟΠΟΥΛΟΣ

**email**  
ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**country**  
33

**age**  
male

**gender**  
No

**questions scope**  
**problem definition**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
NIKOLAOS GAGALIS

**email**  
Greece

**country**  
28

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rimvydas

**email**

Lithuania

**country**

28

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Oudshoorn

**email**

Netherlands

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

ik ga niet akkoord

**explanations**

No change

**which option**

Geen wijziging

**recommend option**

Nee

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MIRLACH Michael

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

44

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

Ich finde die derzeitige Regulierung deutlich überzogen und anmaßend. Ein mündiger Bürger sollte frei entscheiden dürfen welche Produkte mit welchen Inhalten und Dosierungen er zu sich nehmen möchte.

**explanations**

No change

**which option**

Abschaffung dieser Regulierung

**recommend option**

Die Einmischung der EU in die Privatsphäre ist eine Zumutung

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marcin Piekut

**email**  
Poland

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Robert Urbanowski

**email**  
Poland

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Hubert Wysocki

**email**  
Poland

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Agnieszka Roszak

**email**

Poland

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rafal Roszak

**email**

Poland

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulrike Wiedemann

**email**

germany

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

Keine weitere Bevormundung

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Laura Löberbauer

**email**

Österreich

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

Kein Kommentar

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Laura Löberbauer



**email**

Österreich

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

Kein Kommentar

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Toos Beenhakkers-Heijneman

**email**

Netherlands

**country**

54

**age**

female

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

I don't need explanation about my health. I want to decide what I want. When people get older and older that will mean that economycly there is a problem. Don't patrenice people.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SIA "Essential Club"

**email**

Latvia

**country**

34

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

Vienota iepakojuma ieviešana nozīmētu gandrīz neiespējamu produktu uzskaites veikšanu. Noteikti pieaugs nelegālais cigarešu tirgus, kas mazinās, gan nodokļu, gan uzņēmumu ienākumu līmeni tabakas kategorijā.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rob Mos

**email**

Netherlands

**country**

44

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

rik kalfsvel

**email**

belgium

**country**

49

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

do not take away the fun of life

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

JUAN JOSE GARCIA

**email**

ESPAÑA

**country**

44

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michal Langer

**email**

Czech Republic

**country**

42

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

This area of human life is already regulated on a local member state level and harmonization or further restrictions on EU level will effectively affect the custom of each of the member state citizens. Despite the fact that consumption of tobacco and related products is harmful, the decision on whether to use or not should be on the shoulders of each individual provided he or she has enough information to make the decision. Further extension of EU directive will in many of the proposed actions do exact opposite of that.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Srancisik Balázs

**email**

Hungary

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**recommend option**

Some of the parts I do not agree with. You should lift the ban from snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

A.Fernandez

**email**

Nederland

**country**

44

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

ik ga niet akkoord

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Toon

**email**

NL

**country**

51

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Is there a problem?

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jānis

**email**

Latvija

**country**

30

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gert-jan Bos

**email**

Holland

**country**

42

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

ik ben er op tegen

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Morgan

**email**

France

**country**

30

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Concha Aguilar

**email**

España

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

José A. Contreras

**email**

España

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen



**name**

José Antonio Contreras

**email**

España

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

K. Van Loock

**email**

Belgium

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

katarzyna kania

**email**

poland

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

katarzyna kania

**email**

poland

**country**

39

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

M.Schubert

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

23

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Wiedemann

**email**

Germany

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Freie Entscheidung für die Mitgliedsstaaten.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Enrique Martinez

**email**

España

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jorge Martínez

**email**

Spain

**country**

34

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christopher Meier

**email**

'Germany

**country**

19

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Erik Witteveen

**email**

Netherlands

**country**

50

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

xxx

**email**

xxx

**country**

19

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Inge Albert

**email**

belgie

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thorsten Elbinger

**email**

Germany

**country**

34

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andre Elkmeyer

**email**

Germany

**country**

33

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tamara Wiedmann

**email**

Germany

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Achmet Yügsel

**email**

Germany

**country**

41

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

abzocke

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

JUAN JOSE GARCIA

**email**

ESPAÑA

**country**

44

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---



**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

JUAN JOSE GARCIA BRAVO

**email**

ESPAÑA

**country**

44

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Werner Barth

**email**

Belgium

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

mihail

**email**

Romania

**country**

32

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

De Rop Veronique

**email**

BE

**country**

51

**age**

female

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

I do not agree

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

I do not agree

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

costas siatras

**email**

greece

**country**

51

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

M<sup>a</sup> LINA CORTES MATEOS

**email**

ESPAÑA

**country**

41

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tom vandemoortele

**email**

Belgium

**country**

44

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALEJANDRA NIETO IGUAL

**email**

ESPAÑA

**country**

34

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALEJANDRA NIETO IGUAL

**email**

ESPAÑA

**country**

34

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lukasz Sitko

**email**

Poland

**country**

34

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**recommend option**

Smoking gives to the EU countries budgets money thanks to smokers. Why we need to prohibit them from smoking we are free people in terms of decision whether to smoke or not.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Buchmüller

**email**

Germany

**country**

46

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**which option**

Electronic cigarettes should, just as conventional cigarettes, be seen as what they are: a stimulant, life-style product. To classify them as pharmaceutical products is simply incorrect, because they contain an amount of nicotine that is equal to that of normal cigarettes - or smokers that changed to them would have noticed the difference. The same rules as for cigarettes and tobacco should apply: no selling to adolescents. Further the EU should initiate a study to see if smoking e-cigarettes imposes a health risk on nearby people or not. Herbal cigarettes should be dealt with just like conventional cigarettes, i.e. no selling to adolescents. Nicotine sweets and drinks should be available in tobacco stores, not just drugstores (pharmacies).

**recommend option**

After a scientific study of the ingredients of the vapor before and after inhaling it, the commission could suggest appropriate measures to their members. Right now there is much

rumor and hearsay and it is about time to rebase directions and even (possible) legislation on scientific facts.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Serena Prados Sánchez

**email**

Spain

**country**

34

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BELLIN GUILLAUME

**email**

France

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jendricki

**email**

Germany

**country**

48

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ernst Brandl

**email**

Austria

**country**

78

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johann Dröscher

**email**

Austrias

**country**

60

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robert Helbig

**email**

Germany

**country**

22

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marion Steudel

**email**

Germany

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hendrik Rebs

**email**

Germany

**country**

51

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ines Gruhl

**email**

Germany

**country**

50

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf Grund

**email**

Germany

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martina Köhn

**email**

germany

**country**

47

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Flavia Smargiassi

**email**

Italy

**country**

35

**age**

female

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

This type of prohibitions is not efficient

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**which option**

Enhance people knowledge on damages and regulations on passive smoke. Check quality of products and fight smuggle and contraband.

**recommend option**

Prohibition has never been successful in preventing people from taking harmful behaviours. On the contrary smuggle and black trade of bad quality products without any control would increase in case of further restrictions.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uwe Fiebrig

**email**

Germany

**country**

52

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Constantinescu I

**email**

Romania

**country**

37

**age**

female

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

Is too restricted already!!!

**explanations**

No change

**which option**

Let people decide for themselves!!! There are so many other things witch are worse and much more dangerous that we need you to take care for.

**recommend option**

I am not affraid to smoke but I AM AFFRAID TO EAT PREFABRICATED FOOD (I have a lot of examples in my country with people who smoked almost all their life and finnaly died for natural causes at a very old ages - but they ate healthy food !!)

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

sandoz

**email**

Suisse

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

n/a

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrick Saiget

**email**

France

**country**

35

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Constantin Nicolae Catalin

**email**

Romania

**country**

30

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Voicu George

**email**

Romania

**country**

25

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

Nu are cum sa ma ajute pe mine ca si consumator o lista de substante scrisa pe pachet. Imi place sa cred ca inca traiesc intro comunitate libera in care pot sa aleg singur ce este bun pentru mine. Limitarile care sunt si asa foarte multe in ceea ce priveste produsele din tutun nu fac decat sa incurajeze contrabanda si comercealizarea de tigrete care nu respecta deloc standarde de calitate inclusiv vanzarea la coltul strazii se face si minorilor.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ks

**email**

pl



**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Pabisz Bogusław

**email**  
Poland

**age**  
male

**gender**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Patricia Hebestreit

**email**  
Germany

**country**  
29

**age**  
female

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Rauchen ist nicht lebensnotwendig und jeder Mensch entscheidet selber, was er konsumiert. Jeder raucher weiss, dass es ungesund ist und eine derartige Regulierung bringt keinen Effekt fuer den Konsumenten.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christin Herklotz

**email**

Germany

**country**

21

**age**

female

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Smokers should smoke if they want. They are enough conered from the laws and any more things wont do a positive thing only negative things like: - state loses billions of money - unemployment rises alot - the statutory health insurance costs will rise because non smokers live longer and so they cost more

([http://www.welt.de/wirtschaft/article1633518/Nichtraucher\\_belasten\\_das\\_Gesundheitssystem.html](http://www.welt.de/wirtschaft/article1633518/Nichtraucher_belasten_das_Gesundheitssystem.html))

**explanations**

No change

**which option**

let it be as it is

**recommend option**

i am an non smoker, but even i get the feeling that smoker are treated like some third class people or like jews in the second worldwar. Everytime i read something about smoking which comes from the states it sound like racism and that it not how it should be smoker are also people, let them smoke. beside there are alot other things which are bad for health and you cant fobide them all we have democracy in europe so we can eat want we want and die how we want. And you should also have the people decide what they want or CALL THE EU A DICTATORY AREA. there should be only those 2 choices but well...

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johannes de Ruever

**email**

Germany

**country**

34

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Electronic Cigarettes shouldn't be seen as tobacco products since they don't contain tobacco,often they even don't contain nicotine (which is not only found in tobacco).

**explanations**

No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

hdfg

**email**

dsfgsdfg

**country**

23

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

fdgdsfgdsfg

**explanations**

No change

**which option**

sdfgdsfgdsfg

**recommend option**

dsfgdsfgdsfg

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ana Duarte

**email**

Portugal

**country**

40

**age**

female

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

a

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Monika Nurzynska-Brendel

**email**

Switzerland, Polish citizen

**age**

female

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Panos Tzavelis

**email**

Greece

**country**

44

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

Electronic nicotine delivery systems (ENDS), such as electronic cigarettes, while they may be considered as alternative "smoking" devices (vaping is the trendy term) they have no tar (tar has 4.000 dangerous or potentially dangerous chemical products) and have extremely low nitrosamine levels (the same levels as pharmaceutical nicotine patches) ENDS are not attractive to young smokers because they are expensive (a starter pack costs from 50 to 200 Euros where a pack of cigarettes costs 3-5 euros) and there is no vaping "subculture" to lure them. ENDS are attractive mainly to 30+ years old smokers that do not want to continue smoking cigarettes but want to continue using nicotine. In case of ENDS prohibition most of them will return to smoking.

**explanations**

No change

**which option**

Do not prohibit electronic nicotine delivery systems (ENDS). They are alternative methods for recreational nicotine intake.

**recommend option**

Prohibiting ENDS will result in more deaths from tobacco-related diseases.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wisniowski

**email**

Poland

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Spira

**email**

Germany

**country**

39

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope****problem definition**

Die bestehenden Regelungen zum Schutz von Nichtrauchern und zur Warnung vor Gesundheitsrisiken durch das Rauchen sind ausreichend.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin

**email**

Germany

**country**

26

**age**

male

**gender**

Yes

**explanations**

Extend the scope of the Directive

**which option**

Develop a legal infrastructure for sale and control of ENDS and related products. Check, whether they are safer than smoking and inform the public about possible risks/benefits.

Evaluate ENDS in comparison with filter cigarettes, for which they are intended as a substitute. If ENDS are safer than cigarettes, make them available across the EU to improve the health of smokers throughout the union.

**recommend option**

Using medical channels/labs to produce liquids containing nicotine, but make the liquids and needed hardware available over traditional channels, like local tobacco stores.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

john howard marsh

**email**

great britian

**country**

63

**age**

male

**gender**

No

**questions scope**

**problem definition**

the use of electronic smoking has not only stopped smoking after 52 years but has greatly improved my health and i have no after effects in fact i have nerer felt better

**explanations**

No change

**which option**

in my opion it should be available on prescription

**recommend option**

if something as simple as this stops people smoking and by doing so reduces the effect of lung cancer thereby saving vast amounts of NHS money and resources it should be as stated above readily avaiable for everyone to use. why interfere with something that is proving postive leave it alone

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dieter M. Turbanisch

**email**

Deutschland

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Kein Kommentar, die NGG bezieht auch in meinen Sinn Stellung

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Geert Claerhout

**email**

Belgium

**country**

48

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jeremy Stewart

**email**  
Germany

**country**  
25

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Theodosis Chiotis

**email**  
Greece

**country**  
40

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Theodosis Chiotis

**email**

Greece

**country**

40

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Niina Timisjarvi

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claudia Rottensteiner

**email**

Italy

**country**

30

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

I am totally outraged by the fact that products like smokeless tobacco (especially the Swedish snus) are banned in the EU while far more hazardous products (combustible tobacco) are allowed - and this without any justification. It is clearly shown by several scientists from different countries, with different backgrounds and different perspectives that smokeless is far less harmful than combustible tobacco. EU citizen should be allowed to choose what they want to consume, they should be educated and informed about the danger of tobacco products and then have the freedom to choose. No tobacco or nicotine product (e.g NRTs) is free of risks; therefore it is fair and balanced to allow all kinds of product in order for the consumer to have a real choice. Especially the ones, who want to quit and have difficulties with it, should be offered an alternative like snus which in Sweden and Norway verifiably is the most successful aid to quit. Nowadays we have smokeless tobacco in the EU which is not regulated at all. If the EU intends to be stricter in its tobacco regulation, then it should regulate smokeless and set a standard every producer has to adhere to. We need a better regulation of smokeless tobacco in the EU.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Yes! A stricter regulation of all kinds of smokeless tobacco which sets a quality standard every producer has to adhere to. Only products which follow the same quality standard as Swedish snus should be allowed in the internal market - but then everywhere in the internal market!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Piotr Pierscionek

**email**

Poland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pierre Deraedt

**email**

Belgium

**country**

31

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

juan ortega

**email**

españa

**country**

43

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Francisco Manuel Patrão Moço

**email**  
Portugal

**country**  
56

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**  
It doesn't m

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Tom Janssens

**email**  
Belgium

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

KATRIEN DE BUSSCHERE

**email**

belgium

**country**

38

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

the problem definition is not acceptable as drafted. It is vague, confused and unclear. Statements in the problem definition address all smokeless tobacco products without making any differentiation between the various types of products in this category. By ignoring this variety, the Consultation creates confusion rather than providing clarity to allow for objective policy choices.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

NO

**additional option**

Snus is legally sold in a number of countries worldwide and we believe there is no justification for a ban on snus within the EU.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Raquel Puente Iñigo

**email**

Spain

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paweł Badoń

**email**

Polska

**country**

20

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Urszula Siudak

**email**

Polska

**country**

20

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nathan Sahuleka

**email**

Netherlands

**country**

28

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ABAGNALE FRANCESCO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

52

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

No change

**additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

**Citizen submission****identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VIVIANI MARIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

47

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TORTORA ANTONIO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

50

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
SPINELLI GUARINO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
45

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SILVESTRE IMMACOLATA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

31

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al

fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RUGGIERO ARTURO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PINNA ROSALBA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

34

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROMAGNOLI PASQUALE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

31

**age**  
male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RISPOLI VINCENZO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

53

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.



**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RICCARDO LUIGI

**email**

ITALY

**country**

29

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa

percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PULIGHEDDU ANTONELLO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

39

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PORCARO GENNARO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

52

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POLITO ANGELINA

**email**

ITALY

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIACENTE PIETRO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

48

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PARRELLA GENNARO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

41

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MONTINI ARMANDO

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

64

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MIRANDA ANGELA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
51

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
MIGNANO MARTINA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
21

**age**  
female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MIGNANI MANUELA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

55

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**



Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MATTU MICHELE

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

42

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MASTUCCINO ASSUNTA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

45

#### **age**

female

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MANNA MASSIMO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
34

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Alex Kamaras

**email**  
Greece

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No comments

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

No

**additional option**

No

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAFFI CINZIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

41

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a

dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MACELLARO SILVANA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

43

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**  
IADICOLA CIRO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
41

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
GRANATO ANTONIO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
34

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GALANTE NICOLA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FUSCO VINCENZO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

53

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a



dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FELICI SIMONA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

43

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ELIA ANTONIETTA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

45

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DONNARUMMA MARIA ROSARIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

31

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DONATELLIS FRANCESCO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

44

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'ALESSANDRO VALTER

**email**

ITALY

**country**

58

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a

dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHIACCHIO FRANCESCA PAOLA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

46

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Katrin Nasner

**email**

Germany

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHIACCHIO FRANCESCA PAOLA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

46

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a

dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CATAPANO LUIGI

**email**

ITALY

**country**

33

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARFORA FRANCESCO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

30

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARDAMONE COSIMO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

49

**age**



male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHIANCONE MICHELE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

30

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHIANCONE ALFONSO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

31

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a

dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASCIO GIOVANNI

**email**

ITALY

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARDAROPOLI RAFFAELE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

47

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAIAZZO FABRIZIO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

38

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BUONAIUTO FRANCESCO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BIONDO ANTONIO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a

dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BIANCHI CIRO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

24

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BALDI GELSOMINO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

70

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ANGIOLINI ANTONELLA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

48

**age**



female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ABAGNALE FRANCESCO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

52

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nöll Günter

**email**

Austria

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marek Przybylo

**email**

Poland

**country**

28

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Mc Donald's was also proved to be unhealthy, but somehow EU doesn't put any bans on it!  
Ok, I agree: cigarettes are leathal, but stop treating adult smokers like children, that doesn't have free will to not smoke or quit. Why everything has to be "regulated" and "stick" approach is being used instead of "carrot" one?

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
David Kleinblatt

**email**  
Belgium

**country**  
33

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
No, the problem definition is not acceptable as drafted. It is vague, confused and unclear. Statements in the problem definition address all smokeless tobacco products without making any differentiation between the various types of products in this category. By ignoring this variety, the Consultation creates confusion rather than providing clarity to allow for objective policy choices.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

no

**additional option**

Snus is legally sold in a number of countries worldwide and we believe there is no justification for a ban on snus within the EU.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Baeyens sabine

**email**

belgium

**country**

42

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Snus has proven to help people stop smoking in Sweden

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Henrique Augusto Ribeiro da Cunha Pimenta Neto

**email**

Portugal

**country**

34

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**  
Without proof that smokeless tobacco products are more prejudicial to health than conventional smoked tobacco products, than it doesn't makes any sense to continue with prohibition of snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Ramiro Torres

**email**  
Germany

**country**  
31

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Katarzyna Szobol - Klosek

**email**

Poland

**country**

28

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jose Bernal Gilar

**email**

España

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

peter sloan

**email**

England

**country**

42

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

This Product has helped me finally to give up smoking

**additional option**

Why take away the chance for other people to give up smoking....by fully banning this product?....this is senseless

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pollak Andreas

**email**

Austria

**country**

44

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Riber

**email**

Denmark

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerhard Berberich

**email**

Germany

**country**

46

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TINA RUIZ VISIEDO

**email**

ESPAÑA

**country**

54

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

van Hoof-Swinkels b.v.

**email**

nederland

**country**

37

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

François Elvinger

**email**

Luxembourg

**country**

55

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wilhelm Duncker

**email**

Finland

**country**

19

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

The ban on smokeless tobacco products such as "snus" can not in any way be justified as long

as other tobacco products, such as cigarettes, are allowed. Especially not when statistics show that "snus" is much less damaging for your health than e.g. cigarettes.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oscar Öhman

**email**

Finland

**country**

18

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christos Harpantidis

**email**

Greece

**country**

40

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Viktor KARL

**email**

Austria

**country**

42

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

jose miguel garrido lobato

**email**

españa

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Viktor KARL

**email**

Austria

**country**

42

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kai Ingolf Schmidt

**email**

Germany

**country**

28

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

The definition of "smokeless" may be a problem as tobacco products might appear on the market, which do not emit smoke during consumption, but do emit substances that can cause cancer or complement other negative changes of health for uninvolved individuals. As a consequence of this, if one wants to consider not covering "snus" under the same legal perspective as normal tobacco products it would be prudent to extend the definition of "smokeless" to "does not emit any component of its ingredients into surrounding air during consumption".

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pekka Honkanen

**email**

Finland

**country**

45

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

The snus should be classified to be sold only to adults, like cigarettes and cigars.

**additional option**

Why one type of nicotine containing product (snus) is banned compared to other more harmful products like cigarettes, cigars etc? This is a double-faced policy from EU. The ban on snus discriminates EU citizens. Why snus is legally available in some countries (Sweden, Denmark) but not in Finland. This is causing smuggling and promotes other criminal activities. Many types of other nicotine products (used orally) are freely available in many EU countries, like nicotine chewing gum. Is there any scientific research that concludes snus to be more harmful to health than for example nicotine containing chewing gum? Many types of other mouth "snus like" products are available in Finland not containing nicotine but other combination of stimulating substances (for example ginseng, coffee green tea). Can these new developed products be more harmful to health than snus?

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michail Georgiadis

**email**

Greece

**country**

24

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Samuel rodriguez

**email**

Spain

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

lourdes lazaro asegurado

**email**

spain

**country**

52

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nikitopoulos Evangelos

**email**

greece

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

DBN

#### **email**

Belgium

#### **country**

50

#### **age**

female

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

the problem definition is not acceptable as drafted. It is vague, confused and unclear.

Statements in the problem definition address all smokeless tobacco products without making any differentiation between the various types of products in this category. By ignoring this variety, the Consultation creates confusion rather than providing clarity to allow for objective policy choices.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

APH

#### **email**

Belgium

#### **country**

42

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

No, the problem definition is not acceptable as drafted. It is vague, confused and unclear. Statements in the problem definition address all smokeless tobacco products without making any differentiation between the various types of products in this category. By ignoring this variety, the Consultation creates confusion rather than providing clarity to allow for objective policy choices.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**  
no

**additional option**  
Snus is legally sold in a number of countries worldwide and we believe there is no justification for a ban on snus within the EU.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Mónica Regales Saiz

**email**  
Spain

**country**  
30

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BASSETTI ARIALDO

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

66

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

FEDUCCI OMAR

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
29

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
SGUERRI DANIELA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
58

**age**  
female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARCHISIELLO ANTONIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

54

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

PAPANGELO ANGELO

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

38

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Axel Horst

**email**

Germany

**country**

49

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Krzysztof Sajnóg

**email**

Polska

**country**

54

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

dorośli obywatel odpowiada za swoje postępowanie i swoje wybory - to jest podstawowe prawo do wolności wyboru

**comments**

No change

**additional option**

nikt nie może decydować o tym co chcę spożywać i w jakiej postaci

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Krzysztof Sajnog

**email**

Polska

**country**

54

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Dorośli pełnoprawny obywatel odpowiada za swoje postępowanie i to jest jego prawo wyboru

**comments**

No change

**additional option**

nikt nie może decydować o tym co spożywam i w jaki sposób

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**  
MINNE

**email**  
Belgique

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

the problem definition is not acceptable as drafted. It is vague, confused and unclear. Statements in the problem definition address all smokeless tobacco products without making any differentiation between the various types of products in this category. By ignoring this variety, the Consultation creates confusion rather than providing clarity to allow for objective policy choices

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**  
no

**additional option**  
Snus is legally sold in a number of countries worldwide and we believe there is no justification for a ban on snus within the EU

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
PANAGIOTIS SOTIROPOULOS

**email**  
GREECE

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SIBILIA COSIMINO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

42

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SIBILIA COSIMINO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

42

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

No change

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

eva-maria herweg-racutanu

**email**

Sweden

**country**

52

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**which option**

Stop Selling snus and oher smokeless tobacco products in ordinary shops. Just allowwing to sell them at special liqour stores Systembolaget in Sweden or Alko in Finland.

**additional option**

No selling in bars or restaurants due to the 18 year old teenager can buy it

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Julia Neumaier

**email**

United Kingdom

**country**

29

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

I do not see any problem.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAVESE GABRIELLA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

38

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GAIA GIANLUCA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

31

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Giorgos Sakellaris

**email**

Greece

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

no commend

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Cousins

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
18

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Stavros Vlachos

**email**  
Greece

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
no commend

**comments**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Marina Kapetanaki

**email**  
Greece

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
no commend

**comments**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Nikos Techovitis

**email**  
Greece

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
no commend

**comments**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Sofia Filippaiou

**email**  
Greece



**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

no commend

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thanassi Sakellaris

**email**

Greece

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

no commend

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Konstantikna Panagiotopoulou

**email**

Greece

**country**

34

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

no commend

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ZACCARIA ANDREA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

31

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

VITALE GIANLUCA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

21

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

VISPARELLI DE GIROLAMO EMILIO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
41

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
VILLANI ANTONIO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
29

**age**  
male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VICENTINI PAOLO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

45

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VESSIO RAFFAELE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

47

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

VERONESI ALBERTO

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

37

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

VALLERANI LUCA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
33

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
VAGNONI ENRICO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
44

**age**  
male

**additional comments**



No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TROVATO TERESA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

64

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

TRIGGIANI ANTONELLA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

21

#### **age**

female

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

TEMPESTA LUCA FEDERICO

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

38

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

TEMPESTA LUCA FEDERICO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
3

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
STEFANIA

**email**  
sportoletti.tabacchi@gmail.com

**country**  
33

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SIMONE GIUSEPPE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

37

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SGARBANTI FRANCO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

49

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
SERAFINO CESARE

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
44

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCUTI SILVIO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

44

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al

fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

SCIMIA CARMELA

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

52

##### **age**

female

##### **additional comments**

No

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

##### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

##### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**



citizen

**name**

SCIANNA DANIELA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

39

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCARONI MARCO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

32

**age**  
male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCALIA ARMANDO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

46

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SCALI ANNA MARIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

54

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa

percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SAPORI ROSANNA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

52

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SAPONE MARIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

63

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SANTORO GENNARO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

49

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
ROSSETTI AMERIGO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
48

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROMOLI NICOLETTA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

48

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a

dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RODINO' FRANCESCO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

50

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

RIEFOLO ANTONIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

62

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RAGOZZINO VINCENZO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

44

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RAGONESI MAURA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

58

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

QUADRELLO DANIELA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

38

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a

dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

POPAIZ ANTONIO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

59

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**  
POLI PAOLO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
52

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
PITINGA ANDREA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PIRANI ANDREA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

42

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PINTUS GIULIANA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

31

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a

dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PETRASSI SABRINA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

33

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

PETRARARA VINCENZA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

65

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PERRINI DOMENICO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

54

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PEPE ALESSANDRO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

44

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PASTORESSA GIUSEPPE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

54

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a

dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PASIN SONIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

39

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**  
PAROLA ANDREA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
40

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
PAPA TERESA MARIA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
64

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAPA FABRIZIO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

37

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAOLUCCI RICCARDO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

41

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a

dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PANTI SERGIO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

66

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**  
PALMA ROBERTO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
46

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
PAGLIONICO BARTOLOMEO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
42

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAGLIARI ALBERTI

**email**

ITALY

**country**

45

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PAGANELLI GIUSEPPE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

47

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a

dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PACI STEFANIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

54

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

OTTAVIANI DANIELA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

53

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ORIOLI GIOVANNI

**email**

ITALY

**country**

55

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

OLIVA FRANCESCO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

44

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NOTARPIETRO GIUSEPPE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

66

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a

dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NESCI EMMA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

48

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

MEZZADRI VALTER

**email**

ITALY

**country**

45

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MEDROS GIANLUCA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

38

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MATTA ROBERTO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

59

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MATTA ROBERTO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

59

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a

dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARAN STEFANO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

43

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**  
MANGANO SILVIA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
28

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
MANEGLIA ARMANDO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
39

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GUGLIELMETTO MUGION MONICA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

37

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIORDANI MARGHERITA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

79

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a

dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GARBERO STEFANO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

30

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

FRANCHINETTI MARINA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

47

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FABBRI GIANLUCA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

50

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DI FEO FILOMENA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

49

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CUCCHIARA FRANCESCA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

38

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a

dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CRISTALLI MICHELE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

48

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CICCHIELLO ANNA MARIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

56

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BRAIDA MARINA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

48

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BAZZOCCHI LUIGI

**email**

ITALY

**country**

49

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARATELLA PAOLA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

43

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a

dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BALOIRE CELESTINA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

59

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

ARDENGO ALESSANDRO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rui David Minhos

**email**

Portugal

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Based on some epidemiological data from Sweden on lung cancer and smoking related diseases, I infer that smokeless tobacco products like snus are less harmful to health. It is very important that all EU citizens could have equal access to low risk products.

**additional option**

Tobacco products have been being consumed for ages, in many different ways. It's very likely that they will continue to be consumed for the upcoming years. Health officials should pay attention to the newly harm reduction tobacco products which could be a good way to reduce smoking related diseases, and the problems related to the environmental smoke.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ludwig Bierl

**email**

deutschland

**country**

47

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Es ist nicht Korrekt weil man sich beim Kauf von Zigaretten unter dem Ladentisch vorkommt wie wenn man etwas illegales kauft und einem somit die Freiheit genommen wird frei zu entscheiden was man seiner Gesundheit antut und was nicht! Man kann mir ja auch nicht vorschreiben das ich ab morgen nur noch Puma sachen trage

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

jose luis duo goldarazena

**email**

spain

**country**

56

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MUOIO GIANLUCA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

43

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MUNARI MARINA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

52

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MORRA ROSANNA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

42

#### **age**

female

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MORETTI STEFANO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
43

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
MORETTI NICOLA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
28

**age**  
male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MORANDINI CLEMENTE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

46

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MONTANARI CELSO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

58

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

**comments**

No change

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MENCARELLI MARCO

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

44

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MELOTTI GABRIELE

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
36

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
MAZZUCHELLI NATASCIA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
32

**age**  
female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARRA ANTONIO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

33

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

MARCONI PATRIZIA

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

51

##### **age**

female

##### **additional comments**

No

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

##### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

##### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MANTOVANI GIORGIA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

37

#### **age**

female

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MANENTI MATTEO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
26

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
MANCINI TANIA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
37

**age**  
female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAGRINELLO ORIETTA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

43

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MAGGIO ANTONINO

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

43

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MAFFESSOLI ANNA BRUNA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

55

#### **age**

female

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

LUISI MAGNO SOLDANI DONATELLA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
45

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
LUCHERINI GABRIELE

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
49

**age**  
male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

LOMUSCIO VINCENZO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

52

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

LEGGERI TERESA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

64

#### **age**

female

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

LA VEDER VALENTINA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

30

#### **age**

female

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

LAINI RENATA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
48

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
IPPOLITO MASSIMO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
36

**age**  
male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

INSELVINI ADRIANO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

43

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

GUIDIERI MERY

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

44

#### **age**

female

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BUCCI VIOLA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

26

#### **age**

female

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BRUNI EMILIANO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
37

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
BIZZARRI ROSANNA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
58

**age**  
female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ANGIOLINI ANTONELLA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

47

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

GUATTA MARISA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

44

#### **age**

female

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

GROSSI BARBARA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

40

#### **age**

female

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

GRANATO ANTONIO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
34

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
GONNELLA GIUSEPPE

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
46

**age**  
male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GIACOMELLI PIER PAOLO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

48

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

GALANTINO CHIARA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

48

#### **age**

female

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

FUSCONI FABIO

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

30

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

FORESTI ALESSANDRO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
46

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
FOCHESATO MARIA DANIELE

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
55

**age**  
female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FARALLO PASQUALE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

53

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

FACCANI PAOLA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

40

#### **age**

female

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kern

**email**

Germany

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Ich habe kein Kommentar.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DRUDI MARCO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

29

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DRUDI FEDERICO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

50

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

DI SIRO ANGELO

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

59

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

DI PINTO MARCO

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

59

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

DESENZANI NICOLA



**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
25

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
DEL TUFO LORETTA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
45

**age**  
female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DE SIENA RENATO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

44

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

DE FILIPPIS NICOLA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

64

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

DAOLIO DANIELE

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

44

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

D'ERRICO MARIA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
48

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
D'ALESSANDRO FRANCESCO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
39

**age**  
male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'ALOISI FRANCO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

82

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D'ALESSANDRO FRANCESCO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

39

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

**additional option**

Un’eventuale estensione dell’ambito della Direttiva ad altre categorie di prodotti diverse dai prodotti del tabacco (sigarette elettroniche, bevande alla nicotina, caramelle alla nicotina, sigarette alle erbe) non è, a mio avviso, necessaria in quanto non basata su prove scientifiche che dimostrino una nocività di questi prodotti analoga a quella dei prodotti del tabacco.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

G.Randsdorp

**email**

Nederland

**country**

60

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CORBARA FLORIANA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

60

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche,



commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

CONTI CARMEN

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

65

##### **age**

female

##### **additional comments**

No

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

##### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

##### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COLUCCI FIORA DOMENICA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

49

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHERSONI ROSANNA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

46

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
BOTTI MIRKO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
41

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BASSI FRANCA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

55

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al

fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BARAGLI LUCIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

34

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

H.Randsdorp

**email**

Nederland

**country**

60

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Etienne

**email**

Belgium

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

No, the problem definition is not acceptable as drafted. It is vague, confused and unclear. Statements in the problem definition address all smokeless tobacco products without making any differentiation between the various types of products in this category. By ignoring this variety, the Consultation creates confusion rather than providing clarity to allow for objective policy choices.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

No

**additional option**

Snus is legally sold in a number of countries worldwide and we believe there is no justification for a ban on snus within the EU.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Verschueren TIm

**email**

Belgium

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

No, the problem definition is not acceptable as drafted. It is vague, confused and unclear. Statements in the problem definition address all smokeless tobacco products without making any differentiation between the various types of products in this category. By ignoring this variety, the Consultation creates confusion rather than providing clarity to allow for objective policy choices

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

NO

**additional option**

Snus is legally sold in a number of countries worldwide and we believe there is no justification for a ban on snus within the EU.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CREMONESI ROBERTA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
34

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
CONTI ALICE

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
26

**age**  
female

**additional comments**



No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

COMITE RAFFAELE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

36

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

CHIARINI GIUSEPPINA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

55

#### **age**

female

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

CEOLONI VITTORIO

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

52

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

CAVALLERO GIANFRANCO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
59

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
CATINELLI TOMMASO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
40

**age**  
male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASTIGNOLA LILIANA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

49

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

CASALI GABRIELE

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

42

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

CAROLI SILVIA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

50

#### **age**

female

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

CARLUCCI RENATA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
38

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
CARIULO LEONARDO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
50

**age**  
male

**additional comments**



No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARELLA ANGELA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

34

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

CAFIERO SABRINA

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

42

##### **age**

female

##### **additional comments**

No

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

##### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

##### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

CAFIERO FABRINA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

42

#### **age**

female

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BUZZI SANDRO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
29

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
BRAGA ROBERTA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
39

**age**  
female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BOTTONI PATRIZIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

51

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BONFA' DANIELE

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

55

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BICCHERI ILIANA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

47

#### **age**

female

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BIANCO FRANCESCA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
54

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
BERTONI FILIPPO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
29

**age**  
male

**additional comments**



No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BERTERA LAURA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

50

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BELOTTI DANIELA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

45

#### **age**

female

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BELLONI MARIA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

62

#### **age**

female

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

BELLINO ANTONIA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
39

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
BARBIERI CATERINA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
26

**age**  
female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BACCELLI MIRELLA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

72

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

AVEROLDI ADRIANA

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

55

##### **age**

female

##### **additional comments**

No

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

##### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

##### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karin Hochgesang

**email**

Germany

**country**

43

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Ich habe keinen Kommentar

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ANTONINI GIULIANO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

36

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ANDOLFATTO LUCIO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

60

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**



Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

AMBROGIO MARIA ROSA

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

67

##### **age**

female

##### **additional comments**

No

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell’opzione.

##### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

##### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. “snus”), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell’Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l’incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all’utilizzo dello “snus”. La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell’efficacia dello “snus” come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

ALBANI EZIO

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

45

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Andrew Griffiths

**email**

United Kingdom

**country**

29

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

What evidence is there that the use of smokeless tobacco products may lead to subsequent cigarette smoking? Are there scientific studies that support this? My personal experience is the opposite and that use of snus has helped me quit smoking. Between ages of 18 and 26 I smoked 10-20 cigarettes per day. Immediately after starting to use snus I was able to stop smoking on a regular basis (i.e. still smoking 5-10 cigarettes per month) and, in the following 6 months, quit smoking completely. I have anecdotal evidence that the same is true for others. I believe there are a number of scientific studies that show similar conclusions.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AIARDI FRANCESCA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

34

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALBANESE MASSIMILIANO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

44

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al

fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ABRIOLA DANIELA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

44

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ritengo che la commercializzazione del tabacco umido in polvere per uso orale (c.d. "snus"), oggi autorizzata, tra i Paesi dell'Ue, solo in Svezia, dovrebbe essere consentita in tutti i Paesi del mercato unico. Questo anche in virtù dei risultati di diverse ricerche scientifiche, commissionate proprio in Svezia, che dimostrano come l'incidenza delle patologie correlate al fumo si sia ridotta, rispetto alla media UE, proprio grazie all'utilizzo dello "snus". La stessa percentuale dei fumatori sul totale della popolazione è inferiore alla media UE, a dimostrazione dell'efficacia dello "snus" come possibile strumento di supporto per smettere di consumare prodotti da fumo.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

jähmig,sven-roy

**email**

deutschland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ERNESTO CASTILLO AGOTE

**email**

ESPAÑA

**country**

40

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Hesdorf

**email**

Denmark

**country**

49

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

All tobacco and nicotine products should be regulated with a non-competitive product regulation based on product quality, and consumer protection.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

AGELOS TSOUTSANIS

**email**

greece

**country**

31

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

no

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

KATERINA POTHOU

**email**

GREECE

**country**

32

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

NO COMMENT

**comments**

No change

**which option**

NO

**additional option**

NO COMMENT

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

stelios nikolakakis

**email**

greece

**additional comments**



No

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Aleks Tapinsh

**email**

Latvia

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Olli Mäkaräinen

**email**

Finland

**country**

26

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bertil Lindberg

**email**

Spain

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Basil Dutchak

**email**

england

**country**

60

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

I am smoker but it is now banned in many places and not just indoors. I worked in Sweden for several months and it seems they have the ideal product to use tobacco without effecting other people with my smoke in the atmosphere. and that I can use it all the time indoors and out.

