Department of Health, United Kingdom

– electronic contribution – rec. 317 - by Mr Lee McGill lee.mcgill@dh.gsi.gov.uk

Question 1 - scope

$Question\ 2-smokeless\ to bacco$

Problem definition	Which option	Additional option	Additional comments
Yes	No change	No further observations.	The United Kingdom has concerns about the safety and highly addictive potential of both smoked and non-smoked tobacco products. While smoking tobacco carries the greatest health risks for the tobacco user, the Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks (SCENIHR) of February 2008 highlights the health risks that are associated with the use of smokeless tobacco. Concerns also exist around the appeal of non-smoked tobacco to young people.

We support Option 1: The current prohibition on the marketing of tobacco for oral use throughout the European Union (with the exception of Sweden) is operating satisfactorily and, given the health risks associated with the use of oral tobacco and the appeal of these products to young people, believe there are good reasons to maintain the status quo. On a population level, the use of other forms of smokeless tobacco is marginal, although smokeless tobacco products are used significantly by certain ethnic groups. The United Kingdom will consider arguments made for and against prohibition of all smokeless tobacco products.

Question 3 – consumer info

Problem	Which	Which	Additional option	Additional comments
definition	option	improvement		
Yes	Improve	Tar, nicotine	Either options 1 and 2,	Option 2a: The United
	consumer	and carbon	or options 1 and 3 in the	Kingdom requires picture
	information	monoxide	consultation document	warnings on all smoked
		levels to be	are not mutually	tobacco products to
		replaced	exclusive. According to	maximise information
		with general	research reports, one of	available to the consumer
		information	the key benefits of plain	about the harm of
		on harmful	or standardised	smoking. The United
		substances in	packaging is that health	Kingdom will consider
		tobacco	warnings would be more	arguments made for
		products	prominent. Options	increasing the size and
			around the revision of	changing the location of
			the Tobacco Products	warnings on tobacco
			Directive include	packaging if there are
			moving forward with	good reasons to believe
			plain or standardised	such changes will have
			packaging jointly with	beneficial impacts on the
			options 1 or 2. All	behaviour of tobacco
			tobacco products,	users. Option 2b: The
			including smokeless and	United Kingdom strongly
			niche smoked products	supports the replacement
			(such as water pipe	of tar, nicotine and
			tobacco and cigars)	carbon monoxide
			should be required to	(TNCO) data on the pack
			carry health warnings.	with a more meaningful

Member States, as a minimum, should be able to require such products to carry picture health warnings from a European library of warnings.

statement about the harms of tobacco use, and information on smoking cessation services including telephone and web addresses of services. The inclusion of TNCO data can be misleading to consumers. Option 2c: The United Kingdom will consider arguments made for requiring information on harmful substances in tobacco products to be placed inside the package, particularly if there are good reasons to believe such a requirement will have beneficial impacts on the behaviour of tobacco users. Option 2d: The United Kingdom will consider arguments made for requiring health warnings on water pipes, particularly if there are good reasons to believe such a requirement will have beneficial impacts on the behaviour of tobacco users. There are likely to be practical obstacles that will need consideration to move forward with such a proposal. Option 3: Since the prohibition of tobacco advertising, the only way that tobacco products can be promoted in the United Kingdom is at the point of sale. The United Kingdom Government will look at whether the plain packaging of tobacco products could

		ha an affactive years to
		be an effective way to
		reduce the number of
		young people taking up
		smoking and to help
		those who are trying to
		quit smoking. The United
		Kingdom wants to make
		it easier for people to
		make healthy choices but
		will clearly need to make
		sure that there is good
		evidence to demonstrate
		that plain packaging
		would have a public
		health benefit as well as
		carefully exploring all
		the costs and benefits,
		the competition, trade
		and legal implications of
		the policy. Details on
		how the United Kingdom
		proposes to proceed with
		plain packaging will be
		set out in early 2011.

Question 4 – reporting

Problem definition	Which option	Additional option	Additional comments
Yes	Establish a		Option 2: The United
	common		Kingdom would be in
	compulsory		support of measures to
	reporting		make the reporting of
	format		ingredients as simple as
			possible across Europe.
			Option 3: The United
			Kingdom is not opposed
			in principle to the idea of
			levying the tobacco
			industry to facilitate data
			collection and analysis of
			data on tobacco
			ingredients. However,
			more consideration needs
			to be given, particularly
			to the practicalities of
			such a requirement.

Question 5 – ingredients

Problem definition	Which option	Recommend option	Additional comments
Yes	No change		The options box above was a compulsory reply one. Therefore, although we chose the 'no change' option (as the one nearest to our position at this time - that is 'position to be decided'), the United Kingdom currently has no observations to make and will consider the arguments presented for and against each of the options presented in the consultation.

Question 6 – access to tobacco products

Problem	Which option	Additional option	Additional comments
definition			
Yes	No change	We have ticked the 'no change' option as the options box above was a compulsory reply one. However, the situation for the UK is rather more complex than ticking one of the available options, as set out below	The key consideration for this part of the consultation is around the ease with which young people have access to tobacco products. In the United Kingdom, the majority of smokers start smoking regularly before turning 18. A key aspect of tobacco control needs to be reducing the easy access that people under 18 can have to all tobacco products. Current UK position. Recent legislation to stop tobacco sales from vending machines will come into effect in England on 1 October 2011, so removing an easy source of cigarettes from under-age smokers and a source of temptation for adults trying to quit. A legal challenge to this legislation was recently dismissed by the Court. The options around the display of tobacco in shops in the United Kingdom are currently under consideration, recognising the need to take action both to reduce tobacco consumption and to reduce burdens on businesses. An announcement about moving forward with tobacco display legislation in England will be made

shortly. Additional views: Tobacco vending machines: Young people have been found to be easily able to access tobacco from vending machines in the United Kingdom. Legislation has been made to stop the sale of tobacco from vending machines in England, which will come into force in October 2011. Stopping the sale of tobacco from vending machines is likely to both reduce the access that young people have to tobacco and support adult smokers who want to quit by removing a source of temptation. Display of tobacco in shops: Tobacco packaging has an important role in the continuing promotion of tobacco products. As proposals in this area are currently under consideration in the United Kingdom, no further observations will be made at this stage. Crossborder retail sales: The sale of tobacco products by any means should be subject to adequate age checks to ensure young people do not have access. The sale of tobacco through the internet means tobacco can be accessed from places where prices are cheaper, reducing the public health impact of high tobacco tax policies. The United Kingdom will consider arguments made for and against requiring either further controls or a prohibition on the sale of tobacco products via the internet, particularly if there are good reasons to believe such measures will reduce the access young people have to tobacco.