Why cannot we get it here in England

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Janice Dutchak

**email**

England

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

You are banning a useful alternative to a smoking tobacco. I want to use snus when I am unable to use cigarettes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tim

**email**

belgium

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rudi van Kleeff

**email**

The Netherlands

**country**

37

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

hanna fedorova

**email**

Germany

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hartinger Johann

**email**

Austria

**country**

56

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hartinger Johann

**email**

Austria

**country**

56

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hartinger Johann

**email**

Austria

**country**

56

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

**which option**

Ich lasse mir von den EU Diktatoren nicht vorschreiben welches Produkt ich konsumiere. Ob neutrale oder Bunte Verpackung.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marta Tévar Beunza

**email**

spain

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

los productos de tabaco sin humo no tienen los mismos efectos para la salud que los productos de tabaco aptos para fumar

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claudia Schumacher

**email**

Germany

**country**

25

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manuel Mateo Areopagita

**email**

Spain

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tarek Fadl

**email**

Deutschland

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht – die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten.

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

andreas hallin

**email**

sweden

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Banning snuff is ridiculous, simply because there are other tobacco that are more dangerous to human bodies in other ways. Such as cigarettes. Why not ban cigarettes as well then? As far as I know, that question hasn't even been spoken of.

---

**Citizen submission****identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fredrik Granlund

**email**

Finland

**country**

38

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

What, exactly, does "problem definition" mean? I can't find any reference to that phrase on this site. The problem is that you cannot buy snus anywhere else in the EU but Sweden.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

The point of a free market should be upheld. Snus is legal in Sweden. It should be legal throughout the EU. All products sold legally in any part of the EU should be legal to sell in all EU countries.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Owe Sjöblo

**email**

Åland, Finland

**country**

42

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lene Taasti Pedersen

**email**

Denmark

**country**

38

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

**which option**

I find it very important that smokers also in future will be able to get help from smokeless tobacco products in order to quit smoking. I am very frustrated because of the discussion of banning the e-cigarette, which is the best product to help quitting smoking. The e-cigarette improves smokers health (compared to a real cigarette) and it does not harm the surroundings. Raising the costs for smoking, like they do in Denmark all the time, does not help the smoker to quit, nor does the banning of smoking in public. Instead I began to smoke even more, because I felt I needed to smoke alot before and after work for instance....and I have heard the exact same story from many other smokers. Anyway I really do not believe in the health politics at all! If you really want to improve health then taxes on smokeless tobacco, fruit, vegetables, low fat products ought to be removed. In Denmark they put taxes on everything: tobacco, fat, sugar etc. - but they do not want to lower the prices on healthy food. And that is why I cannot believe that they really want to improve health!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Magnus Andersson

**email**

Sweden

**country**

42

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Snus has supported me quit smoking but more importantly helped me to not expose my three kids as well as other fellows for the much more harmful effects of tobacco smoking. Any ban of snus is contra-productive in my humble opinion and un-fair unless all tobacco products are banned. The low amount of smokers in Sweden is directly link to the availability of the much less harmful snus. Any widening ban of snus would be absolutely wreck-less behaviour.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr Kevin Mordecai

**email**

United kingdom

**country**

44

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

With the restricted smoking legislation in force, this product can be quite beneficial to businesses and non smoking employees alike. Plus also a gain for smokers who dont have to step outdoors to have a smoke.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PELERIEAU SEBASTIEN

**email**

BELGIUM

**country**

37

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Gertz Nielsen

**email**

Denmark

**country**

31

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
CARMEN CESPEDES LEON

**email**  
spain

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
PEDRO GONZALEZ GONZALEZ

**email**  
spain

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

L Mortensen

**email**

DK

**country**

36

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

In DK you can buy loose snus. Why not portion packed? Seems irrational. Besides its much less harmful than cigarettes. Swedish people get older than Danish because of this.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Schroeder

**email**

Germany

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Philip Edner

**email**

Sweden

**country**

55

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Snus is less harmful to the body and should be allowed for use all over the world. This will prevent people from smoking, or at least create less smokers. The tobacco industry should all over, be permitted to manufacture and sell snus. This will decrease cancer and other smokingrelated diseases. Young people will refrain from smoking and the healthsituation in EU and the rest of the world will improve significantly. Snus is according to scientists harmless.

**additional option**

Please consider seriously to lift the ban on snus from healthcare perspective. Illnesscosts caused by smoking will decrease.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Niklas Elers

**email**

Finland

**country**

18

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Per Karlsson

**email**

Sweden

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

I do not really see a problem, other than the fact that I (as do most scientists) consider it ridiculous to even consider banning any type of smokeless tobacco product - that a), effectively help people quit smoking; perhaps you don't see it in Brussels, but it's apparent to anyone in Sweden, especially in the last 20 years, and b) are infinitely less harmful than the perfectly legal cigarettes. The ban on snus should be lifted immediately, for the considerable benefit if both public health and the internal market.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

I reiterate: I do not really see a problem, other than the fact that I (as do most scientists) consider it ridiculous to even consider banning any type of smokeless tobacco product - that a), effectively help people quit smoking; perhaps you don't see it in Brussels, but it's apparent to anyone in Sweden, especially in the last 20 years, and b) are infinitely less harmful than the perfectly legal cigarettes. The ban on snus should be lifted immediately, for the considerable benefit if both public health and the internal market.



**additional option**

And again: I do not really see a problem, other than the fact that I (as do most scientists) consider it ridiculous to even consider banning any type of smokeless tobacco product - that a), effectively help people quit smoking; perhaps you don't see it in Brussels, but it's apparent to anyone in Sweden, especially in the last 20 years, and b) are infinitely less harmful than the perfectly legal cigarettes. The ban on snus should be lifted immediately, for the considerable benefit if both public health and the internal market.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

juan manuel galindo hernandez

**email**

Benalua, Granada.

**country**

41

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

aitor urrutia pagola

**email**

spain

**country**

37

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Stephen Harrison

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Birgit Vanaveski

**email**  
Estonia

**country**  
20

**age**  
female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Keiu Põlluveer

**email**

Estonia

**country**

24

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Snus use may help some smokers quit cigarette smoking and it has helped a lot of my friends to do that.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Katre Niinemägi

**email**

Estonia

**country**

22

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Snus use among youth and adult users is not a significant predictor of future smoking.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johan Kristiansson

**email**

I live in Germany, but i have Swedish nationality

**country**

43

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Well, everyone knows that the lobbyists from the cigarette-companys are bribing the politicians of the EU, so that there will be no competition from Swedish tobacco-products. Hundres of thousands of people die from smoking in the EU every year, and no one dies from using snus. Every excuse for banning snus is clearly stating: It's about the money. Nor the businessmen or the politicians care about the health of the people. Still i must say that Swedish merchants and their lobbyists are so weak that i don't believe snus will ever be legal to sell outside of Sweden. Perhaps it will be forbidden there to one day, god forbid. Snus is good. I am anti-cigarettes, and pro-SNUS.

**additional option**

Let me just say, that when i walk the streets of Düsseldorf, and feel the horrible smell of the traffic and the people smoking, it is unbelievable that cigarettes are allowed and smokeless tobacco is not. And smoking creates a kick-fixation, using snus does not. There is no argument that morally can justify the current situation. Again, EVERY statement against snus is spelling: I'm sorry, it's just about the money.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Liisi Sünd

**email**

Estonia

**country**

16

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Reiser

**email**

Germany

**country**

27

**age**  
male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Since the ban of snus, numerous scientific studies on health effects of smokeless tobacco products have been conducted (e.g. Bofetta et al., 2005; Haglund et al., 2008; Lou et al., 2008; Hansson et al., 2009; Blank & Eissenberg, 2010). Many of these studies, however, lack an accurate definition of the type of smokeless tobacco that has been investigated (Bofetta et al., 2005) making the conclusions highly questionable (Ramström, 2006). The surveys consulted to prove the carcinogenic effect of snus (Lou et al., 2008; Bofetta et al., 2005) were epidemiological studies or short term clinical studies (Cobb et al., 2010) lacking a thorough control of additional carcinogenic substances the test persons have been subjected to (e.g. alcohol, cigarettes) which might falsify the outcome (Henningfeld, 1995). Besides, most of these studies ignored smoking as an even severe agent to cause (e.g. pancreatic) cancer (Lou et al., 2008) disregarding the subject of discussion (Haglund et al., 2008). In contrast, findings showing the very low health risks, e.g. cardiovascular disease (Hansson et al., 2009) associated with snus as well as the low cytotoxicity (Neilson et al., 2009) of snus are widely ignored. In a modelling study, Gartner et al. (2007) gathered information even suggesting that switching to snus gives as much health benefits as quitting all tobacco use. Up to now, there is no single (reproducible) clinical or experimental study that clearly shows a carcinogenic effect of snus! However, there are studies showing public health benefits of snus (e.g. Rodu et al., 2002; Fagerstrom & Schildt, 2003; Stegmayr et al., 2005; The Royal College of Physicians of London, 2007; Gilmore et al., 2008) and that there is scientific evidence that smokers can use snus to successfully stop smoking (e.g. Fagerstrom, 2003; Fagerstrom & Schildt, 2003; Gartner et al. 2007; Stenbeck, 2009; Caldwell et al, 2010) whereas other nicotine preparations have not been taken up by smokers (Hall & Gartner 2009; Gartner & Hall, 2010). Again, to link subsequent smoking to snus, the term “smokeless tobacco” needs to be clarified and exactly defined. However, a net reduction in the amount of smokers - if snus is available - has been observed (Rodu et al., 2002; Foulds et al., 2003; Stegmayr et al., 2005; Furberg et al., 2006; Ramström & Foulds, 2006). Subsequent use of cigarettes after starting with snus would be contrary to the “Swedish Experience” (Foulds et al., 2003; Ramström & Foulds, 2006) and might be due to the ban of snus depriving a reasonable alternative to cigarettes. The problem definition is therefore, to the greatest possible extent, wrong! Additionally, the EC Tobacco Products Directive (2001/37/EC) where tobacco for oral use is defined as “...all products for oral use, except those intended to be smoked or chewed, made wholly or partly of tobacco, in powder or in particulate form or in any combination of those forms” is currently not realized! According to the EC definition, a freely available product called “Makla” that is “...smoked or chewed...” and made “...partly of tobacco, in powder...” is soled throughout the member states.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

According to the current state of knowledge on snus, its ban within the EU has to be lifted. Production and manufacturing standards have to be released specifying and securing the least

possible amount of TSNA within the marketed products. Age-verification by retail dealers has to be intensified, monitored and avenged if violated. Smokers have to get access to less harmful tobacco products to offer them an alternative to quit smoking. Snus has been proven as a possible way to quit smoking for some fraction of smokers. However, others preferred alternative methods. Mature citizens have to be allowed to choose! Further research on health effects of snus should be (as all toxicological studies) standardised, as there are inconsistent types and different experimental protocols lacking the code of good scientific practice and are not reproducible!

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Madis Altermann

**email**

Estonia

**country**

24

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Achim Buschhueter

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

38

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Erwin Attar

**email**  
Austria

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
janne Kirmet

**email**  
Estonia

**country**  
19

**age**



female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**which option**

raising more awareness among the youth

**additional option**

i like that the issue is being taken under close look.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

lauri

**email**

estonia

**country**

23

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mari Tiidus

**email**  
Estonia

**country**  
22

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Annaliisa Jäme

**email**  
Estonia

**country**  
18

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Sandra Uustal

**email**  
Estonia

**country**  
19

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Senad Busnov

**email**  
Finland

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Maarja G

**email**  
Estonia

**country**

20

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andres Lindemann

**email**

estonia

**country**

26

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andry Silla

**email**

Estonia

**country**

18

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kristi Vikman

**email**

Estonia

**country**

18

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

L S

**email**

Estonia

**country**  
15

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**  
Not making any commercials.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Andres Lestal

**email**  
Estonia

**country**  
18

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Maria Liisa Tõnissoo

**email**  
Estonia

**country**  
18

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Alar Huul

**email**  
Estonia

**country**  
24

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Ott Riie

**email**

Estonia

**country**

19

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

julija

**email**

estonia

**country**

20

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Florian Grafenauer

**email**

Austria

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oddgeir Daling Stornes

**email**

Poland

**country**

23

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maaïke van den Brandhof

**email**

Belgium

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Damien O'Brien

**email**

Swisse

**country**

31

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NIKOLAOS GAGALIS

**email**

Greece

**country**

28

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Risto Tõns

**email**

Estonia

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ann Asser

**email**

Estonia

**country**

27

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Ursula-Janika Soop

**email**  
Estonia

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Snus usage does not promote future smoking.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Kare Nupponen

**email**  
Finland

**country**

18

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Egle Loor

**email**

Estonia

**country**

27

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Viive Aasma

**email**

Estonia

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**  
This topic of nicotine gums was a serious topic recently at meeting of parents in my kids school. The gums are brough in from abroad. Teachers said, vaery many kids already do it, and it is difficult to even notice it! They taught parents how to check the gums of their kids at home, too see, if the are "smoking".

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Aivar Soop

**email**  
Estonia

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**comments**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Rimvydas

**email**

Lithuania

**country**

28

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Timo Niskanen

**email**

Finland

**country**

41

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

EU should allow selling snus in all EU - countries. Euroopan unionin tulisi vapauttaa nuuska ja sallia nuuskan myynti kaikissa jäsenvaltioissa. Mikäli nuuska kielletään, tulee kieltää kaikki tupakkatuotteet.

**comments**

No change

**which option**

Free snus in all EU - countries.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Alasdair Clarke

#### **email**

United Kingdom

#### **country**

58

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

Yes

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **which option**

No, but this is because the effective options I would like to see, being a differential tax on cigarettes and snus in proportion to their relative harm, is a matter for Member States and not the Commission.

#### **additional option**

Yes. The Problem Definition states that "all smokeless tobacco products .....can cause cancer". This statement is contentious in the light of a number of peer reviewed papers, including large scale epidemiological studies, in reputable journals which do not find a statistical connection between snus use and mouth and lung cancer rates, or, for that matter, the incidence of myocardial infarction. There is strong evidence that switching from smoking to snus represents a significant degree of harm reduction. I myself made the change and have seen major improvements to my health, which have been confirmed by both my doctor and my dentist. European Union citizens who like myself are addicted to tobacco should have the right to purchase snus in their locality as an alternative to being restricted to more harmful cigarettes.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Anders Lyth

**email**

Sweden

**country**

66

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Teet

**email**

Estonia

**country**

20

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Enrico Bartocci

**email**

ITALY

**country**

49

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sindre Nedregård

**email**

Norway

**country**

23

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Ban cigarettes, replace them with snus.

**additional option**

Snus is a tobacco product whose effects on health are thus far seen to be far smaller than

cigarettes. How in the world can snus be so discriminated against, while cigarettes flourish everywhere? I cannot understand or accept this!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mads Bengtson Jakobsen

**email**

Denmark

**country**

21

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Durst

**email**

Germany

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Benedikt Baumgarten

**email**

Germany

**country**

27

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephen McManus

**email**

Ireland

**country**

44

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

I am a former heavy smoker that failed several attempts to stop until I discovered snus seven years ago. Since then I feel healthier, performing significantly better in sports and more importantly, my children are not exposed to a smoking parent, which would help them see smoking as a normal thing. I believe that lifting the ban will help a large share of smokers in Europe by providing a lower risk and more socially acceptable option.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jerzy Rajkow-Krzywicki

**email**

Poland

**country**

33

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Simon Allen

**email**

UK

**country**

34

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**  
Reversing this ban will open up new possibilities to the millions of smokers in the EU who are trapped in nicotine addiction,, who wish to stop but do not find the current alternatives satisfactory.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jerome de Barros

**email**  
Portugal

**country**  
27

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Fredrik Lagercrantz

**email**

Sweden

**country**

32

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

It is doubtful to say that snus can cause cancer, more correct would be to state that Snus may cause cancer (which could on the other hand go for a lot of goods). Also the problem definition does not lift the aspect of people using Snus as a substitute for cigarettes. Not for help with quitting but also as a substitute never starting to smoke. The problem definition also leaves out the scientifically proved fact that Snus is many times less dangerous than smoking.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MIRLACH Michael

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

44

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Die Definition ist völlig überzogen

**comments**

No change

**additional option**

Derzeitige Regulierung ist schon zu viel.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mirlach Michael

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

44

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Basiert auf Vermutungen und Annahmen, welche prophetischen Charakter haben

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nicklas Mattsson

**email**

Sweden

**country**



44

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**  
These products, as tobacco and alcohol, should of course have an age-limit.

**additional option**  
This is a free trade issue. Harmless or not, snus provide a significantly lesser health threat than smoking and it is absurd to have a ban on them as long as alcohol and tobacco is considered legal products.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Andreas Wettainen

**email**  
Sweden

**country**  
29

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Swedish Snus saves lifes! I was able to stop smoking thanks to it.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Van Echelpoel Carl

**email**

Belgie

**country**

55

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

ik ga niet akkoord

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marcin Piekut

**email**

Poland

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robert Urbanowski

**email**

Poland

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hubert Wysocki

**email**

Poland

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rafal Roszak

**email**

Poland

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Agnieszka Roszak

**email**

Poland

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulrike Wiedemann

**email**

germany

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Keine weitere Bevormundung

**comments**

No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulrike Wiedemann

**email**

germany

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

keine Bevormundung. Tabak ist ein genußmittel und keine Droge

**comments**

No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pär Thyresson

**email**

Sweden

**country**

50

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Smokeless tobacco in the form of snus, is by far the most effective substitute to cigarettes leaving a smoke free environment.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christian Werdinius

**email**

Sweden

**country**

37

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Shippin

**email**

UK

**country**

52

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Laura Löberbauer

**email**

Österreich

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Kein Kommentar

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karl-Gustav Taavo

**email**

Sweden

**country**

47

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kjell

**email**

Sweden

**country**

67

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Snuset räddade mig från rökning ! Rökning dödar - inte snus !

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Popescu Ruxandra Andreea

**email**

Romania

**country**

34

**age**



female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roger Nordh

**email**

Sweden

**country**

56

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joel Fredin

**email**

Sweden

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Regulation of nicotine as a food additive as the introduction of sweets or drinks with nicotine masks the content for the user. Snus, cigarettes, pipes, et.c., can be defined as dedicated nicotine delivery systems and (close to) everyone - at least in the nordics - are aware of the dangers of this usage. When used as a food additive, the risks might not be as clear for the user.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Georg Skult

**email**

Sweden

**country**

51

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

"snus" its a much better alternative to cigarrets!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Niclas Hammenfors

**email**

Sweden

**country**

39

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

EU removed the cancer warning on snus in 2001 and as late as 2008, the EU committee of experts, noted that snus is less than 90 per cent less harmful than cigarettes and does not provide some of the fatal smoking-related diseases affected by smoking.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Just as unleaded petrol has replaced leaded petrol waiting for a better solution cigarettes should be banned and snus be allowed.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Börje Lundin

**email**

Denmark

**country**

87

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

I do not understand why snus which is 90% less harmful than cigarettes can be banned outside Sweden. It is against EU's law that gives every good the chance to be sold everywhere in the EU without restrictions. We are not talking about a drug ...we are talking about tobacco which is less harmful than cigarettes. Is it the cigarette logo that rules EU ???????

**additional option**

Stop the ban of snus !!!!! Free trade is one of EU's cornerstones !!!!!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Schäfer

**email**

Germany

**country**

55

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Snus is definitively a way to quit smoking. I was a heavy smoker for more than 35 years. Tried several ways to quit (for example Zyban) but nothing worked for long. With snus, now, I'm smoke-free for more than 5 years. I know many people who made the same experience; so please legalise snus in the EU

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Bergström

**email**  
Sweden

**country**  
24

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Why in the world is swedish snus banned, when cigarettes are still legal? I have no idea why this is even a topic for discussion when: 1. Snus is healthier then smoking 2. Snus is healthier then smoking 3. Snus is healthier then smoking 4. Smoking will kill you, and with second hand smoking, kill others. 5. Snus is healthier then smoking If you can't see this, you are a bunch of fucking retards!

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Mats Sävneby

**email**  
Sweden

**country**  
62

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
"On the contrary, as all tobacco products, snus causes dependence and according to the evidence from some countries, the use of smokeless tobacco products may lead to subsequent

cigarette smoking." This is untrue. The fact that in Sweden (with no ban of snus ) has the use of cigarette smoking decreased more than 50% the last 20 years.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

It's a fact that snus is more than 90% less harmful than cigarettes, lifting the ban of snus would strongly increase the health i EU.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eskil Martinsson

**email**

Sweden

**country**

64

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

As the use of snus only can have an negative effecton the user, if any. It must be up to each person to deside about her/his use. If EU ban snus, what comes next?????? Please take care of the important matters.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johan Sivander

**email**

Sweden

**country**

47

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Snus is a traditional product in Sweden. It should be allowed for sale throughout the European Union, such as dry snuff and other traditional tobacco products already are. Furthermore the ban on snus is not proportional as evidence suggests that it less harmful than other tobacco products, such as cigarettes. If the ban on snus is not lifted, cigarettes should be banned too.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Camilla Brosbøl

**email**

Denmark

**country**

37

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

What problem?! Lift the ban and release our beloved Snus!

**additional option**

You need to lift the ban! If the product is available and legal in for instance the US - why can't it be available in other countries in the same magnitude as in Sweden. It is a great substitute for cigarettes. People who these days have to go outside and smoke - still stinks when they come inside and they live with bad respiratory problems and lung defficiency. Snus do not trouble anyone in anyway. And if you want to ban Snus then you also need to ban coffee, coca cola, and alcohol - since these are all products which is perfectly legal and equally addictive and even more dangerous. Coca Cola is proven to have a bad impact when it comes to teeth decay. But for me, I have been using Snus since I was 15 - and I have no problem what so ever with anything in my mouth. To ban Snus, and not letting it be legal at least in the Nordic countries is to undermine a Swedish tradition, and since many Swedes live and work in the neighbor countries such as Norway and Denmark, it is obvious that this product must be available for all Swedes and not having the Swedes to be forced to import it with expensive shipping/travelling fees and extra tax. We do NOT want to be forced to buy expensive Nicorettes gums that gives us the look of an eating cow. And if Nicorettes is legal then I really don't see the judgement call that gives EU the right to ban Snus. Instead give other Nordic countries the benefit of selling and endorce it.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jussi Sundqvist

**email**

Sweden

**country**

53

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**which option**

I would suggest that "snus" and other smokeless tobacco products should be free within EU. Just think of the positive effect it will have on lungcancer, KOL, environment, etc. A lot of peolpe that are using cigarettes etc could change to "snus" just to stop the dangerous smoking.



**additional option**

Let EU investigate how much the total of countries are spending on the lungcancer and KOL treatment each year and make a control of thosewho are using "snus" and compare. Let us asume that 50 % of all smokers could quit smoking and start to use "snus" and measure that effect.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Svensson

**email**

Sweden.

**country**

23

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

The whole point of EU was and still is, from what I know, free trade within EU. Let's live up to that, shall we.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dennis Hansson

**email**

Sweden

**country**

47

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Andreas Odhage

**email**  
Sweden

**country**  
32

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Tobacco for oral use should be allowed in all of the European Union. The European Union is supposed to be the symbol for free movement of people and goods. Prohibitions rhyme bad with free movement of people and goods and also with personal freedom and freedom of choice.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Uttendorfer Georg

**email**

Deutschland

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johan Vestergren

**email**

sweden

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Romedahl

**email**

Sweden

**country**

54

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulf Andersson

**email**

Sweden

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

I don't have any evidence, I can only speak from my own experience: Snus have helped me to decrease my need to smoke. I'm not taking part wether SNUS is going to be banned from being sold in other EU Member States, but I don't want EU to tell me as a Swedish citizen that I can't use SNUS because of a EU Directive or that it will be forbidden to manufacture SNUS in Sweden. Many Swedish citizens are skeptical to our EU Membership. By putting a ban on SNUS in Sweden, you will only get more skeptics - and that is not what you need.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Ridderström

**email**

Sweden

**country**

75

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Snus har använts i Sverige sedan länge och ska få vara tillgängligt för dem som vill använda det.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mikael Löfberg

**email**

Sweden

**country**

46

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Non of EU bussines. what about the open internal market and why not ban smoke products

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

what problem?

**additional option**

How is it possible that the EU and its internal market, based on free trade and respect for the differences of our diverse cultures and traditions, ban snus, while other traditional tobacco products are perfectly legal?

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gunnar

**email**

Sweden

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

S-E Sund

**email**

Sweden

**country**

61

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Ronny Hansson

**email**  
sweden

**country**  
33

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Johanna Stål

**email**  
Sweden

**country**

33

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jonas Wigert

**email**

Sweden

**country**

40

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Since it has been proven in health care studies that snus is up to 90 percent healthier than for instance cigarettes, it would be appropriate to lift the ban on snus. The European Commission also removed the warning for cancer on snus as late as 2008, which would prove again that snus is a specific smokeless tobacco which, by far, not as many health care risks than smoking tobacco. Further more, in Sweden, we have a long tradition of the use of snus going all the way back to the 1600-century.

---

**Citizen submission****identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patric Ahlgren

**email**

Sweden

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

There are far more dangerous tobacco related products to ban, thats the right end of it to start.  
Drug related products overall

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Göran Vidales

**email**

Sweden

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

I quit smoking with the help of snus, i tried to quit smoking with out snus but i started agian  
after a week then i did it with the help of snus and i quit from one day to the next!

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

There are more harmful products on the market to look in to than snus,

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mattias Persson

**email**

Sweden

**country**

38

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Article 8: Due to the fact that tobacco for oral use is less dangerous than cigarettes, the overall health of european citizens would improve if the use of tobacco for oral use could replace the use of cigarettes. Prohibiting markets for alternative products is also wrong due to the fact that the european community represents free trade.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Knutar

**email**

Finland

**country**

26

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
leif-åke löflund

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
37

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

1. There is no sense in banning the least dangerous tobacco product. 2. Its against the free market idea. 3. Only the big international tobacco companys benefits on the current ban, by pepole smoking instead. 4. In Finland have we the Finn-swedish minority been using snus as long as the Swedes. And now the ban is only another instrument to deny us our right to our own traditions and lifestyle. ( Its very popular amongst Finnish politicals to squeeze swedishtalking people today)

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Therese Nordgren

**email**

Sweden

**country**

34

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christer Nilsson

**email**

sweden

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

Snus addict since five years. Trying to quit as of yesterday. Please ban the snus so no one else has to go thru what I am experiencing. Quitting gives you a mix of head-exploding-feeling and hyper-activity blended with anxiety. The "good" effects of the snus is just applicable for

the first days of use. After that you just have to take to snus to get back to a normal state.  
Totally useless drug and I hope the EU stops this poison.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Britt-Marie Ek

**email**

Sweden

**country**

54

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Conny Hansson

**email**

Sweden

**country**

49

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

This is a very tasteful alternative for anyone who wants stop smoking tobacco.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eric

**email**

Sweden

**country**

30

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

**which option**

No People snus is way better than other tobacco products and should be tha last to be banned, if snus gets banned cigarettes should be to

**additional option**

You are fools if you forbidd snus and keeps cigarettes legal

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Magnus Eriksson

**email**

Sweden

**country**

42

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Snus is less harmful than cigarettes, yet snus is banned in the larger part of Europe while cigarettes aren't. If the commission were genuinely interested in the health of its citizens, the ban should be on cigarettes. Smokeless products are an excellent option to smoking that are less harmful and also does not subject people to second hand smoke. As a complete ban on nicotine products is not feasible, why not allow people access to less harmful products. A ban on snus would probably drive me to smoking, something I've never done.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stellan Stål

**email**

Sweden

**country**

31

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Since there is no proof that Snus causes cancer, a ban against export is against everything that the EU stands for, with free export between members. If there is a ban on snus, there should

ba a ban on tobacco in any form (including cigarettes).

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Since there is no proof that Snus causes cancer, a ban against export is against everything that the EU stands for, with free export between members. If there is a ban on snus, there should ba a ban on tobacco in any form (including cigarettes).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jerry Wahlström

**email**

Sweden

**country**

66

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Snus is less harmful than smoking and have helped many smokers to quit smoking. Snus prevents passive smoking for nonsmokers in bars, restaurants and other public places.

**additional option**

Lower the tax on snus in order to help smokers to stop smoking for better helth.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Joakim Hejlm

**email**

Sweden

**country**

38

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Ban all NONE smokeless tobacco! And lift the ban on snus. Snus is less deadly than cigarettes, also snus does not affect people in the users surrounding areas.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paul Elger

**email**

Sweden

**country**

27

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Till skillnad från cigaretter har snus mindre bevisade skadeverkningar. Då vi i Sverige under

de senaste åren sett att allt fler väljer att övergå från cigaretter till snus p g a hälsoaspekterna är snuset en möjlighet som även rökare i andra länder bör ges. I Sverige är cigaretter den absolut största inkörsporten till nikotinberoende. Förbud av snus skulle således sänka folkhälsan. Vidare utgör exportförbudet ett hinder mot den inre marknaden.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lars Lindvall

**email**

Sweden

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Om man framför att det saknas vetenskaplig evidens för en ståndpunkt, kan man inte slarvigt nämna evidens från några länder för en annan ståndpunkt utan att ange källa. Det framstår då som bevisen är av anekdotisk karaktär. Påståendet väcker mer frågor än ger vägledning. Exempelvis; Gäller övergången från rökfri tobak till cigaretter snus eller andra typer av rökfri tobak? Vilka länder handlar det om? Hur stor andel av befolkningen röker i dessa länder? Hade individerna börjat röka även utan rökfri tobak? Det rör sig om två frågor i slutändan. En handelspolitisk/inre marknad och en folkhälsopolitisk. När det gäller den först utgör helt klart förbudet för snus inom EU, undantaget Sverige, ett hinder för den inre marknaden. Förbudet snedvrider konkurrensen. När det gäller folkhälsofrågan finns det andra produkter som är farligare och borde förbjudas, t.ex. cigaretter och alkohol.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michal Langer

**email**

Czech Republic

**country**

43

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Treat the snus consumption as any other form of tobacco use.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Srancsik Balázs

**email**

Hungary

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Treat all tobacco products as the cigarettes are treated, for example at least 10% health warning, even with pictures.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tero Kruth

**email**

Finland

**country**

39

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

I admit using nicotine products is not healthy, but snuff (snus) is complementary product of tobacco for me. I find using snuff is less damaging than smoking tobacco. I'm addicted to nicotine, which is not good, but I would like choose the way I use it. It's not equal or even legal that tobacco and other nicotine containing products like chewing gums etc are sold freely within EU, but is snuff is not.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexander Scrimgeour

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Health warnings need further research (addiction is clear, but other factors with snus are subject to debate), taxation used as means of encouragement to switch from smoking.

**additional option**

Lung cancer is bypassed by snus; it is addictive, but its effects on personal and social health are minor in comparison with smoking. It is a means of harm reduction.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Till Hermann

**email**

germany

**country**

39

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Toon

**email**

NL

**country**

51

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Again, what is the problem?

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jānis

**email**

Latvia

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oscar Lundqvist

**email**

Sweden

**country**

21

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

"Is the problem definition correct?" I don't understand this first question. Please just make

snus free to use in EU already!

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Lift the ban on snus in Europe! If Germany are allowed to have hookers, Holland are allowed to have cannabis, then Sweden should be allowed to keep the snus. Sweden has the lowest number of lung diseases in Europe, that's not a coincidence.

**additional option**

If EU ban snus in Sweden i'll move to Norway just to get away from your tyranny!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Arnold Marie

**email**

Finland

**country**

18

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Letting snus into finland!

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

gert-jan bos

**email**

Holland

**country**

43

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

ik ga niet akkoord

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Morgan

**email**

France

**country**

30

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marcus Jansson

**email**

Finland

**country**

43

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Ban all tobacco, cars, guns and fat food because they are killing more people per year in EU than snus.

**additional option**

It's a very strange situation with ban on snus when tobacco is allowed. At the same time there are companies aggressively advertising nicotine chewing gum to help you quit smoking like nicorette and others. They even sell inhalators and as a snuff-like stuff with nicotine! What on earth? I have never ever heard about anybody who has successfully stopped smoking with nicotogum. It's like fighting fire with fire. I tried unsuccessfully to stop with it but you just have to quit without nicotine. Those companies are making a lot of money on this expensive products (overpriced) that thanks to EU are easy accessible today. Snus isn't healthy but one thing is for sure it isn't more dangerous than tobacco. And it's a personal problem smoke gets in others eyes.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Magnus Pahlsson

**email**

Finland

**country**

32

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Concha Aguilar

**email**

España

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

José A. Contretras

**email**

España

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jyrki Koponen

**email**

Finland

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Smokeless tobacco products are proven to be much less harmful than other tobacco products, and they are not harmful anyone else in the environment, like smoking tobacco products.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

K. Van Loock

**email**

Belgium

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

kasia

**email**

poland

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eriks Ruis

**email**

Latvia

**country**

22

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**which option**

E-Cigarettes can helps...

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robin Ekman

**email**

Sweden

**country**

19

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

I just can't understand why such a "harmless" tobacco product such as Snus would be banned, there is no scientific proof that anyone has ever died of consuming it. But ciggarettes is probably one of the most lethal tobacco invention ever to have existed and they're totally fine with that?!?!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Adam Sandvall

**email**

Sweden

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

The using of snus has a been a long tradition in Sweden and I see no reason that it should be banned. It also is less dangerous than smoking.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Wiedemann

**email**

germany

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Es betrifft nur die Anwender. Da Tabakprodukte nur an Erwachsene abgegeben werden, können diese auch eigenständig über den Gebrauch entscheiden. Zudem wird kein Aussenstehender geschädigt oder gestört.

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Wiedemann

**email**

Germany

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Ich sehe keinen Handlungsbedarf. Es wird keine Aussenstehender beeinträchtigt.

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Wiedemann

**email**

Germany

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Keine Überregulierung der Märkte. Frei Entscheidungen auf Mitgliedschaftsebene sind immer besser als der Versuch alles unter einen Hut zu bringen. Es besteht kein Interesse von Seiten der Verbraucher

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Persson Fondell

**email**

Sweden

**country**

27

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**  
Ban cigarettes instead. At least that would save people's lives seeing as how cigarettes actually kills people when snus as the least dangerous tobacco product in the world does not.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Gilbert Ross

**email**  
United States

**country**  
63

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**

It makes no sense to ban snus and leave other smokeless products unregulated. It has been clearly demonstrated that snus does not cause oral cancer, contrary to what many think. It has been shown that snus is more effective at shifting tobacco/nicotine intake away from toxic, indeed lethal inhalation of tobacco combustion products--i.e., cigarette smoke--towards 99% less harmful oral smokeless tobacco. Modern smokeless products--e.g., snus--are nearly free of adverse health effects. The Swedish experience over the past half-century is replete with observational evidence of both reduced cigarette-related disease concomitant with increased snus uptake, especially among men. No other country in the EU has experience such a major decline in cigarette use and cigarette-related morbidity and mortality. While of course all tobacco use should be discouraged, the fact of millions of addicted smokers cannot be denied, most of whom want to quit but cannot. The currently available cessation aids fail about 90% (or more) before one year has passed, yet public health authorities continue to tout such aids



(patches gum inhalations and drugs) as the only acceptable smoking cessation aids. This must change, and lifting the ban on snus in the EU would be a good starting point. Even last year's SCENIHR Report took notice of these facts, although its conclusions did not match the text of the whole paper. Further, those who oppose lifting the snus ban express concern that it might be a gateway step for youth to initiate tobacco/nicotine use and cigarettes. This has also been shown to be a false concern--such behavior pattern rarely occurs, while "anti-gateway" behavior--from cigarettes to snus--is very common. I and my organization, ACSH, recently presented a Harm Reduction symposium at the ESOF Meetings in Torino, at which Drs. Lund of Norway and Ramstrom and Fagerstrom of Sweden presented data confirming all that I have said here. Much other literature by Americans Rodu and Phillips also support the lifting of the ban. I know little about the internal economics and markets of the EU--but it seems extraordinarily perverse that in the nearest entity to true international free market, one nation's product--Swedish snus--is discriminated against, while other tobacco products--especially cigarettes--of much much greater health threat are readily available on every street corner. Even more incomprehensible, the banned product can be useful in countering the devastating health effects of the cigarette, yet cannot be legally obtained. How could such a thing be allowed to continue in an enlightened society? Do not abandon over 100 million addicted EU smokers to the lethal effects of cigarettes--eliminate the counterproductive discriminatory and lethal ban on snus.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan-Erik Berger

**email**

Finland

**country**

36

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Banning smokeless tobacco products like snus just don't make any sense to me. Why ban some tobacco products while allowing others, I just don't get it. Especially here in Finland the situation is absurd. People have been using snus for a long time before it was forbidden by law. Now people already addicted to this relatively harmless product have to go to our neighboring country Sweden to get it. This situation has also lead to the development of a black market for snus and even some convictions. It's just a bad joke. I don't think having these people already addicted to snus switching to cigarettes to satisfy their nicotine addiction is a good solution either (even though some have). I'm not a medical expert but I haven't heard of a single study showing that snus would be more dangerous than cigarettes. At least snus doesn't damage your lungs the way cigarettes do. I don't see any reason for not lifting this ban.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

heis Karina

**email**

austria

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Enrique Martinez

**email**

España

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Erik Witteveen

**email**

Netherlands

**country**

50

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paavo Pere

**email**

Finland

**country**

19

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Inge Albert

**email**

belgie

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Janis

**email**

Latvia

**country**

26

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jimmy Seiersen

**email**

Sweden

**country**

26

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Viriato Paramos de Carvalho

**email**

Portugal

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

no

**additional option**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

inese

**email**

latvia

**country**

28

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Inese

**email**

Latvia

**country**

51

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carsten Rethwisch

**email**

Germany

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

anne godo

**email**

BE

**country**

40

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**which option**

ban snus for ever even in SE and FI

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Aleksandrs

**email**

Latvia/Greece

**country**

23

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zlata

**email**

Latvia

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes



**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pierpaolo Magnani

**email**

Italy

**country**

46

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Snus is harmful and addictive, but to a significantly lesser extent than cigarettes and other smokeless tobacco products. The World Health Organization acknowledges the difference between snus and other smokeless tobacco products: "Among the smokeless tobacco products on the market, products with low levels of nitrosamines, such as Swedish snus, are considerably less hazardous than cigarettes, while the risks associated with some products used in Africa and Asia approach those of smoking." Experience from Sweden demonstrates that snus is far less harmful than cigarettes and also less harmful than other oral tobacco products.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ieva Berzina

**email**

Latvia

**country**

30

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karlis

**email**

Latvia

**country**

50

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mathias Séguineau

**email**

France

**country**

42

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Werner Barth

**email**

Belgium

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ingrida

**email**

Latvia

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lauris Rinmanis

**email**

Latvia

**country**

30

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

**which option**

No

**additional option**

No

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

sintija hooda

**email**

latvia

**country**

23

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**which option**

i just hate smoking in general,and i think it should be banned in whole country. i think it brings a lot of harm to our generations

**additional option**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eddie

**email**

Latvia

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andris

**email**

Latvia

**country**

32

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

jolanta

**email**

latvia

**country**

22

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nikita Tihonovs

**email**

Latvia

**country**

22

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Deane Goltermann

**email**

Sweden

**country**

53

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Cigarettes are much more harmful than snus and affect third party non-smokers significantly, but are traded back and forth with simple regulation. Snus has no affect on thrid parties, less health affects but cannot be traded -- even with regulation similar to cigarettes. Makes no sense. Tobacco-free snus can't even be traded freely. This makes no sense.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Artjoms Smirnovs

**email**

LATVIA

**country**

32

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Evija Sedvalde

**email**

Latvia

**country**

41

**age**

female



**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maris Petrevics

**email**

Republic of Latvia

**country**

32

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rihards Saulenbergs

**email**

Latvia

**country**

22

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**which option**

SMOKING MUST BE FORBIDDEN IN PUBLIC AREA, THERE MUST BE BUILT A SPECIAL PLACE/ROOMS FOR SMOKERS, BECAUSE A LOT OF PEOPLE SMOKE ON A STREET IN FRONT OF CHILDREN E.T.C

**additional option**

NO

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Elina

**email**

Latvia, UK

**country**

27

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

I can't see the problem definition. But I think any ban on tobacco products is wrong as it does not solve anything. If someone has chosen to use any tobacco products, the person already pays high taxes when buying the product thus everything is fair and simple.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lauris

**email**

Latvia

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Linda

**email**

Latvia

**country**

26

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sintija

**email**

Latvia

**country**

18

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

No, I think there is no possibility that anything will change it.

**additional option**

Suposse not.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lilita

**email**

Latvia

**country**

23

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jana

**email**

Latvia

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Valentina

**email**

Latvia

**country**

24

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrik Boij

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

How is it possible that the EU and its internal market, based on free trade and respect for the differences of our diverse cultures and traditions, ban snus, while other traditional tobacco products are perfectly legal? It has also been proved that snus is not any more harmful than any other tobacco product, so why ban snus? It is also an alternative that is much less disturbing to people surrounding you, no second-hand smoking for instance.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mattias Savolainen

**email**

Finland

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lasma

**email**

Latvia

**country**

19

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andris Sevcenko

**email**

Latvia

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALan

**email**

Latvia

**country**

20

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

**which option**

Nothing

**additional option**

No

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

M Kamila

**email**

Finland

**country**



33

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Karlis Kreslins

**email**  
Latvia

**country**  
47

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**  
I would recommend to promote e-cigarettes and e-cigars. However, to promote it successfully some research should be carried out so, the promotion relies on concrete data.

**additional option**  
Different media should be used to inform people about innovative products in the tobacco industry

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Olsson

**email**

Sweden

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Banning snus would only lead to another black market product for criminal gangs to take advantage of. Furthermore, why ban something that you admit has not been tested enough, and the tests that have been done proves that it's a lot healthier than traditional cigarettes? I am seriously hoping Sweden decide to leave the European Union if you were to decide on banning snus.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

costas siatras

**email**

greece

**country**

51

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hanna eriksson

**email**

Finland

**country**

28

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Javier Alberto Español Rowe

**email**

Spain

**country**

38

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Hanne Christensen

#### **email**

Danmark

#### **country**

50

#### **age**

female

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Hvorfor vil man forbyde noget der virkelig kan hjælpe rygere til at begå sig i en verden hvor det efterhånden er ok at køre hetz mod bestemte folkegrupper. Vi skal absolut tvinges til at tygge tyggegummi, som smager elendigt, putte plaster på der ikke er særligt gavnligt for huden og som i nogle tilfælde giver kødsår, spise piller med en masse bivirkninger, så som depression, mavebesvær osv. Vi er også mennesker og vil gerne accepteres. Skal man begynde at være imod løbere, bare fordi man ikke selv udøver sporten? Vi gør trods alt noget for at vise velvilje, både til evt. at holde med at ryge, men også i forhold til de stoffer vi ikke puster ud i luften mere. Efterhånden føler man sig som jøde under 2. verdenskrig

#### **comments**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Mick Rune Lysø

#### **email**

Denmark

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrizia Baldini

**email**

italy

**country**

28

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tom Vandemoortele

**email**

Belgium

**country**

44

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Asser Brännström

**email**

FINLAND

**country**

48

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

The Directive has to be consistent. It should include every tobacco product or none. If the Directive for some reason (that I can't understand) has to be inconsistent, snus is definitively that tobacco product which is to be allowed because of its minimum affects on medical health (comp. cigarette) and environment. (also socially)

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pavel Pospisil

**email**

Czech Republic

**country**

39

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kalle Salo

**email**

Finland

**country**

24

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulf Ekeroth

**email**  
Sweden

**country**  
52

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Anders Alexanderson

**email**  
Latvia

**country**  
55

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
The Tobacco Products Directive bans one smokeless tobacco category while leaving the rest unregulated.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johannes alm

**email**

Sweden

**country**

33

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tom Söderberg

**email**

Finland

**country**

38

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

People using snus waste a lot less of work time than smokers because we don't need cigarette

breaks. People who use snus also have less sick days from work in my experience. The whole is just mad because cigarettes are so much worse for the health than snus. There are hardly any evidence of serious health issues with Swedish snus.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Thomas

**email**

England

**country**

61

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

I do not agree that all these products should be controlled in the same way.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

I do not think e-cigarettes should be brought under 2001/37/EC, banned or considered as pharmaceuticals. They should be considered separately.

**additional option**

E-cigarettes provide a useful way to stop smoking. They are currently doing a good job of self regulation and any regulation would be detrimental. Possibly the slow introduction of quality controls would help but this would have to be slow enough not to force out the smaller producers.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nicole Keun

**email**

britain

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johan Backman

**email**

Sweden

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Per Eriksson

**email**

Sweden

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mika Pirttimäki

**email**

Finland

**country**

24

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

I think EU should free snus in evry coutry, because it`s peoples own choise if they use it or not. If we take a look at finland, the government loses miljons of euros only by losing taxes. In finland so many people uses snus, so wouldn`t it be better for the coutry if it was allowed and those tax incomes came sraight to finland. I really can`t understand why it is banned. It`s evry mans own choise

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jürgen Moritz

**email**

Austria

**country**

30

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
ALEJANDRA NIETO IGUAL

**email**  
ESPAÑA

**country**  
34

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Da Silva fabrice

**email**  
Switzerland

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

We know than it's a dangerous product !! STop to do a lot !! It's a propagand what you do !

There is a lot of mistake with the food !!! Look the problems of food !!

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kantner Tobias

**email**

Bavaria

**country**

27

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jimmie Bengtsson

**email**

Sweden

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Banning snus will most likely lead to a increase in ciggarette consumsion in countrys that currently have a lot of snus users. And since snus without a doubt is a lot less harmful then smoking that probably wouldn't be a very good idea. I was also lead to beleve that the European Union was about free trade. But as the ban on snus is nothing else then discrimination against my countrys traditional tobacco product it seems i was clearly mistaken. How would for example the French feel if there was a ban on wine while vodka still were available.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sandra Storm

**email**

Finland

**country**

19

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Niklas Holmström

**email**

Finland

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

How can snus, which is scientifically proven to be less dangerous than cigarettes, be banned?  
The hypocrisy is terrible and overwhelming. Legalize snus and I will quit smoking!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Annick Buyst

**email**

Belgium

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission****identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Forndran

**email**

Germany

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tobias Miehle

**email**

Germany

**country**

26

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johan Jonsson

**email**

Belgium

**country**

26

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joni Ilkka

**email**

Finland

**country**

19

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ulf thors

**email**

finland

**country**

39

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

I find it strange that snus is banned when smoking cigarettes is unhealthier and affects other people near by. Snus doesn't. I fear corruption and money has been involved when making this ban. Snus is a nordic (european) tobacco. Why ban that and give the market to phil Morris (Marlboro etc)? By the way i fear that not many people have the guts to answer this survey unless they know english really well.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Richard Vilhelm Engstrand

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrea Martin

**email**

United Kingdom

**country**

20

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

It is possible to order snus throughout the EU from [www.buysnus.com](http://www.buysnus.com). However, it would be so much easier if snus was simply legalized, so that it could also be sold in stores. There are no documented indication that snus is cancerous, and it is a healthier alternative to cigarettes.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

pontus

**email**

finland

**country**

23

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Legalize snus!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sabine Steinhauser

**email**

Germany

**country**

47

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manuel Huber

**email**

Germany

**country**

28

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Sandström

**email**

Finland

**country**

44

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Smoked tobacco much more dangerous to health then snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Serena Prados Sánchez

**email**

Spain

**country**

34

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Vitalij

**email**  
Lithuania

**country**  
22

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Ville Toivola

**email**  
Finland

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Björn Wiljanen

**email**

Sweden

**country**

19

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

bellin

**email**

France



**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roger Hommas

**email**

Finland

**country**

37

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

I don't understand the SNUS problem... I have to start smoking now when I don't can by it to Finland anymore !! Other "Stop smoking" products is too expensive. SNUS is MY problem...NO smoke, NO fire ( do not start fires) , the finnish goverment get VERY mutch tax money if they continue selling SNUS... So... start to smoke or move to Sweden for me ??  
Med vänliga hälsningar : Roger Hommas

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Higt taxes and free to buy from internet = Goverment get tax money and I get SNUS !!

**additional option**

Don't control people too mutch. Please help the farmers before they sell their "bisness" to companys.... like in India !!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nicolaus Niedrist

**email**

Austria

**country**

27

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Limit the consumption of tobacco products to people older than 18!

**additional option**

The reasoning of the ban on snus is not very thorough. The main reason to ban snus is to protect juveniles from the negative effect of tobacco, but it is still possible for juveniles to order snus via internet without revealing their true age. Furthermore it is still possible to buy cigarettes. This is very inconsistent.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tommi Purtilo

**email**

Finland

**country**

23

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

That is snus ban is ridiculous. Scientific consensus is that snus is less harmless than any other tobacco product. So why is it banned? If I had economical binds with big cicarette corporations, I would like snus being banned.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mattias Brunnert

**email**

Sweden

**country**

29

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hannu Koivurinta

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

It is unfair that one tobacco product (snus), used by small group of people mainly in Northern Europe is banned while all other tobacco products are allowed. This is pure discrimination and far from equal treatment.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Harjeet Grewal

**email**

UK

**country**

36

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

I believe that the harm reduction possibilities of Snus as an alternative to smoking have not been adequately addressed. Epidemiological evidence from Sweden suggests that Snus could greatly reduce the overall harm caused by tobacco use. The current ban is simplistic and is a use of policy as a "blunt instrument" as opposed to offering potentially lower harm products to consumer of tobacco products in the EU.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pekka Syysmeri

**email**

Finland

**country**

43

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

snus - cancer relationship not proven? It makes no sense to ban less harmful (as correctly stated in the problem definition) products, like snus, and still allow cigarettes.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

If lifting the ban is too liberal for EU, then the no changes option would be best, with reasonable export/import regulations, something that is allowed in one EU country, should be allowed in others as well.

**additional option**

It is stupid to try to ban everything. Especially smokeless tobacco products, like snus, that are far less harmful than cigarettes. Personally, if snus is banned, I'll start smoking.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

John Harrington

**email**

UK

**country**

45

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Snus has helped me and some friends stop smoking, I read the report by the BMA (UK) that recommends snus to be sold in the UK to replace cigarettes-

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stuart Logan

**email**

UK

**country**

44

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MONTFORT Laurent

**email**

France

**country**

38

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

Up to me the problem is very simple : on one side authorized smokable tobacco (cigarettes mainly), on the other side, non authorized dipping tobacco. Then we could believe that dipping is more dangerous than smoking ? Well, there's absolutely nothing that can prove this ! Dipping tobacco is available for a long time in France (I'm from France). Since the European law in the 90's, it's forbidden. Then, we can get exactly the same product (Makla Ifrika, from Belgium) ; The only difference is that it's written "chewing product" on the box. We have no information about this product ( except what's written on the box : "tobacco 33 %, textures agents, 67%). There's many studies about Swedish snuss that proves it's less dangerous than smoking. All in all : "Makla Ifrika" authorized, Swedish snuss forbidden. It's a non sense. Makla Ifrika get the whole market in France and switzerland, to my knowledge (maybe also in Sweden). It's totally unfair and opposite to the European laws. I'm ready to talk more about my snuss and dipping tobacco experience. Feel free to contact me !

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hendrik Rebs

**email**

Germany

**country**

51

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robert Helbig

**email**

Germany

**country**

22

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martina Köhn

**email**

Germany

**country**

47

**age**



female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marion Steudel

**email**

Germany

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf Grund

**email**

Germany

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ines gruhl

**email**

Germany

**country**

50

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robert Gullbrink

**email**

Sweden

**country**

34

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

It's a mystery to me to ban one smokeless category, while leaving the rest unregulated. What happened to the free trade.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

No comments.

**additional option**

No comments.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andre Sandberg

**email**

FINLAND

**country**

31

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nicolas Gerbi

**email**  
France

**country**  
36

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jeanette Beijar

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
27

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

I think it's wrong that it's ok to sell tobacco (smoke) in Finland and not to sell snus. Either both should be legal or neither. It's discriminating to forbid one product and not the other. And both products contain tobacco and other "dangerous" substances.

**additional option**

I think it's wrong that it's ok to sell tobacco (smoke) in Finland and not to sell snus. Either both should be legal or neither. It's discriminating to forbid one product and not the other. And

both products contain tobacco and other "dangerous" substances. It is also wrong that Finland no has forbidden selling snus to friends and ordering it by mail from Sweden...since both should be legal. I can't see any difference between the two products. And many studies have shown snus to be less dangerous to the health than cigarettes...and if you use snus you don't affect other individuals' health, as the smokers do by passive smoking....Change the law! It's discriminating!!

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Harald Arnesen

##### **email**

Norway

##### **country**

48

##### **additional comments**

No

##### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Make it simple, i.e. Public hearing; Should snus be allowed on an equal line as other tobacco products on the internal market in EU. Yes or No. As long as all other tobacco products are allowed, there is no reason or justification for the EU to retain the current ban on snus in the next Tobacco directive. Giving snus the same treatment as other tobacco products would address the current discrimination on the internal market for tobacco products in EU.

##### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Uwe Fiebrig

##### **email**

Germany

**country**

52

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Francisco José de Oliveira Cabrita

**email**

Portugal

**country**

33

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

To specifically allow the sale of smokeless tobacco products online/by mail order

**additional option**

The population of southern europeans, and in particular Portuguese people, using smokeless tobacco is very small. There is very little in the way of suggestions of any health risk. Hence there's no reason to legally refrain these citizens from SMOKELESS tobacco items, when they are in fact allowed to smoke in open areas. If the law allows smoking, it should not prohibit smokeless tobacco which is certainly much less dangerous.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Constantinescu I

**email**

Romania

**country**

37

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Let me be informed and decide for myself!!!

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roger Karp

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Staffan Schilke

#### **email**

Germany

#### **country**

33

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

Yes

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **which option**

Certainly, the proceeding from a tax on snus should be used to inform the public about the danger of snus and other tobacco products and thereby help to decrease overall tobacco consumption and addiction.

#### **additional option**

As a truly european citizen with a swedish mother and a german father i believe in the principles of the european idea and a free internal market. I don't use tobacco in any form myself but I feel the current ban on snus is discriminatory and does not help public health. People who want to consume tobacco and do not have the choice to use snus often smoke instead, which harms not only their own health but also their environment. Therefore, a reasonable approach to snus (i.e. lifting the ban) in Europe follows the logic of the european idea and the goals of the EU.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Jakob Andreas Okmian



**email**

Sweden

**country**

23

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pedro Gaivao

**email**

Portugal

**country**

42

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Public information about the effects of smoking and other forms of tobacco usage.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Conny Vikman

**email**

Sweden

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Snus is not a problem. When I am using snus I am not harming anyone around me, as a smoker is causing others to passively smoke. If snus and all kind of non smoking tobacco should be banned all tobacco products ought to be banned.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Either all kind of tobacco should be banned or else everything should be allowed within EU.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

roger haggblom

**email**

Finland

**country**

29

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

legalize snus in all countries

**additional option**

Same rules and taxes in all Eu countries or no rules at all, regarding tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Hafner

**email**

Slovenia

**country**

33

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sascha Fitzek

**email**

Germany

**country**

34

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
robin bishop

**email**  
uk

**country**  
30

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Martin Ahlström

**email**  
Sweden

**country**

34

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

There are far more evidence of the danger of smoking than of snus. There is a slight increase in incidence of diabetes and pancreatic cancer but besides that snus is far better than smoking tobacco. Concerning the risk of ischemic events the risk does not increase when using snus. However the risk of dying increases when an ischemic event has occurred. I believe that making people switch from cigarettes to snus will save lives in Europe. A completely tobacco free Europe will of course be better but that is just not doable yet.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

tommi kallio

**email**

finland

**country**

29

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Should not be EU matter. Local governments should decide for themselves.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

local governments should decide for themselves.

**additional option**

no matter what the laws will be, snus will be available in black markets.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Lakaniemi

**email**

Finland

**country**

29

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus will not solely be enough. The manufacturing of snus should be controlled and a standard similar to the Gothiatek standard should be upheld. Also, no country should have a chance of discriminating snus by banning snus if they kept cigarettes or any other nicotine product, excluding medical products designed to help people quit using tobacco products, legal. This would mean that snus had an equal footing with other tobacco products that are currently legal in the EU in every country. Either snus is legal along with other tobacco products or no tobacco product is legal.

**additional option**

Research seems to indicate that Swedish smokeless tobacco (snus) carries a smaller health risk than other smokeless tobacco products and tobacco products (especially cigarettes). This is why it seems odd that a ban on Swedish snus exists in the EU while, for example, cigarettes are legal. The current practice is discriminatory against Swedish snus. Tobacco products cause a lot of diseases, but snus appears, at least to current knowledge, be one of the least hazardous ways to use tobacco. Snus also has the advantage of eliminating the problems related to passive smoking. Therefore it seems that the current snus ban and the even stricter

restrictions against it in my native Finland are illogical. Thus the snus-ban in the EU should be lifted.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Adrian Hirst

**email**

France

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Given the clear health benefits of a switch from traditional smoking tobacco to smokeless products, and the results of long term studies on large population groups, I have no hesitation in recommending the introduction of snus into Europe. I myself no longer smoke, but use snus instead, and have personally benefitted from this change. I would now like to encourage the facilitation of this choice to all citizens of the EU. I sincerely hope that this directive is revised and snus is made freely available to us. Thank you for your time. Adrian Hirst

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marco Ilg

**email**

Germany

**country**

31

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Timo Weitbrecht

**email**

Germany

**country**

18

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

snus is much healthier than smoking. sweden got the lowest rate of smoking caused lung cancer -----> lift the ban, its a stupid old law!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**



Frank Svandal

**email**

Sweden

**country**

41

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

It's discriminating not to allow Snus when several other tobacco products are allowed such as nasal snuff and chewing tobacco and even drugs in Netherlands.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Olof Mattias Erikson

**email**

Germany

**country**

26

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Clara Wahren

**email**  
Sweden

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Janari Võrk

**email**  
Estonia

**country**  
21

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Jean Savoye

**email**

France

**country**

43

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marcel Weirather

**email**

Italy

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vaillancourt Alain

**email**

luxembourg

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

these products must be sold under same regulations as cigarettes

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Viktoria Drieselmann

**email**

Germany

**country**

27

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Snus is not something that leads you to nicotine addiction, it is more peer pressure at schools or at work. However, Snus can help you to stop smoking very quickly and without any side effects. Of course, you are still addicted to nicotine, but you don't harm your body as much as with cigarettes plus you don't harm your environment. Also, getting rid of the nicotine addiction is easier when you quit using Snus, because the psychological addiction is not as

high as with cigarettes. I would also recommend to put less tobacco taxes on Snus than on other smoking devices, because people that want to make sure not to harm their environment should be rewarded.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gregor Gorenc

**email**

Slovenia

**country**

38

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mitja Zupan

**email**

Slovenia

**country**

38

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Scheere

**email**

Belgium

**country**

42

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tobbe

**email**

Sweden

**country**

45

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Reinl

**email**

Germany

**country**

27

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

no

**additional option**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Emma Pasanen

**email**

Finland

**country**  
19

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Bati

**email**  
Finland

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Legalize it

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Peter sandahl

**email**  
Sweden



**country**

40

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ben Nyberg

**email**

Finland

**country**

69

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Moritz Stuaffert

**email**

Germany

**country**  
19

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Thomas Spiesl

**email**  
Germany

**country**  
28

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Felix Salat

**email**

Germany

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Schulz

**email**

germany

**country**

30

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oliver Börjesson

**email**

Sweden

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Torsten Brundelius

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

39

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dan Persson

**email**

Sweden

**country**

53

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Snus is proven to lower the number of smokers and proven not to be cancerogene.

**additional option**

It's impossible to establish a positive view towards EU in Sweden if snus is banned.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Björn Karlsson

**email**

Sweden

**country**

55

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

If people are allowed to drink alcohol, smoke cigarettes, and even smoke cannabis why don't use snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fredrik Ekström

**email**

Sweden

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

if there should be a ban it should be in cigarettes

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roger Holm

**email**

Finland

**country**

43

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Snus should be allowed throughout Europe. All research made verifies that there are hardly any harms worth mentioning connected to Snus. Allowing Snus throughout Europe would give all the smokers probably one of the most efficient alternatives to stop the very dangerous tobacco smoking.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mikael Brännkärr

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Khosro

**email**

Sweden

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Snus is a part of the culture in Sweden. A ban would only lead to an enormous Snus black market for all the people in Sweden who use Snus. Besides, there are no studies that can show the health risks of Snus.

**additional option**

Legalize it

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fredrik Siesing

**email**

Sweden

**country**

32

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mattias Lönnqvist

**email**

Sweden

**country**

41

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

The problem is not tobacco or even smoking. The problems are two: 1. Secondary smoking, when polluting the air that others breathe 2. Inhaling. Except for secondary smoking, as mentioned in bullet 1, there are no major health issues with cigars and other products not intended for inhaling; as long as the smoker avoids said inhaling. Smokeless products are no problem at all, as long as they aren't targeted at children.



**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Stricter regulation of selling tobacco, maintaining a strict age limit. A complete ban on advertisements for smoking and cigarettes, and limitations in tobacco commercials aimed at non-adults. Also, all EU-members should provide smoke-free environments, and no EU-citizen should be forced to inhale smoke from others.

**additional option**

The ban on Swedish "snus" is not a good idea. It is the most effective way to quit smoking and the general health in the EU would benefit from "snus" being for sale in all EU countries.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Erik Laakso

**email**

Sweden

**country**

42

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

The only problem with smokeless tobacco products is if young people get addicted to it. To get around that problem it shouldn't, as in Sweden, be allowed to buy tobacco if you are below 18 years old, or to sell to minors.

**additional option**

Since every study shows that smokeless tobacco is a good way to quit smoking which is causing cancer by every evidence there is, smokeless tobacco should be available on the whole of the free market of the EU. There is also a big consequence when the much more

dangerous smoking tobacco is allowed and in the same time smokeless products are banned.  
This is an injustice on the trading market that have to stop.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Georgiy Barskiy

**email**

Sweden

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

European tobacco consumers have the right to access snus should they want that

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vociu George

**email**

Romania

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Fara cenzura.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

frank eppelein

**email**

german

**country**

40

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

**which option**

no comment

**additional option**

no comment

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Albescu Bogdan Cornel

**email**

Romania

**country**

36

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

**which option**

no

**additional option**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bogdan Albescu

**email**

Romania

**country**

36

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

**which option**

no

**additional option**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mirela Stanciu

**email**

romania

**country**

34

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

No change

**which option**

no

**additional option**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mirela Albescu

**email**

Romania

**country**

34

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

No change

**which option**

no

**additional option**

no

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Åke Reuterhage

**email**

Sweden

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

If not proven more harmful than than smoking tobacco, snus must be treated the same i.e. if banning smoking tobacco a.k.a. cigarettes then a ban on snus is ok. But allowing cigarettes in the EU snus must be allowed!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

mats granqvist

**email**

finland

**country**  
22

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
översitteri och diktatur

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
emilia järvenlahti

**email**  
finland

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Radu Colonescu

**email**

Romania

**country**

26

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jarno Nurmesniemi

**email**

Finland

**country**

30

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Why in the name of all that is Holy, you can smoke cancer-sticks and poison everybody else, but you cant use snus ?!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Toni Viitala

**email**

Finland

**country**

34

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

I have used electronic cigarettes almost two years and it has kept me completely out of tobacco. I feel better, I smell better and my family likes this situation very much.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Yes, I have used electronic cigarettes almost two years and it has kept me completely out of tobacco. I feel better, I smell better and my family likes this situation very much. All my friends who smokes and has tried these products of mine have been interested to get one for themselves.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Niko Kortelainen

**email**

Finland

**country**

32

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Electronic cigarette should be allowed as it is much less dangerous than regular cigarette

**additional option**

snus are the least harmful tobacco product and should never have been banned

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Armin Sachs

**email**

Germany

**country**

29

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

A regulation of tobacco products, both smoking and smokeless tobacco is needed. Similar to the FDA control in the US. Ingredients, harmful substances, such as nitrosamines must be measured, monitored and limits defined. This guarantess that only those products are available, which do not exceed certain levels of "harmfulness"

**additional option**

In Germany I can buy highly carcinogenic chewing tobacco. I can also buy a type off smokeless tobacco called Makla. Likewise extremely harmful. On te other hand side, Snus, which is pasteurized, manufactured according to Swedish food processing standards and with low levels of nitrosamines...is not available in Germany. I just find it not just. either you may

want to ban ALL such products, including chewing tobacco and others, or you allow all of them. The best would be the latter in addition to a strict supervision and control on contents.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Jari Hakala

#### **email**

Finland

#### **country**

26

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

Yes

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **which option**

In general banning something has "never" been the best solution to these kind of issues, it often creates so called "uncontrolled-grey-economys" (when people using this product instead of cigarettes have to import it illegally for their own use, and if generous enough even to other users) and therethrough a loss of tax-incomes, in this case (SNUS) taxes that could be directed straight to the social/health-care system, in this case banning only contributes to costs for the health-care-system and surveillance-costs for the already overdeployed "surveillance/Tull"-system... for Finland this has also forced atleast one production-facility to shut-down (when entering the EU), and atleast one cruise/commercial- passanger-boat line in the norther regions to shut-down, and contributed to several previously finnish-flagged-ships to switch flaggs (in this case to Sweden and Estonia) to sustain the legal right to sell the product (Snus)... the flagging of ships to other MS-flags have created a distorted picture of the necessity of the cruise-ships between for.eg. Finland and Sweden... ( I/myself only visit those boats for Snus, seing as there is no other way of getting Snus to where i live, as the sale/buying-via-internet was also banned...) "the worst case" with this system is that for.eg. on the cruise-boats between FInland and Sweden the products are "tax-free" so NO-state get the "benefit" of collecting taxes for this product (Snus)... The whole system for apporving/disapproving "harmful"-products should be renewed, the system should be much more flexible, "corresponding/capable to react" and "case-sensitive"... some of the "new"-tobacco-products could in some ways be considered "less harmful" to the person using them and the surrounding people (second-hand impact) than the cigarettes, so there should be a way

for the system to "approve/disapprove" products that are proven to be "less-harmful" to people, to be able to use atleast as substitute products to cigarettes... IF cigarettes are to be allowed by the EU then SNUS should DEFINETLY BE ALLOWED. I SUPPORT THE CAUSE OF LIFTING THE BAN ON SNUS, AND PAYING MY SHARE OF THE TAXES FOR IT! (but the taxes can NOT be heavier than those on cigarettes)

**additional option**

seeing as tobacco and tobacco-related deciesess are by far the biggest self-attaind health-issues in the EU (not even to speak of in the whole world) all the products should be put under heavy-taxation, not only on EU level but also on MS-level (with "guidlines" via EC), of course every citizen should have the right to use these varieties of tobacco products wherever in the EU they may live, but the each MS should be able to tax the product... about Snus as a product, it is one of the "most traditional" tobacco products in the nordic-countries and yet only one country has the legal right to produce and sell the product? Each citizen using this product/SNUS (harming only himself) should be able to decide if they are willing to import the product and pay the according taxes (no heavier than cigarettes) i personally use almost 1 burk (/Can/pack) of snus per day, which with simple mathematics counts to about 330 burks (/Can/pack) per year, and pay a "tax-free" amount of about 3,00 euros per burk(/Can/pack) which means that i pay almost 1000 euros per year for "illigal un-taxable products" and I've been doing so for almost 10years, which means that the state has missed out on a taxable sum of almost 10 000 euros, and thats only 1 person... YOU do the maths and the legislation as you believe is correct, whatever the outcome, I for one am not going to stop using the product (Snus) on the count of a "disciminating" ban...

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Benny

**email**

Sweden

**country**

45

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Har synpunkter i denna cirkus 1 Finska Tulli tar ut punktskatt på en produkt ”det fuktiga snuset” som är olaglig att sälja i landet, frågan är tar dem ut accis på andra orala drogpreparat också, Cat? 2 Finska Tulli tar ut punktskatt på råttobak, Tulli skickar i B-post till annat EU land att kommer inte svar inom 15 dagar träder vårt beslut i kraft, på rent stipulerade volymer som inte är bekräftad av avsändare, alltså på underlag av inkomna brev i landet. En tull ska väl i första hand upplysa och tillrättvisa om något är oklart så man får en chans att följa deras regler, inte uppsöka och direkt straffa. Bedrövligt! Sedan denna inkonsekvens mot förbud av snus EU ska väl jobba för gemensam bra hälsa och harmonisering av skatter och regler, inte framodla sådana ytterligheter som resulterats i Finska myndigheter Bedrövligt En som drabbats av Finska Tulli Mitt brott mot mänskligheten: Några kilo råttobak som jag skickat till mina kompisar i Finland

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pabisz Bogusław

**email**

Poland

**country**

41

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tobias Aspö

**email**

Finland

**country**

29

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

More pepole would quit smoking if the ban on snus is gone, snus are more heltier then smoking tobbaoco.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Eichner

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

56

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johannes de Ruever

**email**

Germany

**country**

34

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

gregory scully

**email**

uk

**country**

54

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rolf Gustav Wiklund

**email**

Finland

**country**

73

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Monika Nurzynksa-Brendel

**email**

Switzerland, Polish citizen

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Many forms of tobacco products are used by millions of adults throughout the EU. Adults should have the informed option of purchasing snus, an alternative tobacco product. As recognized by many public health advocates, Snus is a substantially reduced risk product compared to conventional cigarettes.

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Michael Laycock

#### **email**

UK

#### **country**

38

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **comments**

No change

#### **which option**

The same restrictions should be applied to these products as is currently applied to tobacco products.

#### **additional option**

These products are not tobacco products, neither are they smoking cessation aids. The right for an individual to imbibe in nicotine in a method of their choosing should not be taken away from us. I personally will probably never give up smoking, but I do choose not to have to consume the 3000 other chemicals found in tobacco and cigarettes.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Kjell-Ove Nyåker

#### **email**

Finland

#### **country**

50

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

The ban on snus in other countries than Sweden is not acceptable in terms of free trade between EU member countries. Another issue is that if snus is not available in Finland more people will begin smoking, this has already happened. Snus is much less dangerous for your health than cigarettes. Until there has been impartial investigations made on the effect on health caused by snus, compared to cigarettes, the on snus should be lifted in all EU member countries.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Staffan Liljekvist

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
39

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ben Roimola

**email**

Finland

**country**

43

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

The scope of the directive should be extended to all kinds of products containing nicotine.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Ban all types of tobacco products (smokeless, smoked and others).

**additional option**

It is completely insane to ban a tobacco product that has no ill effects on others than the user himself while tobacco products that have ill effects on everyone in the vicinity of the smoker is allowed (passive smoking).

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

erik backlund

**email**

Finland

**country**

20

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Heuer

**email**

Germany

**country**

19

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fredrik Hällund

**email**

Finland

**country**

22

**age**  
male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

The problem definition states that "all smokeless tobacco products are addictive and can cause cancer". While this is true I would like to see it as all tobacco products are addictive and can cause cancer, regardless of smoke. Smokeless tobacco (especially Swedish snus) has been proven to be harmful, yes, but is it more harmful than chewing tobacco or cigarettes? If you ban one you will have to ban the others too. If you ban snus because it is harmful you would also have to ban regular cigarettes, since smoking has been shown to be more harmful than using snus. "It may cause a slight increase in cardiovascular risks and is likely to be harmful to the unborn fetus, although these risks are lower than those caused by smoking. There has been a larger drop in male daily smoking (from 40% in 1976 to 15% in 2002) than female daily smoking (34% in 1976 to 20% in 2002) in Sweden, with a substantial proportion (around 30%) of male ex-smokers using snus when quitting smoking. Over the same time period, rates of lung cancer and myocardial infarction have dropped significantly faster among Swedish men than women and remain at low levels as compared with other developed countries with a long history of tobacco use." (Tobacco Control BMJ Publishing Group Ltd. 12(4):349-359, December 2003) "Although few in number, these seven studies do provide quantitative evidence that, for certain health outcomes, the health risks associated with snus are lower than those associated with smoking. Specifically, this is true for lung cancer (based on one study), for oral cancer (based on one study), for gastric cancer (based on one study), for cardiovascular disease (based on three of four studies), and for all-cause mortality (based on one study)." (Inhalation Toxicology [Inhalation Toxicol.]. Vol. 17, no. 13, pp. 741-748. 1 Dec 2005.) "Little evidence was found to support a causal relationship between ST (senders note: ST=smokeless tobacco) use and risk of oral, pancreatic or lung cancer. ST use was not associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease or stroke incidence, but evidence Suggested ST use was associated with increased mortality from these diseases." (REGULATORY TOXICOLOGY AND PHARMACOLOGY 56 (2): 197-211 MAR 2010) Put warning texts on everything, but don't use legislation as a way to deal with a healthcare problem. If people want to use tobacco products that's a choice they should be free to make for themselves. Last, but not least, one tobacco product should be considered the same as another as long as one of them isn't significantly more dangerous. If snus is continually banned, then all other forms of oral tobacco should also be banned, and probably cigarettes as well.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Stop trying to live peoples lives for them through legislation. We want to live as free human beings in a world where we are allowed to make mistakes. You can't ban everything that could be harmful, and if you try we will soon be living in a dystopia not even Orwell could have imagined. Freedom of speech, freedom of religion, freedom of opinion, why not also include the freedom of making ones own stupid decisions and then the freedom of living with them.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Kock

**email**

Finland

**country**

27

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Snus is not as unhealthy as cigarettes. There are no evidence that snus causes cancer.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

As long as cigarettes is legal to buy in Finland, then snus should also be legal to buy.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robin Lyttbacka

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrick Sidbäck

**email**

Finland

**country**

27

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Smokeless tobacco has helped me to stop smoking cigarettes. I've tried everything before smokeless tobacco and nothing helped, i always went back to my cigarettes. I have now been without them for five years all because of smokeless tobacco. I fear a ban of smokeless tobacco will get me back to smoking cigarettes again. I feel 10 times healthier using smokeless tobacco than smoking cigarettes.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

If you illagalize smokeless tobacco it will only open the black market and increase crimes. It will also affect people who use smokeless tobacco finacially.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Erik Befrits

**email**

Sweden

**country**

39

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Nowhere in the entire article specifying problem formulations regarding the possible revision of the Tobacco Directive are there forceful written indications on your body's preconceptions. In this portion of the text you make it very clear that you have a very negative view of the science published on "snus" in the last 20 years. You also state that there seem to be more important signs of "snus" leading to smoking than to the contrary. This is grossly biased and highly irregular and I would like to see the science on which you base the wording for this problem formulation as there to my knowledge exists more solid and better science stating exactly the opposite of what you are trying to influence respondents to this questionnaire to believe by reading your text? In all other portions of the "DG SANCO Public Consultation Document" you are asking for input while in the portion regarding smokeless tobacco you are actively influencing how you want respondents to reply. Again I state that this is grossly biased and highly irregular.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

All smokefree products should be allowed to the extent that they are below (by you) set limits in especially TSNA's. These are the main substances in smokeless tobacco known to be mutagenic and/or carcinogenic. Modern production of "Swedish Style Snus" being proven to have the lowest values of said TSNA's of any smokeless tobacco products. Any tobacco products whatsoever actually.

**additional option**

Possible incidence increase of oral cancer by use of smokeless tobacco may be proven in some fermented products, even these incidence increases however are insanely much lower than those incidence increases seen in cigarette smokers. FACT Your comments about myocardial infarction and other cardiovascular effects of smokeless tobacco are exactly equal to those of any and all other nicotine delivery devices (being that nicotine is the active ingredient and the ingredient that has cardiovascular effects) such as e-cigarettes, nicotine spray, lozenges, nicotine chewing gum etc. etc. This is also left without any commentary on your part in that portion of the "Public Consultation Document" This I also find grossly biased and highly irregular. FACT In all new member states GDP is significantly lower than in the traditional member states. This effectively bars a majority of low income smokers a risk reduced alternative to cigarettes as nicotine replacement therapies are MUCH more expensive



than tobacco products. FACT As a means of lessening tobacco production and tobacco use overall by freely allowing nicotine replacement therapies while keeping the ban on snus is nonsensical. It is much too expensive (next to impossible) to synthesize nicotine. Therefore all nicotine replacement therapy products on the market are as much a part of the "Tobacco Industry" as cigarettes or smokefree tobacco. FACT Nicotine replacement therapy products are exempt from tobacco taxation! In effect this means that the prices of e-cigarettes and nicotine chewing gum are much higher than the price of cigarettes or smokefree tobacco while none of the regular 70% of consumer price go to tobacco tax! You should therefore carefully consider that there are now 2 different and very influential industries who have a serious interest in directing the future of European Tobacco Law. These are the large cigarette corporations of course but now also all of the Pharmaceutical corporations in any way involved in nicotine therapy. FACT Higher price, no tobacco tax, freely available in the marketplace and endorsed by government campaigns for smokecessation. No wonder share prices are stable for the industry! FACT

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kjell Lindström

**email**

Sweden

**country**

53

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

This is also against free trade and the idea about an open internal market. Dangerous smokeless products are available in all European countries, but the non-dangerous product snus is banned. That is absolutely crazy

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Henrik Sandback

**email**

Finland

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johanna Holm

**email**

Finland

**country**

25

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mats Häggkvist

**email**

Finland

**country**

46

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

My motherslanguage is swedish, but still I´m a finnish born citizen, and lives at the west coast where we have used "snus" along time. This ban for "snus" is wery sad, it doesn,t cause any problems to humans around you as smoke tobacco does, no tar and smoke gets in to you. A average smoker maybe use one or tvo packet per day, my self using one packet (45g) on 3-4 days.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lis-Marie Tuuf-Nylund

**email**

Finland

**country**

54

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jonas Henriksson

**email**

Finland

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Unnessasary hard tobacco laws in finland. Snus has been manufactured in Finland until we entered Eu, and i dont see any reason for the current way of thinking. Smokless tobacco does only damage the user not the bystanders, and it this case i dont understand why the "healtier" product is forbidden. Is it fair marketing when the finnish company that manufactured snus had to be shut down? where is the free market? just think after for a second is free trade really free trade in Eu? or is it just a word that has been made up... I dont think the current way of thinking is ok, the harder tobacco laws/forbidd in finland makes an ordinary hard working citizen a criminal just beacause he/she prefers snus instead of other tobacco products.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

kim berglund

**email**

åland finland

**country**

41

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Chewing tobacco is sold around europe and in the same kind of tin as the snus. It is used almost the same way as snus. The user have it in his or her mouth without affecting the surroundings. So it is ridiculous that snus should be banned. According to me this is a question that someone needs to show political power at is lowest. It takes a brave man to admit that this ban were wrong.....Lift the ban now.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

mh

**email**

finland

**country**

38

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

**which option**

eu should not say no to the snus, if there still is smoking. and totally: why dont we just say no to alcohol also then! = no snus, no smoking, no alcohol, no seX..... so, is it the perfect world then?

**additional option**

just saying that if we in eu take away rights to use snus, then we should also take away rights to use alcohol and cigarettes also!!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hanna Fagerstedt

**email**

Sweden

**country**

27

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tomi Honkamäki

**email**

Finland

**country**

34

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Smokeless tobacco products must legalize. why you can smoke but not use snus?

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jere Makkonen

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
35

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Christian Nilsson

**email**  
Finland

**country**

20

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr Brewster

**email**

UK

**country**

44

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

**which option**

ENDS should be regulated by trading and safety standards bodies to ensure that the products meet consumer safety standards in packaging and sales.

**additional option**

ENDS are an alternative to smoking that allow recreational nicotine consumption in a non polluting manner. They allow a lower risk alternative to nicotine consumption by combustion of tobacco.

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jimmy Larsson

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr. Timo Loimuranta

**email**

Ireland

**country**

47

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tomas Byggmästar

**email**

Finland

**country**

19

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markku Janhunen

**email**

Finland

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Prohibiting snus does not stop snus using. There is surely much more other problems to be solved than snus. People do not like that they're been controlled.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Bringing snus back on stores any possible way for them who want to use it.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Tony

#### **email**

EU

#### **country**

35

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

Studies of cigarets report that it is highly unhealthy, and can kill you. Studies of Swedish snus report that it can occasionally harm your health.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **which option**

Use snus instead of cigarettes to prevent health issues and medical costs for the taxpayers.

#### **additional option**

Let people have a healthier choice

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Tommy Karl-Erik Bjurbäck

#### **email**

Finland

**country**

33

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Snorre Lahti

**email**

Finland

**country**

33

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ronnie Varg

**email**

Finland

**country**  
29

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jonas Westerlund

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
31

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Oskar Björklund

**email**

Finland

**country**

22

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johannes Strang

**email**

Finland

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jesper Holmstedt

**email**

Finland

**country**

21

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

matias risikko

**email**

finland

**country**

21

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Snus should be legal

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stig Larsén

**email**  
Sweden

**country**  
63

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Oscar Hagen

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
23

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**



erkas anna-carin

**email**  
finland

**country**  
33

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Juhani Rantala

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
26

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Marianne

**email**

Finland

**country**

48

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

You dont disturb another human person with smokeless tobacco products

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

It's up to the people in Europe (read Finland)to decide for themselves if they will use smokeless products or not.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Steven Frostdahl

**email**

Finland

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

As long as cigarets are allowed then there are no reason to stop snus from being used. Legislation between neighbouring countries Sweden and Finland have to be in some kind of harmony in this question.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Svante Andreas Granholm

**email**

Finland

**country**

24

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Smoking tobacco is much more dangerous for the health than smokeless

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lilian Adler

**email**

finland

**country**

33

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

**additional option**

There is so much other stuff that is more unhealthy than snus, and snus does not effect any else than the one who uses it, like for example tobacco do! If I want snus i'll get it, no matter what! I dont think people will stop smoking or using snus just because you say so, and whats forbidden is more attractive, thats for sure! So please, Let the Finnish people use snus if they want to, snus isnt dangerous like drugs are! I have used snus for over 10 years and i will not stop because eu fordiddens snus!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Martin Granö

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
23

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Håkan Omars

**email**

Finland

**country**

38

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

There are no scientific proof on that "snus", as a tobacco product, causes cancer. Dependency yes, but not cancer.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

You either ban all tobacco-products, or lift the ban on snus according to equal treatment.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tomi

**email**

FINLAND

**country**

32

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Snus,need to be sold in every local store,gasstation,etc etc ,It's not as harmles as cigarettes,  
...and i'm not using snus!!! but it is sick that they don't sell it in FINLAND,and now try to  
make it as ilegal as drugs.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matts Smeds

**email**

Finland

**country**

31

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

mikko keula

**email**

finland

**country**

44

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

why it is legal in finland it is been used here since 1600 to 1995. but all over europe sell nasal snus and smokin tobak.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Max

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

I think that that the rules concerning any substance (here nicotine) should be the same regardless of the type of product. Raw tobacco, pipe tobacco, chewing tobacco, cigarettes, nicotine chewing gum, nicotine patch, snus etc

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

kenneth bell

**email**  
scotland

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
there is no clear evidence of harm to consumers requiring EU legislation. No directive is therefore required, having failed initial tests for legislative necessity.

**comments**  
No change

**which option**  
health screening on smokeless tobacco products; information to consumers to allow responsible choice and risk comparison.

**additional option**  
bans are likely to be counter-productive and force MORE people to turn back to smoking.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Alexander Grägg

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
18

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
I believe, if a lift on the ban on snus isn't possible, that there should be an exception for



Finland similiar to the one for Sweden. We Finns, especially Swedish-speaking Finns, have always been in connection with Sweden and with it comes the use of snus. No matter the restrictions or the consequences, the use of snus is so deeply rooted in the community of Finland (again, especially Ostrobothnia) and therefore nothing will ever change. Except that you make ordinary citizens criminals.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robin Bredbacka

**email**

Finland

**country**

18

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

**additional option**

Banning snus is just not right, it's by far a more healthy option to smoking. If you ban snus, smoke tobacco products should also be illegal, wich is quite impossible. Compared to smoke tobacco snus is not really a health problem.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Toni Wistbacka

**email**

Finland

**country**

31

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jonny Wikström

**email**

sweden

**country**

41

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Allow snus in all eu otherwise eu stop the free trade over borders in eu.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andy Bjerén

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
45

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

It would be more appropriate to consider the health benefits from snus. Not only does it help people quit smoking, which is proven in the statistics from Swedish health governments, but it also has a positive effect on some intestant diceases, i.e. crohns and colitis ulcerosa. Recent studies show that the risk of cancer is limited to a small and non-proven link. Even dentists in Sweden now argues that it has minimal effect on dental issues. In some countries, like here in Finland, even government has fallen for urban myths, such as the one that "snus" contains microscopical glass fragments added by manufacturers. It is impossible to argue with the help of facts when even government officials not even bother to find out the facts. Sweden has a long history of using this product and the European Union should study and learn from the benefits "snus" has provided. Not to mention the trade issue where french and british smoking tobacco companies are left with absolutely no competition on the market. Is there a bigger issue to consider? Does smoking tobacco money do the heavy lobbying inside EU? I hope not. With so many unreasonable deaths from smoking it is time to reconsider the ban on "snus". In my city of Kokkola in Finland nearly 30% of the young population between 12-25 use smoking tobacco. There is a bigger health issue at stake.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
andreas snellman

**email**  
finland

**country**  
21

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Mikael Lall

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
38

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Dan Eriksson

**email**  
Åland

**country**  
51

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**  
Det har en stor negativ effekt på Ålands ekonomi eftersom finskflaggade fartyg flaggas till Sverige. Snus är en lika gammal tradition på Åland som i Sverige eftersom Ålänningar alltid har haft stor och naturlig kontakt till Sverige. Likaså har de svensktalande i Finland också historiskt brukat snus. Detta att en minoritet i Finland och på Åland berövas lagligheten att bruka snus och kan bli bestraffade för snusmuggling eller innehav är utan rim och reson. Alkoholen är ett mycket större problem inom Finland och hela EU's medlemsstater med både död och sjukdomar samt familjetragedier pga. alkoholism. Att göra snuset till ett EU'problem tar bort EU\*s legitimitet som lagstiftare hos vanliga medborgare i alla medlemsländer.

**additional option**  
Snus påverkar inte omgivningen negativt.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Maria Åkersten

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
32

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

It is a nice idea that tobacco will some day just be a bad memory but that will unfortunately never be a reality. The reason is that there is too much money involved in the tobacco industry. So therefore I believe it is unfair to ban some tobacco products like snus but not others, like cigarettes. Cigarettes are much more dangerous than snus but still you ban snus and not cigarettes. Where is the logic in that? If you want to ban nicotine products, do it for all products, not only the ones that you dare to ban.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Per Abrahamsson

**email**

Sweden

**country**

46

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

It's no longer any medical discussion or scientific debate about the health issues of smoking. But it seems to me there is a double standard in this case. How come smoking is social and legally accepted all over the EU when the medical consequences with smoking is widely known? In Sweden many smokers have turned over to snus (oral tobacco). They would most certainly still have been smoking if it wasn't for snus. Further more - the EU have banned snus as an "unhealthy product" without looking into the positive health issues. Snus is a way out of smoking for many people; it does not cause fatal lung cancer, does not smell widely and badly - and is very underestimated as a substitute for cigarettes and a life without smoke.

**additional option**

Please don't keep slandering snus in the EU - take notice of the positive health effects instead!

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Sjöberg

**email**

Finland

**country**

30

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alaharju Teemu

**email**

Finland

**country**

32

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

jan klemets

**email**

finland

**country**

27

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anders Wasberg

**email**

Finland

**country**

23

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

To allow snuff in finland. It has been in Finland for many years until the 90`s. When it was



forbidden to be sold in Finland. However the use of snuff has not stopped in Finland.

**additional option**

It has been in Finland for many years until the 90`s. When it was forbidden to be sold by finnish shops. It is unfair that smoke tobacco is leagal to sel but not snuff. A person that uses snuff do not affect the people around him with smoke. To think that we could free the hole europe from tobacco by starting to forbidd only snuff is the wrong way to react. Many snuff users have started to smoke after snuff was forbidden in Finland. And how many has been affected by those "new" smokers? The use of snuff is less harmful to the enviroment than smoke tobacco. Its almost the same if you ban vodka and allow wiskey. Its unfair to the manufacturer also, whers the free marketing?

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ronny Snellman

**email**

Finland

**country**

31

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Rendon

**email**

Finland

**age**  
male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

The problem is, they are trying to limit the freedoms and oppressing people. Its extremely oppressive to: be told what you're allowed to sell or buy, and what you're allowed to put in your body. people should be educated about what could happen from putting tobacco into their body, not have the right to own possess buy tobacco. it is embarrassing everyone for having to follow such a laughable ridiculous law. the supporting evidence would have to be the old tobacco salesman in amsterdam at the duty free shop in the airport telling me you could buy heroin and cocaine here but not spit-tobacco, and more cussing. get serious. More proof is just asking people why their freedom to choose how much or what to buy or how much to put in their body, you get the reply "nobody knows, the goverment here is just foolsih"

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

what about all the other forms of tobacco? the lift on snus ban is not good enough. lift the limit on all oral tobacco, or just quite simply all tobacco, and regulate what the add into the mixture to make sure their are no added malicious poisons to the already poisonous plant, and that the customer intending to use this product is aware of such.

**additional option**

As a user tobacco, smoke and smokeless, I wouldnt suggest for my child to do the same, but I will fight for them to have the right to do so. Poor people need freedom to put what they want into their bodies. It's between them and God what they do with their body. Does lifting the ban on snus mean the same thing as lifting the ban on all form of the nicotiana plants? Because I'm for that one if that's what it is.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Knuts

**email**

Finland

**country**

27

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Either ban nicotine in all its form or lift the ban on snus.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
mattsson christofer

**email**  
finland

**country**  
32

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
we who try to stop smoking and still need a product would have the choice to choos for our self

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Tomas Öst

**email**

Pietarsaari Finland

**country**

47

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ulf söderbacka

**email**

finland

**country**

40

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lisbeth Träisk

**email**

Finland

**country**

43

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Snus is better alternative than smoking tobacco. If smoking you can harm other people. Snus does not harm any other. Let snus be free in EU.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

If snus can be sold in any country - any country can do their tax profits, nowadays only Sweden can have incoming taxes from snus.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

kjell

**email**

finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

staffan

**email**

finland

**country**

26

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pia Hansson

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Ola Willför

#### **email**

Finland

#### **country**

42

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Snus has been used for several of hundreds of years here in Scandinavia. I know lots of people that have been using this product for various amounts of years, some 3-4 years, some 25-30 years. I myself have been using snus for at least 15 years. I have NEVER heard, nor have I self noticed any complications or hazards that can relate to this tobacco product. I have neither read anywhere statements from doctors, surgeons, medical staff or other people in the health and medical business that have actually PROVEN that you actually can get medical complications such as different forms of cancer or physical discomforts or any others that can be dangerous to your health. On the contrary, I have never been in better shape since I quit smoking some 5 or 6 years ago. When using snus, you don't put any other person at risk, or cause any case of distraction, which is the fact when smoking close to other people. In my opinion this is the most idiotic and utterly ignorant ban that has been placed up on us, AND the fact that more and more people here in Finland has quit smoking and started to use snus instead, proves that people has become more and more aware of their health! Give us snus back!!!

##### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

##### **additional option**

I have never heard under my entire life that snus can cause such form of physical illness or any other form that can be dangerous to your health, that a person would need medical treatment, which is the proven case when you smoke tobacco. If those people who smoke tobacco today would change to snus, we would have far much less forms of cancer, lung diseases and other forms of diseases related to smoking!

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Jonatan Södergård

#### **email**

FINLAND

#### **country**

22

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

Yes

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **which option**

In order to improve public health: Legalize snus and be more strict with smoking. Less smokers, more snus'ers = Better public health. Lift the ban on snus.

#### **additional option**

What percentage of Swedens population uses snus and how many smokes cigarettes? -I comparsion to this: how's the health of a 50-years smoker versus a 50 year snus-user? -Does Swedens public health seem to suffer? -Snus cannot possibly contain as much poison as cigarettes. -Use of snus is individual and cannot be passively inhaled, like smoke. -At work people have brakes and slow down work, when smoking. Smells bad. Snus does not stink so it annoys others. Snus does not slow down your work. If a entire country would use snus, the public health would be better. The ban of snus is mainly ridiculous. Think of public health: Snus is the healthier one of two unhealthy products. And obviously legalities regarding cigarettes cannot be touched, since there's too much money involved in cigarette industry. but... Don't want to loose your accis taxes from half of the planet would you ? It is easy to pick on minorities, e.g. snus users are in minority compared to smokers. But what you're doing is just to annoy people, labeling innocent snus products as illegal. And no, snus is not to be classified as narcotics. What else will you come up with in order to label people as lawbreakers? There are folks laughing ridiculously at snus-laws, with a big smiling stiff upper lip.

---

## **Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

lucas nyman

**email**

Finland

**country**

21

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claes Björkholm

**email**

Finland

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Petter Oja

**email**

Finland

**country**

20

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kim Pakkanen

**email**

Finland

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Olli Lahtela

#### **email**

Finland

#### **country**

25

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

Yes

#### **comments**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Pekka palola

#### **email**

Fin

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **comments**

No change

#### **which option**

Ei pidä kieltää. Alkoholi ja tupakka täyskieltoon ensin. Nuuskan kieltäminen on kaksinaismoraalia pahimmillaan.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christoffer Åbacka

**email**

Finland

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

its good!!!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nicholas Putro-Martin

**email**

Finland

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

I think it is not the government that should choose what we, the normal people, can use in our private lives to ease our nicotin need! And think about the taxes the country will miss because banning the sales of "snus". About 50% of my friends use snus and buy it from Sweden and that money stays there...

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Bringing back snus to Finland would give people the chance to start factories that makes snus. And that gives more people a job.

**additional option**

After i quit smoking i feel much better, i feel healthier, no coughing in the morning, i smell better and no smoke gets around my children!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sami Pakarinen

**email**

Finland

**country**

18

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Baati

**email**

Finland

**country**

23

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Bans aren't doing anything good.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Larsson Peter

**email**

Finland

**country**

47

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nico Sjelvgren

**email**

Finland

**country**

17

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Björklund

**email**

Finland

**country**

50

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

There was no problem before EU made it one. People are smoking all over Europe but Snus that is proven to be less harmful is banned. Where is the logic. Best members of parliament your credibility is going down the drain.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mika Övermark

**email**

Finland

**country**

38

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

- according to my dentist and several other dentists there is no evidence that snus is causing cancer - It is obvious that regular tobacco is causing cancer, I will smoke if I am not able to buy snus - history teaches us that everything that is banned is interesting and it will not disappear. Banning a product will cause smuggling, the products get cheaper, the states will loose taxes. - Let the polices, layers and other authorities use their resourses for fighting against real problems like drugs. - I will buy my snus where I can, but I would rather pay taxes to finland instead of sweden - Opposite to north-korea, Europe should be modern and liberal where citizens are able to decide independently for their own lives

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Miika moisio

**email**

Finland

**country**

18

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

if regular tobacco is legal to sell, why shouldn't smokefree tobacco be legal in the same countrys?? and smokefree tobacco is better for your healt and has no what so ever effect on your lungs!!!!!! and if you can't legalize sokefree tobacco then you should bann regular, too!!!! OTHERWISE THIS IS PURE DISCRIMINATION AGAINST A MINORITY THAT USES IT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

axel kola

**email**

finland

**country**

18

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**  
legal snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Mats Eriksson

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
34

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Lift the ban a.s.a.p, why should people who like nicotine and their lungs at the same time be considered criminals?

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Kristoffer Knuts

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
20

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Susan E Carruthers

**email**  
united kingdom

**country**  
64

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
My husband has started using an electronic pipe and his Asthma has improved and I now can live in a Smoke free environment which safeguards my health

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Walter Fellmano

**email**

Finland

**country**

18

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

James Lamb

**email**

Finland

**country**

18

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mikael Kjellman

**email**  
Finland

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Urban Snickars

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
21

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Kristoffer Bergvik

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
21

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**  
snus to all people!

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jan Fröjdö

**email**  
Finland

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
The ban of snus overall should be recovered. Let the trading of snus be free in the nordic countries. The health risks with snus is nothing compered to other tobacco products.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jessica Bäckman

**email**  
Finland

**country**

18

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Emma

**email**

Finland

**country**

22

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jonathan Höglund

**email**

Finland

**country**  
18

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Desere Överholm

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
24

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Snus should be allowed when tobacco is. More healthy too people around.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen



**name**

Antti Posa

**email**

Finland

**country**

20

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matias Sjöström

**email**

Finland

**country**

18

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

de här e ju löjligt

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matti meikäläinen

**email**

Finland

**country**

17

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mikael Nylund

**email**

Finland

**country**

41

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

I don't think it is a problem you only have to make schoolchildren understand that it IS NOT cool to smoke and that you don't have to smoke to be in the gang!! If you not start using tobacco under these years that you are in school then you often make the hole lifetime. but for

them who has started smoking i would say that use snus instead it is only damaging your self and not your hole family!

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Snus is far more better then ordinary smoking tobacco It is ONLY the user who is affected by the nicotine And banning snus is not helping at all, many users just start smoking instead, or they use the black market to obtain it. If you are banning the snus then you also have to ban smoking tobacco if you dare (third world war)

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Simonsen

**email**

Denmark

**country**

45

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

**which option**

There is a need for an further investigation into the effects (positive as well as negative) of vaporizing products. Personally I have quit smoking cigarettes due to the fact that the vaporizing was able to fulfill my need for nicotine. If the vaporizing products is banned, I will start smoking cigarettes again. On that background I feel a ban will be counterproductive.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Aase Andersson

**email**

Finland

**country**

41

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Leif-Tony Väger

**email**

Finland

**country**

28

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

The ban on SNUS is discriminating, since ordinary tobacco is allowed, Snus is also better for your health than tobacco, that's a fact

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robin Eriksson

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

jan-erik Nordlund

**email**

Finland

**country**

55

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oscar Kjellman

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Susanna Lindgard

**email**

Finland

**country**

41

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dennis Pollow

**email**

Denmark

**country**

37

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hejdu

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

no

**additional option**

no

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lina Kjellman

**email**  
Finland

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Lina Kjellman

**email**  
Finland

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Michael Brasen

**email**  
Finland

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen



**name**

Daniela Dahlvik

**email**

Finland

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joacim Sandbacka

**email**

Finland

**country**

37

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sebastian Lönnbäck

**email**

Finland

**country**

19

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joachim Majors

**email**

Finlands

**country**

30

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

The banning of snus is discriminating. You cannot confine culture within country lines.. Sweden is allowed to sell snus for whatever cultural reason. Swedish speaking Finns have a lot of Swedish customs and culture, snus being one of them, and therefore the snus ban is discriminating. If there needs to be a ban on tobacco products, then ban all tobacco products not some of them.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Lasse Ekelund

#### **email**

Åland, Finland

#### **country**

65

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

##### **problem definition**

Snus is a harmless drug, used since centuries. It makes extremely little harm to the user and affects nobody in the neighbourhood. There is no, or very few evidences of snus causing cancer. Although, there is a few cases of paradontitis. It is a nice substitute to smoking cigarettes, and far healthier. Snus is adictive but causes far less costs to society. Snus is used by 800.000 in Sweden, Finland 100.000, Norway, Iceland, US and Canada also have many thousands of users.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **which option**

Instead of prohibiting snus it should be used as a help for those who want to stop smoking. One can suspect that the banning of snus is in the interest of the powerful tobacco industry.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Aleksi Roiha

#### **email**

Finland

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

When people smoke tobacco, other people inhale it to their lungs if they are nearby. Snus doesn't do this, it is safer than regular tobacco. Why should a more harmful tobacco be allowed than a less harmful tobacco is my question. People in Europe don't consume snus so much, but Finland really has many snusers, in Pietarsaari, half of my friends snus and some are even against smoking tobacco! All the research on snus has been made in USA, and according to studies, it is more harmful than Swedish snus.

**comments**

No change

**which option**

Make snus legal, if people can smoke tobacco, why not snus.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roger Heikfolk

**email**

Finland

**country**

49

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Släpp snus fritt i Finland,NU!!! Ja,i hela EU området!!!

**additional option**

Jag har snusat i 37 år,och av det har jag inte tagit någon somhellst skada. Däremot nytta,eftersom jag trots att jag inte borstar tänderna har få hål. Det är mycket egendomligt och därtill diskriminerande att snus förbjudits. I Finland,där vi endast sett nackdelar med EU,(se bara på t.ex bilskatt,krav på bilar som importeras,bränsle och matpriser,vi har ju blivit ett av världens dyraste länder,trots låga löner för vanliga arbetare)så borde vi väl åtminstone trösta oss med snus. Alkohol som är mycket skadlig är ju tillåten!?! För att inte tala om vissa länder där cannabis är tillåtet! Var är rättvisan i dylika diktatorfasoner? Jag tänker inte sluta med snus,om jag så skall odla tobak och göra eget snus,lagligt eller olagligt spelar ingen roll.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roger Snellman

**email**

Finland

**country**

40

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Smokeless tobacco is only tobacco with nicotine and salt plus some additional ingredients for preserving humidity. There is not evidence what so ever for this product cause cancer.

Smokeless tobacco is also not harmful to people around you, while smoking is. I have been using snuff for 15 years and never had any problems with teeth or oral tissue.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrik Snellman

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
47

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Daniel Holmstedt

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
18

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**comments**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Carl G Sjöberg

**email**

Åland Is., Finland

**country**

62

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Snus is a harmless drug, used since centuries. It makes extremely little harm to the user and affects nobody in the neighbourhood. There is no, or very few evidences of snus causing cancer. Although, there is a few cases of paradontitis. It is a nice substitute to smoking cigarettes, and far healthier. Snus causes far less costs to society. Snus is used by 800.000, Finland 100.000, Norway, Iceland, US and Canada also have many thousands of users.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Instead of prohibiting snus it should be used as a help for those who want to stop smoking. One can suspect that the banning of snus is in the interest of the powerful tobacco industry.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mats Juthström

**email**

Finland

**country**

32

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

It's discrimination of snus users, and swedish talking people

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Viljam Vasara

**email**

Finland

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alf Lönngren

**email**

Sweden

**country**

61

**age**



male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jim Byggmästar

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

johannes sundqvist

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joachim Högväg

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Snus is an old cultural habit for many people in Finland, especially among the swedishspeaking part of the population, much as it is in Sweden which does not have this ban. Snus is an old Scandinavian type of tobacco, and as long as smoking is not banned, snus should not be banned either. The ban on Snus in Finland is an example of EU legislation that does not take into consideration cultural differences and old traditions and is therefore discriminatory in nature. If snus is not banned in Sweden due to cultural considerations, it should not be banned in Finland either, based on the same considerations.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

jani keto

**email**

finland

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oscar Sundman

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jimmy Envall

**email**

Finland

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mathias Nylund

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
35

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

The question "Is the problem definition correct?" is in itself very confusing. Why use bureaucratic, vague and incomprehensible language when specifically consulting the public?? With so many consultants in the EU-apparatus, it shouldn't be impossible. What do you mean? I have not been objected to any "problem definition". If you mean whether it is correct to ban snus, the answer is NO.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Banning snus is an invasion of privacy and a grave insult to grown-up citizens. Seen from a health perspective, sugar should be banned before snus.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Niklas Teir

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
42

**age**  
male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

"All STP cause localised oral lesions and a high risk for development of oral cancer has been shown for various STP but has not been proven for Swedish moist snuff (snus)." Act rationally and cigarettes that are proven to cause all kinds of cancer and lift the ban of the Swedish "snus".

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anton Grindgårds

**email**

Finland

**country**

26

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

I think it would be a much better idea for the commission to work for a ban on smoking products rather than smokeless tobacco products. Smokeless tobacco products, such as snus, does not harm any other people in the environment than the user it self. In my opinion the ban of snus but not other smoking products is just as stupid as banning cider but not beer. If the commission wants to ban nicotine products they should go all the way then and ban ALL nicotine products including cigarettes, which I think is impossible regarding the strong positions for the big tobacco companies on the market nowadays. Considering the fact that a complete ban of nicotine products is close to impossible, the ban on snus should definitely be lifted in order to give the citizens a more healthy and smokefree option to regular cigarettes. The competition on the market is also suffering due to this ban.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Fredrick Byman

#### **email**

Finland

#### **country**

22

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions smokeless**

#### **problem definition**

smokeless tobacco products are better alternatives for our health! Only in Finland the amount of people using cigarettes would decrease.

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

smokeless tobacco products are better alternatives for our health! Only in Finland the amount of people using cigarettes would decrease.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Aliisa Rintala

#### **email**

Finland

#### **country**

27

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Snus is better than smoking

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Tim West

**email**  
FInland

**country**  
22

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
I wanna fuck a horse

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Kristoffer Rimaila

**email**

Finland

**country**

27

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Lift the ban on snus, instead restrict marketing of all nicotine products and perhaps increase taxation on such products.

**additional option**

The ban of snus products in the EU is completely uncalled for. Cigarettes cause more harm to both its users and the people around them (numerous medical studies). The fact is also that snus-users are going to continue using these products whether legal or not and this will only cause the member states to lose a source of tax income. In case "oral tobacco products" are banned then cigarettes will be banned as well, as they also are enjoyed orally? If snus is banned then the same should be done for all nicotine products.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan

**email**

Finland

**country**

44

**age**

male

**additional comments**



Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniel Manderbacka

**email**

Finland

**country**

29

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

The ban on snus must be lifted as it is less harmful than cigarettes. Me, and many of my friends would prefer to use snus instead of cigarettes but the increasing difficulty of obtaining snus forces people to smoke cigarettes. Added to this the ban on snus makes it a crime to buy snus for friends if traveling to Sweden. Needing to travel personally to Sweden to obtain a healthier alternative is really crazy

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pekka Salminen

**email**

Finland

**country**  
24

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jessica Snellman

**email**  
finland

**country**  
22

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Juho Nieminen

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anders Snellman

**email**

FINLAND

**country**

24

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hanna Grönhage

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gun Inborr

**email**

Finland

**country**

53

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Jag anser att det är helt fel att förbjuda snus när tobak är tillåtet. I Finland och speciellt i svenskfinland är det vanligare att snusa än att röka. Så har det varit i hundratals år.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carita Lundberg

**email**

Finland

**country**

52

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

dumt att förbjuda snus när tobak är tillåtet. snus skadar bara den som använder det medan tobak är farligt även för oskyldiga!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Höglund Torolf

**email**

Finland

**country**

60

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marko Haapala

**email**

Finland

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Nicotine is highly addictive, and it is the reason smokers keep smoking and snus users keep using snus, I think we all agree on this. But my question is; why do you ban the lesser harmful method of administering nicotine and in the process create smokers out of former snus users? And the snus users who keep on using snus create a void in the market. A void, which is filled by the black market. I fail to see the logic in this ban.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Long term education. There is no silver bullet to address this issue.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tomas Fredriksson

**email**

Sweden

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Ljung, Andre

#### **email**

Finland

#### **country**

24

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

Yes

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **which option**

Ban all tobacco products, as they causes cancer and is a main factor that som many citezens die younger than necessary. Snus and smokeless tobacco procuts should not be banned as they provide a good substitute to cigarettes.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Björklund, Annette

#### **email**

Belgium

#### **country**

46

#### **age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

IF there is going to be a ban, why not on all tobacco products, as we know that cigarettes causes cancer and is a main factor that som many citezens die younger than necessary. Snus and smokeless tobacco procuts should not be banned as they provide a good substitute to cigarettes.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bo-eric Blomström

**email**

Finland

**country**

50

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Susanna Fors

**email**

Finland



**country**

21

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Björkell

**email**

Finland

**country**

26

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

I believe that the ban on snus is very strange and should be removed effective immediately. According to all research snus is a healthier alternative to smoking tobacco and does not affect anyone else than the consumer. Keeping cigarettes legal and banning snus does not make any sense whatsoever. Also, it directly opposes the free trade/free market agreement we have inside the EU. Banning snus has only led to people buying and selling it illegally and taxmoney flows into Sweden as they have the monopoly on selling snus since it's banned in the other countries. I strongly would advise that you reconsider the ban and lift it. If more people would use snus instead of smoking cigarettes we would have a healthier population and get rid of much of the problematics related to tobacco (secondhand smoking etc.). Normally I don't smoke but since it's banned and I don't have the opportunity to travel to

sweden that often I do smoke from time to time if I don't have snus. I would much rather go down to the store and buy snus rather than being forced to choose a much more unhealthy alternative that not only affects me but others around me.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Burman Gun-Britt

**email**

Finland

**country**

57

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marianne Moliis-Lindholm

**email**

Finland

**country**

46

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Att de regler som gäller i Sverige även skall gälla övriga EU-medlemsstater. Detta skulle eliminera de problem som har uppstått inom sjöfarten.

**additional option**

Jag vill även påpeka att det hette från början att Sverige fick behålla snuset p.g.a. sedvänja och kultur. Då bör det påpekas att Finland hörde till Sverige ända in på 1800-talet och snusanvändningen har i de svenskfinska områdena varit lika frekvent som i Sverige .

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ville Mänttari

**email**

Finland

**country**

28

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

My opinion in this case is that snus is much healthier product to use than cigarettes. Me personally dont even use the snus but i do smoke and i have tryin to quit it for a long time but not succes yet. Bannin the snus is pointless and vain beacause i think that the people who use it will start smokin when they dont get snus anywhere and is that a good thing??? I dont say that they all gonna start smoking immediatly when the snus gets banned but the worlds gonna get some new smokers after that and i really believe thats what is gonna happen. Afterall the of risk gettin lung cancer from snus is...

**comments**

No change

**which option**

No.

**additional option**

Get Real.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Niklas Nahls

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mathias Gullfors

**email**

FINLAND

**country**

24

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sabine Rendon

**email**

Finland

**country**

24

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

It's ridiculous and absurd to ban smokeless tobacco while other tobacco products are legal. All tobacco products should be legal.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christopher Larsson

**email**

Finland

**country**

24

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tom Forsen

**email**

Finland

**country**

46

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

allow using snus

**additional option**

The ban on snus turns snus users to smoking tobacco instead which is more dangerous. Scientific evidenc in the New england journal of medicine has shown that snus is less dangerous than traditional smoking.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marcus Björk

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
29

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
The ban of smokeless tobacco (snus) is wrong. The ban creates a black market for snus, as well as it deducts tax incomes for the member states. The smokeless options are also in some ways better for the general public, as the problem with second hand smoke is deducted. Smokeless tobacco is the individuals own choice and it doesn't affect others health.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Anton Fogström

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
24

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Outi Heiskanen

**email**

Finland

**country**

29

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marko Autio

**email**

FINLAND

**country**

38

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paavo Heiskanen

**email**

Finland

**country**

29

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

dan johansson

**email**

FINLAND

**country**

28

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

THERE IS NO FACTS THAT SAYS THAT SMOKLESS TOBACCO IS MORE UNHEALTHY THAN TOBACCO

**comments**

No change

**which option**

LEGALISE SNUS!!!!

**additional option**

LEGALISE SNUS!!!!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tea Storås

**email**

Finland

**country**

23

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Make it every citizens own problem if they want to use snus or not. Don't ban it, that will just make honest people into criminals. Banning snus will not make every snus user quit, it will just make people angry and upset. If people want to risk their health it's up to them! If you ban snus then you have to ban everything that is unhealthy! Fast food, tobacco, alkohol, candy.. Are you ready to do that? The Eu should not try to control people too much, people should be aloud to make their own choises and mistakes! Snus is better than tobacco! It only hurts the user , not the people around the user, like tobacco does! How come your not banning tobacco that is even more dangerous than snus? Let people decide for them selves if they want to use snus or not...

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pauli Kataja

**email**

Finland

**country**

19

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dan Becker

**email**

Finland

**country**

28

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Svante Karlsson

**email**

Finland

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

It doesn't make any sense that snus is illegal while other tobacco products aren't.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matts Enkvist

**email**

Finland

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Snus is a tobacco product, but not as danger as cigarettes. And if I use snus then it will not harm any other. For example in Holland you can buy marijuna in coffeshops without breaking the law, why do we have to make snus illegal then??

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Let snus be sold in europe as it was before, then also the states in EU can get the tax money of it and the users can chose theirselves if they want to use or not...

**additional option**

Let us citizens of EU buy snus legally when its ok to buy cigarettes. And try to stop the use of narcotics insted.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Back

**email**

Finland

**country**

30

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Niclas Kjellman

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
17

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Erkka Moisio

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
27

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**which option**  
Ban the consumption of all types of tobacco products in public and try to achieve fewer private consumption through heavier taxation and sales limitations. Keep new product types off the market.

**additional option**  
It should be the right of every European to never to have to smoke even passively in any

situation. This right should be more important than that of smokers to smoke actively where they please and doing so harm others around them.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marcus Grundvall

**email**

Finland

**country**

32

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

the problem is that all these rules and regulations will only serve to make things worse. people need to be free to make their own choices, especially when it comes to their personal health. snus and other smokeless tobacco products are not a real problem to anyone else than possibly the user himself, and government and the EU should not need to spend their time or resources adressing issues like this.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Nyman

**email**

Finland

**country**

25

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**  
Have governments make and regulate all forms of drugs and make them available to the public and put tax on them.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
tomas

**email**  
finland

**country**  
34

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Lina Hallman



**email**  
Sweden

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Magnus Snellman

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
24

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Nina Lavilampi

**email**  
Suomi

**country**

25

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

cigarettes do more damage than snus.

**comments**

No change

**which option**

ban smoking instead

**additional option**

to snus you dont: -have to go outside -do any harm to other people -there is no such thing as passiv snusning -it doesn't stink of smoke...

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jani Pontus Toivanen

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

There is only freedom where there is knowledge, and therefor tobacco products (as all products) should have adequate labeling of product information - not merely a packaged image of a product but every ingredient in an identifiable form. This would mean that smoked cigarettes would have the list of up to 600 additives which would affect the way people relate to smoked cigarettes. People would see it, not as a natural tobacco product, but rather as a highly processed tobacco product with all kinds of suspect ingredients.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anders Eriksson

**email**

Finland

**country**

29

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fredrik Holmlund

**email**

Finland

**country**

28

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Snus is a tobaccoproduct and should be treated the same way as ohter tobaccoproducts

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nina Wikström

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Otso Sandberg

**email**

Finland

**country**

28

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

william

**email**

finland

**country**

24

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Astrid Olhagen

**email**

Finland

**country**

54

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

It is inconsistent to give tobaccofarmers fundings for their crops, and then only accept the more harmful use of it, smoking, and not any smokefree use of tobacco. It is rather common to use snus or chewing tobacco in more nordic countries than Sweden, and it is creating big problems than snus is only legal in Sweden.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tom Lemponen

**email**

Finland

**country**

28

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matti Hokkanen

**email**

Finland

**country**

19

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Esa Muttilainen

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
29

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Mikko Pakarinen

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
24

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**which option**  
Ban all tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Lars Liljestrand

**email**  
Sweden

**country**  
65

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
If snus is still to be banned all tobacco must also be BANNED

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**



Lauri Mikola

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
22

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**which option**  
Make smokeless tobacco cheaper than regular tobacco (through taxes, subsidies or by any means possible). While tobacco in itself is not good for you, smokeless tobacco sure is less bad than regular tobacco. And personally i'm convinced that many people deciding to consume tobacco, would choose the cheapest alternative.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Mats Bylund

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
47

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Timo Pesonen

**email**

Finland

**country**

23

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ville Niemioja

**email**

Finland

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jonatan

**email**

Finland

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Harri Mäkinen

**email**

finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Erkki Merkki

**email**

Finland

**country**

45

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Its not.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nina Nyman

**email**

Finland

**country**

34

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

I believe that all tobacco products should be considered equal, so the ban on snus is absurd!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

JK

**email**

Finland

**country**

37

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Aku Jokinen

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Johansson

**email**

SWEDEN

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mirko Niittumäki

**email**

Finland/Malta

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

My father had smoked for about 50 years. Then he had to receive some real rough medical treatment (cancer), but the doctors said his lungs maybe couldn't take it - the treatment would be too big a risk. However I got my father switch cigarettes to snus, and the changeover happened in a few days. After a month or so his lungs were in good enough shape to receive the treatment. I thank snus for this, and also for quitting my own smoking - I'm actually able

to enjoy running and bicycling much more now. Smoke, tar and all other sh\*t cigarettes transport into your lungs are the real enemies, not quality snus.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Bäckström

**email**

Finland

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markku Susi

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

The claim that snus use would lead to smoking, is propably caused by the fact that cigarettes are more easily available than snus in most places. When a snus user runs out of snus, he/she needs to fulfill his/her need for nicotine by smoking cigarettes. This would be most likely corrected by lifting the ban on snus.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sixten Sandström

**email**

Finland

**country**

49

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

a) Snus is a part of the culture in Finland b) It is discrimination to ban snus in Finland c) It is unconstitutional to ban snus in Finland d) The governmental in Finland is horrrassing individuals by having imposes a ban on snus in Finland e) It is against equality to ban snus and allow other tobacco products f) In comparison to smoking cigarettes or cigars, it is basicallharmful to use snus e) The ban of snus is, I claim, is a political action against a minority goup of the population in Finland, therefore an illegal discriminatory act

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

The first step is be to imposing a ban on smoking as that is of dramatically more importance. If you can impose a ban on smoking, I promise I will stop using snus the minute that law is implemented. For the moment I will use snus at any expense in protest to the implemeted discriminatory ban on snus alone.

**additional option**

Be consequent in your decisions. Impose a ban cigarettes/cigars and smoking in general and you will gain support for a ban on snus.

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sebastian Juselius

**email**

Finland

**country**

20

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oskari Saloviita

**email**

Finland

**country**

20

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Topi Jaakola

**email**

Finland

**country**

30

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

John Pavval

**email**

Finland

**country**

31

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

The health effect on snus compared to smoking is in another universe. Smoking causes , can bring forth or enhance almost every known disease wo have, while snus only affects the individual in only by increased bloodpreasure (according to the research available to date). THE banning of snus makes people deep in nicotin addiction turn to smokes. With all that comes with it, to the individual and the surroiding persons.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Free nicotin patches to the population, not just those under some program by employoers or likewise.

**additional option**

Driving people from snus to smokes seems to be something the officials dont take into calculation. Please start doing that.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Britt-Mari andersson

**email**

Finland

**country**

49

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Yes, more tobacco smokers in Finland, or more illegal transferring from Sweden. Problem is the same. I dont wont to smoke but if the law will be true, I just have to!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Britt-Mari Andersson

**email**

Finland

**country**

49

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

**which option**

Snuff free i Finland, thats a more light variant of tobacco!! Please, otherwise we have to get it from Sweden - why not giv Finnich people the same right, when we alreade are used to it??

**additional option**

Give us the same right as swedes, it's traditional!!! when we had it before, It's just a lighter variant of smoking tobacco. We will even in future takei it from Sweden, why not let The Finnish state tade the duties??

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sussie Åkerholm

**email**

Finland

**country**

40

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marica

**email**

Finland

**country**

19

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

I think that it's so riddiculous that you can't have snus here in Finland, ...and cigarettes or ciggars put more damage to other people standing nearby than snus does!!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anders Åkerholm

**email**

Finland

**country**

40

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Skulle man släppa snuset fritt så blir tobaks rökarna mindre,, och det gagnar hälsovårdskostnaderna som röktobaken orsakar!! För snuset har aldrig skadat någon!!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joachim

**email**

finland

**country**

19

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

legalize snus in europe

**additional option**

about this snus witch is banned now , for example: almost everybody who is using snus in finland buy it in sweden. and what this leads to is : - sweden goverments is getting tax money from the snus witch been used in finland. - many private people is selling snus illegal and gets

money witch could go to the finnish goverment if snus would be legalized !!! thank you  
//joachim

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oskar Sandin

**email**

Finland

**country**

21

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

One thing that could be done is to admit that snus is less harmful to people than smoking tobacco. Often you read that snus is more dangerous because it's more nicotine in it, wich is true but it is not taken in to consideration all the other substanses that you do get from smoking.

**additional option**

I understand that it is easier to forbid something like snus instead of smoking tobacco because of the far less usage of it. Since I'm from Finland you can't buy snus here, well its not hard to find someone to buy from, but it's not legal. That's one problem, why is it forbidden to sell snus in Finland but there is no problem using it? And like all other things that get forbidden it usually has the opposite effect than one would wish. Even though Sweden would stop making snus it's not hard to find guides in the internet how to make own snus. My opinion is that why not have a campaign to change smoking to snusing, as a first step to get a smokeless environment. And just for the sake of it, this poll is a good thing but in the first question you ask about if the definition is corresct. Where is the definition? it should be on the same page as the question acording to me.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dan Nygren

**email**

FINLAND

**country**

36

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Seppo Jokela

**email**

Finland

**country**

47

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Wester

**email**

Finland

**country**

24

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

oskari ojala

**email**

finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

sven lindberg

**email**

FINLAND

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Snus is less dangerous than cigarettes and doesn't affect/annoy other citizens at all compared to passive smoking!

**additional option**

Also consider Finlands new tobacco law, making it possible to get 6months prision for offering a minor aged person cigarettes while you can assault som human being a give them handicaps for life and get away with 6 months prision as well. The law is loosing it's meaning with things like that, people don't care anymore.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matias Brännström

**email**

Finland

**country**

29

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jere Rantala

**email**

Finland

**country**

26

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

By banning smokeless tobacco, taxes benefited by the nations within EU will be lost. In addition a vast black market is created at the same time. Currently, there is no undisputed scientific proof between snus and cancer.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mikael wiklund

**email**

Finland

**country**

34

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
johan granlund

**email**  
finland

**country**  
48

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
janne

**email**  
Finland

**country**

40

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Doctor told me when i got a blood clot i had to stop smoking at once. I asked him how ? and if i use snus. he said and started laughing, snus is compared to cigarettes mutch better to use and less poison, but he prefeers cheewing gum. i used snus ab 1 year and no cigarettes. Im now smooking cigarettes again ab 50 a day because i cant get any snus.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oskar Emaus

**email**

Finland

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

There is a subgroup of nicotineusers that only use smokefree nicotine products, and they suffer from a ban on smokefree products which forces them to either stop (which is good, I guess, but my opinion is that everyone should be entitled to whatever they want with their own bodies) or forces them to use cigarettes as a suppliment when they can't buy the product legally which makes demand low and supply scarce.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Lifting the ban on snus in Scandinavia where an market already is established.

**additional option**

I am part of the swedish speaking minority in Finland. As a member of that group I am tied closer culturally to Sweden in some aspects of daily life. One of those aspects is "snus", Something I use on a daily basis. I admit that I am an addict to this form of nicotine and that it probably is more addictive than smoking even. The research that I have read shows that even though snus increases the chance you get throat cancer and other problems, it's still a lot less dangerous than smoking. Using snus in Finland isn't illegal but selling it is. This leads to a huge black market (Recent studies show Finland may have up to 300.000 snus users) which doesn't get taxed and makes criminals out of (in my opinion) innocent citizens. Snus isn't always available to me as a user, when out of snus I get bad abstinence symptoms. I have tried nicotine patches and nicotine gum neither I liked. The patches didn't work for me and the gum was really expensive and tasted very ill. So when out of snus I go the nicotine satisfier that is left: Tobacco. Most other snusers that I know of does the same, very few try to quit when they run out of snus, although some tries and succeed. I see in no way why I as a citizen of EU should be forced into smoking cigarettes when there is an safer and more enjoyable option available to me. Introducing snus to a market where it doesn't exist is hardly an improvement for the overall health, but criminalizing regular citizens for no real reason isn't what I call good either. There is definately a userbase in Finland that have used snus long before the rise of EU and probably will after its fall. Regain our trust in EU and improve this old and ridicoulus law.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Greger Sandvik

**email**

Finland

**country**

58

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Put tobacco in the same category as snus. Then if that will go, we can ban snus. But because that isnt an option let snus be free.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jonatan Snellman

**email**

FINLAND

**country**

23

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jonathan Snellman

**email**

FINLAND

**country**

23

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Just get the snus back to Finland. Or stop selling ANY kind of tobacco!!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Portman

**email**

Finland

**country**

27

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

kimmo

**email**

Finland

**country**

37



**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Joakim Liinamaa

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
23

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Riku Rauhala

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
21

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Tommy

**email**  
EU

**country**  
40

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless**  
**problem definition**  
Cigaretts kills, snus is harmless in comparsion. Snus will make the population healthier.

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**  
Let tobacco users choose between healthier snus or harmful cigarets.

**additional option**  
Let tobacco users choose between healthier snus or harmful cigarets.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Snus Sidde

**email**

Finland

**country**

30

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

no

**additional option**

no

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas

**email**

Finland

**country**

21

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

snus is better than smoking!! why forbid that!

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SAMUEL SNELLMAN

**email**

FINLAND

**country**

17

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

STOP DOING THIS ! SMOKELESS TOBACCO (SNUS) IS MUCH BETTER, AND IT DOESN'T BOUGHTHER OTHER PEOPLES WHEN YOU USE IT,BUT TOBACCO DOES...SO UNBELIVEABLE MUCH ! SO, BAN TOBACCO INSTEAD FOR SMOKELESS,IF YOU`LL BAN SOMETHING ! THANKS...

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

stefan nygard

**email**

finland

**country**  
29

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Fredrik Strand

**email**  
Finland

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Kim Manderbacka

**email**  
Finland

**additional comments**  
No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pietari Sainio

**email**

Finland

**country**

31

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ilkka Jokela

**email**

Finland

**country**

22

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

martin

**email**

finland

**country**

48

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Krister Hannus

**email**

Finland

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

I am a smoker and smoke about 25-30 cigarettes each day for 20 years, when ill was living in sweden 1998 to 2002 i was using snus instead of cigarettes, and then ill only used about 2 small boxes a week, so then ill used a lot less tobacoproducts, and my wife allso tought it was better when i didnt smell smoke. So ill think snus would be much better for many citizents who had used it before and start to smoke when they stopped to sell it.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrik Iiskola

**email**

Finland

**country**

45

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

stig sandberg

**email**

Finlad



**country**

52

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

patrik sohlström

**email**

finnland

**country**

40

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

sälj snus till dom som vill ha snus stör ju ingen annan men dom som röker cigaretter spyr ju ut rök på andra mäniskor

**additional option**

diskriminering att inte sälja snus rökare får köpa cigaretter i alla kjosker å butiker å susare är kriminella kasta ut alla riksdagsmen i finnland dom har ju inget under mössan bara sågspån

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mikael Stenström

**email**

Finland

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Aleksi Lehikoinen

**email**

Finland

**country**

18

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

simon brännkärr

**email**

finland

**country**

24

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

peter hellöre

**email**

Finland

**country**

59

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Yes, the ban of snuse in finland has made other companies competitive, in the same branch of selling nicotine with same effects as nicotine. Ex. Nicorette,+,+,. Snus have become a

Ostrobothnian issue, because of struggle with finnish overcoming. Snus is more helthy, than cicarettes.... so why ban it?

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jim Heikkinen

**email**

Sweden

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christoffer Strömsnäs

**email**

Finland

**country**

30

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Magnus Söderström

**email**

FINLAND

**country**

29

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Benny Norrgård

**email**

Finland

**country**

34

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jonas Harald

**email**

Finland

**country**

40

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Smokeless tobacco is a long way better than smoking, both for the user and for other people around, family, children etc. Smokeless tobacco can help a lot of smokers to quit smoking.

After using smokeless tobacco it is easier to change to chewing gum and quit nicotine using.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Holger Hansson-Palo

**email**

Sweden

**country**

66

**age**  
male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**which option**

Finland is a good example - no snuff is allowed to use. Good example for example us Swedes (we their neighbours)

**additional option**

All tobacco products must be banned. They are not healthy and give no good effects on us. We don't need these products at all. They can damage our health and is a pain for the surroundings. On many public places we have traces of these products. Even the man who doesn't use it - are sharing those products by inhaling or feeling ill because of the smell. They increase our diseases and can damage our lungs and make our places in bad conditions. They demand higher ventilation which give us higher costs in warming our houses

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Roope Hietanen

**email**

Finland

**country**

28

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Asplund

**email**

Finland

**country**

58

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

No sense to ban snus when you can buy milk and cigarettes in the same store. I just cannot understand the logic.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jens Björknäs

**email**

Finland

**country**

37

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No



**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Would accept a total ban on all tobacco products. I do, however, feel that singeling out smokeless tobacco products and banning them is against the whole idea of freedom of choice and free trade.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Erkka Peltonen

**email**

Finland

**country**

24

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Teemu Kuusysi

**email**

Finland

**country**

23

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**  
Set all member of parliaments IQ or other test requirement

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Johnny Snellman

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
27

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Släpp snuset fritt. Det här ni håller på med är ju helt löjligt.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Erno Blomberg

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
35

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Tobias Ohls

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
28

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Olli-Pekka Aro

**email**

Finland

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

As a snus user myself, I find, that using smokeless tobacco products does not lead to smoking. Many of my friends use snus and all of them find smoking revolting.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

No change or lifting the ban on snus are the only reasonable options, because you must have an option to get nicotine without smoking. Snus is better to the people around you, because they do not get second hand smoke in them.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jussi Oikarinen

**email**

Finland

**country**

23

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

According to numerous studies, snus is less harmful than cigarettes. Many smokers (including myself) find the ban on snus absurd, because easy availability of snus would for many replace or at least cut down smoking. Lifting the ban on snus would therefore reduce the negative health effects caused by smoking.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tomas Hägg

**email**

Finland

**country**

32

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

The ban itself is such a terrible example of democracy when it's NOT WORKING! The public health aspect of snus versus tobacco is just ridiculous to compare. Everyone who cares (which is sadly only the northern countries) sees this as a clear example of the immoral co-operation between tobacco lobbyists and the countries with a larger mandate in the EU not simply even knowing what the product is, and voting for a weekend with the family on the Marlborough ranch.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Harry Holmberg

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
51

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

I am not sure that I understand the question. But it is not korrekt to bun a healthier kind of tobacco. People starts smoking in stead of using snus!

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Let us buy snus in our own country. It is so expensive when we must travel to Sweden buying snus!

**additional option**

It is a strange ban to allow smoking products, but not no smoking product (snus)

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Kristian Kjellman

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
42

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ville rantala

**email**

finland

**country**

18

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mikael Hagström

**email**

Finland

**country**

49

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Ban tobacco all together is the only way, which we know is impossible. Lift the ban within the EU, OR ban it all together! This double moral allowing smoking tobacco, but not smokeless tobacco is infact unpresidented.

**additional option**

The ban on smokeless tobacco has lead to illegal activities and loss of hundreds of millions Euro in taxes. Finland alone looses an amount exeeding € 100.000.- per each day and done so for the past 15 years. Easy calculation what this amounts up to. According to investigation done over 120.000 people uses snus every day.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Puro Jannu

**email**

Vinland

**country**

19

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**



Ulf Wikström

**email**

Finland

**country**

34

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Let people that is using snus use it, why should you ban it when you can use cigarettes and cigars

**additional option**

Yes to snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johan Pensar

**email**

Finland

**country**

45

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

A solution should not discriminate any specific substance, but should be neutral in that respect. It is not in accordance with normal understanding of the legal requirements that one tobacco product should be treated differently. If tobacco is a legal substance, then it should not make any difference whether it is smoked, chewed or used in any other way. So if snus is banned, then ban tobacco as well. Or the other way.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Benny Revahl

**email**

Finland

**country**

23

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

erik kangas

**email**

finland

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Niklas Storing

**email**

Finland

**country**

30

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Juhani Liimatainen

**email**

Finland

**country**

18

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrik Grönvik

**email**

Finland

**country**

44

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Släpp snuset fritt!!!!

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Björkqvist

**email**

Finland

**country**

28

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Tomas

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
35

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Håkan Stenfors

**email**  
Finland

**country**

50

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**  
Eftersom varje myndig människa kan köpa tobak skall det också gå att köpa snus. Vi lever i ett fritt samhälle då skall ingen förbjuda någon att köpa snus eftersom det går att köpa tobak .Båda är säkert lika farliga.Om det skall vara förbjudet med snus varför inte förbjuda då också all vin och sprit ? Jag använder varken snus eller tobak.Släpp nu snuset fritt och förbjud istället tobak , vin och sprit .

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Marko Hietanen

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
22

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**which option**  
- Ban the consumption of all types of tobacco products in public and try to achieve fewer private consumption through heavier taxation and sales limitations. Keep new product types off the market.

**additional option**

- It should be the right of every European to never to have to smoke even passively in any situation. This right should be more important than that of smokers to smoke actively where they please and doing so harm others around them.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jaakko Oittinen

**email**

Finland

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

André Ljung

**email**

Finland

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**  
should be leagel...

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Joacim Kaitajärvi

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
21

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Matti Meikäläinen

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
24



**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Peter Grannas

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
46

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Helge veto-oja

**email**  
finland

**country**

51

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Sami Gulin

**email**  
Finland

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
arto ihatsu

**email**  
Winland

**country**  
27

**age**  
male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nicklas Koski

**email**

Finland

**country**

36

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

matts slotte

**email**

finland

**country**

38

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

free snus for finnisch citizenz THANK YOU

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pertti Heikkilä

**email**

Finland

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Liljeqvist

**email**

Finland

**country**

32

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ville Manninen

**email**

Finland

**country**

26

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Veikko Römppäinen

**email**

Finland

**country**

34

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**which option**  
-

**additional option**  
-

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Mikko Lahti

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
27

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Lauri Särkkä

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
26

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**which option**  
Ban the consumption of all types of tobacco products in public and try to achieve fewer private consumption through heavier taxation and sales limitations. Keep new product types off the market.

**additional option**  
It should be the right of every European to never to have to smoke even passively in any situation. This right should be more important than that of smokers to smoke actively where they please and doing so harm others around them.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jorma Kirvesmäki

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
50

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

joakim wahlroos

**email**

finland

**country**

34

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Låt varje land bestämma om dom vill sälja snus. Inget blir bättre med förbud Med snus stör du ingen annan, det gör man med cigaretter. Finland förlorar mycket skattepengar som det är nu, dom går till sverige.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Finns inga bevis för att snus är skadligare än cigaretter enligt forskning.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

jonny isaksson

**email**

finland



**country**

18

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

it should be legal

**comments**

No change

**which option**

Make smokeless tobacco legal as tobacco

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tom-Erik Blomqvist

**email**

Finalnd

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Legalizing all types of smokeless tobaccos, provided the level of nitrosamines does not exceed a limit set by authorities.

**additional option**

Many smokeless alternatives are far less harmful than traditional cigarettes, both for the user and people around them. Snus, perhaps the least harmful tobacco product available (according to experts 90-98 % less hazardous than cigarettes), is for some strange reason banned within the EU, apart from Sweden. Sweden has the lowest numbers of tobacco related diseases IN THE WORLD. Worth mentioning is that also in Denmark "loose" snus is sold, but not "portioned" snus, whereas Finland has completely banned resale of both varieties. For many EU-members this is of course an insignificant question due to the fact that snus is almost unknown outside the Nordic countries. However for Finland snus is quite a big deal. Although resale is banned, a few percent of the population use snus on a regular basis. This means traveling to Sweden on a regular basis, asking friends to bring them snus when they travel, making your own snus at home, buying snus on the black market etc. Snus actually came to Sweden via Finland a few hundred years ago. In the late 90's we still had a snus factory in Western Finland, but since it was illegal to sell the products domestically, obviously production ceased. The product had been around for hundreds of years, and was then suddenly banned while you could still go to any grocery store and buy a far more hazardous tobacco product. The ban simply lacks logic if you compare the dangers of smokeless tobacco vs. smoked tobacco. I think any adult should be able to smoke cigarettes if they want to, and as long as they are allowed to a ban on snus just makes the law look ridiculous.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Juhani Törrönen

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tuomas Jaakonsaari

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
22

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jorma Teräs

**email**  
Finland

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Juha Vartiainen

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
27

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Anders Hummelgård

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
46

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Tuukka Sillanpää

**email**

Finland

**country**

20

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

It is wrong to lead people with legislation to use more harmful substances (alcohol, cigarettes) instead of those that have been proven to be less harmful to you (hemp, snus). There is nothing that makes us unable to choose the other path. It is all about tolerance, not banning people from doing things they enjoy. I am from Finland and it is expensive to go and get snus from Sweden. I wish I could buy it here so I could quit smoking cigarettes altogether.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Risto Aholainen

**email**

Finland

**country**

27

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Juuso Tolsa

**email**

Finland

**country**

18

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

jakob sandell

**email**

finland

**country**

19

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Backa

**email**

Finland

**country**

32

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Miika Nissinen

**email**

Finland

**country**

21

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Samuel Snellman

#### **email**

Finland

#### **country**

25

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

Yes

#### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

#### **additional option**

This is so stupid... I don't understand why snus is banned in finland? If most of the finnish speaking peoples in are country would use snus, then it would for sure be allowed!!

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Timo Partanen

#### **email**

Finland

#### **country**

37

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**



No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Simo Luukkonen

**email**

Finland

**country**

24

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr. Janne Astanen

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jan Ericsson

**email**

Finland

**country**

30

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Miika Kalske

**email**

Finland

**country**

20

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

janne toivanen

**email**

finland

**country**

26

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Axel Mickwitz

**email**

Finland

**country**

20

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrik Dahlgren

**email**

Finland

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bror Patrik Svanbäck

**email**

Finland

**country**

33

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jukka Isojoki

**email**

Finland

**country**

36

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johnny Norrgård

**email**

Finland

**country**

30

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fredrik Östman

**email**

Finland

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

To only address smokeless tobacco products is not very logical, but understandably quite easy. In my opinion, either all tobacco products should be banned or none. Now the focus is only on a small group with quite poor arguments, which again only supply arguments for the anti-EU movement.

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**which option**

As this will raise the question of banning also alcohol - which is of course not possible, I would say that lifting the ban as a whole is the best solution.

**additional option**

Increase the tax instead in order to ensure that the cost on society is covered with margin.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jonas Storholm

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stefan Erlands

**email**

Finland

**country**

47

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robert

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sune Manselin

**email**

Finland

**country**

49

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

There are two options. Ban tobacco or allow tobacco. If I could disiede nobody born after 1992 will ever be allowed to buy any kind of tobacco ever.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kenneth Holmgård

**email**

Finland



**country**

42

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uffe Snellman

**email**

Finland

**country**

51

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

All in EU should be allowed to buy and use snuff

**additional option**

All in EU should be allowed to buy and use snuff

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fredrik Kankkonen

**email**

Finland

**country**

23

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Uppgård Jan

**email**

Finland

**country**

28

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Ill have been using snus on and off for 12 years soon. Every time ill try to stop ill start smoking instead. I work as a firefighter in FInland so ill have to stay in pretty good shape all the time so smoking is not an option. And to be honest, im a niquetunist and probably always

will be, but it's my choice. I'm also a Swedish-speaking Finn and the traditions of snus here go back in time as long as they do in Sweden. So from my perspective it's incomprehensible that it's not legal here. Instead we have to travel to Sweden to buy it. You know traveling is fun and of course it stimulates shopping to force people to travel. But it's bad for the environment! Enough said, I'll hope you use your common sense when you are considering this matter. Jan

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jonas Westerholm

**email**

Finland

**country**

28

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Ban on all types of smokeless tobacco products

**additional option**

Before the ban, there were companies making snus in Finland. The ban left people unemployed and the country lost tax income. Also major passenger ferry companies cannot sell snus on board as long as the companies are based in Finland, and many have because of that "moved" to Sweden or other countries. This is very bad for the Finnish economy; losing large sums of tax income cause of the migration.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

guy porander

**email**

finland

**country**

39

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

snus är inte farligare än tobak. finns mycket mer folk som röker tobak

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robert Fagerlund

**email**

Finland

**country**

22

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

In my opinion the current directive banning the sale of snus within the EU was passed without sufficient research regarding the health effects. I cannot understand why snus is banned while other forms of tobacco such as cigarettes, chewing tobacco etc. which have been proven to have much more severe health consequences are still legal. I can't remember ever reading about anyone dying or being severely ill because their use of snus, at least not

compared to the problems related with smoking. I use snus myself and I firmly believe that as an adult I should have the freedom to do so.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oskar Ström

**email**

Finland

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

hello. this law about "snus" is not as it should be. if cigarettes is legal, why should nor "snus" be? it is almost the same product, the different is with "snus" you dont damage your lungs. you can for example read on the cigarette oackage that "this product can kill/ give you cancer etc. what does it say on the "snus" package then? "this product MAY harm your health". and to the bad sides of bouth cigarettes: -harm other -bother other -harm yourself -may start fires snus: -may harm yourself -plastic package this is my opinion, thanks for the word. best regards oskar ström

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulf-Erik Widd

**email**

Finland

**country**

40

**age**  
male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Smokeless tobacco products are less harmful to the health. Therefore smokeless tobacco products cannot be banned in some member states and normal tobacco products allowed.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matias Båsk

**email**

Finland

**country**

31

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Since regular tobacco products are legal (within country specific regulations) it is quite illogical that smokeless tobacco products, that don't cause any disturbance to bystanders like smoke does, are banned.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

John Grankulla

**email**

Finland

**country**

39

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless****problem definition**

Personally, snus has helped me tremendously in quitting smoking cigarettes! I have been an avid user of snus for about 10 years but have no problem going without for weeks or months. The addiction is NOT the same as for cigarettes! And i feel great using it, I even run marathons using snus!

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

As a citizen on an otherwise free country, I feel VERY frustrated over the fact that I have to travel across the sea to get snus! I don't smoke, drink or use other drugs but snus is something that I do enjoy daily. I feel very strongly that this product does me way less harm than any of the drugs mentioned above would do. Even as a marathon runner I don't feel that this product inhibits me in any way at all. I feel discriminated living under a law like this. Makes no sense AT ALL.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Per Westerback

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Unless we are able to lift the ban on snus we should ban ALL tobacco products!

**additional option**

It is strange how EU is banning snus alone, since smoke tobacco causes more damage, not only to the user but to the people surrounding the user.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

kenneth Högbäck

**email**

Finalnd

**country**

23

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**comments**

No change

**which option**

let every country build ther own snusfactory and brand so all country make ther own profit on snus not just swden

**additional option**

why let people use ciggarets thats harm the self and ppl around ... Snus only hurts the user.. and ban the ciggarets that will help so the will no longer bee ciggratesbutts leaying on the ground everywher you look

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mikael Forsell

**email**

Finland

**country**

32

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Holger Sundbäck

**email**

Finland

**country**

63

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Travelkconections, equal rights for ferrys from Finland as Swedish registered have. Snus is a tradition among Swedish-speking people in Finland, tobacco os more dangerous, this is just dumb.

**additional option**

I don't use tobacco or snus but this like the loss of taxfree have destroid our skiff/travel-conections to sweden. I don,t approve big brother to mix into my life, especially when things get worse. It was in other words better before EU here.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jonas Rönnqvist

**email**

Finland

**country**

34

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

**problem definition**

Smokeless tobacco product is a traditionall product used in scandinavia for many years. The product doesnt harm other people like cigarettes do, it just effects the user him/herself. A ban on snus is a blockade on traditional regional products and is not in line with the spirit of the EU. Tobacco itself is a legal product for adults, why ban a part of the usage of it when on the other hand the union doesnt care about for example smoking/selling marihuana in Holland. If EU tolerates hemp in one country it seems like banning tobacco in other is a walking the cigarett industries errands moore than fighting for healt issues.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tom Forsman

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

If the ban can not be lifted in the whole Union, it should be lifted in the Nordic countries on the same ground as in Sweden. Snus is of great cultural and traditional significance, not least for the Swedish speaking minority along the coast in Finland.

**additional option**

The ban on snus is not justified in the general citizen's mind on any level. Snus can not be considered a "healthy" product. But the scientific consensus seems to be that smoking tobacco is vastly more harmful to public health. A study proving that snus has caused a single case of death is yet to be produced. Yet, snus is forbidden while far more dangerous products are allowed on the market. This is unfair by most people's sense of justice: it simply does not make sense. It thereby also affects citizen's perception of EU policy making negatively. Selling of alcohol, smoking tobacco, nicotine based substitutes and even marihuana are fully or partially legal within the union. It is clear that no total ban on alcohol or smoking would be possible. The citizen's perception is that snus has been banned "because they can ban it". That is, because it only concerns a minority who lack the political power to oppose the regulation. This is not a reasonable basis on which policies should be made. Furthermore, with the selling of snus being legal i Sweden, the regulation has created an absurd situation in Finland, with double taxations, black market trials, customs confiscations and complex regulations of personal import. All this for a product that in no way can be percieved as harmful or problematic as similarly strictly regulated products. The legal status of snus in Finland today is similar to that of narcotics (with the new national tobacco law). This situation is a problem for the EU on a broader basis than public health concerns. It creates distrust of general policy making, and gives fuel to the perception of the Union and the Commission as a bureaucracy not guided by rational objectives, fairness and common sense.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mats

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
30

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Mats Andersson

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
49

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Mathias Sand

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
27

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Christian flöjt

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
27

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Kenneth Furu

**email**

sverige

**country**

52

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jonnie Samanen

**email**

Finland

**country**

26

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**which option**

Give snus the same status as other tobacco products.

**additional option**

Because snus is less harmful than eg. cigarettes and cigars and doesn't harm anyone else but the user the ban isn't needed! In that case all tobacco products should ben banned.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Willför

**email**

Finland

**country**

32

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johnny Widdas

**email**

Finland

**country**

29

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless**

## **problem definition**

### **comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

### **which option**

There should not be any difference when it comes to tobacco products, either they are all allowed or they are all banned! Within the EU goods should be able to be exported to any other EU country, today that is not the case. The ban on snus is in direct contradiction with this fact.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Jan-Erik Enell

#### **email**

Finland

#### **country**

42

#### **age**

male

### **additional comments**

Yes

### **comments**

No change

### **additional option**

I am using snus for over 25 years and I will continue. It's harmless to the environment. I have a teenager son and I have noticed that more young people use snus nowadays than 20 years ago. Let us use it, it's better than cigarettes. Let Finland sell snus, we need our tax-money. If we sell it less young people will start using snus.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Anders Backlund

**email**

Finland

**country**

27

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nicklas Nygård

**email**

Finland

**country**

34

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anders Burman

**email**

Finland

**country**

29

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

**additional option**

Allowing normal tobacco and not snus is completely wrong. Either you need to ban all kinds of tobacco or simply allow snus. Keep in mind that smoking tobacco harms many innocent people that get exposed to the smoke while snus only affects the person that decides to use it. It has also been clearly shown in many independent researches that smoking tobacco is way more harmful to the body than snus.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Henrik Håkans

**email**

Finland

**country**

23

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marcus Soininen

**email**

Finland

**country**

31

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andy Hewitt-Lock

**email**

UK

**country**

38

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions smokeless  
problem definition**

Snus is proven to be less harmful than other types of smokeless tobacco, and The Lancet has concluded that snus is something like 95% less harmful than cigarettes.

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kevin Hughes

**email**

United Kingdom

**country**

32

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**comments**

Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Petri Carlsson

**email**

Finland

**country**

37

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
khalid deverill

**email**  
uk

**country**  
38

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**comments**  
Lifting the ban on snus

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Lathan Keshwala

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Sunny Odedra

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Narendra Patidar

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

N Farook

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain

packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Chandu Shah

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anjana Lad

**email**



UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Amar Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

A Godhinin

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Harj Khera

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jayanti I Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

N Agath

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ram Sisodia

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lesley Whewell

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gordon Whewall

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kate Butcher

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Arvind

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Deepak Tanna

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Musti Keles

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kalpesh Radia

**email**

UK



**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sindhar Shauranthula

**email**

Uk

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Halit Kiras

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mustafa Keles

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-

smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rebaz Rasuli

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr Nitesh Patel

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Samuel Ortego

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hemin Hemosh

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Haukar Can

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Barrow Express

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Laura Smith

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ryan Kennedy

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stuart Mann

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Halit Malit

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ilyas Ozluk

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Machura

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sree Kanth

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce

retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hemant Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Phil Moore

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manuela Simon

**email**

Germany

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht - die Bilder wirken lediglich abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweisen auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher wirkt. Dazu gibt es keinen Nachweis.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Jamil Ahmed

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Joan Macaulay

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mubeen Ramzan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Catherine Connell

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hasan Tahir

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alistair McGregor

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Catriona Campbell

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kara Campbell

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ian David Breckons

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Kane

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

John Love

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Liz McCallum

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

L Duddy

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Julie Cessford

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

William Cessford

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-

smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paul Hardy

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

jacqueline Beaumont

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
C Masters

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Balasubramanay Murugathas

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

John Hibbert

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**



The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nicola Gregory

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ashley Mckay

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

M Woodkint

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Beckett

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Hargreaves

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Helen Davies

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alison West

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Landon Beckett

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce

retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dawn Powell

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Susan Beckett

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Angela Beckett

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Gillian Martin

#### **email**

UK

#### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Sanjeevani Jarasingha

#### **email**

UK

#### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by



way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joy Heath

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mrs Sharon Goldsmith

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jean Smith

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

C Merifield

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matthew Sewell

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Graham Richard Watson

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nicola Bacon

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

John Riley

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr Philip Denman

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sharon Kaur

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jeannie Williams

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Emma Laivan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sharon Bradden-Chesters

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-



smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sukhdeep Basra

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Raj Kumar Heer

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Tim Washington

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Steve Woodings

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joyn Mayer

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andy de Caso

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Amy Elizabeth Bailey

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mark Burton

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Emmie Smith

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Julie Salt

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Renee Smith

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paul Ingle

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Wilkinson

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce



retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Atul Chayhan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Deborah Degg

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ana Maria Kowalkowski

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Mrs Julie Bailey

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Vicky De Caso

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Raymond Hine

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

K Subeeharan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephen Capel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Waqas ur Rashid

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

G J Bennett

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mohammed Arif

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Colin Dean

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mark Clay

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative



strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Denise E Clay

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Charlotte Beckett

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lee Kowalkovski

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kultar Chandla

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mark Daniels

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-

smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

S Sabapathy

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Green

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Michael Capel

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fraz Rashid

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zia Mansha

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mandeep Kumar

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paul Allen

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rahim Kurshid

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.



**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mrs K Murray

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Subhash Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

romain vacchiano

**email**

luxemburg

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

pas d' accord

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

João António Passos

**email**

Portugal

**country**

34

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. And with generic packaging, consumers are not able to identify and reject counterfeit tobacco products. Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. None can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption. This is a measure that in my opinion will not bring any add value to the public health.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Samuel Coelho

**email**

Portugal

**country**

26

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. And with generic packaging, consumers are not able to identify and reject counterfeit tobacco products. Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. None can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption. This is a measure that in my opinion will not bring any add value to the public health.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Teresa Marques

**email**

Portugal

**country**

39

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

- Não há evidências de que as embalagens genéricas diminuem o consumo de produtos de tabaco, nem que por essa via produzam melhores efeitos na saúde do consumidor. - O fumador tem o direito de poder diferenciar com facilidade a marca de produto que prefere consumir, bem como o direito de obter na embalagem informações sobre o produto que pretende consumir. - Com as embalagens genéricas o consumidor terá muito mais dificuldade em identificar e rejeitar os produtos de tabaco contrafeitos. - A embalagens genéricas tornam

mais fáceis todos os processos de contrafacção e de falsificação dos produtos. São previsíveis consequências negativas para a saúde humana, inerentes à ausência de controlo higiénico e sanitário dos processos produtivos dos produtos de tabaco contrafeitos, com o aumento provável e sem controlo da nocividade para a saúde humana aquando do respectivo consumo.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

António Costa

**email**

Portugal

**country**

51

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Eu como fumador tenho o direito de poder diferenciar com facilidade a marca de produto que prefiro consumir, bem como o direito de obter na embalagem informações sobre o produto que pretendo consumir. Com as embalagens genéricas o consumidor terá muito mais dificuldade em identificar e rejeitar os produtos de tabaco contrafeitos. A embalagens genéricas tornam mais fáceis todos os processos de contrafacção e de falsificação dos produtos. E como tal, são facilmente previsíveis consequências negativas para a saúde humana, inerentes à ausência de controlo higiénico e sanitário dos processos produtivos dos produtos de tabaco contrafeitos, com o aumento provável e sem controlo da nocividade para a saúde humana aquando do respectivo consumo.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marta Carvalho

**email**

PT

**country**

26

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. None can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption. This is a measure that in my opinion will not bring any add value to the public health.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manuela Leadro

**email**

Portugal

**country**

45

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product of choice to consume. With generic packaging, consumers are not able to identify and reject counterfeit tobacco products. Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Cristina Maria Passos e Costa

**email**

Portugal

**country**

48

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

- Não há evidências de que as embalagens genéricas diminuam o consumo de produtos de tabaco, nem que por essa via produzam melhores efeitos na saúde do consumidor. - O fumador tem o direito de poder diferenciar com facilidade a marca de produto que prefere consumir, bem como o direito de obter na embalagem informações sobre o produto que pretende consumir. - Com as embalagens genéricas o consumidor terá muito mais dificuldade em identificar e rejeitar os produtos de tabaco contrafeitos. - A embalagens genéricas tornam

mais fáceis todos os processos de contrafacção e de falsificação dos produtos. São previsíveis consequências negativas para a saúde humana, inerentes à ausência de controlo higiénico e sanitário dos processos produtivos dos produtos de tabaco contrafeitos, com o aumento provável e sem controlo da nocividade para a saúde humana aquando do respectivo consumo.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nikos Ioannou

**email**

Greece

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The public is well aware of the risks associated with smoking. Plain packaging and excessive health warnings will not increase awareness.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Keiser Claude

**email**

Luxembourg

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**



**problem definition**

Je ne suis pas d'accord

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carsten Muth

**email**

deutsch

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Neutrale Verpackungen würden das Bewusstsein des Rauchers nicht ändern.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zuebeyde Guerel

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

43

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Die Konsumenten haben die eigene Freiheit darauf ihre Konsumprodukte selbst auswählen zu dürfen.

**explanations**

Improve consumer information

**which option**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

**which improvement**

Den Verbrauchern die Freiheit zu lassen sich zu entscheiden.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Petra Muth

**email**

Germany

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Jedem sind die Risiken des Rauchens bekannt,

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

jorge filipe carvalho seabra

**email**  
portugal

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The only sales that are executed through vending machines are controlled by "Human Subjects", therefore the problem lies in human decisions of buying and selling the tobacco product and not the mechanical act of sale itself. - The purchase of tobacco by minors should be penalized, by punishing and holding accountable the parents and guardians of underage children who allow them to purchase tobacco products. - The assignment of tobacco products to minors by adults (friends) should be penalized. - Tobacco use (tobacco smoking) by minors should also be prohibited and penalized. - The vending machines are "part of the solution" and not "part of the problem" because they constitute an important tool in combating the sale of counterfeit tobacco products since the offender risks a lot more to be discovered by authorities when selling counterfeit tobacco in their vending machine. - There is no evidence that the inhibition of exposure of products in commercial spaces diminishes the consumption of tobacco. Furthermore the ban on display of products is an inhibitor of "freedom of choice" by consumers and promotes confusion between the legal product and the illegal product.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Bruno Alexandre Aires Barbosa

**email**  
portugal

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and

fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martina Repas

**email**

Slovenia

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Plain packaging shouldn't be introduced for several reasons: It contradicts several international conventions in the field of intellectual property law (Paris Convention on Industrial Property, TRIPs Agreement), namely Art. 7 and 10.bis of PC, Article 15 and 20 of TRIPs Agreement. There is also no legal ground in these conventions to establish plain packaging for tobacco products. Article 7 of PC and 15 of TRIPs Agreement provide that the nature of the product shall in no case form an obstacle to the registration of a sign as a mark. The introduction of plain packaging would lead to the prohibition of use of certain existing and future trademarks for tobacco products (also well known marks) and consequently to the prohibition – although not express – of registration of trademarks for tobacco products. It has to be stressed that use of trademark is inherently linked to registration. There is no reasonable ground for undertakings to register the trademark if its use is prohibited. Therefore, the prohibition to use trademark would certainly indirectly affect the registration, the nature of product being cause to that. It in fact leads to the abolishment of intellectual property rights (trademarks). The "new" trademarks introduced by plain packaging will not be enough similar to registered trademarks. Therefore, the effective protection of trademarks for tobacco products would require new registrations. It causes trademark to lose its value for the holder - the purpose of registration of a trademark is its very use. A bare registration without the possibility to use it is purposeless for the trademark holder. It affects the essential function of trademark, which is the capacity to distinguish goods of one undertaking from another (also confirmed by the Court of the European Union in several trademark decisions). Thus, the essence of a trademark is the right of its holder to use it on its products for the purposes of identifying the origin of goods or services and/or for the purposes of distinguishing. Thereby the holder assures the consumers the identity of source of marked goods or services and thus

enables them to distinguish, without confusion, goods or services of one company from goods or services of any other. Plain packaging would destroy the trademark's capacity to distinguish, which would not harm the holder of trademarks only but also consumers. In addition, plain packaging would be likewise disputable from the constitutional viewpoint and the interference with property. Its effect on the consumption of tobacco products is questionable and not scientifically supported.

**explanations**

Improve consumer information

**which option**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARIA DE JESUS MATOS COELHO

**email**

PORTUGAL

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARCO PARDAL

**email**

PORTUGAL

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sílvia Gouveia de Sousa

**email**

Portugal

**country**

34

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MANUEL PASSADINHAS

**email**

PORTUGAL

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vasco Manuel Garcia Monteiro

**email**

Portugal

**country**

37

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**



Miriam Nria dos prazeres Cebola

**email**

Portugal

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vera Lucia Mareiro Santana dos Santos

**email**

Portugal

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health,

inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΝΙΚΗΤΑ ΧΡΥΣΟΥΛΑ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΝΙΚΗΤΑΣ ΚΩΣΤΑΣ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΤΣΑΚΩΝΑ ΠΑΤΡΑ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΒΙΤΟΥΛΑΔΙΤΗΣ ΓΙΩΡΓΟΣ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΤΣΑΚΩΝΑΣ ΓΙΩΡΓΟΣ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΤΣΑΚΩΝΑΣ ΧΡΗΣΤΟΣ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΚΟΝΤΟΣ ΓΕΡΑΣΙΜΟΣ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΤΣΑΚΩΝΑΣ ΤΑΣΟΣ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΤΡΩΓΑΔΑ ΒΑΣΙΛΙΚΗ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΚΑΤΡΑΚΑΖΟΣ ΚΩΣΤΑΣ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marios Georgiadis

**email**

Greece

**country**

34

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The definition is unclear as it is titled 'consumer information' but is only dealing with packaging

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

No

**additional option**

No

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΝΙΚΗΤΑ ΛΕΓΑΝΘΗ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΝΙΚΗΤΑΣ ΕΛΕΥΘΕΡΙΟΣ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

António João Serra Monteiro

**email**

Portugal

**country**

76

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**



**problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jeremy Stewart

**email**

Germany

**additional comments**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

Plain Packaging leads to black markets, organized crime and fraud. The adult consumer is getting stripped off his choices

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PANOS VRACHNOS

**email**

GREECE

**country**

30

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

'no comment'

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

NO

**additional option**

NO

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maja Hallenborg

**email**

Sweden

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Inskränkningar i möjligheten att visa varumärken måste underbyggas med bevis på att den föreslagna åtgärden har effekt. Såvitt jag vet finns det inga bevis på att plain packaging skulle minska rökningen. Hälsovarningar bör finnas på tobaksförpackningar men inte vara så stora att rätten att visa varumärken urholkas.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

KYRIAKIDES TASSOS

**email**

GREECE

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

NO COMMENT

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Athnasiadis Ioannis

**email**

Greece

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No comment

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

werner schüssler

**email**

deutschland

**country**

51

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

die hinweise auf den packungen sind durchaus ausreichend

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Athnasiadis Ioannis

**email**

Greece

**country**

35

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

STELIOS KYRIAKIDES

**email**

GREECE

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

NO COMMENT

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALEXANDRA KOUSTA

**email**

GREECE

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

NO COMMENT

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Theodosia Chiotis

**email**

Greece

**country**

40

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

no comment

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Niina Timisjärvi

**email**

Finland

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health effects of smoking are already common knowledge and hence there is no need to take these extreme measures. Plain packaging would most definitely increase counterfeit of cigarettes which would lead to other problems.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manfred Tambaur

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

71

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Es gibt keinen Nachweis, dass Bildwarnhinweise sich auf das Rauchverhalten auswirken. Untersuchungen, in Ländern, in denen dies bereits existiert haben das gezeigt.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΚΟΝΤΟΓΟΥΡΗΣ ΤΡΙΑΝΤΑΦΥΛΛΟΣ ΔΙΚΗΓΟΡΟΣ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Gerd Kartes

**email**  
Germany

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

- Die Gesundheitsrisiken sind allgemein bekannt. - Es ist unwahrscheinlich, dass die Wahrnehmung der Konsumenten durch weitergehende Warnungen gesteigert wird.

**explanations**  
No change

**additional option**

- Neutrale Einheitsverpackungen führen zu einem ausschließlich preisorientierten Kaufverhalten. - Sinkende Preise führen zu sinkende Margen. Daraus folgt: 1. Gefährdung der Existenz für Unternehmen, die mit Tabakwaren handeln 2. möglicher Arbeitsplatzabbau 3. durch niedrigere Preise wird der Zugang zu Tabakwaren erleichtert; vor allem für Jugendliche

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Tomas Haltsonen

**email**  
Finland



**country**  
35

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

People should already be well aware of the dangers of smoking and these pictorial warnings would be just excessive measures that will not bring any desired outcomes. Besides as long as cigarettes are legal consumer products the legal entities producing these goods should have the possibility to differentiate their products from those of competitors in normal market economy way.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
ΚΟΛΙΑΚΟΥΔΑΚΗ ΑΙΚΑΤΕΡΙΝΗ ΙΔΙΩΤΙΚΗ ΥΠΑΛΛΗΛΟΣ

**email**  
ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΝΙΚΟΛΑΟΥ ΙΩΑΝΝΗΣ ΔΙΚΗΓΟΡΟΣ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

youla gavalla

**email**

Greece

**country**

41

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

no comment

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vaggelis Papadimitriou

**email**

Greece

**country**

41

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

no comment

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jacob Preisler

**email**

Danish

**country**

43

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

I challenge if pictorial healthwarnings will increase the awareness of the dangerous of smoking - regardless of the packaging. The current healthwarnings are prominent. However I do agree I find certain pictorials gruelling and intrusive to my private life, as it would be to others as well, and i am sure this will imply smokers to some extend to be intimidated by these. I can not see it is the EU Commissions role to intimidate EU consumers.

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

I find the Generic or Plain packaging to be an excessive and disproportionate measure. As a consumer I would want to be able to reference to my brand. I also find it questionable to introduce such a measure, eroding brand value for manufacturers without any proven/tangible benefits from such initiative. Fundamentally, I believe adults should have the right to chose high quality tobacco products and brands and make own informed decisions.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maria Consuelo Siurana Pérez

**email**

España

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Ese problema no existe

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claudine

**email**

Luxembourg

**country**

30

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Je ne suis pas d'accord

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joaquim António da Silva Valério

**email**

Portugal

**country**

53

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. -

With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karin Bublitz

**email**

germany

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht – die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen..) kontraproduktiv. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweise auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür gibt es bisher keine Nachweise aus Ländern, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas Geis

**email**

germany

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht – die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen..) kontraproduktiv. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweise auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür gibt es bisher keine Nachweise aus Ländern, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Petra Welcker

**email**

germany

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht – die Bilder wirken

lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen..) kontraproduktiv. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweise auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür gibt es bisher keine Nachweise aus Ländern, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marion Muschik

**email**

germany

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht – die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen..) kontraproduktiv. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweise auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür gibt es bisher keine Nachweise aus Ländern, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden.

**explanations**

No change

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Marion Greving

#### **email**

germany

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht – die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen..) kontraproduktiv. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweise auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür gibt es bisher keine Nachweise aus Ländern, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Piotr Pierscionek

#### **email**

Poland

### **additional comments**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robert Greving

**email**

germany

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht – die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen..) kontraproduktiv. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweise auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür gibt es bisher keine Nachweise aus Ländern, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

d'Avister

**email**

belgium

**country**

51

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Absolument pas d'accord!

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ilidio Rosa Cota da Silva

**email**

Portugal

**country**

64

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health,

inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Van Loock

**email**

Belgie

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

I do not agree

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alberto Pallaro

**email**

Spain

**country**

41

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No Comments

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Kreuzscher

**email**

Spain

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

not needed

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Tressel

**email**

germany

**country**

33

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
No change needed, just silly

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Francisco José Ramos

**email**  
Portugal

**country**  
56

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

fd

**email**

gbd

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

no comment

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

fgdd

**additional option**

vd

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Elsa de Jesus Canholas Catarino Guarda

**email**

Portugal

**country**

41

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dominik Zacharewicz

**email**

Poland

**country**

32

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No



**questions consumer****problem definition**

It is not well prepared from my perspective. Unified package will generate huge fakes problem.

**explanations**

Improve consumer information

**which option**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

francisca emilia silva

**email**

portugal

**country**

43

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Agostinho Amaro Camões dos Santos

#### **email**

Portugal

#### **country**

54

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Marcelo Miranda

#### **email**

spain

**country**

42

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

no coment

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maria do Carmo Gonçalves Anes Cardoso

**email**

Portugal

**country**

44

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health,

inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Smyrlis Liakatas

**email**

Greece

**country**

30

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No Comment

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPYROS THEMELIS

**email**

GREECE

**country**

45

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The problem definition is not consistent with the relevant Eurobarometer research.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kostas Nikolopoulos

**email**

Greece

**country**

34

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The definition is unclear as it is titled "consumer information" but is only dealing with packing.

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

No

**additional option**

No

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΔΕΣΠΟΙΝΑ ΚΟΝΤΟΥ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

juan ortega

**email**

españa

**country**

43

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**explanations**

Improve consumer information

**which option**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΦΩΤΗΣ ΚΟΝΤΟΣ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΜΑΡΙΑ ΣΑΛΗΒΕΡΟΥ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

laura Rocha

**email**

Spain

**country**

41

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

no comments

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pierre Deraedt

**email**

Belgium

**country**

31



**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
I do not agree with this problem definition. Good as it is.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Nussbaumer Christian

**email**  
Austria

**country**  
43

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**explanations**  
No change

**which improvement**  
Ich bin der Meinung, dass die bisherige Regelungskompetenz der EU ausreichend ist.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**

Andrea Eßer

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

31

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Einheitspackung spielen Fälschern und Schmugglern in die Hände. Markenvielfalt wird abgebaut und dem (erwachsenen!) Verbraucher ein Stück seiner individuellen Entscheidungsfreiheit genommen. Jugendlichen Rauchern ist es meiner Meinung nach mehr als egal wie die Schachtel aussieht, weshalb es dadurch nicht zu einem Rückgang der Anzahl jugendlicher Raucher kommen würde!

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΑΝΑΣΤΑΣΙΟΣ ΠΑΝΑΓΙΩΤΙΔΗΣ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Pieter Zevenhuizen

**email**

Belgium

**country**

28

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Ik ga niet akkoord.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TOMBAL

**email**

Belgique

**country**

51

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Je ne suis pas d'accord. Pour se rendre compte de l'ineptie, J'invite les décideurs à venir sur le terrain (en magasin aux heures de pointes) pour voir les complications ENORMES qu'une tel décision engendrerait.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΜΑΡΓΑΡΙΤΑ ΠΑΝΑΓΙΩΤΙΔΟΥ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Agusti Tosas Fuentes

**email**

Spain

**country**

59

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Plain packaging will increase the possibilities of copying brands with the increase of danger for public health.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Costas Sigalos

**email**

Greece

**country**

60

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

the definition is unclear as it is titled 'consumer information' but is only dealing with packaging

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

No

**additional option**

No

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

sofie gardin

**email**

belgium

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

no extention necessary

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Despoina Panagiotidou

**email**

Greece

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
rik Maertens

**email**  
belgium

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
not necceary

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
STEFANOS TSIMIKALIS

**email**  
Greece

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
The definition is unclear as it is titled 'consumer information' but is only dealing with packaging.

**explanations**  
No change

**which improvement**  
no

**additional option**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΚΟΥΡΗΣ ΓΙΩΡΓΟΣ ΕΚΔΟΤΗΣ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mallis George

**email**

Greece

**country**

45

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The definition is unclear as it is titled 'consumer information' but is only dealing with packaging.

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

No

**additional option**

No

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Waltraud Willems

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

40

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Neutrale Verpackungen verschärfen das Problem des Schmuggels, die Verpackungen sind leichter nachzumachen. Erhöhter Schmuggel bedroht die Existenz des Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland und vernichtet Arbeitsplätze. Bildwarnhinweise haben keine zusätzliche Einwirkung auf das Rauchverhalten.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

nikitas chrisanthos

**email**

greece

**country**

29

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

no comment

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rui César de Costa Silva

**email**

Portugal

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting

counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paula Cecília dos Santos Leitão Caetano Alves de Silva

**email**

Portugal

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

YOUNES

**email**  
BELGIUM

**country**  
26

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No. There is no credible or scientific evidence which demonstrates this is a necessary step. This problem definition tries to cover too many options at once. Packaging provides consumers who wish to purchase products with information about the product they have chosen. Tobacco packaging has never been identified as a reason why children start to smoke or why adult smokers continue to choose to smoke. Plain packaging would lead to an increase in counterfeit products and illicit trade. It will have a negative impact on competition. Plain packaging expropriates the brand owners of valuable intellectual property. Pictorial health warnings make no additional contribution to the public awareness of the risks.

**explanations**  
No change

**which improvement**  
NO

**additional option**  
NO

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Francisco Manuel Patrão Moço

**email**  
Portugal

**country**  
56

**age**  
male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

generic packging wiil facilitate illicit trde

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maria Rodrigues

**email**

Portugal

**country**

37

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. And with generic packaging, consumers are not able to identify and reject counterfeit tobacco products. Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. None can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption. This is a measure that in my opinion will not bring any add value to the public health.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Laura Monteiro Louro Rodrigues de Passos

**email**

Portugal

**country**

69

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

- Não há evidências de que as embalagens genéricas diminuam o consumo de produtos de tabaco, nem que por essa via produzam melhores efeitos na saúde do consumidor. - O fumador tem o direito de poder diferenciar com facilidade a marca de produto que prefere consumir, bem como o direito de obter na embalagem informações sobre o produto que pretende consumir. - Com as embalagens genéricas o consumidor terá muito mais dificuldade em identificar e rejeitar os produtos de tabaco contrafeitos. - A embalagens genéricas tornam mais fáceis todos os processos de contrafacção e de falsificação dos produtos. São previsíveis consequências negativas para a saúde humana, inerentes à ausência de controlo higiénico e sanitário dos processos produtivos dos produtos de tabaco contrafeitos, com o aumento provável e sem controlo da nocividade para a saúde humana aquando do respectivo consumo.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patricia Marques

**email**

Portugal

**country**

34

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

As marcas brancas(genericas) tornam o risco de aumento brutal da contrafacção com efeitos para a saude publica imprevisivel.

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

Em relação as vending machines parecem-me parte da solução, pois com o controle de acesso existente só com intervenção humana elas podem ser desbloqueadas.

**additional option**

Qualquer medida que diminua a exposição deste produto nos lineares dos estabelecimentos, na minha maneira de pensar é altamente atentatoria da liberdade de escolha dos consumidores, e contraria os principios fundamentais da União Europeia.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ARMANDO RAUL DA SILVA FRANÇA

**email**

PORTUGAL

**country**

59

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

- Não há evidências de que as embalagens genéricas diminuam o consumo de produtos de tabaco, nem que por essa via produzam melhores efeitos na saúde do consumidor. - O fumador tem o direito de poder diferenciar com facilidade a marca de produto que prefere consumir, bem como tem o direito de obter na embalagem informações sobre o produto que pretende escolher para consumir. - Com as embalagens genéricas, o consumidor terá muito mais dificuldade em identificar e rejeitar os produtos de tabaco contrafeitos. - A embalagens genéricas tornam mais fáceis todos os processos de contrafacção e de falsificação dos produtos. São previsíveis consequências negativas para a saúde humana, inerentes à ausência de controlo higiénico e sanitário dos processos produtivos dos produtos de tabaco contrafeitos, com o aumento provável e sem controlo da nocividade para a saúde humana aquando do respectivo consumo.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MAMMAMMM

**email**

BELGIUM

**country**

40

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

I'am not agreed with this politique!!!!

**explanations**

No change



---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

João José Louro Rodrigues de Passos

**email**

Portugal

**country**

47

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Katherine Verlackt

**email**  
Belgium

**country**  
32

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
I do not agree

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Anton Broos

**email**  
Belgium

**country**  
32

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
I do not agree

**explanations**  
No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Dagmar Drossel

#### **email**

Deutschland

#### **country**

45

#### **age**

female

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

Die Verbraucheraufklärung ist mehr als ausreichend, genau so wie die Hinweise auf den Zigaretten. Ein Mehr an Hinweisen ist überflüssig.

### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

RENATA MARINA SEQUEIRA FRANÇA

#### **email**

portugal

#### **country**

32

#### **age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The only sales that are executed through vending machines are controlled by "Human Subjects", therefore the problem lies in human decisions of buying and selling the tobacco product and not the mechanical act of sale itself. - The purchase of tobacco by minors should be penalized, by punishing and holding accountable the parents and guardians of underage children who allow them to purchase tobacco products. -Tobacco use (tobacco smoking) by minors should also be prohibited and penalized - The vending machines are "part of the solution" and not "part of the problem" because they constitute an important tool in combating the sale of counterfeit tobacco products since the offender risks a lot more to be discovered by authorities when selling counterfeit tobacco in their vending machine. - There is no evidence that the inhibition of exposure of products in commercial spaces diminishes the consumption of tobacco. Furthermore the ban on display of products is an inhibitor of "freedom of choice" by consumers and promotes confusion between the legal product and the illegal product.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marc Drossel

**email**

Germany

**country**

18

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Die Verbraucher sind sehr gut aufgeklärt. Die Hinweise auf Tabakprodukten sind mehr als ausreichend. Weitere Hinweise sind nicht notwendig.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RENATA MARINA SEQUEIRA FRANÇA

**email**

PORTUGAL

**country**

32

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

- The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tom Janssens

**email**

Belgium

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

I do not agree

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

C. de Koning

**email**

The Netherlands

**country**

24

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

I do not agree

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

KATRIEN DE BUSSCHERE

**email**  
belgium

**country**  
38

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

There is no credible or scientific evidence which demonstrates this is a necessary step. This problem definition tries to cover too many options at once. Packaging provides consumers who wish to purchase products with information about the product they have chosen. Tobacco packaging has never been identified as a reason why children start to smoke or why adult smokers continue to choose to smoke. Plain packaging would lead to an increase in counterfeit products and illicit trade. It will have a negative impact on competition. Plain packaging expropriates the brand owners of valuable intellectual property. Pictorial health warnings make no additional contribution to the public awareness of the risks.

**explanations**  
No change

**which improvement**  
NO

**additional option**  
NO

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Manuel Mateo Areopagita

**email**  
Spain

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No comment

**explanations**

Improve consumer information

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Raquel Puente Iñigo

**email**

Spain

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No comment

**explanations**

Improve consumer information

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

WAYSSE Bernard

**email**

BELGIUM

**country**

59

**age**

male

**additional comments**



No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Interdire les marques, effacer les couleurs et " générique " les paquets de cigarettes n'engendrera que de la confusion...le but poursuivi sera détourné et le résultat nullissime ; je suis non-fumeur depuis le 21/06/2005, d'abord par hasard puis par volonté...pour le rester. C'est le SEUL moyen: prendre conscience, prendre une ferme résolution, souffrir un peu (j'ai eu envie de fumer pendant 1 an et demi...), puis PROFITER de son nouveau statut . Pour le reste, c'est le point de vue du commerçant....

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

João Luis Camões Monteiro

**email**

Portugal

**country**

43

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Clarinda de Jesus Banha Pomar

**email**

Portugal

**country**

41

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paweł Badoń

**email**

Polska

**country**

20

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

no comment

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VIVIANI MARIA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

47

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un

posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

TORTORA ANTONIO

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

50

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **explanations**

No change

**additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPINELLI GUARINO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

45

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SILVESTRE IMMACOLATA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

31

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RUGGIERO ARTURO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PINNA ROSALBA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

34

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione. Scelta opzione 1: No Change Scrivi nello spazio del commento: L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ROMAGNOLI PASQUALE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

31

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RISPOLI VINCENZO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

53

**age**  
male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

RICCARDO LUIGI

**email**

ITALY

**country**

29

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PULIGHEDDU ANTONELLO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

39

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Urszula Siudak

**email**  
Polska

**country**  
20

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
no comment

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
PORCARO GENNARO

**email**  
ITALY

**country**  
52

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**explanations**  
No change

**additional option**  
L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la

consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

POLITO ANGELINA

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **age**

female

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **explanations**

No change

#### **additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata

confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

PIACENTE PIETRO

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

48

##### **age**

male

##### **additional comments**

No

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

##### **explanations**

No change

##### **additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un



posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

PARRELLA GENNARO

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

41

##### **age**

male

##### **additional comments**

No

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione

##### **explanations**

No change

**additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Petra Völkl

**email**

Austria

**country**

45

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MONTINI ARMANDO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

64

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MIRANDA ANGELA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

51

#### **age**

female

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

### **explanations**

No change

### **additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MIGNANO MARTINA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

21

#### **age**

female

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **explanations**

No change

### **additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la

tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MIGNANI MANUELA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

55

#### **age**

female

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **explanations**

No change

#### **additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito

dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MASTUCCINO ASSUNTA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

45

#### **age**

female

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **explanations**

No change

#### **additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una

normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MANNA MASSIMO

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

34

#### **age**

male

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

### **explanations**

No change

### **additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti



e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

MAFFI CINZIA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

41

#### **age**

female

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

### **explanations**

No change

### **additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che

frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

MACELLARO SILVANA

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

43

##### **age**

female

##### **additional comments**

No

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

##### **explanations**

No change

##### **additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di

catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

IADICOLA CIRO

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

41

##### **age**

male

##### **additional comments**

No

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

##### **explanations**

No change

##### **additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe

essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

GRANATO ANTONIO

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

34

##### **age**

male

##### **additional comments**

No

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

##### **explanations**

No change

##### **additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran

Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

GALANTE NICOLA

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

35

##### **age**

male

##### **additional comments**

No

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

##### **explanations**

No change

##### **additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la

consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

FUSCO VINCENZO

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

53

##### **age**

male

##### **additional comments**

No

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

##### **explanations**

No change

##### **additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

FELICI SIMONA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

43

#### **age**

female

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

### **explanations**

No change

**additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nathan Sahuleka

**email**

NL

**country**

28

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

I know the risks, but make MY OWN decisions.



**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ELIA ANTONIETTA

**email**

ITALY

**country**

45

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito

dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

DONNARUMMA MARIA ROSARIA

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

31

#### **age**

female

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **explanations**

No change

#### **additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una

normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

DONATELLIS FRANCESCO

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

44

#### **age**

male

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

### **explanations**

No change

### **additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti

e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

D'ALESSANDRO VALTER

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

58

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

#### **explanations**

No change

#### **additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che

frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

CHIACCHIO FRANCESCA PAOLA

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

46

##### **age**

female

##### **additional comments**

No

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

##### **explanations**

No change

##### **additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di

catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

CATAPANO LUIGI

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

33

##### **age**

male

##### **additional comments**

No

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

##### **explanations**

No change

##### **additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe

essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

CARFORA FRANCESCO

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

30

##### **age**

male

##### **additional comments**

No

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

##### **explanations**

No change

##### **additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran

Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

CARDAMONE COSIMO

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

49

##### **age**

male

##### **additional comments**

No

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

##### **explanations**

No change

##### **additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la



consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

CHIANCONE MICHELE

##### **email**

ITALY

##### **country**

30

##### **age**

male

##### **additional comments**

No

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

##### **explanations**

No change

##### **additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

CHIANCOE ALFONSO

#### **email**

ITALY

#### **country**

31

#### **age**

male

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

### **explanations**

No change

**additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CASCIO GIOVANNI

**email**

ITALY

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CARDAROPOLI RAFFAELE

**email**

ITALY

**country**

47

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAIAZZO FABRIZIO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

38

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BUONAIUTO FRANCESCO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BIONDO ANTONIO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

35

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BIANCHI CIRO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

24



**age**  
male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

BALDI GELSOMINO

**email**

ITALY

**country**

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**explanations**  
No change

**additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
ANGIOLINI ANTONELLA

**email**  
ITALY

**country**

48

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

La spiegazione dettagliata è nello spazio relativo alla scelta dell'opzione.

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

L'utilizzo di avvertenze speciali sotto forma di illustrazioni, l'aumento delle dimensioni o un posizionamento specifico delle avvertenze testuali o con illustrazioni non aumenta la consapevolezza dei rischi sanitari connessi all'uso dei prodotti da fumo. Tale evidenza è stata confermata anche dai dati che provengono dagli Stati Membri (in particolare Belgio e Gran Bretagna) dove tali misure sono obbligatorie. Per questo motivo ritengo che la possibilità di inserire avvertenze speciali sotto forma di fotografie a colori o altre illustrazioni dovrebbe essere lasciata alla libera iniziativa degli Stati Membri come già previsto dalla normativa esistente. Relativamente all'opzione 2b, la quale suggerisce che le informazioni sui livelli di catrame, nicotina e monossido di carbonio (TNCO) vengano sostituite da indicazioni generiche sulla tossicità delle sostanze contenute nei prodotti a base di tabacco, ritengo che frequenti cambiamenti delle informazioni sul pacchetto o negli inserti possano solo confondere i consumatori. Reputo quindi, che le informazioni relative a contenuti, ingredienti e componenti dei prodotti da fumo possano essere comunicate più efficacemente attraverso altre forme di pubblicità quali siti web, numeri verdi ecc. Inoltre, l'introduzione di una normativa che standardizzi le modalità di confezionamento per tutti i prodotti presenti sul mercato (es. il c.d. pacchetto generico o le limitazioni sull'utilizzo dei colori), come suggerito dall'opzione 3, oltre ad essere in contrasto con una serie di diritti fondamentali universalmente riconosciuti quali la tutela della concorrenza, la libera iniziativa economica, la tutela della proprietà e dell'utilizzo del marchio, mette a rischio la stessa sopravvivenza dell'industria dando impulso al mercato illecito.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sam Weintraub / Sam Weintraub Consulting Oy

**email**  
Finland

**country**  
53

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

En ole tupakoitsija enkä toivo kenenkään aloittavan tupakointia. Siitä huolimatta olen sitä mieltä, että niin kauan kuin tupakan myynti itsessään on laillista, kalliisti rakennettuja brandejä ei voi tuhota. Mihin yrittäjä voi luottaa, jos EU toimii kuin tuulihattu? Miksi kukaan ryhtyisi rakentamaan brandejä ja tavaramerkkejä? Olen Suomessa aktiivinen toimija mm. Suomen Ojelmistoyrittäjät ry:ssä konsultoiden ja puolustaen päivittäin ohjelmistojen lisenssejä sekä immateriaalisia oikeuksia. Minusta on käsittämätöntä, että kenellekään tulee edes mieleen ehdottaa geneerisiä pakkauksia. Kieltäkää tupakan valmistus, myynti, hallussapito sekä käyttö. Kun sen olette tehneet, sitten voitte toimia kuten haluatte. Koen tämän Pandora- laatikon avaamisena. Mikä on seuraava asia, jossa toimitaan samalla tavalla. Lukeeko tulevaisuudessa kaikissa olut-pulloissa "olutta", voipaketeissa "voita" ja niin edelleen. Kun Yhdysvalloissa ja Suomessa vuosikymmeniä sitten oli kieltolaki, joku sai siitä loistavan liiketoiminnan itselleen. Siihen tuskin taas kannattaa pyrkiä. Kaikki patsi rikolliset tahot häviävät.

**explanations**  
No change

**which improvement**  
Jatkakaa kampanjointia tupakan vaarallisuudesta

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Guy Vos

**email**  
Belgium

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Ik ga hier niet mee akkoord

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans Hommel

**email**

Belgium

**country**

53

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

I do not agree

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Metzen Aloyse

**email**

Luxembourg

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

I don't agree

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Metzen Aloyse

**email**

Luxembourg

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

I don't agree.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Balla

**email**

Belgique

**country**

51

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

JE NE SUIS PAS D ACCORD

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jorge Manuel Camões Monteiro

**email**

Portugal

**country**

40

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Jorge Manuel Camões Monteiro

#### **email**

Portugal

#### **country**

40

#### **age**

male

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Tom

#### **email**



Germany

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Die Zigarette ist ein wunderbares Genussmittel.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

João Pedro Espiguinha Dias

**email**

Portugal

**country**

31

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Guinchan

**email**

Luxembourg

**country**

47

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

No, I not agreed the measures on the Directive project.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

chausteur

**email**

belgique

**country**

63

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

gestion impossible dans une grande librairie, impossible de cacher les paquets de cigarettes dans une armoire; suite à la demande, cette armoire serait ouverte en permanence..... puisque nous vendons beaucoup de paquets sur une journée..... De plus cela ne diminuera certainement pas la consommation

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DAUTRICHE

**email**

Austria

**country**

44

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Superficial view of the issue.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerardo Ayala

**email**

Portugal

**country**

31

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No comments

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lydia Viladoms Guerra

**email**

Spain

**country**

58

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

There is no evidence that generic or plain packaging would have any public health benefit.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christoph Leja

**email**

Germany

**country**

44

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

My opinion is that usage of tobacco should not be regulated for adults. People using tobacco products are aware of the risk,

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

It is enough to, Adults which are smoking are aware of the risk. Why bshould they be regulated. Free chise free decission free europe.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Filipa Ribeiro

**email**

Portugal

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

no comments

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

George Varlas

**email**

Greece

**country**

34

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The definition is not clear as although titled 'consumer information' is only dealing with packaging.

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

No.

**additional option**

No.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Efie Boura

**email**

Greece

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No comment

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

No

**additional option**

No

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kirstin Ernst-Hauß

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

45

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

- die Verbraucher sind meiner Meinung nach ausreichend über die Gesundheitsrisiken informiert -es gibt keine Anzeichen dafür, dass weitere Maßnahmen die Wahrnehmung der Verbraucher steigert

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

-die Markenstruktur wird durch Einführung einer IEnheitsverpackung zerstört, es kommt zu einer reinen Preisorientierung - neutrale Verpackungen sind leichter zu fälschen -niedrigere Preise erleichtern den Zugang für Jugendliche und führen zu einem Ansenken den Handelsspanne für den Verkäufer, was tabakumsatzabhängigen Unternehmen die Einkommensbasis entzieht

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

helena baptista

**email**

portugal

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

-there is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a positive effect on consumers' health. -The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of a product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product that he or she chooses to consume. -With a generic package, consumers have much more difficulty in identify ad reject counterfeit tobacco products -Generic package makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much more easy. one can predict negative consequences for human health,inherent lack lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ilyessa

**email**

Cyprus

**country**

45

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

I do not agree with generic packaging. There is no evidence that it reduces smoking.

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

There are plenty of options to help reduce smoking - educate retailers not to sell to kids; enforce minimum age legislation; fund education and cessation programmes

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

luis guimaraes

**email**

portugal

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

re is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a positive effect on consumers' health. -The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of a product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product that he or she chooses to consume. -With a generic package, consumers have much more difficulty in identify ad reject counterfeit tobacco products -Generic package makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much more easy. one can predict negative consequences for human health,inherent lack lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Caroline Cunneen

**email**

Ireland

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**explanations**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which option**

Picture warnings to become mandatory; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nigel Heneghan

**email**

Ireland

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

generic packaging will not reduce the incidence of smoking. Furthermore it will serve to increase the illegal trade and put pressure on retailers. This is a major problem and a further drain on taxation revenue.

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

proper enforcement of the minimum age; real solutions such as youth prevention programmes; and real support for smokers who are trying to quit (eg tax free allowances for quitting products)

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

huyghe

**email**

belgique

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

je ne suis pas d'accord

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Alex Kamaras

**email**  
Greece

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**  
No comment

**explanations**  
No change

**which improvement**  
No

**additional option**  
No

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Eve Noone

**email**  
Ireland

**country**  
25

**age**  
female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

There is no evidence that generic packaging reduces the incidence of smoking. It will increase the illegal trade

**explanations**

Improve consumer information

**which option**

Picture warnings to become mandatory

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Francesc Pagan Carmona

**email**

España

**country**

45

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Los fumadores no dejaran de fumar ni por diseño de paquete ni por contenido en alquitran, nicotina y monoxido de carbono.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daragh Irwin

**email**

Ireland

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Because I don't believe generic packaging works.

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

There are lots of other options which would help to address this - youth prevention programmes; education; retailer education; cessation programmes; enforcement of minimum age legislation

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ian Kiely

**email**

Ireland

**country**

33

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

We do not agree with the problem definition. In the countries where a point of sale display ban has been introduced to date there has been a clear failure in making a positive impact on smoking prevalence. In Ireland, where a tobacco product display ban was introduced in 2009

there has been a huge increase in the illicit tobacco trade. The year before the introduction of the point of sale display ban customs officials and Gardaí seized a total of 135,243,859 cigarettes with an estimated retail value of €49,228,765. End of year totals from 2009 revealed how these figures ballooned to a total of 218,532,960 cigarettes seized with an estimated retail value of €92,057,009.

### **explanations**

No change

### **which improvement**

Evidence from other jurisdictions where generic tobacco packaging legislation has been introduced or proposed has shown it not to be effective. In 2009, the UK Minister for State (Public Health) acknowledged that there have been no studies undertaken to show that plain packaging of tobacco products would cut the smoking uptake of young people or enable those who want to quit to do so. Furthermore, in March 2010, the Lithuanian parliament rejected a plain packaging proposal, considering it to be contrary to fundamental principles embodied in the Lithuanian Constitution. We would urge the European Commission to reconsider introducing generic tobacco packaging legislation until more is known of the consequences of such legislation.

### **additional option**

It is our contention that the introduction of generic packaging will not lead to a reduction in the prevalence of smoking but will rather put retailers in Ireland and across the EU under severe pressure in a time of difficult economic conditions. We believe that such legislation will directly contribute to an increase in the illicit tobacco trade and will place our industry under significant pressure. Moreover, there is evidence from other countries where similar measures have been proposed that raises questions about the effectiveness and legality of generic packaging. There is much evidence from Ireland to suggest that increased government tobacco legislation has led to an increase on tobacco smuggling. Since 2009, there have been over a quarter of a billion cigarettes seized recovering €85 million of lost tax revenue. In the same year legitimate sales have taken a 5% hit, with one store owner from the Dublin area reporting his turnover had plummeted from €30,000 a week to €17,000 in the past 12 months. Crime gangs in Ireland including dissident terrorist groups such as the Real IRA have been identified by customs as being the largest smugglers of tobacco, using routes formerly used by the Provisional IRA across Europe and it is now estimated that a quarter of all cigarettes smoked in Ireland are illegal. In March of 2010, dissident Republican gangs were linked to a shipment of €30 million smuggled cigarettes intercepted by Customs officers. The authorities now believe that republicans are working with ordinary criminals to source and distribute illegal cigarettes all over the country. In 2009, the UK Minister for State (Public Health) acknowledged that there have been no studies undertaken to show that plain packaging of tobacco products would cut the smoking uptake of young people or enable those who want to quit to do so. In February of 2010 the Department of Health indicated that until further information was known about the consequences of generic packaging, with particular regards to its effectiveness in reducing smoking rates and the legality of such legislation, no plain packaging legislation would be introduced. In addition, evidence from Lithuania reveals that the generic packaging of tobacco products may not face a number of legal challenges where it to be introduced across the EU. Following the proposal of generic packaging legislation by an MP in January 2010, it was found following a parliamentary legal affairs committee that the proposals would most likely contradict the Lithuanian constitution, its obligations under Article 10(2)(3)(1) of the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property, and the

free movement of goods under Article 34 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union. We would urge the European Commission to delay the introduction of generic tobacco packaging legislation until more is known of the consequences of such legislation.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Marlene Boutier

#### **email**

ireland

#### **country**

33

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

We do not agree with the problem definition. In the countries where a display of tobacco products ban has been introduced the legislation has simply not been effective. Since the introduction of the point of sale display ban in Ireland in 2009, there is much evidence to point to an increase in the illicit tobacco trade. There have been over a quarter of a billion cigarettes seized in Ireland recovering €85 million of lost tax revenue. The number of cigarettes seized in Ireland since the introduction of the Point of Sale Display ban in July 2009 is just less than the combined 305 million cigarettes seized for 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008.

##### **explanations**

No change

##### **which improvement**

Evidence from other jurisdictions where generic tobacco packaging legislation has been introduced or proposed has shown it not to be effective. In 2009, the UK Minister for State (Public Health) acknowledged that there have been no studies undertaken to show that plain packaging of tobacco products would cut the smoking uptake of young people or enable those who want to quit to do so. Furthermore, in March 2010, the Lithuanian parliament rejected a plain packaging proposal, considering it to be contrary to fundamental principles embodied in the Lithuanian Constitution. We would urge the European Commission to reconsider introducing generic tobacco packaging legislation until more is known of the consequences of such legislation.

##### **additional option**



It is our contention that the introduction of generic packaging will not lead to a reduction in the prevalence of smoking but will rather put retailers in Ireland and across the EU under severe pressure in a time of difficult economic conditions. We believe that such legislation will directly contribute to an increase in the illicit tobacco trade and will place our industry under significant pressure. Moreover, there is evidence from other countries where similar measures have been proposed that raises questions about the effectiveness and legality of generic packaging. There is much evidence from Ireland to suggest that increased government tobacco legislation has led to an increase on tobacco smuggling. Since 2009, there have been over a quarter of a billion cigarettes seized recovering €85 million of lost tax revenue. In the same year legitimate sales have taken a 5% hit, with one store owner from the Dublin area reporting his turnover had plummeted from €30,000 a week to €17,000 in the past 12 months. Crime gangs in Ireland including dissident terrorist groups such as the Real IRA have been identified by customs as being the largest smugglers of tobacco, using routes formerly used by the Provisional IRA across Europe and it is now estimated that a quarter of all cigarettes smoked in Ireland are illegal. In March of 2010, dissident Republican gangs were linked to a shipment of €30 million smuggled cigarettes intercepted by Customs officers. The authorities now believe that republicans are working with ordinary criminals to source and distribute illegal cigarettes all over the country. In 2009, the UK Minister for State (Public Health) acknowledged that there have been no studies undertaken to show that plain packaging of tobacco products would cut the smoking uptake of young people or enable those who want to quit to do so. In February of 2010 the Department of Health indicated that until further information was known about the consequences of generic packaging, with particular regards to its effectiveness in reducing smoking rates and the legality of such legislation, no plain packaging legislation would be introduced. In addition, evidence from Lithuania reveals that the generic packaging of tobacco products may not face a number of legal challenges where it to be introduced across the EU. Following the proposal of generic packaging legislation by an MP in January 2010, it was found following a parliamentary legal affairs committee that the proposals would most likely contradict the Lithuanian constitution, its obligations under Article 10(2)(3)(1) of the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property, and the free movement of goods under Article 34 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union. We would urge the European Commission to delay the introduction of generic tobacco packaging legislation until more is known of the consequences of such legislation.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Mullis

**email**

Ireland

**country**

60

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

People who now continue to smoke have mainly settled on the brand they wish to smoke and will continue to ask for same. People who commit to smoking will not be changed in their thinking by the generic packaging strategy. In fact they are more likely to become entrenched in their views.

**explanations**  
Improve consumer information

**which option**  
Picture warnings to become mandatory

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Blathin de Paor

**email**  
Ireland

**country**  
24

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
will worsen smuggling

**explanations**  
No change

**which improvement**

minimum age enforcement and youth prevention programmes

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PROF. DR. BOJAN PRETNAR

**email**

SLOVENIA

**country**

63

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

As an intellectual property expert, including trademarks (see, for example, my contribution in the book Trade Mark Use, Oxford University Press 2005), I strongly oppose the idea of "plain packaging" (PP) for a number of reasons. I mention here just the few of them. First, PP would represent a clear violation of basic legal requirement of all EU national laws, which all require regular use of a trademark in order to keep it valid - and PP evidently represents a direct conflict with this EU-wide harmonized requirement. Secondly, PP would represent violation of various international treaties which are binding for the whole EU (TRIPS etc.). Thirdly, PP would literally legalize counterfeiting, what would lead to unpredictable legal, economic and social consequences. Counterfeit goods are likely to make more collateral damage than PP supposedly (but unlikely) could bring. Generic branding was tried about 40 years ago on medicaments in Pakistan (an UNCTAD report about this exists), and soon ended in a disaster, due to destruction of normal markets, which cannot exist without brands, and thus in turn by emergence of black markets, where potentially dangerous counterfeit medicaments were sold. This is likely to happen if PP were introduced as well. In addition, the story shows that the fathers of that initiative wanted to make generically branded medicaments more accessible, i.e. exactly opposite effect of generic branding was followed. This obviously means that introduction of PP would be based on assumptions of purely speculative nature much in the same way as this being the case in Pakistan; it clearly follows that the evidence, however scarce, makes this assumption not only speculative, but indeed irresponsible.

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

According to the World Bank "Myths and Facts" about tobacco, the most effective measure in reducing smoking is the price of cigarettes - the higher the price, the lower is consumption.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrew Morgan

**email**

ireland

**country**

27

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

We do not agree with the problem definition. Evidence from the countries where a point of sale display ban has been introduced has shown that the legislation has failed to address the stated aims of the legislation, namely to reduce long term smoking rates and to protect children from tobacco advertising. Evidence from Ireland, where a tobacco product display ban has been in place since 2009, has shown there has been a substantial increase in illicit tobacco smuggling leading to a dramatic loss in revenue. This is a huge problem nationally and in 2009 it took over €500 million from the Irish exchequer and is on course to exceed this amount in 2010.

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

Evidence from other jurisdictions where generic tobacco packaging legislation has been introduced or proposed has shown it not to be effective. In 2009, the UK Minister for State (Public Health) acknowledged that there have been no studies undertaken to show that plain packaging of tobacco products would cut the smoking uptake of young people or enable those who want to quit to do so. Furthermore, in March 2010, the Lithuanian parliament rejected a plain packaging proposal, considering it to be contrary to fundamental principles embodied in the Lithuanian Constitution. We would urge the European Commission to reconsider introducing generic tobacco packaging legislation until more is known of the consequences of such legislation.

**additional option**

It is our contention that the introduction of generic packaging will not lead to a reduction in the prevalence of smoking but will rather put retailers in Ireland and across the EU under severe pressure in a time of difficult economic conditions. We believe that such legislation will directly contribute to an increase in the illicit tobacco trade and will place our industry under significant pressure. Moreover, there is evidence from other countries where similar measures have been proposed that raises questions about the effectiveness and legality of generic packaging. There is much evidence from Ireland to suggest that increased government tobacco legislation has led to an increase on tobacco smuggling. Since 2009, there have been over a quarter of a billion cigarettes seized recovering €85 million of lost tax revenue. In the same year legitimate sales have taken a 5% hit, with one store owner from the Dublin area reporting his turnover had plummeted from €30,000 a week to €17,000 in the past 12 months. Crime gangs in Ireland including dissident terrorist groups such as the Real IRA have been identified by customs as being the largest smugglers of tobacco, using routes formerly used by the Provisional IRA across Europe and it is now estimated that a quarter of all cigarettes smoked in Ireland are illegal. In March of 2010, dissident Republican gangs were linked to a shipment of €30 million smuggled cigarettes intercepted by Customs officers. The authorities now believe that republicans are working with ordinary criminals to source and distribute illegal cigarettes all over the country. In 2009, the UK Minister for State (Public Health) acknowledged that there have been no studies undertaken to show that plain packaging of tobacco products would cut the smoking uptake of young people or enable those who want to quit to do so. In February of 2010 the Department of Health indicated that until further information was known about the consequences of generic packaging, with particular regards to its effectiveness in reducing smoking rates and the legality of such legislation, no plain packaging legislation would be introduced. In addition, evidence from Lithuania reveals that the generic packaging of tobacco products may not face a number of legal challenges where it to be introduced across the EU. Following the proposal of generic packaging legislation by an MP in January 2010, it was found following a parliamentary legal affairs committee that the proposals would most likely contradict the Lithuanian constitution, its obligations under Article 10(2)(3)(1) of the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property, and the free movement of goods under Article 34 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union. We would urge the European Commission to delay the introduction of generic tobacco packaging legislation until more is known of the consequences of such legislation.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Cathy Morgan Kiely

**email**

ireland

**country**

27

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

We do not agree with the problem definition. In the countries where a point of sale display ban has been introduced to date there has been a clear failure in making a positive impact on smoking prevalence. In Ireland, where a tobacco product display ban was introduced in 2009 there has been a huge increase in the illicit tobacco trade. The year before the introduction of the point of sale display ban customs officials and Gardaí seized a total of 135,243,859 cigarettes with an estimated retail value of €49,228,765. End of year totals from 2009 revealed how these figures ballooned to a total of 218,532,960 cigarettes seized with an estimated retail value of €92,057,009.

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

Evidence from other jurisdictions where generic tobacco packaging legislation has been introduced or proposed has shown it not to be effective. In 2009, the UK Minister for State (Public Health) acknowledged that there have been no studies undertaken to show that plain packaging of tobacco products would cut the smoking uptake of young people or enable those who want to quit to do so. Furthermore, in March 2010, the Lithuanian parliament rejected a plain packaging proposal, considering it to be contrary to fundamental principles embodied in the Lithuanian Constitution. We would urge the European Commission to reconsider introducing generic tobacco packaging legislation until more is known of the consequences of such legislation.

**additional option**

It is our contention that the introduction of generic packaging will not lead to a reduction in the prevalence of smoking but will rather put retailers in Ireland and across the EU under severe pressure in a time of difficult economic conditions. We believe that such legislation will directly contribute to an increase in the illicit tobacco trade and will place our industry under significant pressure. Moreover, there is evidence from other countries where similar measures have been proposed that raises questions about the effectiveness and legality of generic packaging. There is much evidence from Ireland to suggest that increased government tobacco legislation has led to an increase on tobacco smuggling. Since 2009, there have been over a quarter of a billion cigarettes seized recovering €85 million of lost tax revenue. In the same year legitimate sales have taken a 5% hit, with one store owner from the Dublin area reporting his turnover had plummeted from €30,000 a week to €17,000 in the past 12 months. Crime gangs in Ireland including dissident terrorist groups such as the Real IRA have been identified by customs as being the largest smugglers of tobacco, using routes formerly used by the Provisional IRA across Europe and it is now estimated that a quarter of all cigarettes smoked in Ireland are illegal. In March of 2010, dissident Republican gangs were linked to a shipment of €30 million smuggled cigarettes intercepted by Customs officers. The authorities now believe that republicans are working with ordinary criminals to source and distribute illegal cigarettes all over the country. In 2009, the UK Minister for State (Public Health) acknowledged that there have been no studies undertaken to show that plain packaging of tobacco products would cut the smoking uptake of young people or enable those who want to

quit to do so. In February of 2010 the Department of Health indicated that until further information was known about the consequences of generic packaging, with particular regards to its effectiveness in reducing smoking rates and the legality of such legislation, no plain packaging legislation would be introduced. In addition, evidence from Lithuania reveals that the generic packaging of tobacco products may not face a number of legal challenges where it to be introduced across the EU. Following the proposal of generic packaging legislation by an MP in January 2010, it was found following a parliamentary legal affairs committee that the proposals would most likely contradict the Lithuanian constitution, its obligations under Article 10(2)(3)(1) of the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property, and the free movement of goods under Article 34 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union. We would urge the European Commission to delay the introduction of generic tobacco packaging legislation until more is known of the consequences of such legislation.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Beth Stack

##### **email**

ireland

##### **country**

33

#### **additional comments**

No

#### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

We do not agree with the problem definition. In the countries where a display of tobacco products ban has been introduced the legislation has simply not been effective. Since the introduction of the point of sale display ban in Ireland in 2009, there is much evidence to point to an increase in the illicit tobacco trade. There have been over a quarter of a billion cigarettes seized in Ireland recovering €85 million of lost tax revenue. The number of cigarettes seized in Ireland since the introduction of the Point of Sale Display ban in July 2009 is just less than the combined 305 million cigarettes seized for 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008.

#### **explanations**

No change

#### **which improvement**

Evidence form other jurisdictions where generic tobacco packaging legislation has been introduced or proposed has shown it not to be effective. In 2009, the UK Minister for State

(Public Health) acknowledged that there have been no studies undertaken to show that plain packaging of tobacco products would cut the smoking uptake of young people or enable those who want to quit to do so. Furthermore, in March 2010, the Lithuanian parliament rejected a plain packaging proposal, considering it to be contrary to fundamental principles embodied in the Lithuanian Constitution. We would urge the European Commission to reconsider introducing generic tobacco packaging legislation until more is known of the consequences of such legislation.

### **additional option**

It is our contention that the introduction of generic packaging will not lead to a reduction in the prevalence of smoking but will rather put retailers in Ireland and across the EU under severe pressure in a time of difficult economic conditions. We believe that such legislation will directly contribute to an increase in the illicit tobacco trade and will place our industry under significant pressure. Moreover, there is evidence from other countries where similar measures have been proposed that raises questions about the effectiveness and legality of generic packaging. There is much evidence from Ireland to suggest that increased government tobacco legislation has led to an increase on tobacco smuggling. Since 2009, there have been over a quarter of a billion cigarettes seized recovering €85 million of lost tax revenue. In the same year legitimate sales have taken a 5% hit, with one store owner from the Dublin area reporting his turnover had plummeted from €30,000 a week to €17,000 in the past 12 months. Crime gangs in Ireland including dissident terrorist groups such as the Real IRA have been identified by customs as being the largest smugglers of tobacco, using routes formerly used by the Provisional IRA across Europe and it is now estimated that a quarter of all cigarettes smoked in Ireland are illegal. In March of 2010, dissident Republican gangs were linked to a shipment of €30 million smuggled cigarettes intercepted by Customs officers. The authorities now believe that republicans are working with ordinary criminals to source and distribute illegal cigarettes all over the country. In 2009, the UK Minister for State (Public Health) acknowledged that there have been no studies undertaken to show that plain packaging of tobacco products would cut the smoking uptake of young people or enable those who want to quit to do so. In February of 2010 the Department of Health indicated that until further information was known about the consequences of generic packaging, with particular regards to its effectiveness in reducing smoking rates and the legality of such legislation, no plain packaging legislation would be introduced. In addition, evidence from Lithuania reveals that the generic packaging of tobacco products may not face a number of legal challenges where it to be introduced across the EU. Following the proposal of generic packaging legislation by an MP in January 2010, it was found following a parliamentary legal affairs committee that the proposals would most likely contradict the Lithuanian constitution, its obligations under Article 10(2)(3)(1) of the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property, and the free movement of goods under Article 34 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union. We would urge the European Commission to delay the introduction of generic tobacco packaging legislation until more is known of the consequences of such legislation.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

ΖΑΧΑΡΟΠΟΥΛΟΣ ΧΡΗΣΤΟΣ ΙΔΙΩΤΙΚΟΣ ΥΠΑΛΛΗΛΟΣ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Simon Stack

**email**

ireland

**country**

38

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

We do not agree with the problem definition. It is clear that in the countries where a tobacco product display ban has been introduced the measures have not been effective. Evidence from Ireland, where such a ban has been in place since 2009, shows that a point of sale display ban has led to an increase in the illicit cigarette trade which has cost the State exchequer millions of Euro. Figures indicate that the illicit tobacco trade is costing taxpayers anywhere between €500 million and €750 million a year in lost revenue. Since the introduction of the Point of Sale Display Ban, there have been over a quarter of a billion cigarettes seized in Ireland recovering €85 million of lost tax revenue. The number of cigarettes seized in Ireland since the introduction of the Point of Sale Display ban in July 2009 is just less than the combined 305 million cigarettes seized for 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008.

**explanations**

No change

### **which improvement**

Evidence from other jurisdictions where generic tobacco packaging legislation has been introduced or proposed has shown it not to be effective. In 2009, the UK Minister for State (Public Health) acknowledged that there have been no studies undertaken to show that plain packaging of tobacco products would cut the smoking uptake of young people or enable those who want to quit to do so. Furthermore, in March 2010, the Lithuanian parliament rejected a plain packaging proposal, considering it to be contrary to fundamental principles embodied in the Lithuanian Constitution. We would urge the European Commission to reconsider introducing generic tobacco packaging legislation until more is known of the consequences of such legislation.

### **additional option**

It is our contention that the introduction of generic packaging will not lead to a reduction in the prevalence of smoking but will rather put retailers in Ireland and across the EU under severe pressure in a time of difficult economic conditions. We believe that such legislation will directly contribute to an increase in the illicit tobacco trade and will place our industry under significant pressure. Moreover, there is evidence from other countries where similar measures have been proposed that raises questions about the effectiveness and legality of generic packaging. There is much evidence from Ireland to suggest that increased government tobacco legislation has led to an increase on tobacco smuggling. Since 2009, there have been over a quarter of a billion cigarettes seized recovering €85 million of lost tax revenue. In the same year legitimate sales have taken a 5% hit, with one store owner from the Dublin area reporting his turnover had plummeted from €30,000 a week to €17,000 in the past 12 months. Crime gangs in Ireland including dissident terrorist groups such as the Real IRA have been identified by customs as being the largest smugglers of tobacco, using routes formerly used by the Provisional IRA across Europe and it is now estimated that a quarter of all cigarettes smoked in Ireland are illegal. In March of 2010, dissident Republican gangs were linked to a shipment of €30 million smuggled cigarettes intercepted by Customs officers. The authorities now believe that republicans are working with ordinary criminals to source and distribute illegal cigarettes all over the country. In 2009, the UK Minister for State (Public Health) acknowledged that there have been no studies undertaken to show that plain packaging of tobacco products would cut the smoking uptake of young people or enable those who want to quit to do so. In February of 2010 the Department of Health indicated that until further information was known about the consequences of generic packaging, with particular regards to its effectiveness in reducing smoking rates and the legality of such legislation, no plain packaging legislation would be introduced. In addition, evidence from Lithuania reveals that the generic packaging of tobacco products may not face a number of legal challenges where it to be introduced across the EU. Following the proposal of generic packaging legislation by an MP in January 2010, it was found following a parliamentary legal affairs committee that the proposals would most likely contradict the Lithuanian constitution, its obligations under Article 10(2)(3)(1) of the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property, and the free movement of goods under Article 34 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union. We would urge the European Commission to delay the introduction of generic tobacco packaging legislation until more is known of the consequences of such legislation.

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rebecca Laher

**email**

ireland

**country**

19

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

We do not agree with the problem definition. Evidence shows that in countries where point of sale display bans have been introduced to date they have simply not been effective in reducing the children to the impact of tobacco products or of reducing the long-term levels of smoking. Indeed in Ireland a similar ban has led to a dramatic increase in the illicit tobacco trade. This is a huge problem nationally and last year it took over €500 million from the Irish exchequer and is on course to exceed this amount in 2010. Since the introduction of the Point of Sale Display Ban, there have been over a quarter of a billion cigarettes seized in Ireland recovering €85 million of lost tax revenue. The number of cigarettes seized in Ireland since the introduction of the Point of Sale Display ban in July 2009 is just less than the combined 305 million cigarettes seized for 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008.

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

Evidence from other jurisdictions where generic tobacco packaging legislation has been introduced or proposed has shown it not to be effective. In 2009, the UK Minister for State (Public Health) acknowledged that there have been no studies undertaken to show that plain packaging of tobacco products would cut the smoking uptake of young people or enable those who want to quit to do so. Furthermore, in March 2010, the Lithuanian parliament rejected a plain packaging proposal, considering it to be contrary to fundamental principles embodied in the Lithuanian Constitution. We would urge the European Commission to reconsider introducing generic tobacco packaging legislation until more is known of the consequences of such legislation.

**additional option**

It is our contention that the introduction of generic packaging will not lead to a reduction in the prevalence of smoking but will rather put retailers in Ireland and across the EU under severe pressure in a time of difficult economic conditions. We believe that such legislation will directly contribute to an increase in the illicit tobacco trade and will place our industry under significant pressure. Moreover, there is evidence from other countries where similar

measures have been proposed that raises questions about the effectiveness and legality of generic packaging. There is much evidence from Ireland to suggest that increased government tobacco legislation has led to an increase on tobacco smuggling. Since 2009, there have been over a quarter of a billion cigarettes seized recovering €85 million of lost tax revenue. In the same year legitimate sales have taken a 5% hit, with one store owner from the Dublin area reporting his turnover had plummeted from €30,000 a week to €17,000 in the past 12 months. Crime gangs in Ireland including dissident terrorist groups such as the Real IRA have been identified by customs as being the largest smugglers of tobacco, using routes formerly used by the Provisional IRA across Europe and it is now estimated that a quarter of all cigarettes smoked in Ireland are illegal. In March of 2010, dissident Republican gangs were linked to a shipment of €30 million smuggled cigarettes intercepted by Customs officers. The authorities now believe that republicans are working with ordinary criminals to source and distribute illegal cigarettes all over the country. In 2009, the UK Minister for State (Public Health) acknowledged that there have been no studies undertaken to show that plain packaging of tobacco products would cut the smoking uptake of young people or enable those who want to quit to do so. In February of 2010 the Department of Health indicated that until further information was known about the consequences of generic packaging, with particular regards to its effectiveness in reducing smoking rates and the legality of such legislation, no plain packaging legislation would be introduced. In addition, evidence from Lithuania reveals that the generic packaging of tobacco products may not face a number of legal challenges where it to be introduced across the EU. Following the proposal of generic packaging legislation by an MP in January 2010, it was found following a parliamentary legal affairs committee that the proposals would most likely contradict the Lithuanian constitution, its obligations under Article 10(2)(3)(1) of the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property, and the free movement of goods under Article 34 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union. We would urge the European Commission to delay the introduction of generic tobacco packaging legislation until more is known of the consequences of such legislation.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

ΑΝΔΡΟΥΛΙΔΑΚΗΣ ΑΝΤΡΕΑΣ ΔΗΜΟΣΙΟΓΡΑΦΟΣ

##### **email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

##### **additional comments**

No

##### **questions consumer**

##### **problem definition**

ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Frank Savio

**email**

ireland

**country**

27

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

We do not agree with the problem definition. In the countries where a display of tobacco products ban has been introduced the legislation has not been effective. Since the introduction of the point of sale display ban in Ireland in 2009, there is much evidence to point to an increase in the illicit tobacco trade. There have been over a quarter of a billion cigarettes seized in Ireland recovering €85 million of lost tax revenue. The number of cigarettes seized in Ireland since the introduction of the Point of Sale Display ban in July 2009 is just less than the combined 305 million cigarettes seized for 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008. It is estimated that €700 million will be lost to the exchequer in 2010 as a result of the illicit tobacco trade.

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

Evidence from other jurisdictions where generic tobacco packaging legislation has been introduced or proposed has shown it not to be effective. In 2009, the UK Minister for State (Public Health) acknowledged that there have been no studies undertaken to show that plain packaging of tobacco products would cut the smoking uptake of young people or enable those who want to quit to do so. Furthermore, in March 2010, the Lithuanian parliament rejected a plain packaging proposal, considering it to be contrary to fundamental principles embodied in the Lithuanian Constitution. We would urge the European Commission to reconsider introducing generic tobacco packaging legislation until more is known of the consequences of such legislation.

**additional option**

It is our contention that the introduction of generic packaging will not lead to a reduction in

the prevalence of smoking but will rather put retailers in Ireland and across the EU under severe pressure in a time of difficult economic conditions. We believe that such legislation will directly contribute to an increase in the illicit tobacco trade and will place our industry under significant pressure. Moreover, there is evidence from other countries where similar measures have been proposed that raises questions about the effectiveness and legality of generic packaging. There is much evidence from Ireland to suggest that increased government tobacco legislation has led to an increase on tobacco smuggling. Since 2009, there have been over a quarter of a billion cigarettes seized recovering €85 million of lost tax revenue. In the same year legitimate sales have taken a 5% hit, with one store owner from the Dublin area reporting his turnover had plummeted from €30,000 a week to €17,000 in the past 12 months. Crime gangs in Ireland including dissident terrorist groups such as the Real IRA have been identified by customs as being the largest smugglers of tobacco, using routes formerly used by the Provisional IRA across Europe and it is now estimated that a quarter of all cigarettes smoked in Ireland are illegal. In March of 2010, dissident Republican gangs were linked to a shipment of €30 million smuggled cigarettes intercepted by Customs officers. The authorities now believe that republicans are working with ordinary criminals to source and distribute illegal cigarettes all over the country. In 2009, the UK Minister for State (Public Health) acknowledged that there have been no studies undertaken to show that plain packaging of tobacco products would cut the smoking uptake of young people or enable those who want to quit to do so. In February of 2010 the Department of Health indicated that until further information was known about the consequences of generic packaging, with particular regards to its effectiveness in reducing smoking rates and the legality of such legislation, no plain packaging legislation would be introduced. In addition, evidence from Lithuania reveals that the generic packaging of tobacco products may not face a number of legal challenges where it to be introduced across the EU. Following the proposal of generic packaging legislation by an MP in January 2010, it was found following a parliamentary legal affairs committee that the proposals would most likely contradict the Lithuanian constitution, its obligations under Article 10(2)(3)(1) of the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property, and the free movement of goods under Article 34 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union. We would urge the European Commission to delay the introduction of generic tobacco packaging legislation until more is known of the consequences of such legislation.

---

### **Citizen submission**

#### **identification**

##### **affiliation**

citizen

##### **name**

Yves Habscheid

##### **email**

Luxembourg

##### **additional comments**

No

##### **questions consumer**

**problem definition**

no, i do not agree

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gerald McEleran

**email**

ireland

**country**

52

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

We do not agree with the problem definition. In the countries where a point of sale display ban has been introduced to date there has been a clear failure in making a positive impact on smoking prevalence. In Ireland, where a tobacco product display ban was introduced in 2009 there has been a huge increase in the illicit tobacco trade. The year before the introduction of the point of sale display ban customs officials and Gardaí seized a total of 135,243,859 cigarettes with an estimated retail value of €49,228,765. End of year totals from 2009 revealed how these figures ballooned to a total of 218,532,960 cigarettes seized with an estimated retail value of €92,057,009.

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

Evidence from other jurisdictions where generic tobacco packaging legislation has been introduced or proposed has shown it not to be effective. In 2009, the UK Minister for State (Public Health) acknowledged that there have been no studies undertaken to show that plain packaging of tobacco products would cut the smoking uptake of young people or enable those who want to quit to do so. Furthermore, in March 2010, the Lithuanian parliament rejected a plain packaging proposal, considering it to be contrary to fundamental principles embodied in the Lithuanian Constitution. We would urge the European Commission to reconsider introducing generic tobacco packaging legislation until more is known of the consequences of such legislation.

**additional option**

It is our contention that the introduction of generic packaging will not lead to a reduction in the prevalence of smoking but will rather put retailers in Ireland and across the EU under severe pressure in a time of difficult economic conditions. We believe that such legislation will directly contribute to an increase in the illicit tobacco trade and will place our industry under significant pressure. Moreover, there is evidence from other countries where similar measures have been proposed that raises questions about the effectiveness and legality of generic packaging. There is much evidence from Ireland to suggest that increased government tobacco legislation has led to an increase on tobacco smuggling. Since 2009, there have been over a quarter of a billion cigarettes seized recovering €85 million of lost tax revenue. In the same year legitimate sales have taken a 5% hit, with one store owner from the Dublin area reporting his turnover had plummeted from €30,000 a week to €17,000 in the past 12 months. Crime gangs in Ireland including dissident terrorist groups such as the Real IRA have been identified by customs as being the largest smugglers of tobacco, using routes formerly used by the Provisional IRA across Europe and it is now estimated that a quarter of all cigarettes smoked in Ireland are illegal. In March of 2010, dissident Republican gangs were linked to a shipment of €30 million smuggled cigarettes intercepted by Customs officers. The authorities now believe that republicans are working with ordinary criminals to source and distribute illegal cigarettes all over the country. In 2009, the UK Minister for State (Public Health) acknowledged that there have been no studies undertaken to show that plain packaging of tobacco products would cut the smoking uptake of young people or enable those who want to quit to do so. In February of 2010 the Department of Health indicated that until further information was known about the consequences of generic packaging, with particular regards to its effectiveness in reducing smoking rates and the legality of such legislation, no plain packaging legislation would be introduced. In addition, evidence from Lithuania reveals that the generic packaging of tobacco products may not face a number of legal challenges where it to be introduced across the EU. Following the proposal of generic packaging legislation by an MP in January 2010, it was found following a parliamentary legal affairs committee that the proposals would most likely contradict the Lithuanian constitution, its obligations under Article 10(2)(3)(1) of the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property, and the free movement of goods under Article 34 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union. We would urge the European Commission to delay the introduction of generic tobacco packaging legislation until more is known of the consequences of such legislation.

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Johann Karls

**email**

Germany

**age**



male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Wir möchten nicht den Einzelhandel vernichten und damit Schmuggel und Schwarzmarkt unterstützen

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΖΑΧΑΡΟΠΟΥΛΟΣ ΧΡΗΣΤΟΣ ΙΔΙΩΤΙΚΗ ΥΠΑΛΛΗΛΟΣ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Samir Desai

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΠΕΤΡΙΔΗΣ ΛΙΒΕΡΙΟΣ ΕΚΔΟΤΗΣ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

L Urquhart

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Deepa Bairsandram

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

B R Nagaraju

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manisha Dasa

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gaurav Singla

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ali

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nadeem Osman

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

V Sunny

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΖΑΧΑΡΟΠΟΥΛΟΣ ΧΡΗΣΤΟΣ ΙΔΙΩΤΙΚΟΣ ΥΠΑΛΛΗΛΟΣ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

KANENA ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Asif Syed

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

J Rigley



**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
F Patel

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Syed Ali Jaffry Razwi

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Irfan Shaikh

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Venu Sunny

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rashan Zubi

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Graham Pullen

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Craig Oliver Errington

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alison Morley

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

B Sai Kiran

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tariq Mehmood

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sidhi Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-

smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Teri Robinson

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marika Thatcher



**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Alison Williamson

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Janet Aspinall

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claire Gee

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Aboobekes Sidhik

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ΒΑΓΓΕΛΗΣ ΕΝΕΑΣ

**email**

ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tobias Schydrowski

**email**

Deutschland

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Eine Regulierung sollte stets auf Basis wissenschaftlicher Erkenntnisse erfolgen. Die Problembeschreibung enthält lediglich vage Einschätzungen.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IOANNIS FISENTZIDIS

**email**  
greece

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
the definition is flawed as it doesn't identify in a straightforward way a problem, in any of the areas it mentions

**explanations**  
No change

**which improvement**  
no

**additional option**  
no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
ΒΙΝΤΙΑΔΗ ΒΙΡΓΙΝΙΑ ΟΙΚΟΝΟΜΟΛΟΓΟΣ

**email**  
ΕΛΛΑΔΑ

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
ΚΑΝΕΝΑ ΣΧΟΛΙΟ

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

hugo ribeiro

**email**

portugal

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

-there is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a positive effect on consumers' health. -The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of a product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product that he or she chooses to consume. -With a generic package, consumers have much more difficulty in identify ad reject counterfeit tobacco products -Generic package makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much more easy. one can predict negative consequences for human health,inherent lack lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

IOANNIS FISENTZIDIS

**email**

GREECE

**country**

60

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

consumer information' but is only dealing with packaging

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

NO

**additional option**

NO

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SARL PELA - M. BORELLA

**email**

luxembourg

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

je ne suis pas d'accord

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralul Joshi

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

I Alimahawen

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---



## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Urmila Patel

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Dilan Patel

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dilip Kumar Passi

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr K Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kamaldeep Kaur Sandhu

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr Jay Sutaria

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nayan Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Suresh Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rajinder Kumar

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

K Karavadra

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr Ajit Sida

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hitendra Gohel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sebastian Gill

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Yuswin Chauda

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

martine lyssens

**email**

belgie

**country**

52

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Raj Limbachiya

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

XENIA FISENTZIDOU

**email**

GREECE

**country**

31

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
the definition is unclear as it is titled 'consumer information' but is only dealing with packaging

**explanations**  
No change

**which improvement**  
NO

**additional option**  
NO

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Ramesh Rajshakma

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ayub Lacki

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mick Parkinson

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Randip Bath

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

S Lidar

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

P Liddar

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ramesh Radia

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mrs P Khalifa

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr J Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

H Singh

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Taj Singh

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-

smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Efi Charitopoulou

**email**

Greece

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The definition is unclear as it is titled 'consumer information' but is only dealing with packaging.

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

No

**additional option**

No

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jesuina da Conceição Fernandes Crispim Caetano

**email**

Portugal

**country**

65

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PALEOLOGOS KONSTANTINOS

**email**

Greece

**country**

32

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

no comment

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

no

**additional option**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sandeep Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bernd Schwarz

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

59

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Bei neutralen Packungen wird die Schmuggelgefahr und damit auch die Gefahr, dass Konsumenten wesentlich gesundheitsschädlichere Produkte verwenden als offiziell hergestellte Zigaretten deutlich größer. Ausserdem sinkt das Steueraufkommen der Länder mit vergleichsweise hohen Preisen für Tabakprodukte.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ashim Khanma

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain

packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tejpal Chana

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jay Dhanoa

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

H Degnun

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Kandiah Vijayakunan

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Parminder Dhaliwal

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by



way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sean Irwin

**email**

Ireland

**country**

18

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Generic packaging has not and will not work to reduce the incidence of smoking. There is no evidence that it does so there is no benefit to introducing it.

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

A number of programmes should be supported - youth prevention; cessation; education; retailer education; minimum age enforcement

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Balwinder Dhalwal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gaurave Sood

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce

retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexandra Loukopoulou

**email**

Greece

**country**

33

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

no comment

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

no

**additional option**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jay Sood

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kandasamy Manimaran

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Steven Bates

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Harry Sandhu

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

A Singh

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paleologos Michail

**email**

Greece

**country**

34

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

no comment

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

no

**additional option**

no

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr P Khurana

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ali Khan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Paramjit Dhingra

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manjit Vohra

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ekanayake M M Sasanapala

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Javinder Bajaj

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sooriya

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kevin O'Reilly

**email**

Ireland

**country**

46

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

I do not believe that generic packaing works, nor has it been proven to work.

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

Better options to reduce the incidence of smoking are youth prevention programmes; education; retailer education programmes; cessation programmes

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NV Food and Wine

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally

sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mohamed Khan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

P Kundra

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
George A Macwan

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wasim Akram

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael O'Toole

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Harish Sharma

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**



citizen

**name**

Satha Sura

**email**

Uk

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D Sanghera

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

J P Deepak

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kulvir Singh

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexiadou Aglaia

**email**

Greece

**country**

32

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The definition is unclear

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

No

**additional option**

No

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Raymond Zeyen

**email**

Luxembourg

**country**

40

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Pas d'accord

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

carlos gallardo ortega

**email**

spain

**country**

40

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

la información actual es suficiente.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ilias Kyriakopoulos

**email**

greece

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

no comment

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VICTOR NISA JIMENEZ

**email**

Spain

**country**

28

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

I think that the problem definition is not clearly defined. For me, the harmful of the tobacco is well-known by people. Information about the tar, and nicotine levels are clearly exposed, and in my opinion it's true that with a minimum level of tar&nicotine the cigarette will be less harmful. These doesn't means that cigarettes are safe. In the other hand, I think that people have to be free for choose if they want to smoke or not. Thanks for your attention.

**explanations**  
Improve consumer information

**which option**  
Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

**which improvement**  
No apply

**additional option**  
No apply

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Luisa Alexandra dos Prazeres António

**email**  
Portugal

**country**  
39

**age**  
female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Florinda Valéria dos Prazeres Amorim

**email**

Portugal

**country**

35

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health,

inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

monica

**email**

españa

**country**

36

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**explanations**

Improve consumer information

**which option**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Elvira Dapper

**email**

Deutschland



**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Benedet Maryse

**email**

Belgique

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

je ne suis pas d'accord

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Verroken Frederic

**email**

Belgie

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Ik ga niet akkoord

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexandra Neef

**email**

Deutschland

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Es existiert ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht - die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontraproduktiv. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweise auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür gibt es bisher keine Nachweise aus Ländern, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paul De Winne

**email**

Belgium

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No change

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Willy Boddaert

**email**

Belgium

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Ik ga niet akkoord

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Willy Boddaert

**email**

Belgium

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Ik ga niet akkoord

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans-Jürgen Gerdel

**email**

Germany

**country**

36

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

kann mich mit dieser art der problemstellung nicht identifizieren, da man mündigen bürgern in einer demokratischen gesellschaft jede art der eigenentfaltung nimmt. wenn ein mündiger bürger tabak konsumieren will dann sollte man ihm auch die möglichkeit geben. ob abschreckende bilder auf zigarettenpackungen, die leute vom kauf abhalten wage ich zu bezweifeln, sehe da eher das problem das wenn diese öffentlich in regalen stehen, sogar psychosomatische störungen bei kindern hervorrufen könnten. einheitspackungen widersprechen meines erachtens nach gegen die wettbewerbsfreiheit

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Willy Boddaert

#### **email**

Belgium

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

Ik ga niet akkoord

### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Ilse Van den Broeck

#### **email**

Belgium

#### **country**

30

#### **age**

female

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

I do not agree!

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stevan Miric

**email**

Greece

**country**

41

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

I do not see benefits

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Antoine De Pape

**email**

Belgium

**country**

63

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

i do not agree with this

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Annie Alliët

**email**

België

**country**

64

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

i do not agree

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ivo Van den Broeck

**email**  
Belgium

**country**  
64

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Ik ga niet akkoord!

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
De Pape Ann

**email**  
België

**country**  
32

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
ik ga niet akkoord

**explanations**  
No change



---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Glenn Van den Broeck

**email**

Belgium

**country**

34

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Ik ga niet akkoord

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Guido Bruggeman

**email**

The Netherlands

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Ik ga niet akkoord

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Edeltraud Stapf

**email**

Germany

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweise auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür gibt es bisher keine Nachweise aus Ländern, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

pasquon philippe

**email**

france

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

je ne suis pas d'accord

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrick Fauquet

**email**

Belgium

**country**

49

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Je ne suis pas d' accord

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PERAT F.

**email**

Belgique

**country**

40

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

It is pure hypocrysis: if it so dangerous, forbid it!

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

GARNIE SYLVIE

**email**

LUXEMBOURG

**country**

43

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

JE NE SUIS PAS DU TOUT D'ACCORD

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oscar Fuster

**email**

España

**country**

42

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Entiendo que no es un problema

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joe Püringer

**email**

AT

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The scope of the directive is too narrow. The directive must provide for a general ban of

smoking in public environments, including in particular restaurants, bars, and similar places.

**explanations**

Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which option**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

**which improvement**

The scope of the directive is too narrow. The directive must provide for a general ban of smoking in public environments, including in particular restaurants, bars, and similar places.

**additional option**

The scope of the directive is too narrow. The directive must provide for a general ban of smoking in public environments, including in particular restaurants, bars, and similar places.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nöll Günter

**email**

Austria

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**explanations**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

fuvel anne marie

**email**  
france

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
i do not agree that s all §

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
LIJCOPS

**email**  
BELGIUM

**country**  
33

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Je ne suis pas d'accord.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Enzo Knab

**email**

Austria

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

NEIN

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Enzo Knab

**email**

Austria

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**



**problem definition**

NEIN

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Enzo Knab

**email**

Austria

**country**

25

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

NEIN

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ALBERT MARTINEZ NAVARRO

**email**

Espanya

**country**

49

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Se esta incriminando al fumador de una manera bestial.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
edith esser

**email**  
deutschland

**country**  
54

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
irrsinnig

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dominique De Bruille

**email**

Belgium

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

I do not agree.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

MARIA DEL VALLE ALONSO ROMERO

**email**

ESPAÑA

**country**

33

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

PORQUE ATENTA CONTRA LA LIBERTAD Y LOS DERECHOS DE LOS FUMADORES/AS

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Beate Gossmann

**email**

Austria

**country**

30

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Audoor Geert

**email**

Belgium

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Ik ga niet akkoord

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Claudia Schön

#### **email**

Deutschland

#### **country**

39

#### **age**

female

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

Erwiesenermassen haben sich Veränderungen im Packungsbild (neutral oder abschreckende Hinweise) in anderen Ländern nicht auf den Konsum oder den Erwerb von Tabakprodukten ausgewirkt.

### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Carsten Kuhlebrock

#### **email**

Deutschland

#### **country**

28

#### **age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Durch die Einführung von neutralen Packungen würde sich künftiger Wettbewerb nur noch über den Preis gestalten. Dass würde zu sinkenden Preisen führen. im Sinne von Steuereinnahmen und Jugendschutz wäre dass fatal:

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

walrant

**email**

belgium

**country**

45

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No change

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marek Przybylo

**email**

Poland

**country**

28

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

This whole point treats adult consumers of ciagrettes like 5 years old children, who doesn't have free will, are mindless and will not buy something only because it has same pacakage.

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

Mc Donald's was also proved to be unhealthy, but somehow EU doesn't put any bans on it! Ok, I agree: cigarettes are leathal, but stop treating adult smokers like children, that doesn't have free will to not smoke or quit. Why everything has to be "regulated" and "stick" approach is being used instead of "carrot" one?

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Muhammad R Ammaz

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally

sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Steven Daniel Kelly

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Khurram Aziz



**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Jasbir Kaur Lally

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Muhammad Saqib

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Harvey Menzies

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ashraf Ali

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Muhammad Aslam

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bashir Ahmad

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bread N Butter

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Atif Hanif

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D McGarva

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Khalid Rasul

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Shamshal Zegihan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-

smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bashir Ahmad

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Usman Mohammed



**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Junior Thomas Carmichael

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Yasar Mumtaz

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

U Sultan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Donald McElwee

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mohammad Rafi

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mir Khan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Asim Mujeeb

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jamie Anderson

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Atif Ahmed

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Afshan Khan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Abran Yasin

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce

retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Haroon Jabbar

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mandeep Singh

**email**

UK



**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

A Conner

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Shabir Ahmed

#### **email**

UK

#### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Anthony Barry Deavy

#### **email**

UK

#### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mohammed Tahir

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zafar Shah

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Muhammad Asif Pervaiz

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Syed Azmi Waqar

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

M Shahzad Hussain

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Khana Kun

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Chris McKinney

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Emma McDonnell

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tahir Anwar

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Huyghe William

**email**

Belgium



**country**

36

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Je ne suis pas d'accord

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

I Ahmed

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Navrattan Kumar

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
G Singh

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain

packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

John H Renwick

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

John H Renwick

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

John H Renwick

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Mr Jaspal Singh

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Attiya Mahmood

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Aneeb

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mohammed Mortaza

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jazz Gahir

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mohammed Akram

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Aftab Ameen

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zulfiqar Ali

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zulfiqar Ali

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Imran Aslam

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

H Singh

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vishal Sarna

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D McGarva

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paul Cummiskey

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Salman Afzal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-

smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Usman Afzal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

olau

**email**

germany

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

No Change

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Imran Mohammed

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lorrain McEwan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr M Rana

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know



and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Aamir Ali

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Janet Golding

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

S Dhillon

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Modeen Hussain

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Mohammad Skandar Saddiq

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain

packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

M J Khalid

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rana Mohammed Yasin

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Naeem Shaukat

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Mazher Rasheid

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

William Taggart

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Amar Arshad

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Raheel Naveed

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zeeshan Ahmed

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.



**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hussen Monghal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mustafr Ahmed

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Harvinder Singh

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kulninder Singh

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nadeem Shankat

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tanveer Babar

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Waqar Ali

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Macsood Malik

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zahid Mohammed

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Shazad

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-

smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Omar Hanif

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr Mohammed Anwar

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Kashif Ashraf

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change



---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael P Coyle

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Omar Hanif

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Amir Iqbal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sadam Sardar

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

John Crawley

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Osman Hussain

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Annette Hein-Rablbauer

**email**

Germany

**country**

39

**age**  
female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

I do not want a change in cigarette consuming, selling, advertising. There are depending a lot of employment taxes wich would be lost. Do we need more recession here? I do not think so. I prefer the EU for production, Dienstleistung. Not the Drittländer. I have 3 children and want to stay with them here in Germany/Eu. I will not go to other countries to find a job / future for them.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Suki Deo

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Edith Smyth

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Jaswinder Lalli

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robert Mitchell

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mohammed Aslam

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Linda Porter

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.



**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ms M Sambhi

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexander Watson

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

S Afzal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karen Hartness

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Linda Penrose

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Arif Shaukat

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

S S Bhogal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ian Yuille Macintyre

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Margaret Macgee

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Farhan Tariq

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-

smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Shahzad Anjum

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jeanette Sinclair

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Alan Churchill

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change



---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ann Mallaghan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marie-Luise Chwalek

**email**

German

**country**

51

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

I do not want a change in consuming cigarettes and buying

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manpreed Sohal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mustafa Asim

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Umair Tanveer

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kershena Dhinsa

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kieran Dhinsa

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Raghubir Dhinsa

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tracey Dhinsa

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lorraine Nixon

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daman Kaur

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wolfgang Emig

**email**

Germany

**country**

47

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Ich wünsche keine Änderung im Zigarettenhandel und Konsum

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wajid Saleem

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Margaret Haddow

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jave Iqbal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain

packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anas Rana

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephen J Higgins

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lisa Bell

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Sandeep Gillbai

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Lubna Hussain

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paul Shergill

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Steven Singh

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Banarsi Gindha

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Usman Mehmood

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hugh McMahan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Amer Younis

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**



citizen

**name**

Brian Macaulay

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Linda McCulloch

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Amarjit K Atwal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wahab Addin

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jagtar Singh Lalli

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Harpinder Rai

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Simon Hannah

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-

smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Annette Hein

**email**

Germany

**country**

39

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Ich bin der Überzeugung das durch diese Gesetzesänderung nur der Einzelhandel vernichtet wird, geraucht wird dadurch nicht weniger. Die Zigaretten werden dann aus Drittländern illegal importiert. Davon wird wohl gar kein EU-Land an Steuermehreinnahmen profitieren. Mein Mann arbeitet in einer Druckerei für Zigarettenverpackungen. Diese drucken jetzt schon mehr Verpackungen nach Rußland als in EU-Länder. Wollen Sie den Schwarzhandel noch mehr fördern?

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

Die Schadstoffe aus den Mixturen weglassen. Wer rauchen will darf rauchen sofern Erwachsene. Was machen Sie mit den Trinkern, Ich glaube die gefährden uns mehr. Getränke darf jeder überall bekommen und kostet sicher mehr in der Behebung der Schäden.

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

John Simpson

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Chris McDonald

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Eileen Fitzsimons

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrick James McKee

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anne McLaughlin

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.



**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vivienne McBurney

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anthony Carson

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Colin Hawthorne

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Declan Dowie

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fergal De Paor

**email**

Ireland

**country**

33

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**explanations**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Youth prevention programmes; minimum age enforcement; education; support for smokers who are trying to quit

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Emma Martin

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Laura Dixon

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anita Redmond

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kathleen McCaffrey

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nathan Smyth

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain

packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Barbara Hatton

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fergal De Paor

**email**

Ireland

**country**

33

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**explanations**

Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which improvement**

Youth prevention programmes; minimum age enforcement; education; support for smokers who are trying to quit

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Brighdin Gilroy

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

I do not believe that people start to smoke or continue to smoke because they see tobacco products on display in shops. Displays enable adult smokers to make an informed decision about which products they wish to buy and at what price. Product displays in general are essential to the fast, efficient and secure operation of a shop. My government is planning to regulate tobacco displays; I have disagreed with its proposals and I particularly object to the European Union adding a further regulatory burden on top of potential local laws which create additional statutory offences for our businesses.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kiera Bradley

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Terry Bradley

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-

smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Terry Bradley

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Indre Letautiene

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Louise Litter

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Padraig McGlinchey

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marie Huey

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

J McCarter

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Patrice Tiffney

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Conor McStravick

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Noeleen Watt

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Seamus McKibben

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ciaran Anthony O'Neill

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Barbara McCammon

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Garrett O'Reilly

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce

retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Angela O'Reilly

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

John O'Reilly

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bronagh McLarnon

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Claire Rodgers

#### **email**

UK

#### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Mary O'Brien

#### **email**

UK

#### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maureen Cleaves

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Barbara Hatton

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Angela O'Reilly

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pauline Carson

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Thomas

**email**

Austria

**country**

39

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
no comment

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Allister Carson

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen



**name**

Niamh Carson

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Orlagh Carson

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know

and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maryrose Webster

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sarah Jones

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Huyghe Michel

**email**

Belgique

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Je ne suis pas d'accord

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Cormac Verney

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Brighdin Gilroy

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kevin O'Reilly

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Wasim Azam

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

F Alam

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

M Dharfique

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Adrian Decks-Uden

**email**

uk

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

S Ansan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Suppiah Siuqlingam

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Veneisha Miller

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sulman Khorshid

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mehboob Khan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce

retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Klaus Dieter Burghartz

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

58

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jose Paulo Goncalves Delgado

**email**

Portugal

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Shahid Khan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kirit Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ravinder Vird

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ben Errington

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tiago Miguel Pinheiro

**email**

Portugal

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

- Não há evidências de que as embalagens genéricas diminuam o consumo de produtos de tabaco, nem que por essa via produzam melhores efeitos na saúde do consumidor. - O fumador tem o direito de poder diferenciar com facilidade a marca de produto que prefere consumir, bem como tem o direito de obter na embalagem informações sobre o produto que pretende escolher para consumir. - Com as embalagens genéricas, o consumidor terá muito mais dificuldade em identificar e rejeitar os produtos de tabaco contrafeitos. - A embalagens genéricas tornam mais fáceis todos os processos de contrafacção e de falsificação dos produtos. São previsíveis consequências negativas para a saúde humana, inerentes à ausência de controlo higiénico e sanitário dos processos produtivos dos produtos de tabaco contrafeitos, com o aumento provável e sem controlo da nocividade para a saúde humana aquando do respectivo consumo.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Partheeban Sothirajah

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Harish Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Makesh Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jorge Miguel Barros Lourenço

**email**

Portugal

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

- Não há evidências de que as embalagens genéricas diminuam o consumo de produtos de tabaco, nem que por essa via produzam melhores efeitos na saúde do consumidor. - O fumador tem o direito de poder diferenciar com facilidade a marca de produto que prefere consumir, bem como tem o direito de obter na embalagem informações sobre o produto que pretende escolher para consumir. - Com as embalagens genéricas, o consumidor terá muito

mais dificuldade em identificar e rejeitar os produtos de tabaco contrafeitos. - A embalagens genéricas tornam mais fáceis todos os processos de contrafacção e de falsificação dos produtos. São previsíveis consequências negativas para a saúde humana, inerentes à ausência de controlo higiénico e sanitário dos processos produtivos dos produtos de tabaco contrafeitos, com o aumento provável e sem controlo da nocividade para a saúde humana aquando do respectivo consumo.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Raj Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jigmesh

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Deepesh Khatri

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Shaiq Hakeem

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

M Babar

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jamil Babar

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jamil Babar

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Babar

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Babar

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Baban

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Baban

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nilesh Karamora

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

T Suthaharan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-

smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D Ramji

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hiten Vyas

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Ameer Aslan

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hinesh Morjaria

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Z Hozesbuz

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nicholas Graham

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Charlotte Graham

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

R Foster

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Teresa Kennedy

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mary Toal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

L McFerran

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lynn Ashe

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matthew Walker

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce

retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joanna Leonard

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sabrina Harte

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Chris Warwick

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Darren Fullerton

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

John McKeown

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mark Simpson

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Greg Leoni

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Verrill

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Yashiwant Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tilu Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

FAYE JOEL

**email**

Belgique

**country**

49

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Je ne suis pas d'accord

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Sorcha Mullis

**email**

Ireland

**country**

24

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Seeing the results it has had in Ireland, it has not resulted in a reduction in smokers and has led to an increase in illegal trade and a huge blow to tobacco retailers.

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

Stricter enforcement of minimum age; better help/facilities for smokers who want to quit

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mira Lakso

**email**

Finland

**country**

32

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

People get used to seeing large HWs. The effect of a larger warning lasts only for few months. As for the light colored packs, the Eurobarometer never asked about light colored packs, so the data is misinterpreted. According to that same study 80% of people do not see color affecting their perception of one brand being less harmful. The luxury element is not supported with any data in the problem definition. Overall plain packaging will lead to a loss of brand value and definately trademark infringements and increase of illicit trade. I wan't my pack to be a statement of who I am and what suits me and not generic cigarettes.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sorcha Mullis

**email**

Ireland

**country**

24

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

It would increase and even aid illegal trade

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

Stronger minimum age enforcement; better help for smokers who want to quit

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hannu Pekkarinen

**email**

Finland

**country**

49

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Reinstädtler, Helmut

**email**

Deutschland

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

-Risiken des Rauchens ist den Rauchern bewußt, das Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewußtsein nicht, sie wirken nur abstoßend. Es gibt auch keine Nachweise dafür, aus anderen Ländern in denen Bidwarnhinweise eingeführt wurden, das diese Bilder auf das Rauchverhalten einwirkt. -Zigaretten in neutrale Verpackungen zu verbannen erhöht den Zigarettenschmuggel und erleichtert den Produktfälschern die Arbeit. - Neutrale Verpackungen verstärkt das Preismarketing, sinkende Preise sind die Konsequenz, was in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend-und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen...) kontaproduktiv ist.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Muhammed Akhtar

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daljit Singh

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ann Whitehouse

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Iftekhar Ameer

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Chaudhari Imran Iqbal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr Dipak Brahmhatt

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Noreen Abid

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Culling

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Abid Ali

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nisssar Hussain

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce

retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jagjit D Rai

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rani K Rai

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mrs Kiran Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Mitesh Patel

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Sudeedi

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

M Nadrem

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mrs Bhajan K Bhandhal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr Ranjit S Bhandal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mohammed Saber

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Shaheela Kausar

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rachel Watchorn

**email**

Ireland

**country**

45

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Generic packaging does not work. There is no evidence that it has reduced the incidence of smoking.

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

Youth prevention programmes; minimum age enforcement; education; support for smokers who are trying to quit

---



**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

DOLORS MERINO CARBONELL

**email**

ESPAÑA

**country**

54

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

CREO QUE SE ESTA HACIENDO UN ACOSO Y DERRIBO AL FUMARDOR

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vitor Manuel Castanheira Marcelino

**email**

Portugal

**country**

38

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ulrich Witte

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

66

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

Als erwachsene Person, kann ich in einer Demokratie selbst entscheiden, wie ich mit meinem Körper umgehe

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

Fälschungen und Schmuggel sind Tür und Tor geöffnet

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Carlos Alberto Maciel Ferreira

**email**

Portugal

**country**

38

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Célia de Fátima Sardinha Crispim

**email**

Portugal

**country**

38

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

martine buyse

**email**

belgium

**country**

55

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

if a person wants to smoke he will

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

martine buyse

**email**

belgium

**country**

55

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

nothing will change if a person wants to smoke he will

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maria Cristina Pratas Cruz Crispim

**email**

Portugal

**country**

36

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

João António Gomes Caetano

**email**

Portugal

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Isabel Felicidade Canhão Sardinha

**email**

Portugal

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hans-Georg Burbat

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

58

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Werbung für legale Produkte erlaubt bleiben

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

António Sebastião Fernandes Crispim

**email**

Portugal

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**



**problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

João Carlos Sardinha Crispim

**email**

Portugal

**country**

334

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

- There is no evidence to confirm that generic packaging reduces the consumption of tobacco products, nor that it has a more positive effect on consumers' health. - The smoker has the right to be able to easily differentiate the brand of product they prefer to consume, and has the right to obtain information on the packaging on the product he/she chooses to consume. - With generic packaging, consumers have much more difficulty in identifying and rejecting counterfeit tobacco products. - Generic packaging makes the processing of counterfeit and fake products much easier. One can predict the negative consequences for human health, inherent lack of hygienic and sanitary control of production processes of counterfeit tobacco products, with the likely unmeasurable increase of harmfulness to human health at the time of its consumption.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Kleinblatt

**email**

Belgium

**country**

33

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No. There is no credible or scientific evidence which demonstrates this is a necessary step. This problem definition tries to cover too many options at once. Packaging provides consumers who wish to purchase products with information about the product they have chosen. Tobacco packaging has never been identified as a reason why children start to smoke or why adult smokers continue to choose to smoke. Plain packaging would lead to an increase in counterfeit products and illicit trade. It will have a negative impact on competition. Plain packaging expropriates the brand owners of valuable intellectual property. Pictorial health warnings make no additional contribution to the public awareness of the risks.

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

no

**additional option**

no

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Loes Frijters-Bongers

**email**

Netherlands

**country**

27

**age**

female

**additional comments**

Yes

**explanations**

Improve consumer information

**which option**

Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lucas Bleys

**email**

be

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

more or other info will not reduce smoking - there is no proof for this

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pieter Bleys

**email**

Be

**country**

31

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

it is not proven that consumers do not understand the current information on the pach. nor is it proven that other information will be understood better and will result in less smoking incidents

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

VICTOR MATTIOLI

**email**

LUXEMBOURG

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

JE NE SUIS PAS D'ACCORD

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kinnen Sophie

**email**

LU

**country**

42

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

i SIMPLY DO NOT AGREE

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

NO

**additional option**

NO

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andreas Vogt

**email**

germany

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht – die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen..) kontraproduktiv. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweise auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür gibt es bisher keine Nachweise aus Ländern, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Markus Burret

**email**

germany

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht – die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen

Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen..) kontraproduktiv. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweise auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür gibt es bisher keine Nachweise aus Ländern, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

José Luis

**email**

españa

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

El problema del tabaco y las enfermedades que se puedan adquirir por dicho hábito, no se va a remediar camuflando el producto, si tan dañino es para la salud lo razonable sería que se prohibiera su comercialización, todas las medidas que se quieran adoptar independientemente de estas yo las entiendo como un insulto a la inteligencia de la humanidad.

**explanations**

No change

**additional option**

Como comento en el punto anterior, si realmente el hábito de fumar es realmente un problema para la ciudadanía prohibamos su vente, el resto de medidas que se pretendan adoptar no tienen ningún sentido común.

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Henrique A Augusto Ribeiro da Cunha Pimenta Neto

**email**

Portugal

**country**

34

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

I don't agree that with images on the package we'll be able to reduce smoking. Is there any smoker that doesn't know that smoking is not good for health. This is nothing new.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jang Bemi

**email**

Luxembourg

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

I do not agree

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Ramiro Torres

**email**  
Germany

**country**  
31

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**explanations**  
Improve consumer information; Introduce generic or plain packaging

**which option**  
Picture warnings to become mandatory; Tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide levels to be replaced with general information on harmful substances in tobacco products; Information on harmful substances in tobacco products that cannot be placed on the package would be placed inside the package; Health warnings to be put on water pipes

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Otto Hintermüller

**email**  
Austria

**country**  
46

**age**  
male

**additional comments**  
Yes

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

Lassen Sie es so wie es jetzt ist , Option 1

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Katarzyna Szobol - Klosek

**email**

Poland

**country**

28

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

No Comments

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Miroslaw Jozwak

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

47

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

- Die Menschen heutzutage sind über die Risiken des Rauchens gut Informiert! Somit wären Bilder, die z.B. Krankheiten darstellen überflüssig, da die Menschen diese Bilder schon kennen. Diese Bilder sehen lediglich abstoßend aus ! - Wenn man neutrale Verpackungen hat, weiß man nicht mehr, ob man originale Zigaretten raucht, da es jedem möglich wäre diese zu fälschen! Somit könnte man Qualitativ schlechtere und ungesündere Zigaretten verkaufen, da es niemanden auffallen würde. - Ohne Werbung müssten die Firmen Zigaretten auf einen anderen Weg attraktiver machen! Dies wird dann wahrscheinlich mit einem Preiswettkampf gemacht. Dadurch werden Zigaretten immer billiger was zur folge hat, dass auch Jugendliche wieder die Finanziellen Möglichkeiten haben, diese zu kaufen!

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

dominique boyen

**email**

belgium

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

this tactic is not used for alcohol, medicins,.....

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dominique boyen

**email**

Belgium

**country**

46

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

pictures or white package is not used with product such as alcohol or other kind of soft drugs

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

peiffer christophe

**email**

belgium

**country**

31

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
Im not agree

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Pascale Feyereisen

**email**  
Luxembourg

**country**  
44

**age**  
female

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
I do not agree

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
EMILY FOURLARI

**email**  
GREECE

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

NO COMMENTS

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

TINA FOURLARI

**email**

Greece

**country**

21

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

not agree

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paolo

**email**

Spain

**additional comments**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gaby Guether\_Mucke

**email**

D

**country**

56

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Tabakwaren sind ein legales Genumittel

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anonym

**email**

Italy

**additional comments**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Daniela Günther

**email**

D

**country**

41

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Tabakwaren sind ein legales Genußmittel, dessen Konsum- genauso wie Alkokol und Süßigkeiten

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

J S Goodwill

**email**



UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

C Eastwood

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

S Amper

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Ann Rooker

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

K Git

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ranjit Sohal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lynn Harwood

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

P Lynkey

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pat Nambury

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ash Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

S Sohal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Keith Dyer

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sheila Thickett

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Samantha Dyer

**email**

UK



**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mrs D Patidar

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr H Patidar

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kevin Chapman

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-

smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Dipak Sokanki

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Shakil Ahmed

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Kamran Mohammed

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Shiv Singh

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

J Turner

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Amir Zia

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jayne Harvey

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr Solanki

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ravi Bhuma

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

M Javed Iqbal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Faheem Aslam

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ghalid Hussain

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ashvin Limbani

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce

retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Bashir Ahmed

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr Khan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

naveed Majeed

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

A Ghaleo

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Norman Mack

#### **email**

Uk

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paul Ousby

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Asad

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Asif Shahzad

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Changelle McDonald

**email**

Uk

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

S Rafiq

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mohammed Rashid

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Shams Ghafoor

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Manish Kathiriya

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

W Nichols

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Chris Nichols

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mark Wells

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alan Tebbs

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karen Jones

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-

smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Navi Bhullar

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Angel Gisela

**email**

Germany

**country**

53

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

if the packages look like all others you cannot sell as much as before.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Davinder Singh Vin

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

David Cureton

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

M Ali

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by



way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Abdool Azad Noorbaccus

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zahid Maroof

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D Atwal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rebecca Thornhill

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

S S Bains

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

B Askew

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Avis Dolan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alan Wright

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paul dann

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Newman

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rodney French

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

ELENI KOYNTOYRI

**email**

GREECE

**country**

31

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

NO COMENT

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pratibha Paleja

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain



packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Samantha Peck

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Cooper

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Christopher Ward

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Sharyn Greenfield

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Carole Hazell

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alison Rose

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Imran Khan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Khalid Hussein

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pat Hathway

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

M A Niaz

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Amara Khan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Susan Kay

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D Scott

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative



strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Susan Marston

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rachel Hall

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kiran Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Umang Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

K S Paul

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-

smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr David Nakra

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jodie Adams

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Harminder Sandhar

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

kritharis nick

**email**

greece

**country**

30

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

no comment

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D Thandi

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kevin Michael Fisher

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

V E Fisher

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

C Patrick

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.



**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

S Hussain

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

G Athwal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Visher Roy

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jason Thompson

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr S Sahota

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mana Singh

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hamid Malik

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zarrar Ahmed

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Larry Lee

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Shelly Bowers

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-

smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Chris Jamison

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Oliver Gibney

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
William McKeown

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change



---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lyndsey Richards

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Xenia Patterson

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sarah Allen

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Raymond Allen

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nadine Geddis

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sheridene Macintosh

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Miss Irene McChesney

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrew Floyd

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Karl Ross Hunter

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Adam Ritchie

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce

retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ethan Pollock

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joanne McLaughlin

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anna Eleftheriadou

**email**

Greece

**country**

26

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

no comment

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**



**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Steven Davies

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stuart Fetherston

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-

smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

P Tully-Farrell

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Devinea McKeown

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Louise Helen Smith

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Orla Toner

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Robert Millar

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Seanin Smith

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kiera McKinley

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anthony Loye

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claire Ellen Phillips

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paul mcArdle

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paul McKiverigan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**



**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

P H Brannigan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nicola Walker

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce

retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ryan Connolly

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mark Bell

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephen Stronge

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Ian Montgomery

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

James Neil Larmour

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Richard Leatham

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tony Johnston

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mark Ritchie

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

H S Dhamrait

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

S Akduman

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Inderpaul Singh Nizzer

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**



citizen

**name**

Ben Murcott

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Louise Murcott

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Simon Murcott

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Izral Maskeen

**email**

Uk

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Steven Capewell

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

S Hooley

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

D Pirabaharen

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-

smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lakhuir Dhiendsa

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Debbie Critcher

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Matthew Critcher

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kirsty Wheldon

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alison Wheldon

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Raminder Dill

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Parmuir Dhiendsa

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Fairsal Mansha

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Usman Rasib

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alyah Shah

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hamza Gondel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Asif Aktar

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Syeda Naqui

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce

retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ismail Butt

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Marya Savaid

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matthew Ward

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Imran Khan

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

N Nisa

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mike Moore

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**



Helen Green

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Becky Higgs

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nasir Shah

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Naghmana Nisa Shah

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hedar Shah

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kristian Quack

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

24

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Wenn die Zigarettenverpackungen neutral gestaltet werden, entfällt der der freie Wettbewerb der verschiedenen Tabakmarken. Dies wird sinkende Preise bedeuten. Dies wiederum ins gerade für den Jugendschutz nicht gut, da Zigaretten für Jugendliche wieder erschwinglich werden. Ich glaube, dass Bildwarnhinweise Raucher und Jugendliche nicht vom Rauchen abhalten. Teure Zigaretten jedoch macht es Jugendlichen schwieriger Zigaretten unet 18 Jahren zu kaufn, da sie über weniger Geld verfügen.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

PANAGIOTA GLASTRA

**email**

greece

**country**

30

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
no comment

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Syed Shah

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Rizwan Shah

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Khurram Shah

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

K Rai

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Z Khan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Aranjit Bassra

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen



**name**

James Slater

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kaiser Shah

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Colin Goodwin

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pravin Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vivienne Nuttall

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Saleem Oppal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nazar Guleud

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce

retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

S Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

P Ahmed

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

B Ahmed

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Minh Bui

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Mr Larib Arshid

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rizwan Hanif

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**



Suresh Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mash Kerai

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NASOS BEKIARIS

**email**

GREECE

**country**

28

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

NO COMMENT

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Masood Bhatti

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

robert ducoli

**email**

luxemburg

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

pas d'accord

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Paul Ashton

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
H Karjan

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

NASOS BEKIARIS

**email**

GREECE

**country**

28

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

NO COMMENT

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vijay Kerai

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Amit Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Rana Ali Raza

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Khadisa Magezi

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Younus motala

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zafar Islam

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Imran

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

SPIROS KONSTAS

**email**

GREECE

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

NO COMMENT

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ralf Hoppen

**email**

Deutschland

**country**

23

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**explanations**

No change; Introduce generic or plain packaging

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Pierrat Christophe

**email**

Luxembourg

**country**

38

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

Je m'y oppose

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CHARA DEPASTA

**email**

GREECE

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

NO COMMENT

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Waheed Sawal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

A Sultan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

CAPON

**email**

france

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Je ne suis pas d'accord

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mohsin Mir

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sam Burnett

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Roger Hill

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Peter Herbert

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Abdul Reza

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**



Michael Costa

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Malcolm Grant Dixon

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr V Sewpaul

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jay Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nimisha H Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hiren J patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lizzie Beland

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kevin Norman

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

David Gillson

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Chris Walker

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr Sithiravel Geevarasair

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Emma Garner

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-

smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kristian Jackson

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Prashan J Patel



**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
K C Patel

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Valentine

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Claire Medhurst

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Louis Murrain

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hamzah Bostan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ian Alexander Burne

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Richard James

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mohammed Zabair

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Gurdeep Singh

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Darren John Redmond

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Monu Miah

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce

retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

S Aftakhar

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

P Usaakaran

**email**

UK



**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Prasant Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

R G Bacon

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Nad's News

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

S Atwal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

John Brodie

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Muhammad Yaqub

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Muhammad Qasim

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Usman Hameed

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Anwar Ul Haq

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kooner

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Khalil Ahmad

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nasir Hamid

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Faisal Hussain

**email**

UK



**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Javed Iqbal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Sid Hussain

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

M Anis Raza

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-

smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael Adair

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

M Nasir

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Gordon Jack

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Faheem Bismal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mohammed Amin

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mohammed Shreyb Amin

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Arsan Shak

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mrs Elsa Sockalingum

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Joe Dhillon

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mohammed Nazir

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Peter Hamilton

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Owen Ward

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Shahistu Naveed

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce

retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Shahid Ghulam

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Salih Iseri

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Janette Iseri

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Muhammad Umer

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Uzair Ali

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Maburak Ali

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

E Denny

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Shahid Ghulam

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zahir Ahmed

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Faheem Bismal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No



**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Hareen Ahmad

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Iain Cameron

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Khaleel Manaf

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative

strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mohammed Qamak

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Babar Saleem

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification  
affiliation**

citizen

**name**

K Kooner

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kashif Din

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

U Tariq

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-

smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ross Mullen

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jose M. Masifern

**email**

Spain

**age**

male

**additional comments**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Khurram Afzal

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Arthur Ceara

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vaseem Ali

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.



**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Shazad Shariq

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Teresa Hill

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Julie Ann Davies

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr Shames Ul Haq

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

James Kennedy

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce

retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephen Donaghy

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Muhammad Chaudhry

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

R Singh

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

D Samunta

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Mr R Thaper

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Tanveer Kokab

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

M Arshad

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Omar Nasir

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.



**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nasreen Akram

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Matthew Slee

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Alexandra Anastasopoulou

**email**

Greece

**country**

29

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

the definition is unclear as it is titled 'consumer information' but is only dealing with packaging

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

no

**additional option**

no

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mukesh Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Andrew Davies

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Lee Evans

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Humran Janjua

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kyle Westall

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jacqueline Dickson

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Duncan Payne

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kim Walster

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ashley Winfield

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Michael S Garton

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce



retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Xavier

**email**

spain

**additional comments**

Yes

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Martin Bell

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce

retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Stephen Scott

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Kosmas Veliotis

**email**

Greece

**country**

34

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The definition is unclear as it is titled 'consumer information' but is only dealing with packaging.

**explanations**

No change

**which improvement**

No

**additional option**

No

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

emmanuelle

**email**

belgium

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

I do not agree

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Vanhalsberghe

**email**

Belgium

**country**

64

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Je ne suis pas d'accord

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nathalie Pelegrie

**email**

Belgium

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
I don't agree

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Van den Born Sabine

**email**  
België

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**  
ga niet akkoord

**explanations**  
No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification  
affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Alfonso Pérez Llorente

**email**  
Spain

**additional comments**  
Yes

**explanations**  
Introduce generic or plain packaging

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Werner Gräber

#### **email**

germany

#### **country**

74

#### **age**

male

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht – die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen..) kontraproduktiv. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweise auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür gibt es bisher keine Nachweise aus Ländern, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Christa Gräber

**email**

Germany

**country**

76

**age**

female

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Es existiert bereits ein allgemeines Bewusstsein der Risiken des Rauchens. Ein Hinzufügen von Bildern auf den Warnhinweisen erhöht dieses Bewusstsein nicht – die Bilder wirken lediglich überwiegend abstoßend ohne eine zusätzliche Information zu bieten. Durch die Umsetzung von neutralen Verpackungen wird Produktfälschern die Arbeit erleichtert und das Problem des Schmuggels weiter verschärft. Dies bedroht die Existenz des rein national tätigen Groß- und Einzelhandels in Deutschland. Über die Einführung von neutralen Verpackungen konzentriert sich der Wettbewerb verstärkt auf das Preis-Marketing. Sinkende Preise wären die Konsequenz. Dies ist in jeder Hinsicht (Jugend- und Gesundheitsschutz, Steuereinnahmen..) kontraproduktiv. Es wird pauschal unterstellt, dass die Einführung von Bildwarnhinweise auf das Rauchverhalten der Verbraucher einwirkt. Dafür gibt es bisher keine Nachweise aus Ländern, in denen Bildwarnhinweise bereits verpflichtend eingeführt wurden.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ravi Singh

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Jaspal Lamba

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen



**name**

Olumuyiwa Olanipekan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

N Meganathan

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know

and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

T Sree

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Ryan Mohamed

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Devendra Visana

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Mr S Tailor

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**  
No change

---

### **Citizen submission**

**identification**  
**affiliation**  
citizen

**name**  
Baboobhai Patel

**email**  
UK

**additional comments**  
No

**questions consumer**  
**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain

packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

P patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Nilesh Mehta

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

John Huscroft

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Mrs Shanti Visana

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

#### **explanations**

No change

---

## **Citizen submission**

### **identification**

#### **affiliation**

citizen

#### **name**

Pankaj Patel

#### **email**

UK

### **additional comments**

No

### **questions consumer**

#### **problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by

way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Payal Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**



Janson Bartiampillai

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

F Alkutubi

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Mr Mohammed Nadeem

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Arjan Gorasia

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer  
problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Muuss Michael

**email**

deutschland

**country**

43

**age**

male

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

Neutrale Verpackungen ist Kontraproduktiv.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Shahid Ghani

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission****identification****affiliation**

citizen

**name**

K Patel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer****problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know

and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---

**Citizen submission**

**identification**

**affiliation**

citizen

**name**

Zahid Fazel

**email**

UK

**additional comments**

No

**questions consumer**

**problem definition**

The health consequences of tobacco consumption are universally known and are disclosed by way of big lettering and/or graphic pictures on virtually every tobacco product that is legally sold on the UK market. Putting larger, more graphic pictures on the pack exposes non-smokers (including retailers and their staff) to offensive pictures on a daily basis. Plain packaging will not reduce smoking but will encourage downtrading and therefore reduce retailer margins. It will also encourage illicit trade. Smokers often want to know the relative strengths of tobacco products. If the pack does not tell them, they will expect retailers to know and we cannot be expected to memorise this detail.

**explanations**

No change

---